

## Chris Harvey

---

**From:** Kyung-Taek LEE [leekt@digitalemc.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 25, 2003 5:20 AM  
**To:** CHarvey@metlabs.com  
**Subject:** RE: CyberBank Application MT#14371 FCC ID: PGVCB-0870

Dear Chris Harvey;

the EUT is not option about belt clip then the SAR test is measured without the belt-clip.

please refer to the attechd file (RF Test Report / RF Test Setup Photo / Corrected Manual).

Thanks and best regards.

K.T. LEE  
DIGITAL EMC

-----Original Message-----

**From:** CHarvey@metlabs.com [mailto:CHarvey@metlabs.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 25, 2003 12:42 AM  
**To:** leekt@digitalemc.com  
**Cc:** MBosley@metlabs.com  
**Subject:** RE: CyberBank Application MT#14371 FCC ID: PGVCB-0870

KT, thank you for your reply. The 2 items requested yesterday are corrected and closed.

Here are 2 additional requests for information:

The RF test report indicates that Attachment F is for Test Setup Photos, however we do not have such an attachment. Please submit test setup photos which show the correct setup for the RF test as well as the ANSI C63.4 test setups (minimum test configuration requirements). Also, please submit the configuration information showing that all the peripherals used for testing this "Class B Computer" device are all commercially available and unmodified devices (required by FCC for Computer devices).

The RF Exposure information in the manual states that the device was tested using the optional Belt-Clip with a 0cm spacing (original manual stated 2.5cm spacing), but there is not information about a belt-clip in the application. The Body SAR testing was performed at a 0cm spacing (touch) which could be considered the worst case if the optional belt-clip has no metallic components. Please describe the optional belt clip, including if the belt-clip contains any metallic components. Please justify the testing without the belt-clip.

The application has been completely reviewed and upon satisfactory responses to the above items, the Grants can be issued.

Please note that Composite applications that include multiple Grants are typically more expensive, and we must charge more in the future, but this application will be processed for the fee submitted.

Best regards,

Chris Harvey

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Kyung-Taek LEE [mailto:leekt@digitalemc.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 24, 2003 2:19 AM  
**To:** CHarvey@metlabs.com

**Subject:** RE: CyberBank Application MT#14371 FCC ID: PGVCB-0870

Dear Chris Harvey;

FCC application(FCC ID:PGVCB-0870) is for both the FCC 22.901(d) cdma and the FCC 15 Sub.B Class B Computer.  
please the atteched file.

Thanks and best regards .

-----Original Message-----

**From:** CHarvey@metlabs.com [mailto:CHarvey@metlabs.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 24, 2003 6:30 AM  
**To:** leekt@digitalemc.com  
**Cc:** CHarvey@metlabs.com; MBosley@metlabs.com  
**Subject:** CyberBank Application MT#14371 FCC ID: PGVCB-0870

KT,

It appears as though this application is for Certification for both the FCC 22.901(d) CDMA and the FCC 15 Subpart B Class B Computer. Please confirm.

The document submitted for Users Manual is only the Table of Contents and the RF Exposure and FCC Statements. Please forward the entire Users Manual as a review must be performed to ensure that the information in the manual does not conflict with the way the device was tested (i.e., accessories not tested).

I will continue to review this application into tomorrow.

Best regards,

*Chris Harvey*

*Chris Harvey*

*EMC Lab Director*

*MET Laboratories, Inc.*

*1-800-638-6057 x-310*