

# RF Exposure Lab

802 N. Twin Oaks Valley Road, Suite 105 • San Marcos, CA 92069 • U.S.A.

TEL (760) 471-2100 • FAX (760) 471-2121

<http://www.rfexposurelab.com>

## CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE SAR EVALUATION

Intel Corporation  
100 Center Point Circle, Suite 200  
Columbia, SC 29210


Dates of Test: February 21, 2013  
Test Report Number: SAR.20130209

FCC ID:	PD92230BNHU (Contains Model 2230BNHMW)
IC Certificate:	1000M-2230BNHU (Contains Model 2230BNHU)
Model(s):	W01A001
Contains WLAN Model(s):	Intel® Centrino® Wireless-N 2230 (Model 2230BNHMW & 2230BNHU)
Test Sample:	Engineering Unit Same as Production
MAC Address:	84A6C8F23947
Equipment Type:	Wireless Module Installed in Notebook
Classification:	Portable Transmitter Next to Body
TX Frequency Range:	2412 – 2462 MHz
Frequency Tolerance:	± 2.5 ppm
Maximum RF Output:	2450 MHz (b) – 16.80 dB, 2450 MHz (g) – 15.81 dB, 2450 MHz (n20) – 15.42 dB, 2450 MHz (n40) – 12.32 dB Conducted
Signal Modulation:	DSSS, OFDM
Antenna Type:	Wanshih Electronic Co., LTD., P/N UC1WFI0108 (Tx1), UC1WFI0109 (Tx2); PIFA Antenna Whayu, P/N C1335-520243-a (Tx1), C1335-520244-A (Aux); PIFA Antenna
Application Type:	Certification
FCC Rule Parts:	Part 2, 15C, 15E
KDB Test Methodology:	KDB 447498, KDB 248227, KDB 616217
Industry Canada:	RSS-102, Safety Code 6
Maximum SAR Value:	0.51 W/kg
Separation Distance:	4.23 mm

This wireless mobile and/or portable device has been shown to be compliant for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992 and had been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2003, and OET Bulletin 65 Supp. C (See test report).

I attest to the accuracy of the data. All measurements were performed by myself or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

RF Exposure Lab, LLC certifies that no party to this application is subject to a denial of Federal benefits that includes FCC benefits pursuant to Section 5301 of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, 21 U.S.C. 853(a).



Jay M. Moulton  
Vice President



Certificate # 2387.01

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction .....	3
SAR Definition [5].....	4
2. SAR Measurement Setup.....	5
Robotic System.....	5
System Hardware.....	5
System Electronics.....	6
Probe Measurement System.....	6
3. Probe and Dipole Calibration.....	11
4. Phantom & Simulating Tissue Specifications.....	12
Head & Body Simulating Mixture Characterization .....	12
5. ANSI/IEEE C95.1 – 1992 RF Exposure Limits [2].....	13
Uncontrolled Environment .....	13
Controlled Environment.....	13
6. Measurement Uncertainty .....	14
7. System Validation.....	15
Tissue Verification.....	15
Test System Verification.....	15
8. SAR Test Data Summary .....	16
Procedures Used To Establish Test Signal .....	16
Device Test Condition .....	16
Location and Separation Distances Diagrams.....	17
SAR Data Summary – 2450 MHz Body 802.11b .....	21
SAR Data Summary – Simultaneous Evaluation .....	22
9. Test Equipment List.....	23
10. Conclusion .....	24
11. References.....	25
Appendix A – System Validation Plots and Data .....	26
Appendix B – SAR Test Data Plots .....	29
Appendix C – SAR Test Setup Photos .....	36
Appendix D – Probe Calibration Data Sheets.....	41
Appendix E – Dipole Calibration Data Sheets .....	53
Appendix F – Phantom Calibration Data Sheets .....	62

## 1. Introduction

This measurement report shows compliance of the Intel Corporation Model Intel® Centrino® Wireless-N 2230 (Model 2230BNHMH & 2230BNHU) installed in Dell Model W01A001 FCC ID: PD92230BNH & PD92230BNHU with FCC Part 2, 1093, ET Docket 93-62 Rules for mobile and portable devices and IC Certificate: 1000M-2230BNH & 1000M-2230BNHU with RSS102 & Safety Code 6. The FCC have adopted the guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radio frequency radiation in ET Docket 93-62 on August 6, 1996 to protect the public and workers from the potential hazards of RF emissions due to FCC regulated portable devices. [1], [6]

The test results recorded herein are based on a single type test of Intel Corporation Model Intel® Centrino® Wireless-N 2230 (Model 2230BNHMH & 2230BNHU) installed in Dell Model W01A001 and therefore apply only to the tested sample.

The host system must be provided with a BIOS locking feature that prevents installation of unauthorized device.

The test procedures, as described in ANSI C95.1 – 1999 Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz [2], ANSI C95.3 – 2002 Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields [3], FCC OET Bulletin 65 Supp. C – 2001 [4], IEEE Std.1528 – 2003 Recommended Practice [5], and Industry Canada Safety Code 6 Limits of Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields in the Frequency Range from 3kHz to 300 GHz were employed.

The following table indicates all the wireless technologies operating in the Intel® Centrino® Wireless-N 2230 (Model 2230BNHMH & 2230BNHU) wireless modem. The table also shows the tolerance for the power level for each mode.

Band	Technology	Class	3GPP Nominal Power dBm	Setpoint Nominal Power dBm	Tolerance dBm	Lower Tolerance dBm	Upper Tolerance dBm
WLAN – 2.4 GHz	802.11b	N/A	N/A	14	±1.5	12.5	15.5
WLAN – 2.4 GHz	802.11g/n(Ch. 1 and 11)	N/A	N/A	11	±1.5	9.5	12.5
WLAN – 2.4 GHz	802.11 g/n(Ch. 2-10)	N/A	N/A	14	±1.5	12.5	15.5

**SAR Definition [5]**

Specific Absorption Rate is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy ( $dW$ ) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass ( $dm$ ) contained in a volume element ( $dV$ ) of a given density ( $\rho$ ).

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{\rho dV} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of watts per kilogram (W/kg). SAR can be related to the electric field at a point by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

where:

$\sigma$  = conductivity of the tissue (S/m)

$\rho$  = mass density of the tissue (kg/m<sup>3</sup>)

$E$  = rms electric field strength (V/m)


## 2. SAR Measurement Setup

### Robotic System

These measurements are performed using the DASY52 automated dosimetric assessment system. The DASY52 is made by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) in Zurich, Switzerland and consists of high precision robotics system (Staubli), robot controller, Intel Core2 computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, and the generic twin phantom containing the brain equivalent material. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF) (see Fig. 2.1).

### System Hardware

A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller teach pendant (Joystick), and a remote control used to drive the robot motors. The PC consists of the HP Intel Core2 computer with Windows XP system and SAR Measurement Software DASY52, A/D interface card, monitor, mouse, and keyboard. The Staubli Robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit that performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC plug-in card.



**Figure 2.1 SAR Measurement System Setup**

## System Electronics

The DAE4 consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. They are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer. The system is described in detail in.

## Probe Measurement System

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe EX3DV4, designed in the classical triangular configuration (see Fig. 2.2) and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe is constructed using the thick film technique; with printed resistive lines on ceramic substrates. The probe is equipped with an optical multi fiber line ending at the front of the probe tip. (see Fig. 2.3) It is connected to the EOC box on the robot arm and provides an automatic detection of the phantom surface. Half of the fibers are connected to a pulsed infrared transmitter, the other half to a synchronized receiver. As the probe approaches the surface, the reflection from the surface produces a coupling from the transmitting to the receiving fibers. This reflection increases first during the approach, reaches maximum and then decreases. If the probe is flatly touching the surface, the coupling is zero. The distance of the coupling maximum to the surface is independent of the surface reflectivity and largely independent of the surface to probe angle. The DASY52 software reads the reflection during a software approach and looks for the maximum using a 2nd order fitting. The approach is stopped at reaching the maximum.



**DAE System**

**Probe Specifications**

**Calibration:** In air from 10 MHz to 6.0 GHz  
In brain and muscle simulating tissue at Frequencies of 450 MHz, 835 MHz, 1750 MHz, 1900 MHz, 2450 MHz, 2600 MHz, 3500 MHz, 5200 MHz, 5300 MHz, 5600 MHz, 5800 MHz

**Frequency:** 10 MHz to 6 GHz

**Linearity:**  $\pm 0.2$ dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz)

**Dynamic:** 10 mW/kg to 100 W/kg

**Range:** Linearity:  $\pm 0.2$ dB

**Dimensions:** Overall length: 330 mm

**Tip length:** 20 mm

**Body diameter:** 12 mm

**Tip diameter:** 2.5 mm

**Distance from probe tip to sensor center:** 1 mm

**Application:** SAR Dosimetry Testing  
Compliance tests of wireless device




Figure 2.2 Triangular Probe Configurations



Figure 2.3 Probe Thick-Film Technique

**Probe Calibration Process**

**Dosimetric Assessment Procedure**

Each probe is calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure described in with accuracy better than +/- 10%. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in and found to be better than +/-0.25dB. The sensitivity parameters (Norm X, Norm Y, Norm Z), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (Conv F) of the probe is tested.

**Free Space Assessment**

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This is performed in a TEM cell for frequencies below 1 GHz, and in a waveguide above 1GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is then rotated 360 degrees until the three channels show the maximum reading. The power density readings equates to 1 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>.

**Temperature Assessment \***

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulated brain tissue. The measured free space E-field in the medium, correlates to temperature rise in a dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe

$$SAR = C \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta t}$$

$$SAR = \frac{|E|^2 \cdot \sigma}{\rho}$$

where:

where:

$\Delta t$  = exposure time (30 seconds),

$\sigma$  = simulated tissue conductivity,


C = heat capacity of tissue (brain or muscle),

$\rho$  = Tissue density (1.25 g/cm<sup>3</sup> for brain tissue)


$\Delta T$  = temperature increase due to RF exposure.

SAR is proportional to  $\Delta T / \Delta t$ , the initial rate of tissue heating, before thermal diffusion takes place.

Now it's possible to quantify the electric field in the simulated tissue by equating the thermally derived SAR to the E- field;



**Figure 2.4 E-Field and Temperature Measurements at 900MHz**



**Figure 2.5 E-Field and Temperature Measurements at 1800MHz**



## Data Extrapolation

The DASY52 software automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given like below;

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

with  $V_i$  = compensated signal of channel i (i=x,y,z)  
 $U_i$  = input signal of channel i (i=x,y,z)  
 $cf$  = crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter)  
 $dcp_i$  = diode compression point (DASY parameter)

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

E-field probes:

$$E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$$

with  $V_i$  = compensated signal of channel i (i = x,y,z)  
 $Norm_i$  = sensor sensitivity of channel i (i = x,y,z)  
 $\mu V/(V/m)^2$  for E-field probes  
 $ConvF$  = sensitivity of enhancement in solution  
 $E_i$  = electric field strength of channel i in V/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermetian magnitude):

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = E_{tot}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1000}$$

with SAR = local specific absorption rate in W/g  
 $E_{tot}$  = total field strength in V/m  
 $\sigma$  = conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]  
 $\rho$  = equivalent tissue density in g/cm<sup>3</sup>

The power flow density is calculated assuming the excitation field to be a free space field.

$$P_{free} = \frac{E_{tot}^2}{3770}$$


with  $P_{pwe}$  = equivalent power density of a plane wave in W/cm<sup>2</sup>  
 $E_{tot}$  = total electric field strength in V/m

**SAM PHANTOM**

The SAM Twin Phantom V4.0 is constructed of a fiberglass shell integrated in a wooden table. The shape of the shell is based on data from an anatomical study designed to determine the maximum exposure in at least 90% of all users. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents the evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the Phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robot. (see Fig. 2.6)

**Phantom Specification**

**Phantom:** SAM Twin Phantom (V4.0)  
**Shell Material:** Vivac Composite  
**Thickness:**  $2.0 \pm 0.2$  mm



**Figure 2.6 SAM Twin Phantom**

**Device Holder for Transmitters**

In combination with the SAM Twin Phantom V4.0 the Mounting Device (see Fig. 2.7), enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter in spherical coordinates whereby the rotation point is the ear opening. The devices can be easily, accurately, and repeatedly positioned according to the FCC, CENELEC, IEC and IEEE specifications. The device holder can be locked at different phantom locations (left head, right head, flat phantom).



**Figure 2.7 Mounting Device**

Note: A simulating human hand is not used due to the complex anatomical and geometrical structure of the hand that may produce infinite number of configurations. To produce the worst-case condition (the hand absorbs antenna output power), the hand is omitted during the tests.

### **3. Probe and Dipole Calibration**

**See Appendix D and E.**

## 4. Phantom & Simulating Tissue Specifications

### Head & Body Simulating Mixture Characterization

The head and body mixtures consist of the material based on the table listed below. The mixture is calibrated to obtain proper dielectric constant (permittivity) and conductivity of the desired tissue. Body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations.

**Table 4.1 Typical Composition of Ingredients for Tissue**

Ingredients		Simulating Tissue
		2450 MHz Body
Mixing Percentage		
Water		73.20
Sugar		0.00
Salt		0.04
HEC		0.00
Bactericide		0.00
DGBE		26.70
Dielectric Constant	Target	52.70
Conductivity (S/m)	Target	1.95

## 5. ANSI/IEEE C95.1 – 1992 RF Exposure Limits [2]

### Uncontrolled Environment

Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

### Controlled Environment

Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

**Table 5.1 Human Exposure Limits**

	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT General Population (W/kg) or (mW/g)	CONTROLLED ENVIROMENT Professional Population (W/kg) or (mW/g)
SPATIAL PEAK SAR <sup>1</sup> Head	1.60	8.00
SPATIAL AVERAGE SAR <sup>2</sup> Whole Body	0.08	0.40
SPATIAL PEAK SAR <sup>3</sup> Hands, Feet, Ankles, Wrists	4.00	20.00

<sup>1</sup> The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

<sup>2</sup> The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.

<sup>3</sup> The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

## 6. Measurement Uncertainty

Measurement uncertainty table is not required per KDB 865664 D01 v01 section 2.8.2 page 12. Since no SAR value is above 1.5 W/kg, the measurement uncertainty table is not required.

## 7. System Validation

### Tissue Verification

**Table 7.1 Measured Tissue Parameters**

		2450 MHz Body	
Date(s)		Feb. 21, 2013	
Liquid Temperature (°C)	20.0	Target	Measured
Dielectric Constant: $\epsilon$		52.70	52.24
Conductivity: $\sigma$		1.95	2.00

See Appendix A for data printout.


### Test System Verification

Prior to assessment, the system is verified to the  $\pm 10\%$  of the specifications at the test frequency by using the system kit. Power is normalized to 1 watt. (Graphic Plots Attached)

**Table 7.2 System Dipole Validation Target & Measured**

	Test Frequency	Targeted SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Measure SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Tissue Used for Verification	Deviation Target and Fast SAR to SAR (%)	Plot Number
21-Feb-2013	2450 MHz	51.50	51.70	Body	+ 0.39	1

See Appendix A for data plots.



**Figure 7.1 Dipole Validation Test Setup**

## 8. SAR Test Data Summary

### See Measurement Result Data Pages

See Appendix B for SAR Test Data Plots.  
See Appendix C for SAR Test Setup Photos.

### Procedures Used To Establish Test Signal

The device was either placed into simulated transmit mode using the manufacturer's test codes or the actual transmission is activated through a base station simulator or similar equipment. See data pages for actual procedure used in measurement.

### Device Test Condition

In order to verify that the device was tested at full power, conducted output power measurements were performed before and after each SAR measurement to confirm the output power unless otherwise noted. If a conducted power deviation of more than 5% occurred, the test was repeated. The power drift of each test is measured at the start of the test and again at the end of the test. The drift percentage is calculated by the formula  $((\text{end}/\text{start})-1)*100$  and rounded to three decimal places. The drift percentage is calculated into the resultant SAR value on the data sheet for each test.

The EUT was tested in on all sides of the device where the antenna was within 25 mm of that side. The Wanshih Electronic Co. Ltd. antenna models UC1WFI0108 and UC1WFI0109 were tested in this report. The Whayu antennas were of the same type (PIFA) as the tested antennas and the maximum measured stand-alone SAR value is less than 0.8 W/kg. Therefore, the Whayu antennas are not required to be tested per KDB 178919 D01 Section 5) b) iii) 3) page 7.

All measurements for the tablet were conducted with the side of the device in direct contact with the phantom.

Bluetooth operation was not evaluated as the power level of the BT transmitter was less than 10 mW per KDB 447498 Appendix A. The Bluetooth transmitter does simultaneously transmit with the WiFi transmitter. When the BT is turned on, it transmits on Tx1 and the WiFi transmits on Tx2. The distance between Tx1 and Tx2 is 228 mm. Simultaneous transmission is evaluated on page 21.


The data rates used when evaluating the WiFi transmitter were the lowest data rates for each mode. The device was operating at its maximum output power at the lowest data rate for all measurements.

The tablet was using the Intel test utility DRTU Version 1.5.7-0432 and the device driver was version 15.5.0.36.

The tablet was on a minimum of 10 cm of Styrofoam during each test. The following is a pictorial drawing of the locations and separation distances.



**Location and Separation Distances Diagrams**



**Antenna Locations**



**Distances**

The WiGig Antenna is not used for this model. Therefore, this antenna is not installed in the final tablet assembly.

**Figure 8.1 Test Reduction Table – WiFi**

Mode	Side	Required Channel	Tested/Reduced
802.11b	Back	1 – 2412 MHz	Reduced <sup>1</sup>
		6 – 2437 MHz	Tested
		11 – 2462 MHz	Reduced <sup>1</sup>
	Top	1 – 2412 MHz	Reduced <sup>1</sup>
		6 – 2437 MHz	Tested
		11 – 2462 MHz	Reduced <sup>1</sup>
	Left	1 – 2412 MHz	Reduced <sup>3</sup>
		6 – 2437 MHz	Reduced <sup>3</sup>
		11 – 2462 MHz	Reduced <sup>3</sup>
	Right	1 – 2412 MHz	Reduced <sup>3</sup>
		6 – 2437 MHz	Reduced <sup>3</sup>
		11 – 2462 MHz	Reduced <sup>3</sup>
Curved Edge	1 – 2412 MHz	Reduced <sup>1</sup>	
	6 – 2437 MHz	Tested	
	11 – 2462 MHz	Reduced <sup>1</sup>	
802.11g	Back	1 – 2412 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>
		6 – 2437 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>
		11 – 2462 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>
	Top	1 – 2412 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>
		6 – 2437 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>
		11 – 2462 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>
	Left	1 – 2412 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>
		6 – 2437 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>
		11 – 2462 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>
	Right	1 – 2412 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>
		6 – 2437 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>
		11 – 2462 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>
Curved Edge	1 – 2412 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>	
	6 – 2437 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>	
	11 – 2462 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>	
802.11n	Back	1 – 2412 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>
		6 – 2437 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>
		11 – 2462 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>
	Top	1 – 2412 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>
		6 – 2437 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>
		11 – 2462 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>
	Left	1 – 2412 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>
		6 – 2437 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>
		11 – 2462 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>
	Right	1 – 2412 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>
		6 – 2437 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>
		11 – 2462 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>
Curved Edge	1 – 2412 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>	
	6 – 2437 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>	
	11 – 2462 MHz	Reduced <sup>2</sup>	

Both Tx1 and Tx2 were tested per these test reduction requirements.

Reduced<sup>1</sup> – When the mid channel is 3 dB below the limit, the remaining channels are not required per KDB 447498 D01 v05 section 4.3.3 page 13.

Reduced<sup>2</sup> – When the conducted power in this mode is less than 0.25 dB higher than the b mode, testing is not required per KDB 248227 page 5.

Reduced<sup>3</sup> – When the antenna is more than 25 mm from a side, the test can be reduced per KDB447498 D01 v05 section 4.3.1 1) page 10. See below for calculations.

### Calculations for test exclusion for right and left side.

Maximum power: 35.5 mW  
 Right Side distance: 106.79 mm  
 Left Side distance: 48.79 mm

The closest distance is from the left side. Therefore, if the left side is excluded the right side would also be excluded.

$$[(35.5 \text{ mW}) / (48.79 \text{ mm})] \cdot \sqrt{2.37} = 1.12 \text{ which is less than } 0.3.$$

Band	Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Conducted Power (dBm)	
				Tx1	Tx2
2450 MHz	802.11b	1	2412	15.45	15.42
		6	2437	15.50	15.50
		11	2462	15.48	15.46
	802.11g	1	2412	12.49	12.48
		6	2437	15.50	15.50
		11	2462	12.50	12.47
	802.11n20	1	2412	12.48	12.45
		6	2437	15.50	15.50
		11	2462	12.50	12.49
	802.11n40	3	2422	9.58	9.04
		6	2437	13.52	12.55
		9	2452	10.01	9.07

### Conducted Average Power Measurements

Band	Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Conducted Power (dBm)	
				Main	Auxiliary
5600 MHz	802.11a	100	5500	15.57	15.65
		104	5520	15.79	15.76
		108	5540	16.36	16.15
		112	5560	16.32	16.27
		116	5580	16.29	16.29
		120	5600	16.24	16.34
		124	5620	16.38	16.38
		128	5640	16.36	16.32
		132	5660	16.33	16.30
		136	5680	15.57	16.25
		140	5700	15.88	16.21
	802.11n20	100	5500	16.21	16.12
		104	5520	16.16	16.14
		108	5540	16.24	16.05
		112	5560	16.29	16.17
		116	5580	16.31	16.27
		120	5600	16.36	16.22
		124	5620	16.27	16.24
		128	5640	16.19	16.26
		132	5660	16.26	16.21
		136	5680	16.14	16.17
		140	5700	16.09	16.19
	802.11n40	102	5510	15.55	16.57
		110	5550	16.36	16.19
		122	5590	16.25	16.24
		130	5630	16.18	16.26
		134	5670	16.20	16.22
5800 MHz	802.11a	149	5745	16.33	16.31
		153	5765	16.30	16.27
		157	5785	16.42	16.40
		161	5805	16.34	16.32
		165	5825	16.30	16.35
	802.11n20	149	5745	16.29	16.31
		153	5765	16.40	16.24
		157	5785	16.41	16.38
		161	5805	16.27	16.36
		165	5825	16.35	16.31
	802.11n40	151	5755	16.32	16.37
159		5795	16.36	16.35	


### Conducted Average Power Measurements

**SAR Data Summary – 2450 MHz Body 802.11b**

MEASUREMENT RESULTS								
Plot	Gap to Tablet	Position	Frequency		Modulation	Antenna	End Power	SAR (W/kg)
			MHz	Ch.			(dBm)	
1	0 mm	Back	2437	6	DSSS	Tx1	15.50	0.162
2		Curved Edge	2437	6	DSSS		15.50	0.456
3		Top	2437	6	DSSS		15.50	0.324
4		Back	2437	6	DSSS	Tx2	15.50	0.185
5		Curved Edge	2437	6	DSSS		15.50	0.510
6		Top	2437	6	DSSS		15.50	0.185

**Body**  
**1.6 W/kg (mW/g)**  
averaged over 1 gram

1. Battery is fully charged for all tests.  
 Power Measured  Conducted  ERP  EIRP
2. SAR Measurement  
 Phantom Configuration  Left Head  Eli4  Right Head  
 SAR Configuration  Head  Body
3. Test Signal Call Mode  Test Code  Base Station Simulator
4. Test Configuration  With Belt Clip  Without Belt Clip  N/A
5. Tissue Depth is at least 15.0 cm




---

Jay M. Moulton  
 Vice President

## SAR Data Summary – Simultaneous Evaluation

MEASUREMENT RESULTS								
Frequency		Modulation	Frequency		Modulation	SAR <sub>1</sub>	SAR <sub>2</sub>	SAR Total
MHz	Ch.		MHz	Ch.				
2437	6	DSSS	2480	79	GFSK	0.510	0.121	0.631
<b>Body</b> <b>1.6 W/kg (mW/g)</b> averaged over 1 gram								

SAR value calculated for SAR<sub>2</sub> per KDB447498 D01 v05 section 4.3.2 2) page 12.

$$[(2.5 \text{ mW}) / (4.23 \text{ mm})] * (\sqrt{2.37 / 7.5}) = 0.121 \text{ W/kg}$$

The sum of the two transmitters is less than the limit; therefore, the simultaneous transmission is compliance with the SAR requirements.

## 9. Test Equipment List

**Table 9.1 Equipment Specifications**

Type	Calibration Due Date	Calibration Done Date	Serial Number
Staubli Robot TX60L	N/A	N/A	F07/55M6A1/A/01
Measurement Controller CS8c	N/A	N/A	1012
ELI4 Flat Phantom	N/A	N/A	1065
Device Holder	N/A	N/A	N/A
Data Acquisition Electronics 4	08/15/2013	08/15/2012	759
SAR Software V52.8.4	N/A	N/A	N/A
SPEAG E-Field Probe EX3DV4	08/20/2013	08/20/2012	3693
Speag Validation Dipole D2450V2	12/04/2013	12/04/2012	829
Agilent N1911A Power Meter	03/29/2013	03/29/2012	GB45100254
Agilent N1922A Power Sensor	03/29/2013	03/29/2012	MY45240464
Advantest R3261A Spectrum Analyzer	03/29/2013	03/29/2012	31720068
Agilent (HP) 8350B Signal Generator	03/29/2013	03/29/2012	2749A10226
Agilent (HP) 83525A RF Plug-In	03/29/2013	03/29/2012	2647A01172
Agilent (HP) 8753C Vector Network Analyzer	03/29/2013	03/29/2012	3135A01724
Agilent (HP) 85047A S-Parameter Test Set	04/03/2013	04/03/2012	2904A00595
Agilent (HP) 8960 Base Station Sim.	04/05/2014	04/05/2012	MY48360364
Anritsu MT8820C	08/03/2014	08/03/2012	6201176199
Apral Dielectric Probe Assembly	N/A	N/A	0011
Body Equivalent Matter (2450 MHz)	N/A	N/A	N/A

## 10. Conclusion

The SAR measurement indicates that the EUT complies with the RF radiation exposure limits of the FCC. These measurements are taken to simulate the RF effects exposure under worst-case conditions. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The tested device complies with the requirements in respect to all parameters subject to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

Please note that the absorption and distribution of electromagnetic energy in the body is a very complex phenomena that depends on the mass, shape, and size of the body; the orientation of the body with respect to the field vectors; and, the electrical properties of both the body and the environment. Other variables that may play a substantial role in possible biological effects are those that characterize the environment (e.g. ambient temperature, air velocity, relative humidity, and body insulation) and those that characterize the individual (e.g. age, gender, activity level, debilitation, or disease). Because innumerable factors may interact to determine the specific biological outcome of an exposure to electromagnetic fields, any protection guide shall consider maximal amplification of biological effects as a result of field-body interactions, environmental conditions, and physiological variables.



## 11. References

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, ET Docket 93-62, Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radio Frequency Radiation, August 1996
- [2] ANSI/IEEE C95.1 – 1992, American National Standard Safety Levels with respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 300kHz to 100GHz, New York: IEEE, 1992.
- [3] ANSI/IEEE C95.3 – 1992, IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields – RF and Microwave, New York: IEEE, 1992.
- [4] Federal Communications Commission, OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), Supplement C (Edition 01-01), Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, June 2001.
- [5] IEEE Standard 1528 – 2003, IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak-Spatial Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communication Devices: Measurement Techniques, October 2003.
- [6] Industry Canada, RSS – 102e, Radio Frequency Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands), March 2010.
- [7] Health Canada, Safety Code 6, Limits of Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields in the Frequency Range from 3kHz to 300 GHz, 2009.

## Appendix A – System Validation Plots and Data

\*\*\*\*\*

Test Result for UIM Dielectric Parameter

Thu 21/Feb/2013 08:19:06

Freq Frequency(GHz)

FCC\_eH FCC Bulletin 65 Supplement C ( June 2001) Limits for Head Epsilon

FCC\_sH FCC Bulletin 65 Supplement C (June 2001) Limits for Head Sigma

FCC\_eB FCC Limits for Body Epsilon

FCC\_sB FCC Limits for Body Sigma

Test\_e Epsilon of UIM

Test\_s Sigma of UIM

\*\*\*\*\*

Freq	FCC_eB	FCC_sB	Test_e	Test_s
2.4000	52.77	1.90	52.42	1.94
2.4100	52.75	1.91	52.35	1.95
2.4200	52.74	1.92	52.31	1.96
2.4300	52.73	1.93	52.30	1.98
2.4370	52.716	1.937	52.279	1.987*
2.4400	52.71	1.94	52.27	1.99
2.4500	52.70	1.95	52.24	2.00
2.4600	52.69	1.96	52.21	2.01
2.4700	52.67	1.98	52.19	2.02
2.4800	52.66	1.99	52.10	2.03

\* value interpolated

# RF Exposure Lab

## Plot 1

**DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz D2450V2; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN:829**


Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
 Medium: MSL2450; Medium parameters used:  $f = 2450 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 2 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 52.24$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$   
 Phantom section: Flat Section


Test Date: Date: 2/21/2013; Ambient Temp: 23 °C; Tissue Temp: 21 °C  
 Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3693; ConvF(6.76, 6.76, 6.76); Calibrated: 8/20/2012;  
 Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)  
 Electronics: DAE4 Sn759; Calibrated: 8/15/2012  
 Phantom: ELI 4.0; Type: QDOVA001BA; Serial: 1065  
 Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.8 (7028)

### Procedure Notes:

**Verification/2450 MHz Body/Area Scan (61x81x1):** Interpolated grid:  $dx=1.000 \text{ mm}$ ,  $dy=1.000 \text{ mm}$   
 Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 9.31 W/kg

**Verification/2450 MHz Body/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=5\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=5\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$   
 Reference Value = 54.742 V/m; Power Drift = -0.07 dB  
 Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 10.9 W/kg  
**SAR(1 g) = 5.17 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.35 W/kg**  
 Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 5.90 W/kg





## **Appendix B – SAR Test Data Plots**

# RF Exposure Lab

## Plot 1

**DUT: W01A001; Type: Tablet Computer; Serial: Eng 1**

Communication System: WiFi 802.11b (DSSS, 1 Mbps); Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
 Medium: MSL2450; Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 2437$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.987$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 52.279$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>  
 Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: Date: 2/21/2013; Ambient Temp: 23 °C; Tissue Temp: 21 °C  
 Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3693; ConvF(6.76, 6.76, 6.76); Calibrated: 8/20/2012;  
 Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)  
 Electronics: DAE4 Sn759; Calibrated: 8/15/2012  
 Phantom: ELI 4.0; Type: QDOVA001BA; Serial: 1065  
 Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.8 (7028)

### Procedure Notes:

**Tx1/Back Mid/Area Scan (61x101x1):** Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.](#)

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.283 W/kg

**Tx1/Back Mid/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm


Reference Value = 0.237 V/m; Power Drift = -0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.336 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.162 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.080 W/kg**

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.](#)

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.265 W/kg



# RF Exposure Lab

## Plot 2

**DUT: W01A001; Type: Tablet Computer; Serial: Eng 1**

Communication System: WiFi 802.11b (DSSS, 1 Mbps); Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
 Medium: MSL2450; Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 2437$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.987$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 52.279$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>  
 Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: Date: 2/21/2013; Ambient Temp: 23 °C; Tissue Temp: 21 °C  
 Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3693; ConvF(6.76, 6.76, 6.76); Calibrated: 8/20/2012;  
 Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)  
 Electronics: DAE4 Sn759; Calibrated: 8/15/2012  
 Phantom: ELI 4.0; Type: QDOVA001BA; Serial: 1065  
 Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.8 (7028)

### Procedure Notes:

**Tx1/Edge Mid/Area Scan (61x101x1):** Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.](#)

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.917 W/kg

**Tx1/Edge Mid/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm


Reference Value = 0.892 V/m; Power Drift = 0.07 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.01 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.456 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.220 W/kg**

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.](#)

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.780 W/kg



# RF Exposure Lab

## Plot 3

**DUT: W01A001; Type: Tablet Computer; Serial: Eng 1**

Communication System: WiFi 802.11b (DSSS, 1 Mbps); Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
 Medium: MSL2450; Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 2437$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.987$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 52.279$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>  
 Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: Date: 2/21/2013; Ambient Temp: 23 °C; Tissue Temp: 21 °C  
 Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3693; ConvF(6.76, 6.76, 6.76); Calibrated: 8/20/2012;  
 Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)  
 Electronics: DAE4 Sn759; Calibrated: 8/15/2012  
 Phantom: ELI 4.0; Type: QDOVA001BA; Serial: 1065  
 Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.8 (7028)

### Procedure Notes:

**Tx1/Top Mid/Area Scan (61x101x1):** Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.](#)

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.513 W/kg

**Tx1/Top Mid/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm


Reference Value = 0.292 V/m; Power Drift = 0.08 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.773 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.324 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.138 W/kg**

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.](#)

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.595 W/kg





# RF Exposure Lab

## Plot 4

**DUT: W01A001; Type: Tablet Computer; Serial: Eng 1**

Communication System: WiFi 802.11b (DSSS, 1 Mbps); Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
 Medium: MSL2450; Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 2437$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.987$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 52.279$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>  
 Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: Date: 2/21/2013; Ambient Temp: 23 °C; Tissue Temp: 21 °C  
 Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3693; ConvF(6.76, 6.76, 6.76); Calibrated: 8/20/2012;  
 Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)  
 Electronics: DAE4 Sn759; Calibrated: 8/15/2012  
 Phantom: ELI 4.0; Type: QDOVA001BA; Serial: 1065  
 Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.8 (7028)

### Procedure Notes:

**Tx2/Back Mid/Area Scan (61x101x1):** Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.](#)

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.319 W/kg

**Tx2/Back Mid/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm


Reference Value = 0.158 V/m; Power Drift = 0.00 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.389 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.185 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.091 W/kg**

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.](#)

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.305 W/kg



# RF Exposure Lab

## Plot 5

**DUT: W01A001; Type: Tablet Computer; Serial: Eng 1**

Communication System: WiFi 802.11b (DSSS, 1 Mbps); Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
 Medium: MSL2450; Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 2437$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.987$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 52.279$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>  
 Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: Date: 2/21/2013; Ambient Temp: 23 °C; Tissue Temp: 21 °C  
 Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3693; ConvF(6.76, 6.76, 6.76); Calibrated: 8/20/2012;  
 Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)  
 Electronics: DAE4 Sn759; Calibrated: 8/15/2012  
 Phantom: ELI 4.0; Type: QDOVA001BA; Serial: 1065  
 Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.8 (7028)

### Procedure Notes:

**Tx2/Edge Mid/Area Scan (61x101x1):** Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.](#)

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.01 W/kg

**Tx2/Edge Mid/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm


Reference Value = 0.865 V/m; Power Drift = -0.05 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.22 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.510 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.223 W/kg**

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.](#)

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.917 W/kg



# RF Exposure Lab

## Plot 6

**DUT: W01A001; Type: Tablet Computer; Serial: Eng 1**

Communication System: WiFi 802.11b (DSSS, 1 Mbps); Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
 Medium: MSL2450; Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 2437$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.987$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 52.279$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>  
 Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: Date: 2/21/2013; Ambient Temp: 23 °C; Tissue Temp: 21 °C  
 Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3693; ConvF(6.76, 6.76, 6.76); Calibrated: 8/20/2012;  
 Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)  
 Electronics: DAE4 Sn759; Calibrated: 8/15/2012  
 Phantom: ELI 4.0; Type: QDOVA001BA; Serial: 1065  
 Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.8 (7028)

### Procedure Notes:

**Tx2/Top Mid/Area Scan (61x101x1):** Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.](#)

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.335 W/kg

**Tx2/Top Mid/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm


Reference Value = 0.283 V/m; Power Drift = 0.09 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.453 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.185 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.081 W/kg**

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.](#)

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.342 W/kg



## Appendix D – Probe Calibration Data Sheets



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)  
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Client **RF Exposure Lab**

Certificate No: **EX3-3693\_Aug12**

## CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **EX3DV4 - SN:3693**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-01.v8, QA CAL-14.v3, QA CAL-23.v4, QA CAL-25.v4**  
**Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes**

Calibration date: **August 20, 2012**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).  
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	29-Mar-12 (No. 217-01508)	Apr-13
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	29-Mar-12 (No. 217-01508)	Apr-13
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	27-Mar-12 (No. 217-01531)	Apr-13
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	27-Mar-12 (No. 217-01529)	Apr-13
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	27-Mar-12 (No. 217-01532)	Apr-13
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	29-Dec-11 (No. ES3-3013_Dec11)	Dec-12
DAE4	SN: 660	20-Jun-12 (No. DAE4-660_Jun12)	Jun-13
Secondary Standards	ID	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Apr-11)	In house check: Apr-13
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-11)	In house check: Oct-12

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Jeton Kastrati	Laboratory Technician	
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	
			Issued: August 20, 2012
This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.			



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

### Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORM <sub>x,y,z</sub>	sensitivity in free space
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM <sub>x,y,z</sub>
DCP	diode compression point
CF	crest factor (1/duty_cycle) of the RF signal
A, B, C	modulation dependent linearization parameters
Polarization $\varphi$	$\varphi$ rotation around probe axis
Polarization $\vartheta$	$\vartheta$ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis

### Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005

### Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub>**: Assessed for E-field polarization  $\vartheta = 0$  ( $f \leq 900$  MHz in TEM-cell;  $f > 1800$  MHz: R22 waveguide). NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> does not affect the E<sup>2</sup>-field uncertainty inside TSL (see below *ConvF*).
- NORM(f)<sub>x,y,z</sub>** = NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> \* *frequency\_response* (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of *ConvF*.
- DCP<sub>x,y,z</sub>**: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- PAR**: PAR is the Peak to Average Ratio that is not calibrated but determined based on the signal characteristics
- A<sub>x,y,z</sub>; B<sub>x,y,z</sub>; C<sub>x,y,z</sub>; VR<sub>x,y,z</sub>; A, B, C** are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. VR is the maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters**: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for  $f \leq 800$  MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for  $f > 800$  MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> \* *ConvF* whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for *ConvF*. A frequency dependent *ConvF* is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from  $\pm 50$  MHz to  $\pm 100$  MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy)**: in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset**: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.

# Probe EX3DV4

## SN:3693

Manufactured: April 22, 2009  
Calibrated: August 20, 2012

Calibrated for DASY/EASY Systems  
(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

## DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3693

### Basic Calibration Parameters

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k=2)
Norm ( $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$ ) <sup>A</sup>	0.49	0.48	0.46	$\pm 10.1 \%$
DCP (mV) <sup>B</sup>	98.3	100.5	98.2	

### Modulation Calibration Parameters

UID	Communication System Name	PAR		A dB	B dB	C dB	VR mV	Unc <sup>E</sup> (k=2)
0	CW	0.00	X	0.00	0.00	1.00	161.4	$\pm 3.0 \%$
			Y	0.00	0.00	1.00	154.4	
			Z	0.00	0.00	1.00	158.9	

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor  $k=2$ , which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

<sup>A</sup> The uncertainties of NormX,Y,Z do not affect the  $E^2$ -field uncertainty inside TSL (see Pages 5 and 6).

<sup>B</sup> Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

<sup>E</sup> Uncertainty is determined using the max. deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.



## DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3693

### Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) <sup>C</sup>	Relative Permittivity <sup>F</sup>	Conductivity (S/m) <sup>F</sup>	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha	Depth (mm)	Unct. (k=2)
750	41.9	0.89	8.99	8.99	8.99	0.23	1.20	± 12.0 %
835	41.5	0.90	8.55	8.55	8.55	0.18	1.56	± 12.0 %
1750	40.1	1.37	8.00	8.00	8.00	0.51	0.76	± 12.0 %
1900	40.0	1.40	7.67	7.67	7.67	0.75	0.63	± 12.0 %
2450	39.2	1.80	6.72	6.72	6.72	0.29	1.09	± 12.0 %
2550	39.1	1.91	6.55	6.55	6.55	0.39	0.93	± 12.0 %
5200	36.0	4.66	4.97	4.97	4.97	0.30	1.80	± 13.1 %
5300	35.9	4.76	4.78	4.78	4.78	0.30	1.80	± 13.1 %
5600	35.5	5.07	4.22	4.22	4.22	0.40	1.80	± 13.1 %
5800	35.3	5.27	4.34	4.34	4.34	0.40	1.80	± 13.1 %

<sup>C</sup> Frequency validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

<sup>F</sup> At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

## DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3693

### Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media


f (MHz) <sup>C</sup>	Relative Permittivity <sup>F</sup>	Conductivity (S/m) <sup>F</sup>	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha	Depth (mm)	Unct. (k=2)
750	55.5	0.96	8.84	8.84	8.84	0.29	1.09	± 12.0 %
835	55.2	0.97	8.87	8.87	8.87	0.60	0.71	± 12.0 %
1750	53.4	1.49	7.43	7.43	7.43	0.41	0.85	± 12.0 %
1900	53.3	1.52	7.13	7.13	7.13	0.41	0.82	± 12.0 %
2450	52.7	1.95	6.76	6.76	6.76	0.80	0.50	± 12.0 %
2550	52.6	2.09	6.75	6.75	6.75	0.80	0.50	± 12.0 %
5200	49.0	5.30	4.31	4.31	4.31	0.45	1.90	± 13.1 %
5300	48.9	5.42	4.24	4.24	4.24	0.40	1.90	± 13.1 %
5600	48.5	5.77	3.76	3.76	3.76	0.45	1.90	± 13.1 %
5800	48.2	6.00	4.08	4.08	4.08	0.50	1.90	± 13.1 %

<sup>C</sup> Frequency validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

<sup>F</sup> At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

# Frequency Response of E-Field


(TEM-Cell: ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)





Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field:  $\pm 6.3\%$  ( $k=2$ )

# Receiving Pattern ( $\phi$ ), $\vartheta = 0^\circ$

f=600 MHz,TEM





f=1800 MHz,R22




Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment:  $\pm 0.5\%$  (k=2)

### Dynamic Range f(SAR<sub>head</sub>) (TEM cell , f = 900 MHz)




Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: ± 0.6% (k=2)

# Conversion Factor Assessment



## Deviation from Isotropy in Liquid

Error ( $\phi, \theta$ ), f = 900 MHz



## DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3693

### Other Probe Parameters

Sensor Arrangement	Triangular
Connector Angle (°)	155.3
Mechanical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Optical Surface Detection Mode	disabled
Probe Overall Length	337 mm
Probe Body Diameter	10 mm
Tip Length	9 mm
Tip Diameter	2.5 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point	1 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point	1 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point	1 mm
Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface	2 mm

## Appendix E – Dipole Calibration Data Sheets





Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)  
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Client **RF Exposure Lab**

Certificate No: **D2450V2-829\_Dec12**

## CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **D2450V2 - SN: 829**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v8**  
**Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits above 700 MHz**

Calibration date: **December 04, 2012**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).  
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	01-Nov-12 (No. 217-01640)	Oct-13
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	01-Nov-12 (No. 217-01640)	Oct-13
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5058 (20k)	27-Mar-12 (No. 217-01530)	Apr-13
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.3 / 06327	27-Mar-12 (No. 217-01533)	Apr-13
Reference Probe ES3DV3	SN: 3205	30-Dec-11 (No. ES3-3205_Dec11)	Dec-12
DAE4	SN: 601	27-Jun-12 (No. DAE4-601_Jun12)	Jun-13
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	18-Oct-02 (in house check Oct-11)	In house check: Oct-13
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100005	04-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-11)	In house check: Oct-13
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-12)	In house check: Oct-13

Calibrated by: **Name** Leif Klysner **Function** Laboratory Technician

Signature

Approved by: **Name** Katja Pokovic **Function** Technical Manager

Issued: December 4, 2012

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

### Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

### Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- Federal Communications Commission Office of Engineering & Technology (FCC OET), "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields; Additional Information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Emissions", Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to Bulletin 65

### Additional Documentation:

- DASY4/5 System Handbook

### Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions:* Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL:* The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:* These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- Electrical Delay:* One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured:* SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized:* SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters:* The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor  $k=2$ , which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

## Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

<b>DASY Version</b>	DASY5	V52.8.3
<b>Extrapolation</b>	Advanced Extrapolation	
<b>Phantom</b>	Modular Flat Phantom	
<b>Distance Dipole Center - TSL</b>	10 mm	with Spacer
<b>Zoom Scan Resolution</b>	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
<b>Frequency</b>	2450 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz	

## Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	<b>Temperature</b>	<b>Permittivity</b>	<b>Conductivity</b>
<b>Nominal Head TSL parameters</b>	22.0 °C	39.2	1.80 mho/m
<b>Measured Head TSL parameters</b>	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	38.2 $\pm$ 6 %	1.84 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
<b>Head TSL temperature change during test</b>	< 0.5 °C	----	----

## SAR result with Head TSL

<b>SAR averaged over 1 cm<sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Head TSL</b>	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	13.7 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	<b>53.9 W/kg <math>\pm</math> 17.0 % (k=2)</b>

<b>SAR averaged over 10 cm<sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Head TSL</b>	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	6.33 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	<b>25.1 W/kg <math>\pm</math> 16.5 % (k=2)</b>

## Body TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	<b>Temperature</b>	<b>Permittivity</b>	<b>Conductivity</b>
<b>Nominal Body TSL parameters</b>	22.0 °C	52.7	1.95 mho/m
<b>Measured Body TSL parameters</b>	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	50.7 $\pm$ 6 %	2.02 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
<b>Body TSL temperature change during test</b>	< 0.5 °C	----	----

## SAR result with Body TSL

<b>SAR averaged over 1 cm<sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Body TSL</b>	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	13.2 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	<b>51.5 W/kg <math>\pm</math> 17.0 % (k=2)</b>

<b>SAR averaged over 10 cm<sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Body TSL</b>	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	6.08 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	<b>24.0 W/kg <math>\pm</math> 16.5 % (k=2)</b>

## Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	53.1 $\Omega$ + 4.2 j $\Omega$
Return Loss	- 25.9 dB

## Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	49.7 $\Omega$ + 5.1 j $\Omega$
Return Loss	- 25.9 dB

## General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.158 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. On some of the dipoles, small end caps are added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in the "Measurement Conditions" paragraph. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

## Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	December 11, 2008

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

**DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN: 829**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz

Medium parameters used:  $f = 2450$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.84$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 38.2$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(4.45, 4.45, 4.45); Calibrated: 30.12.2011;
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 27.06.2012
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (front); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.8.3(988); SEMCAD X 14.6.7(6848)

**Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=10mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:**



Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 102.1 V/m; Power Drift = 0.05 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 28.3 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 13.7 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 6.33 W/kg**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 17.8 W/kg




0 dB = 17.8 W/kg = 12.50 dBW/kg

# Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL

4 Dec 2012 10:08:57

CH1 S11 1 U FS 1: 53.113  $\angle$  4.1680  $\angle$  270.76  $\mu$ H 2 450.000 000 MHz

\*  
De1  
Ca



Avg  
16


H1d

CH2 S11 L06 5 dB/REF -20 dB 1: -25.942 dB 2 450.000 000 MHz

Ca

Avg  
16

H1d



Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

**DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN: 829**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz

Medium parameters used:  $f = 2450$  MHz;  $\sigma = 2.02$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 50.7$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(4.26, 4.26, 4.26); Calibrated: 30.12.2011;
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 27.06.2012
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (back); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1002
- DASY52 52.8.3(988); SEMCAD X 14.6.7(6848)

**Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=10mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:**


Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 102.1 V/m; Power Drift = 0.05 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 27.4 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 13.2 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 6.08 W/kg**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 17.5 W/kg



0 dB = 17.5 W/kg = 12.43 dBW/kg

# Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL

4 Dec 2012 10:08:01

CH1 S11 1 U FS 1: 49.723  $\Omega$  5.0505  $\Omega$  328.74 pF 2 450.000 000 MHz


\*

De1

Ca

Avg  
16

H1d




CH2 S11 LOG 5 dB/REF -20 dB 1: -25.889 dB 2 450.000 000 MHz

Ca

Avg  
16

H1d





## **Appendix F – Phantom Calibration Data Sheets**

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland  
 Phone +41 44 245 9700, Fax +41 44 245 9779  
 info@speag.com, http://www.speag.com

## Certificate of Conformity / First Article Inspection

Item	Oval Flat Phantom ELI 4.0
Type No	QD OVA 001 B
Series No	1003 and higher
Manufacturer	Untersee Composites Knebelstrasse 8 CH-8268 Mannenbach, Switzerland

### Tests

Complete tests were made on the prototype units QD OVA 001 AA 1001, QD OVA 001 AB 1002, pre-series units QD OVA 001 BA 1003-1005 as well as on the series units QD OVA 001 BB, 1006 ff.

Test	Requirement	Details	Units tested
Material thickness	Compliant with the standard requirements	Bottom plate: 2.0mm +/- 0.2mm	all
Material parameters	Dielectric parameters for required frequencies	< 6 GHz: Rel. permittivity = 4 +/-1, Loss tangent ≤ 0.05	Material sample
Material resistivity	The material has been tested to be compatible with the liquids defined in the standards if handled and cleaned according to the instructions.	DGBE based simulating liquids. Observe Technical Note for material compatibility.	Equivalent phantoms, Material sample
Shape	Thickness of bottom material, Internal dimensions, Sagging compatible with standards from minimum frequency	Bottom elliptical 600 x 400 mm Depth 190 mm, Shape is within tolerance for filling height up to 155 mm, Eventual sagging is reduced or eliminated by support via DUT	Prototypes, Sample testing

### Standards

- [1] CENELEC EN 50361-2001, « Basic standard for the measurement of the Specific Absorption Rate related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phones (300 MHz – 3 GHz) », July 2001
- [2] IEEE 1528-2003, "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques, December 2003
- [3] IEC 62209 – 1, "Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz – Measurement Procedure, Part 1: Hand-held mobile wireless communication devices", February 2005
- [4] IEC 62209 – 2, Draft, "Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Fields from Handheld and Body-Mounted Wireless Communication Devices – Human models, Instrumentation and Procedures – Part 2: Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the head and body for 30 MHz to 6 GHz Handheld and Body-Mounted Devices used in close proximity to the Body.", February 2005
- [5] OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C, "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields", Edition January 2001

Based on the tests above, we certify that this item is in compliance with the standards [1] to [5] if operated according to the specific requirements and considering the thickness. The dimensions are fully compliant with [4] from 30 MHz to 6 GHz. For the other standards, the minimum lower frequency limit is limited due to the dimensional requirements ([1]: 450 MHz, [2]: 300 MHz, [3]: 800 MHz, [5]: 375 MHz) and possibly further by the dimensions of the DUT.

Date 28.4.2008 Signature / Stamp

**s p e a g**  
 Schmid & Partner Engineering AG  
 Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland  
 Phone +41 44 245 9700, Fax +41 44 245 9779  
 info@speag.com; http://www.speag.com