

Barry Quinlan

From: <tom.tidwell@nemkona.com>
To: <certification@curtis-straus.com>; <Denise.Godfrey@nemkona.com>
Sent: Friday, August 16, 2002 10:23 AM
Subject: RE: Wavetronix FCC ID: PCBSS105

Barry,

Sorry to delay in getting back to you on this one. Client was on holidays...Lucky guy!
The client confirmed to me that the mixer that was changed is only in the receive path of the device. Since the device operates only above 960 MHz and is thus exempt from the Part 15 Unintentional rules, I would think it would be defensible to treat the Intentional certification as a Class II Permissive change since the change is not to the transmit part of the device.

Kind Regards,

Tom

-----Original Message-----

From: Denise Godfrey
Sent: Friday, August 02, 2002 11:16 AM
To: Tom Tidwell
Subject: FW: Wavetronix FCC ID: PCBSS105

-----Original Message-----

From: Curtis-Straus TCB [mailto:certification@curtis-straus.com]
Sent: Friday, August 02, 2002 11:42 AM
To: Denise Godfrey
Subject: Wavetronix FCC ID: PCBSS105

Hi Denise,

Please supply a justification why you consider the changes are permitted under 2.1043(a). We are particularly concerned about the change in the mixer.

Best regards

Barry C. Quinlan
Certification Manager
Curtis-Straus TCB