

# SAR EVALUATION REPORT

For

# Shenzhen HQT Science & Technology Co., Ltd.

5/F, East of Building M-8, Central Zone, Hi-tech Industrial Park, Nanshan District, Shenzhen, China

FCC ID:P6NDH-9800V

Report Type: **Product Type:** Original report Digital portable Radio Terry Xiathou **Test Engineer:** Terry XiaHou **Report Number:** RSZ150723553-20 **Report Date:** 2015-09-21 BeilHu Bell Hu **Reviewed By:** SAR Engineer Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) **Prepared By:** 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building, ShiHua Road, FuTian Free Trade Zone Shenzhen, Guangdong, China Tel: +86-755-33320018 Fax: +86-755-33320008

**Note**: This test report is prepared for the customer shown above and for the device described herein. It may not be duplicated or used in part without prior written consent from Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp.

www.baclcorp.com.cn

Attestation of Test Results						
		Company Name		Shenzhen HQT Science&Technology Co., Ltd.		
		EUT Description		Digital portable Radio		
	EUT Information		FCC ID	P6NDH-9800V		
		Mod	lel Number	DH-9800		
			Test Date	2015-09-15		
TX Frequency (MHz)	Modulation		Max. S.	AR Level(s) Reported (1g-SAR)	Limit (W/Kg)	
136-174MHz	Digital	12.5kHz		280W/kg(Corrected by multiplying 50%) a: 0.357 W/kg(Corrected by multiplying 50%)		
130-1/4MHZ	Analog	12.5kHz		399 W/kg (Corrected by multiplying 50%) : 0.529 W/kg (Corrected by multiplying 50%)	8.0	
Applicable Standards		IEEE Stan- Frequency  ANSI / IE IEEE Reco Frequency SuchFields  IEC 62209 Human ext wireless co procedures wireless co (frequency IEEE1528 IEEE Reco Absorption Devices: M KDB proce	Electromagr EE C95.3: 2 commended Pr Electromagr s,100 kHz—3 communication communicati	ty Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Retic Fileds, 3 kHz to 300 GHz.  1002  Tractice for Measurements and Computations of Filetic Fields With Respect to Human Exposure to 300 GHz.  To frequency fields from hand-held and body-mon devices-Human models, instrumentation, and redure to determine the specific absorption rate (2) and devices used in close proximity to the human be MHz to 6 GHz)  Tractice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average in the Human Head from Wireless Communication.	e Specific tions	
		KDB 865664 D01v01r03: SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz. KDB 643646D01 v01r01: SAR test Reduction Considerations for Occupational PTT Radios. KDB Inquiry: Tracking Number 316436 for SAR VHF system validation.				

**Note:** This wireless device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate SAR For Occupational /Controlled Exposure Environment limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Standards and have been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013 and RF exposure KDB procedures.

The results and statements contained in this report pertain only to the device(s) evaluated.

SAR Evaluation Report 2 of 62

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY	4
EUT DESCRIPTION	5
TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION	5
REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDELINES	6
SAR LIMITS	
FACILITIES	
DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM	9
EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION	16
EQUIPMENTS LIST & CALIBRATION INFORMATION	16
SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VALIDATION AND VERIFICATION	
LIQUID VERIFICATION	
SYSTEM ACCURACY VALIDATION AND VERIFICATION	
SAR SYSTEM VERIFICATION DATA	
EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY	
TEST POSITIONS FOR DEVICE OPERATING NEXT TO A PERSON'S EAR	
TEST POSITIONS FOR DEVICE OPERATING NEXT TO A PERSON S EAR	
FOR EUT POSITIONING PROCEDURES	
SAR EVALUATION PROCEDURE	
TEST METHODOLOGY	
CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT	27
Provision Applicable	
TEST PROCEDURE	
MAXIMUM OUTPUT POWER AMONG PRODUCTION UNITS	27
TEST RESULTS:	27
SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS	28
SAR TEST DATA	28
TEST RESULT:	
SAR PLOTS (SUMMARY OF THE HIGHEST SAR VALUES)	30
APPENDIX A – MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	34
APPENDIX B – PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	36
APPENDIX C – LOOP CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	47
APPENDIX D – EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS	55
Liquid depth ≥ 15cm	
FACE-UP 2.5 CM SEPARATION TO FLAT PHANTOM	
BODY-BACK 0.0 CM SEPARATION TO FLAT PHANTOM.	
EUT – Front View	57
EUT – BACK VIEW	
EUT-LEFT VIEW	
EUT-RIGHT VIEW	
EUT-Top View EUT-Bottom View	
EUT-Antenna	
EUT – BELT CLIP	
EUT – Battery	
EUT -EARPHONE	
APPENDIX F – INFORMATIVE REFERENCES	62

# **DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY**

Revision Number	Report Number	Description of Revision	Date of Revision
0	RSZ150723553-20	Original Report	2015-09-21

SAR Evaluation Report 4 of 62

# **EUT DESCRIPTION**

This report has been prepared on behalf of Shenzhen HQT Science & Technology Co., Ltd. and their product and their product, FCC ID: P6NDH-9800V, Model: DH-9800 or the EUT (Equipment Under Test) as referred to in the rest of this report. The EUT is a Digital portable Radio.

Report No: RSZ150723553-20

# **Technical Specification**

Product Type	Portable	
Exposure Category:	Exposure Category: Occupational/Controlled Exposure	
Antenna Type(s):	External Antenna	
Body-Worn Accessories:	Belt Clip	
Face-Head Accessories:	None	
Modulation Type:	Modulation Type: FM/4FSK	
Frequency Band:	136MHz-174MHz (TX)	
Conducted RF Power:	37.27 dBm	
EUT Dimensions (L*W*H):	141 mm (L) × 56 mm (W)× 34 mm (H)	
Power Source:	7.4V Rechargeable Battery	
Normal Operation:	Face Up and Body-worn	

SAR Evaluation Report 5 of 62

### REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDELINES

#### FCC:

The Report and Order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 mW/g as recommended by the ANSI/IEEE standard C95.1-1992 [6] for an uncontrolled environment (Paragraph 65). According to the Supplement C of OET Bulletin 65 "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guide-lines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", released on Jun 29, 2001 by the FCC, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in North America is 1.6 mW/g average over 1 gram of tissue mass.

#### CE:

The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 2 mW/g as recommended by EN62209-1 for an uncontrolled environment. According to the Standard, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in Europe is 2 mW/g average over 10 gram of tissue mass.

The test configurations were laid out on a specially designed test fixture to ensure the reproducibility of measurements. Each configuration was scanned for SAR. Analysis of each scan was carried out to characterize the above effects in the device.

SAR Evaluation Report 6 of 62

#### **SAR Limits**

# FCC Limit (1g Tissue)

Report No: RSZ150723553-20

	SAR (W/kg)			
EXPOSURE LIMITS	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)		
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4		
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1 g of tissue)	1.60	8.0		
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0		

### CE Limit (10g Tissue)

	SAR (V	W/kg)		
EXPOSURE LIMITS	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)		
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4		
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 10 g of tissue)	2.0	10		
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0		

Population/Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individual who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational/Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

Occupational/Controlled environments Spatial Peak limit 8.0W/kg (FCC/IC) & 10 W/kg (CE) applied to the EUT.

SAR Evaluation Report 7 of 62

# **FACILITIES**

The test site used by Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) to collect data is located at 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building, Shi Hua Road, Fu Tian Free Trade Zone, Shenzhen, Guangdong, P.R. of China

Report No: RSZ150723553-20

SAR Evaluation Report 8 of 62

#### **DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM**

These measurements were performed with ALSAS 10 Universal Integrated SAR Measurement system from APREL Laboratories.

# **ALSAS-10U System Description**

ALSAS-10-U is fully compliant with the technical and scientific requirements of IEEE 1528, IEC 62209, CENELEC, ARIB, ACA, and the Federal Communications Commission. The system comprises of a six axes articulated robot which utilizes a dedicated controller. ALSAS-10U uses the latest methodologies. And FDTD modeling to provide a platform which is repeatable with minimum uncertainty.

#### **Applications**

Predefined measurement procedures compliant with the guidelines of CENELEC, IEEE, IEC, FCC, etc are utilized during the assessment for the device. Automatic detection for all SAR maxima are embedded within the core architecture for the system, ensuring that peak locations used for centering the zoom scan are within a 1mm resolution and a 0.05mm repeatable position. System operation range currently available up-to 6 GHz in simulated tissue.

#### **Area Scans**

Area scans are defined prior to the measurement process being executed with a user defined variable spacing between each measurement point (integral) allowing low uncertainty measurements to be conducted. Scans defined for FCC applications utilize a 10mm2 step integral, with 1mm interpolation used to locate the peak SAR area used for zoom scan assessments.



Where the system identifies multiple SAR peaks (which are within 25% of peak value) the system will provide the user with the option of assessing each peak location individually for zoom scan averaging.

#### **Zoom Scan (Cube Scan Averaging)**

The averaging zoom scan volume utilized in the ALSAS-10U software is in the shape of a cube and the side dimension of a 1 g or 10 g mass is dependent on the density of the liquid representing the simulated tissue. A density of 1000 kg/m3 is used to represent the head and body tissue density and not the phantom liquid density, in order to be consistent with the definition of the liquid dielectric properties, i.e. the side length of the 1 g cube is 10mm, with the side length of the 10 g cube 21,5mm.

When the cube intersects with the surface of the phantom, it is oriented so that 3 vertices touch the surface of the shell or the center of a face is tangent to the surface. The face of the cube closest to the surface is modified in order to conform to the tangent surface.

The zoom scan integer steps can be user defined so as to reduce uncertainty, but normal practice for typical test applications (including FCC) utilize a physical step of 5x5x8 (8mmx8mmx5mm) providing a volume of 32mm in the X & Y axis, and 35mm in the Z axis.

SAR Evaluation Report 9 of 62

## **ALSAS-10U Interpolation and Extrapolation Uncertainty**


The overall uncertainty for the methodology and algorithms the used during the SAR calculation was evaluated using the data from IEEE 1528 based on the example f3 algorithm:

$$f_3(x, y, z) = A \frac{a^2}{\frac{a^2}{4} + x'^2 + y'^2} \cdot \left( e^{-\frac{2z}{a}} + \frac{a^2}{2(a+2z)^2} \right)$$

# **Isotropic E-Field Probe**

The isotropic E-Field probe has been fully calibrated and assessed for isotropicity, and boundary effect within a controlled environment. Depending on the frequency for which the probe is calibrated the method utilized for calibration will change.

The E-Field probe utilizes a triangular sensor arrangement as detailed in the diagram below:



SAR is assessed with a calibrated probe which moves at a default height of 5mm from the center of the diode, which is mounted to the sensor, to the phantom surface (in the Z Axis). The 5mm offset height has been selected so as to minimize any resultant boundary effect due to the probe being in close proximity to the phantom surface.

The following algorithm is an example of the function used by the system for linearization of the output from the probe when measuring complex modulation schemes.

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

SAR Evaluation Report 10 of 62

#### **Isotropic E-Field Probe Specification**

Calibration Method	Frequency Dependent Below 1 GHz Calibration in air performed in a TEM Cell Above 1 GHz Calibration in air performed in waveguide	
Sensitivity	$0.70 \ \mu V/(V/m)^2$ to $0.85 \ \mu V/(V/m)^2$	
Dynamic Range	0.0005 W/kg to 100 W/kg	
Isotropic Response	Better than 0.1 dB	
Diode Compression Point (DCP)	Calibration for Specific Frequency	
Probe Tip Diameter	< 2.9 mm	
Sensor Offset	1.56 (+/- 0.02 mm)	
Probe Length	289 mm	
Video Bandwidth	@ 500 Hz: 1 dB @ 1.02 kHz: 3 dB	
Boundary Effect	Less than 2.1% for distance greater than 0.58 mm	
Spatial Resolution	The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.5% for 4.9mm diameter probe.  The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.0% for 2.5mm diameter probe	

## **Boundary Detection Unit and Probe Mounting Device**

ALSAS-10U incorporates a boundary detection unit with a sensitivity of 0.05mm for detecting all types of surfaces. The robust design allows for detection during probe tilt (probe normalize) exercises, and utilizes a second stage emergency stop. The signal electronics are fed directly into the robot controller for high accuracy surface detection in lateral and axial detection modes (X, Y, & Z).

The probe is mounted directly onto the Boundary Detection unit for accurate tooling and displacement calculations controlled by the robot kinematics. The probe is connect to an isolated probe interconnect where the output stage of the probe is fed directly into the amplifier stage of the Daq-Paq.

# **Daq-Paq (Analog to Digital Electronics)**

ALSAS-10U incorporates a fully calibrated Daq-Paq (analog to digital conversion system) which has a 4 channel input stage, sent via a 2 stage auto-set amplifier module. The input signal is amplified accordingly so as to offer a dynamic range from  $5\mu V$  to 800mV. Integration of the fields measured is carried out at board level utilizing a Co-Processor which then sends the measured fields down into the main computational module in digitized form via an RS232 communications port. Probe linearity and duty cycle compensation is carried out within the main Daq-Paq module.

ADC	12 Bit
Amplifier Range	20 mV to 200 mV and 150 mV to 800 mV
Field Integration	Local Co-Processor utilizing proprietary integration algorithms
Number of Input Channels	4 in total 3 dedicated and 1 spare
Communication	Packet data via RS232

SAR Evaluation Report 11 of 62

#### **Axis Articulated Robot**

ALSAS-10U utilizes a six axis articulated robot, which is controlled using a Pentium based real-time movement controller. The movement kinematics engine utilizes proprietary (Thermo CRS) interpolation and extrapolation algorithms, which allow full freedom of movement for each of the six joints within the working envelope. Utilization of joint 6 allows for full probe rotation with a tolerance better than 0.05mm around the central axis.



Robot/Controller Manufacturer	Thermo CRS
Number of Axis	Six independently controlled axis
Positioning Repeatability	0.05 mm
Controller Type	Single phase Pentium based C500C
Robot Reach	710 mm
Communication	RS232 and LAN compatible

#### **ALSAS Universal Workstation**

ALSAS Universal workstation allows for repeatability and fast adaptability. It allows users to do calibration, testing and measurements using different types of phantoms with one set up, which significantly speeds up the measurement process.

#### **Universal Device Positioner**

The universal device positioner allows complete freedom of movement of the EUT. Developed to hold a EUT in a free-space scenario any additional loading attributable to the material used in the construction of the positioner has been eliminated. Repeatability has been enhanced through the linear scales which form the design used to indicate positioning for any given test scenario in all major axes. A 15° tilt indicator is included for the of aid cheek to tilt movements for head SAR analysis. Overall uncertainty for measurements have been reduced due to the design of the Universal device positioner, which allows positioning of a device in as near to a free-space scenario as possible, and by providing the means for complete repeatability.

SAR Evaluation Report 12 of 62




# **Phantom Types**

The ALSAS-10U allows the integration of multiple phantom types. SAM Phantoms fully compliant with IEEE 1528, Universal Phantom, and Universal Flat.

### **APREL SAM Phantoms**

The SAM phantoms developed using the IEEE SAM CAD file. They are fully compliant with the requirements for both IEEE 1528 and FCC Supplement C. Both the left and right SAM phantoms are interchangeable, transparent and include the IEEE 1528 grid with visible NF and MB lines.



SAR Evaluation Report 13 of 62

#### **APREL Laboratories Universal Phantom**

The Universal Phantom is used on the ALSAS-10U as a system validation phantom. The Universal Phantom has been fully validated both experimentally from 30MHz to 6GHz and numerically using XFDTD numerical software.

The shell thickness is 2mm overall, with a 4mm spacer located at the NF/MB intersection providing an overall thickness of 6mm in line with the requirements of IEEE-1528.

The design allows for fast and accurate measurements, of handsets, by allowing the conservative SAR to be evaluated at on frequency for both left and right head experiments in one measurement.



SAR Evaluation Report 14 of 62

# **Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantoms**

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in P1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations described in Reference [12] and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in P1528.

### **Simulated Tissue Composition**

Ingredients	Frequency (MHz)										
(% by weight)	15	0	45	450		835		1900		2450	
Tissue Type	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	
Water	38.35	46.2	38.56	51.16	41.45	52.4	54.9	40.4	62.7	73.2	
Salt (Nacl)	5.15	3.00	3.95	1.49	1.45	1.4	0.18	0.5	0.5	0.04	
Sugar	55.4	49.7	56.32	46.78	56.0	45.0	0.0	58.0	0.0	0.0	
HEC	1	1	0.98	0.52	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	
Bactericide	0.1	0.1	0.19	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	
Triton x-100	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	0.0	
DGBE	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.92	0.0	0.0	26.7	
Dielectric Constant	52.57	61.75	43.42	58.0	42.54	56.1	39.9	54.0	39.8	52.5	
Conductivity (s/m)	0.76	0.79	0.85	0.83	0.91	0.95	1.42	1.45	1.88	1.78	

#### Recommended Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body

Frequency	Head	Tissue	Body Tissue		
(MHz)	Er	O' (S/m)	Er	O'(S/m)	
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80	
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92	
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94	
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97	
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05	
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06	
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30	
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40	
1800-2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52	
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95	
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73	
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00	

SAR Evaluation Report 15 of 62

# **EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION**


# **Equipments List & Calibration Information**

Equipment	Model	Calibration Date	S/N
CRS F3 robot	ALS-F3	N/A	RAF0805352
CRS F3 Software	ALS-F3-SW	N/A	N/A
CRS C500C controller	ALS-C500	N/A	RCF0805379
Probe mounting device & Boundary Detection Sensor System	ALS-PMDPS-3	N/A	120-00270
Universal Work Station	ALS-UWS	N/A	100-00157
Data Acquisition Package	ALS-DAQ-PAQ-3	2014-10-14	110-00212
Miniature E-Field Probe	E-020	2014-10-14	500-00283
Loop, 150 MHz	CLA150	2014-05-08	4004
Device holder/Positioner	ALS-H-E-SET-2	N/A	170-00510
Left ear SAM phantom	ALS-P-SAM-L	N/A	130-00311
Right ear SAM phantom	ALS-P-SAM-R	N/A	140-00359
UniPhantom	ALS-UM-FLAT	N/A	153-00104
Simulated Tissue 150 MHz Head	ALS-TS-150-H	Each Time	250-01302
Simulated Tissue 150 MHz Body	ALS-TS-150-B	Each Time	250-01304
Dielectric probe kit	HP85070B	2015-06-13	US33020324
Power Amplifier	5S1G4	N/A	71377
Attenuator	3dB	2015-05-08	5402
Network analyzer	8752C	2015-06-03	3410A02356
Synthesized Sweeper	HP 8341B	2015-06-03	2624A00116
Directional couple	DC6180A	2015-06-13	0325849
EMI Test Receiver	ESCI	2015-06-13	101746

SAR Evaluation Report 16 of 62

# SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VALIDATION AND VERIFICATION

# **Liquid Verification**



Liquid Verification Setup Block Diagram

# **Liquid Verification Results**

Frequency	Liquid	Liquid	Parameter	Targ	et Value	Delta (%)		Tolerance
(MHz)	Type	ε <sub>r</sub>	O'(S/m)	ε <sub>r</sub>	O (S/m)	$\Delta \epsilon_{ m r}$	△O' (S/m)	(%)
136.025	Head	51.63	0.77	52.30	0.76	-1.281	1.316	±5
130.023	Body	61.25	0.79	61.90	0.80	-1.050	-1.250	±5
146.025	Head	50.62	0.78	52.30	0.76	-3.212	2.632	±5
140.023	Body	61.99	0.81	61.90	0.80	0.145	1.250	±5
155.025	Head	40.42	0.78	52.30	0.76	-22.715	2.632	±5
155.025	Body	60.58	0.81	61.90	0.80	-2.132	1.250	±5
164 025	Head	50.57	0.79	52.30	0.76	-3.308	3.947	±5
164.025	Body	62.82	0.82	61.90	0.80	1.486	2.500	±5
173.975	Head	51.02	0.78	52.30	0.76	-2.447	2.632	±5
1/3.9/3	Body	61.96	0.82	61.90	0.80	0.097	2.500	±5

<sup>\*</sup>Liquid Verification was performed on 2015-09-15

SAR Evaluation Report 17 of 62

Please refer to the following tables.


	150MHz Head		150MHz Body					
Frequency (MHz)	e'	e''	Frequency (MHz)	e'	e''			
136.00	51.6306	101.1785	136.00	61.2524	105.1357			
136.76	51.7351	100.1508	136.76	62.9093	105.4701			
137.52	51.7392	100.0787	137.52	62.5104	104.8229			
138.28	51.9976	99.2671	138.28	61.9795	104.7863			
139.04	51.5016	99.0046	139.04	63.0715	104.1802			
139.80	51.2670	98.9214	139.80	61.0699	103.7431			
140.56	51.2977	98.1872	140.56	60.9240	102.2949			
141.32	51.3499	97.1960	141.32	61.9744	102.1042			
142.08	51.0510	97.5092	142.08	62.6731	102.3306			
142.84	51.2164	96.9924	142.84	61.4773	101.7035			
143.60	50.8581	96.4857	143.60	62.4229	101.3109			
144.36	50.9928	96.0977	144.36	60.9568	100.1275			
145.12	50.7169	95.6324	145.12	62.3920	100.3412			
145.88	50.6233	95.7006	145.88	61.9933	99.7468			
146.64	50.6525	95.1170	146.64	60.8965	99.6905			
147.40	50.5872	94.6553	147.40	61.4732	98.6473			
148.16	50.5674	94.2441	148.16	60.6196	98.7208			
148.92	50.5272	92.7978	148.92	61.5815	97.6366			
149.68	50.4326	92.7243	149.68	61.8287	95.8513			
150.44	50.7321	92.3153	150.44	61.8400	96.5616			
151.20	50.5093	92.4079	151.20	60.5461	95.7851			
151.96	50.5958	92.5095	151.96	60.2183	95.7522			
152.72	50.5451	90.9984	152.72	62.3808	96.1193			
153.48	50.4927	91.9964	153.48	60.3968	93.9619			
154.24	50.5498	91.6293	154.24	60.7084	94.7580			
155.00	50.4222	90.1038	155.00	60.5835	94.3060			
155.76	50.1939	89.8048	155.76	61.1113	92.9772			
156.52	50.1265	89.7248	156.52	61.1830	93.4436			
157.28	49.7396	89.9019	157.28	62.2991	93.6800			
158.04	50.3774	89.5705	158.04	60.8131	93.1783			
158.80	50.3536	88.5153	158.80	60.5471	92.8026			
159.56 160.32	50.4548 50.4469	87.6745 87.3971	159.56 160.32	61.6867 61.8554	92.5175 91.9793			
161.08	50.6200	87.9203	161.08	60.8647	90.2317			
161.84	50.6129		161.84	62.1009	90.2317			
162.60	50.6288	86.9675 86.8670	162.60	60.8127	89.0196			
163.36	50.8838	87.2660	163.36	61.3150	90.4243			
164.12	50.5745	86.6961	164.12	62.8163	89.8529			
164.88	50.7572	86.2267	164.88	62.0339	88.9649			
165.64	50.7005	85.0006	165.64	61.8781	89.2659			
166.40	50.5400	84.8221	166.40	59.9544	88.9950			
167.16	50.2826	84.3600	167.16	61.8963	88.1473			
167.92	50.4115	84.9704	167.92	61.5052	88.0661			
168.68	50.4009	83.9283	168.68	60.3777	87.0056			
169.44	50.6395	84.4449	169.44	61.5956	87.5202			
170.20	50.8821	83.7600	170.20	62.1330	86.7243			
170.96	50.6132	83.3555	170.96	59.9757	86.1527			
171.72	50.8515	83.0339	171.72	61.1661	86.9848			
172.48	50.7981	82.1894	172.48	61.1667	86.5614			
173.24	51.1300	81.4631	173.24	59.8907	85.8741			
174.00	51.0243	80.8659	174.00	61.9560	86.0324			

SAR Evaluation Report 18 of 62

# **System Accuracy Validation and Verification**

Prior to the assessment, the system verification kit was used to test whether the system was operating within its specifications of  $\pm 10\%$ . The verification results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

#### **System Verification Setup Block Diagram**



#### Probe and Loop antenna List and Detail

Manufacturer	Description	Model	Model Serial Number		Calibration Due Date
APREL	Probe	ALS-E-020	500-00283	2014-10-14	2015-10-13
Speag	Loop antenna(150MHz)	CLA150	4004	2014-05-08	2017-05-07

#### **System Validation**

The SAR measurement system was validated according to the procedures in KDB 865664.

Date	Probe Calibration		Probe	Measured Tissue Parameters		Validation for CW		W
	Poin	t(MHz)	S/N	$\mathbf{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{r}}$	O (S/m)	Sensitivity	Linearity	Isotropy
2015-09-06	150	Head	500-00283	50.89	0.77	Pass	Pass	Pass
2015-09-06	150	Body	500-00283	61.57	0.82	Pass	Pass	Pass

### **System Accuracy Check Results**

Date	Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Type	Measured SAR (W/Kg)		Target Value (W/Kg)	Delta (%)	Tolerance (%)
2015 00 15	15 00 15	Head	1g	3.573	3.750	-4.720	±10
2015-09-15 150	150	Body	1g	3.543	3.810	-7.008	±10

<sup>\*</sup>All SAR values are normalized to 1 Watt forward power.

SAR Evaluation Report 19 of 62

#### SAR SYSTEM VERIFICATION DATA

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

### System Performance Check 150 MHz Head Liquid

Loop150 MHz; Type: CLA150; S/N:4004

Product Data

Device Name : Loop 150 MHz

Serial No. · 4004 Type : Loop : CLÅ150 Model Frequency Band : 150 Max. Transmit Pwr : 1 W Drift Time : 3 min(s) Power Drift-Start : 2.852 W/kg : 2.880 W/kg Power Drift-Finish Power Drift (%) : 0.982

Phantom Data

Name : APREL-Uni Type : Uni-Phantom Serial No. : System Default

Location : Center Description : Default

Phantom Data

Tissue Data

: Head Type Serial No. : 250-01302 Frequency : 150.00MHz Last Calib. Date : 15-Sep-2015 : 20.00°C Temperature Ambient Temp. : 21.00 °C Humidity : 56.00 RH% : 50.73 F/m Epsilon Sigma : 0.77 S/m Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data

Name : E-Field Model : E-020

Type : E-Field Triangle Serial No. : 500-00283 Last Calib. Date : 14-Oct-2014

Frequency Band : 150 Duty Cycle Factor : 1 Conversion Factor : 6.0

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

Measurement Data

Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete Tissue Temp. : 21.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 21.00 °C

Area Scan : 8x10x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

SAR Evaluation Report 20 of 62

1 gram SAR value : 3.573 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 2.620 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 3.627 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 5.266 W/kg

#### Area Scan -50 -40 -30 -20 -10 0 10 20 30 40 50 -70 -70 -60 -60 -50 -50 -40--40 680 -30 -30 446 212 978 744 510 276 042 808 574 340 106 872 638 404 170 936 702 468 234 000 -20--20 -10 (mm) -10 -0 <u>.8</u>10--10 **₹**20--20 ×30--30 40-40 50 50 60--60 70--70 80--80 90 90 -50 -40 -30 -20 -10 0 10 20 30 40 50 Y Axis (mm)

150 MHz System Verification with Head Tissue

SAR Evaluation Report 21 of 62

#### System Performance Check 150 MHz Body Liquid

#### Loop 150 MHz; Type: CLA150; S/N: 4004

Product Data

Device Name : Loop 150 MHz

Serial No. : 4004 Type : Loop Model : CAL150 Frequency Band : 150 Max. Transmit Pwr : 1 W Drift Time : 3 min(s) : 3.341 W/kg Power Drift-Start : 3.305 W/kg Power Drift-Finish Power Drift (%) : -1.261

Phantom Data

Name : APREL-Uni Type : Uni-Phantom Serial No. : System Default

Location : Center Description : Default

Phantom Data

Tissue Data

: Body Type 250-01304 Serial No. : 150.00MHz Frequency Last Calib. Date : 15-Sep-2015 Temperature : 20.00°C : 21.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 56.00 RH% Humidity : 61.84 F/m Epsilon Sigma : 0.81 S/m Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data

Name : E-Field Model : E-020

Type : E-Field Triangle Serial No. : 500-00283 Last Calib. Date : 14-Oct-2014

Frequency Band : 150 Duty Cycle Factor : 1 Conversion Factor : 6.0

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

Measurement Data


Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete Tissue Temp. : 21.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 21.00 °C

Area Scan : 8x10x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

SAR Evaluation Report 22 of 62

1 gram SAR value : 3.534 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 2.557 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 3.511 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 5.189 W/kg



150 MHz System Verification with Body Tissue


SAR Evaluation Report 23 of 62


#### EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY

### **Test Positions for Device Operating Next to a Person's Ear**

This category includes most wireless handsets with fixed, retractable or internal antennas located toward the top half of the device, with or without a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover. The handset should have its earpiece located within the upper ¼ of the device, either along the centerline or off-centered, as perceived by its users. This type of handset should be positioned in a normal operating position with the "test device reference point" located along the "vertical centerline" on the front of the device aligned to the "ear reference point". The "test device reference point" should be located at the same level as the center of the earpiece region. The "vertical centerline" should bisect the front surface of the handset at its top and bottom edges. A "ear reference point" is located on the outer surface of the head phantom on each ear spacer. It is located 1.5 cm above the center of the ear canal entrance in the "phantom reference plane" defined by the three lines joining the center of each "ear reference point" (left and right) and the tip of the mouth.

A handset should be initially positioned with the earpiece region pressed against the ear spacer of a head phantom. For the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom, the device should be positioned parallel to the "N-F" line defined along the base of the ear spacer that contains the "ear reference point". For interim head phantoms, the device should be positioned parallel to the cheek for maximum RF energy coupling. The "test device reference point" is aligned to the "ear reference point" on the head phantom and the "vertical centerline" is aligned to the "phantom reference plane". This is called the "initial ear position". While maintaining these three alignments, the body of the handset is gradually adjusted to each of the following positions for evaluating SAR:





SAR Evaluation Report 24 of 62

#### Test positions for body-worn and other configurations

Body-worn operating configurations should be tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in normal use configurations. Devices with a headset output should be tested with a headset connected to the device. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device may be tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. When multiple accessories that contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device must be tested with each accessory that contains a unique metallic component. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (e.g., the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components), only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body must be tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices that are intended to be authorized for body-worn use. A separation distance of 1.5 cm between the back of the device and a flat phantom is recommended for testing body-worn SAR compliance under such circumstances. Other separation distances may be used, but they should not exceed 2.5 cm. In these cases, the device may use body-worn accessories that provide a separation distance greater than that tested for the device provided however that the accessory contains no metallic components.




Figure 5 - Test positions for body-worn devices

#### **For EUT Positioning Procedures**

The EUT is a portable device operational at the body and face. The intended operating positions are "at the face" with the EUT at least 2.5cm from the mouth, and "at the body" by means of the offered body worn accessories. Body worn audio and PTT operation is accompished by means of optional remote accessories that are connected to the radio.

#### **Body**

The EUT was positioned in normal use configuration against the phantom with the offered body worn accessory with the offered audio accessories as applicable

#### Head

Not applicable

#### Face

The EUT was positioned with its' front side separated 2.5cm from the phantom

SAR Evaluation Report 25 of 62

#### **SAR Evaluation Procedure**

The evaluation was performed with the following procedure:

Step 1: Measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location above the ear point or central position was used as a reference value for assessing the power drop. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test and then again at the end of the testing.

Report No: RSZ150723553-20

- Step 2: The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 4 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head or EUT and the horizontal grid spacing was 10 mm x 10 mm. Based on these data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.
- Step 3: Around this point, a volume of 35 mm x 35 mm x 35 mm was assessed by measuring 7x 7 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated under the following procedure:
  - 1) The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 1.2 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.3 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
  - 2) The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g or 10 g) were computed by the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"-condition (in x, y and z-directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal-algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the averages.

All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

Step 4: Re-measurement of the SAR value at the same location as in Step 1. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation was repeated.

#### **Test methodology**

IEEE1528:2013 IEC 62209-2:2010 KDB 447498 D01 v05r02 KDB 865664 D01 v01r03 KDB 643646 D01 v01r01

KDB Inquiry: Tracking Number 316436

SAR Evaluation Report 26 of 62


# CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT

# **Provision Applicable**

The measured peak output power should be greater and within 5% than EMI measurement.

### **Test Procedure**

The RF output of the transmitter was connected to the input of the Signal Analyzer through sufficient attenuation.



# **Maximum Output Power among production units**

Max. tune-up tolerance power limit for Production Unit (dBm)						
PTT/Mode TX Frequency(136-174)MHz						
Digital-12.5K	37.40					
Analog-12.5K	37.40					

### **Test Results:**

Mode	Frequency Spacing (kHz)	Frequency (MHz)	Output(dBm)	Output Power(W)	Power level
		136.025	36.85	4.842	High
		146.025	37.11	5.140	High
Digital	12.5	155.025	37.07	5.093	High
		164.025	37.14	5.176	High
		173.975	37.26	5.321	High
		136.025	36.87	4.864	High
		146.025	37.15	5.188	High
Analog	12.5	155.025	37.13	5.164	High
		164.025	37.09	5.117	High
		173.975	37.27	5.333	High

SAR Evaluation Report 27 of 62

# SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

This page summarizes the results of the performed dosimetric evaluation.

### **SAR Test Data**

# **Environmental Conditions**

Temperature:	21 ℃
Relative Humidity:	50%
ATM Pressure:	1002 mbar

<sup>\*</sup> Testing was performed by Terry XiaHou on 2015-09-15

# **Test Result:**

# Digital (Modulation 4FSK; Channel Spacing 12.5 kHz):

	Power	Max. Meas.	Max. Rated		1 g SA	AR Value(W/K	(g)		
Frequency (MHz)	Drift (%)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	Scaled SAR	50%	Plot	
	Face up (2.5cm)								
136.025	1.365	36.85	37.40	1.135	0.493	0.560	0.280	1#	
146.025	-1.208	37.11	37.40	1.069	0.466	0.498	0.249	/	
155.025	2.089	37.07	37.40	1.079	0.425	0.459	0.230	/	
164.025	-2.101	37.14	37.40	1.062	0.472	0.501	0.251	/	
173.975	1.887	37.26	37.40	1.033	0.506	0.523	0.262	/	
		E	Body-Back wi	th Belt Cli	p(0.0cm)				
136.025	-2.136	36.85	37.40	1.135	0.629	0.714	0.357	2#	
146.025	-1.540	37.11	37.40	1.069	0.577	0.617	0.309	/	
155.025	-2.125	37.07	37.40	1.079	0.552	0.596	0.298	/	
164.025	1.012	37.14	37.40	1.062	0.570	0.605	0.303	/	
173.975	1.121	37.26	37.40	1.033	0.611	0.631	0.316	/	

Report No: RSZ150723553-20

SAR Evaluation Report 28 of 62

#### Analog (Modulation FM; Channel Spacing 12.5 kHz):

	Power	Max. Meas.	Max. Rated		1 g SAF	R Value(W/	Kg)	
Frequency (MHz)	cy (MHz)   Drift   D		Meas. SAR	Scaled SAR	50%	Plot		
Face up (2.5cm)								
136.025	-1.106	36.87	37.40	1.130	0.706	0.798	0.399	3#
146.025	1.827	37.15	37.40	1.059	0.629	0.666	0.333	/
155.025	0.736	37.13	37.40	1.064	0.666	0.709	0.354	/
164.025	3.138	37.09	37.40	1.074	0.573	0.615	0.308	/
173.975	-3.271	37.27	37.40	1.030	0.658	0.678	0.339	/
		F	Body-Back wi	ith Belt Clip	(0.0cm)			
136.025	-1.390	36.87	37.40	1.130	0.937	1.059	0.529	4#
146.025	-3.089	37.15	37.40	1.059	0.716	0.758	0.379	/
155.025	2.592	37.13	37.40	1.064	0.865	0.920	0.460	/
164.025	1.776	37.09	37.40	1.074	0.796	0.855	0.427	/
173.975	-0.632	37.27	37.40	1.030	0.840	0.866	0.433	/

#### Note:

- 1. When the 1-g SAR tested using the default battery and default accessories is  $\leq 3.5W/Kg$  (corrected by Multiplying 50% for FM mode), testing for other channels are optional.
- 2. For a analog PTT, only simplex communication technology was supported, so the SAR value need to be corrected by Multiplying 50%.
- 3. The frequencies points result in highest SAR value were selected to test.
- 4. Passive body-worn and audio accessories generally do not apply to the head SAR of PTT radios.
- 5. The whole antenna and radiating structures that may contribute to the measured SAR or influence the SAR distribution has been included in the area scan.

SAR Evaluation Report 29 of 62

#### **SAR Plots (Summary of the Highest SAR Values)**

#### Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

#### Face-Up 2.5cm (Digital 12.5k-136.025MHz)

Measurement Data

Modulation mode : 4FSK
Crest Factor : 2
Scan Type : Complete

Area Scan : 15x8x1: Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7: Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 0.440 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 0.446 W/kg Power Drift (%) : 1.365

Tissue Data

 Type
 : Head

 Frequency
 : 136.025MHz

 Epsilon
 : 51.63 F/m

 Sigma
 : 0.77 S/m

 Density
 : 1000.00 kg/cu. m


Probe Data

Serial No. : 500-00283
Frequency Band : 150
Duty Cycle Factor : 2
Conversion Factor : 6.0

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 0.493 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 0.416 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 0.510 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 0.926 W/kg



SAR Evaluation Report 30 of 62

#### **Body-back 0.0cm (Digital 12.5k-136.025MHz)**

Measurement Data

Modulation mode : 4FSK
Crest Factor : 2
Scan Type : Complete

Area Scan : 15x8x1: Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7: Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 0.573 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 0.561 W/kg Power Drift (%) : -2.136

Tissue Data

Type : Body

 Frequency
 : 136.025 MHz

 Epsilon
 : 61.25 F/m

 Sigma
 : 0.79 S/m

 Density
 : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data


Serial No. : 500-00283
Frequency Band : 150
Duty Cycle Factor : 2
Conversion Factor : 6.0

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 0.629 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 0.543 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 0.655 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 0.988 W/kg

#### Plot 2#



SAR Evaluation Report 31 of 62

#### Face-Up 2.5cm (Analog 12.5k-136.0250MHz)

Measurement Data

Modulation mode : FM Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete

Area Scan : 15x8x1: Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7: Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 0.635 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 0.642 W/kg Power Drift (%) : 1.106

Tissue Data

 Type
 : Head

 Frequency
 : 136.025MHz

 Epsilon
 : 51.63 F/m

 Sigma
 : 0.77 S/m

 Density
 : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data


Serial No. : 500-00283
Frequency Band : 150
Duty Cycle Factor : 1
Conversion Factor : 6.0

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 0.706 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 0.612 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 0.725 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 1.056 W/kg

#### Plot 3#



SAR Evaluation Report 32 of 62

#### Body-back 0.0cm (Analog 12.5k-136.025MHz)

Measurement Data

Modulation mode : FM Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete

Area Scan : 15x8x1: Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7: Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 0.855 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 0.843 W/kg Power Drift (%) : -1.390

Tissue Data

Type : Body

Frequency : 136.025 MHz
Epsilon : 61.25 F/m
Sigma : 0.79 S/m
Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data


Serial No. : 500-00283 Frequency Band : 150 Duty Cycle Factor : 1 Conversion Factor : 6.0

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 0.937 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 0.863 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 0.955 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 1.491 W/kg

#### Plot 4#



SAR Evaluation Report 33 of 62

# APPENDIX A – MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

According to IEEE1528:2013, the uncertainty budget has been determined for the Head SAR measurement system and is given in the following Table.

Source of Uncertainty	Tolerance Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	c <sub>i</sub> <sup>1</sup> (1-g)	c <sub>i</sub> <sup>1</sup> (10-g)	Standard Uncertainty (1-g) %	Standard Uncertainty (10-g) %
		Measure	ment Syst	em			
Probe Calibration	3.5	normal	1	1	1	3.5	3.5
Axial Isotropy	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-cp)^{1/2}$	$(1-cp)^1$	1.5	1.5
Hemispherical Isotropy	10.9	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	√ср	√ср	4.4	4.4
Boundary Effect	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Linearity	4.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.7	2.7
Detection Limit	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Readout Electronics	1.0	normal	1	1	1	1.0	1.0
Response Time	0.8	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.5	0.5
Integration Time	1.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.0	1.0
RF Ambient Condition -Noise	0.6	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.3	0.3
RF Ambient Condition - Reflections	3.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7
Probe Positioner Mech. Restrictions	0.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.2	0.2
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	2.9	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7
Extrapolation and Integration	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.1	2.1
		Test sar	nple relate	ed			
Test sample positioning	2.0	normal	1	1	1	2.0	2.0
Device Holder Uncertainty	4.0	normal	1	1	1	6.215	6.215
Drift of Output Power	5.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.67	2.67
		Phantor	n and Setu	ıp			
Phantom Uncertainty	3.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.0	2.0
SAR correction in permittivity and conductivity	1.2	normal	1	1	0.85	1.2	1.0
Liquid conductivity measurement	5.0	normal	1	0.78	0.71	3.9	3.6
Liquid permittivity measurement	5.0	normal	1	0.25	0.29	1.3	1.5
conductivity—temperat ure	1.1	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	0.5	0.5
permittivity—temperatu re	1.3	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	0.23	0.2	0.2
Combined Uncertainty		RSS				10.78	10.55
Expanded uncertainty (coverage factor=2)		Normal(k=2)				21.56	21.10

SAR Evaluation Report 34 of 62

According to IEC62209-2:2010, the uncertainty budget has been determined for the Body SAR measurement system and is given in the following Table.

Source of Uncertainty	Tolerance Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	c <sub>i</sub> <sup>1</sup> (1-g)	c <sub>i</sub> <sup>1</sup> (10-g)	Standard Uncertainty (1-g) %	Standard Uncertainty (10-g) %
		Measure	ment Syst	em			
Probe Calibration	3.5	normal	1	1	1	3.5	3.5
Axial Isotropy	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.5	1.5
Boundary Effect	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Linearity	4.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.7	2.7
Detection Limit	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Readout Electronics	1.0	normal	1	1	1	1.0	1.0
Response Time	0.8	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.5	0.5
Integration Time	1.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.0	1.0
RF Ambient Condition -Noise	0.6	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.3	0.3
RF Ambient Condition - Reflections	3.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7
Probe Positioner Mech. Restrictions	0.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.2	0.2
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	2.9	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7
Extrapolation and Integration	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.1	2.1
		Test sar	nple relate	ed	1		T
Test sample positioning	2.0	normal	1	1	1	2.0	2.0
Device Holder Uncertainty	4.0	normal	1	1	1	6.215	6.215
Drift of Output Power	5.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.67	2.67
		Phantor	n and Setu	up			
Phantom Uncertainty	3.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.0	2.0
SAR correction in permittivity and conductivity	1.2	normal	1	1	0.84	1.2	1.0
Liquid conductivity measurement	5.0	normal	1	0.78	0.71	3.9	3.6
Liquid permittivity measurement	5.0	normal	1	0.23	0.26	1.3	1.5
conductivity—temperat ure	1.1	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	0.5	0.5
permittivity—temperatu re	1.3	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	0.26	0.2	0.2
Combined Uncertainty		RSS				9.58	9.49
Expanded uncertainty (coverage factor=2)		Normal(k=2)				19.16	18.98

SAR Evaluation Report 35 of 62

### APPENDIX B – PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

#### NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Calibration File No.: PC-1598

Task No: BACL-5778

### CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

> Equipment: Miniature Isotropic RF Probe Record of Calibration Head and Body Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories **Model No.:** E-020

**Serial No.**: 500-00283

Calibration Procedure: D01-032-E020-V2, D22-012-Tissue, D28-002-Dipole

Project No: BACL-5745

Calibrated: 14<sup>th</sup> October 2014 Released on: 14<sup>th</sup> October 2014

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Suite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr, OTTAWA, ONTARIO CANADA K2K 3J1 Division of APREL Lab. TEL: (613) 435-8300 FAX: (613) 435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 36 of 62

Division of APREL Inc.

#### Introduction

This Calibration Report reproduces the results of the calibration performed in line with the references listed below. Calibration is performed using accepted methodologies as per the references listed below. Probes are calibrated for air, and tissue and the values reported are the results from the physical quantification of the probe through meteorgical practices.

#### Calibration Method

Probes are calibrated using the following methods.

<800 MHz

TEM Cell for sensitivity in air

Standard phantom using temperature transfer method for sensitivity in tissue

>800 MHz

Waveguide\* method to determine sensitivity in air and tissue

\*Waveguide is numerically (simulation) assessed to determine the field distribution and power

The boundary effect for the probe is assessed using a standard flat phantom where the probe output is compared against a numerically simulated series of data points

#### References

- IEEE Standard 1528:2013
  - IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques
- o EN 62209-1:2006
  - Human Exposure to RF Fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices Human models. instrumentation, and procedures Part 1: Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held mobile wireless devices
- o IEC 62209-2:2010
  - Human exposure to RF fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless devices Human models, instrumentation, and procedures Part 2: specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices (30 MHz 6 GHz)
- TP-D01-032-E020-V2 E-Field probe calibration procedure
- D22-012-Tissue dielectric tissue calibration procedure
- D28-002-Dipole procedure for validation of SAR system using a dipole
- IEEE 1309 Standard for Calibration of Electromagnetic Field Sensors and Probes, Excluding Antennas, from 9kHz to 40GHz

Page 2 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 37 of 62

Division of APREL Inc.

#### Conditions

Probe 500-00283 was a recalibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory:  $22 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  +/-  $1.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  Temperature of the Tissue:  $21 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  +/-  $1.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  Relative Humidity:  $< 60 \,^{\circ}$ 

#### **Primary Measurement Standards**

 Instrument
 Serial Number
 Cal due date

 Tektronix USB Power Meter
 11C940
 May 14, 2015

 Signal Generator HP 83640B
 3844A00689
 Feb 12, 2015

#### Secondary Measurement Standards

Network Analyzer Anritsu 37347C 002106 Feb. 20, 2015

#### Attestation

The below named signatories have conducted the calibration and review of the data which is presented in this calibration report.

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this subject has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within the results pages have been reviewed for accuracy.

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

Dan Brooks, Test Engineer

Page 3 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 38 of 62

Division of APREL Inc.

**Probe Summary** 

**Probe Type**: E-Field Probe E020

Serial Number: 500-00283

Frequency: As presented on page 5

Report No: RSZ150723553-20

Sensor Offset: 1.56
Sensor Length: 2.5

Tip Enclosure: Composite\*

Tip Diameter: < 2.9 mm

Tip Length: 55 mm

Total Length: 289 mm

\*Resistive to recommended tissue recipes per IEEE-1528

Sensitivity in Air

**Diode Compression Point:** 95 mV

Page 4 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 39 of 62

Division of APREL Inc.

Calibration for Tissue (Head H, Body B)

Frequency	Tissue Type	Measured Epsilon	Measured Sigma	Standard Uncertainty (%)	Calibration Frequency Range (MHz)	Conversion Factor
450 H	Head	43.59	0.86	3.5	±50	5.7
450 B	Body	56.74	0.94	3.5	±50	5.8
750 H	Head	42.98	0.92	3.5	±50	6.0
750 B	Body	43.05	0.93	3.5	±50	5.5
835 H	Head	43.42	0.94	3.5	±50	5.9
835 B	Body	<mark>55.77</mark>	1.01	3.5	±50	5.9
900 H	Head	<mark>41.87</mark>	1.06	3.5	±50	6.0
900 B	Body	55.62	1.05	3.5	±50	<b>5.9</b>
1450 H	Head	X	X	X	X	Х
1450 B	Body	Х	Х	X	X	Х
1500 H	Head	X	Х	Х	Х	Х
1500 B	Body	X	Х	X	Х	Х
1640 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
1640 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
1750 H	Head	38.23	<mark>1.38</mark>	3.5	±75	5.4
1750 B	Body	<b>52.86</b>	1.54	3.5	±75	5.3
1800 H	Head	X	Х	X	X	X
1800 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	X
1900 H	Head	<mark>40.20</mark>	<b>1.38</b>	3.5	±75	<mark>4.8</mark>
1900 B	Body	<mark>52.63</mark>	1.46	3.5	±75	4.5
2000 H	Head	Χ	X	X	X	X
2000 B	Body	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Х
2100 H	Head	Х	Х	Х	Х	X
2100 B	Body	Х	Х	X	X	Х
2300 H	Head	X	Х	Х	Х	Х
2300 B	Body	Х	Х	X	X	Х
2450 H	<b>Head</b>	<mark>37.26</mark>	1.84	3.5	±75	<mark>4.9</mark>
2450B	Body	53.61	1.9	3.5	±75	4.3
3000 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
3000 B	Body	X	Х	Х	X	X
3600 H	Head	37.49	<mark>3.16</mark>	3.5	±100	4.5
3600 B	Body	49.94	<mark>3.86</mark>	3.5	±100	4.0
5250 H	<b>Head</b>	35.51	<mark>4.78</mark>	3.5	±100	3.0
5250 B	Body	47.54	5.11	3.5	±100	2.8
5600 H	Head	36.05	5.15	3.5	±100	2.8
5600 B	Body	46.49	5.72	3.5	±100	2.2
5800 H	Head	45.99	<mark>6.01</mark>	3.5	±100	3.2
5800 B	Body	35.6	5.37	3.5	±100	2.5

Page 5 of 10
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

**SAR Evaluation Report** 40 of 62

Division of APREL Inc.

#### **Boundary Effect:**

Uncertainty resulting from the boundary effect is less than 2.1% for the distance between the tip of the probe and the tissue boundary, when less than 0.58mm.

Report No: RSZ150723553-20

#### **Spatial Resolution:**

The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.5% for 4.9mm diameter probe. The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.0% for 2.5mm diameter probe.

#### **DAQ-PAQ Contribution**

To minimize the uncertainty calculation all tissue sensitivity values were calculated using a load impedance of 5 M $\Omega$ .

#### **Probe Calibration Uncertainty**

Uncertainty component	Tolerance (±%)	Probability distribution	Divisor	Standard uncertainty (± %)
Incident or forward power	2.5	R	√3	1.44
Reflected power	2	R	√3	1.15
Liquid conductivity measurement	1	R	√3	0.58
Liquid permittivity measurement	1	R	√3	0.58
Liquid conductivity deviation	1.5	R	√3	0.87
Liquid permittivity deviation	1.5	R	√3	0.87
Frequency deviation	2.25	R	√3	1.30
Field homogeneity	2.5	R	√3	1.44
Field-probe positioning	2.5	R	√3	1.44
Field-probe linearity	1.55	R	√3	0.89
Combined standard uncertainty		RSS		3.50


SAR Evaluation Report 41 of 62

Page 6 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

Division of APREL Inc.

# Receiving Pattern Air





Page 7 of 10 This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

**SAR Evaluation Report** 42 of 62

Division of APREL Inc.

# Isotropy Error Air





**Isotropicity Tissue:** 


0.10 dB

Page 8 of 10
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 43 of 62

Division of APREL Inc.

# **Dynamic Range**




Page 9 of 10
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

**SAR Evaluation Report** 44 of 62

Division of APREL Inc.

### Video Bandwidth

# Probe Frequency Characteristics



Video Bandwidth at 500 Hz 1 dB Video Bandwidth at 1.02 KHz: 3 dB

#### **Test Equipment**

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List May 2014.

Page 10 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 45 of 62

### ANNEX

Report No: RSZ150723553-20

#### PROBE ALS-E020 S/N 500-00283 CALIBRATION

### Conditions

 $\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{Ambient Temperature of the laboratory:} & 20\ ^{\circ}\mbox{C}\ +/-\ 1.5\ ^{\circ}\mbox{C} \\ \mbox{Temperature of the Tissue:} & 21\ ^{\circ}\mbox{C}\ +/-\ 1.5\ ^{\circ}\mbox{C} \\ \mbox{Relative Humidity:} & <55\% \\ \end{array}$ 

Frequency	Tissue Type	Measured Epsilon	Measured Sigma	Standard Uncertainty (%)	Calibration Frequency Range (MHz)	Conversion Factor
150 H	Head	50.6	0.78	3.5	±50	6.0
150 B	Body	60.8	0.82	3.5	±50	6.0

### **Probe Calibration Uncertainty**

Uncertainty component	Tolerance (± %)	Probability distribution	Divisor	Standard uncertainty (± %)
Incident or forward power	2.5	R	√3	1.44
Reflected power	2	R	√3	1.15
Liquid conductivity measurement	1	R	√3	0.58
Liquid permittivity measurement	1	R	√3	0.58
Liquid conductivity deviation	1.5	R	√3	0.87
Liquid permittivity deviation	1.5	R	√3	0.87
Frequency deviation	2.25	R	√3	1.30
Field homogeneity	2.5	R	√3	1.44
Field-probe positioning	2.5	R	√3	1.44
Field-probe linearity	1.55	R	√3	0.89
Combined standard uncertainty		RSS		3.50

SAR Evaluation Report 46 of 62

### APPENDIX C – LOOP CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst Service suisse d'étalonnage Servizio svizzero di taratura Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

DAOI

Certificate No: CLA150-4004\_May14

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

# **CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE**

Object CLA150 - SN: 4004

Calibration procedure(s) QA CAL-15.v8

Calibration procedure for system validation sources below 700 MHz

Calibration date: May 08, 2014

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

ID#	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
GB41293874	03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01911)	Apr-15
MY41498087	03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01911)	Apr-15
SN: S5054 (3c)	03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01915)	Apr-15
SN: S5058 (20k)	03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01918)	Apr-15
SN: 5047.2 / 06327	03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01921)	Apr-15
SN: 3877	06-Jan-14 (No. EX3-3877_Jan14)	Jan-15
SN: 654	18-Jul-13 (No. DAE4-654_Jul13)	Jul-14
ID#	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
US3642U01700	04-Aug-99 (in house check Apr-13)	In house check: Apr-16
US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-13)	In house check: Oct-14
Name	Function	Signature
Israe El-Naouq	Laboratory Technician	Visien Chracing
Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	Elle !
	GB41293874 MY41498087 SN: S5054 (3c) SN: S5058 (20k) SN: 5047.2 / 06327 SN: 3877 SN: 654  ID # US3642U01700 US37390585 S4206  Name Israe El-Naouq	GB41293874 03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01911) MY41498087 03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01911) SN: S5054 (3c) 03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01915) SN: S5058 (20k) 03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01918) SN: 5047.2 / 06327 03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01921) SN: 3877 06-Jan-14 (No. EX3-3877_Jan14) SN: 654 18-Jul-13 (No. DAE4-654_Jul13)  ID # Check Date (in house) US3642U01700 04-Aug-99 (in house check Apr-13) US37390585 S4206 18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-13)  Name Function Israe El-Naouq Laboratory Technician

Issued: May 8, 2014

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

SAR Evaluation Report 47 of 62

Page 1 of 8

Certificate No: CLA150-4004\_May14

#### Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner

Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst Service suisse d'étalonnage

Report No: RSZ150723553-20

Servizio svizzero di taratura
Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

#### Glossary:

TSL tissue simulating liquid

ConvF sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z N/A not applicable or not measured

# Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- a) IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2013
- b) KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

#### **Additional Documentation:**

c) DASY4/5 System Handbook

#### Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions: Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL: The source is mounted in a touch configuration below the center marking of the flat phantom.
- Return Loss: This parameter is measured with the source positioned under the liquid filled phantom (as described in the measurement condition clause). The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured: SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized: SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters: The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

Certificate No: CLA150-4004\_May14 Page 2 of 8

SAR Evaluation Report 48 of 62

# **Measurement Conditions**

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.8.8
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	ELI4 Flat Phantom	Shell thickness: 2 ± 0.2 mm
EUT Positioning	Touch Position	
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, $dy$ , $dz = 5.0  mm$	
Frequency	150 MHz ± 1 MHz	

Report No: RSZ150723553-20

# **Head TSL parameters**

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	52.3	0.76 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	49.9 ± 6 %	0.76 mho/m ± 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C		

#### SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	1 W input power	3.79 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	3.75 W/kg ± 18.4 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	1 W input power	2.51 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	2.49 W/kg ± 18.0 % (k=2)

### **Body TSL parameters**

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	61.9	0.80 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	62.5 ± 6 %	0.80 mho/m ± 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C		

### SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	1 W input power	3.80 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	3.81 W/kg ± 18.4 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	1 W input power	2.55 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	2.55 W/kg ± 18.0 % (k=2)

Certificate No: CLA150-4004\_May14

Page 3 of 8

SAR Evaluation Report 49 of 62

# **Appendix**

### Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	45.5 Ω - 10.6 jΩ
Return Loss	- 18.4 dB

Report No: RSZ150723553-20

# **Antenna Parameters with Body TSL**

Impedance, transformed to feed point	46.0 Ω - 14.6 jΩ
Return Loss	- 16.2 dB

### **Additional EUT Data**

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	August 23, 2013

Certificate No: CLA150-4004\_May14

Page 4 of 8

SAR Evaluation Report 50 of 62

### DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date: 08.05.2014

Report No: RSZ150723553-20

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

#### DUT: CLA150; Type: CLA150; Serial: CLA150 - SN: 4004

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 150 MHz

Medium parameters used: f = 150 MHz;  $\sigma = 0.76 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\varepsilon_r = 49.9$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

### DASY52 Configuration:

• Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3877; ConvF(11.76, 11.76, 11.76); Calibrated: 06.01.2014;

Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn654; Calibrated: 18.07.2013

Phantom: ELI v4.0; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: TP:1003

DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

# CLA Calibration for HSL-LF Tissue/CLA150, touch configuration, Pin=1W/Area Scan

(81x81x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.500 mm, dy=1.500 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 4.91 W/kg

### CLA Calibration for HSL-LF Tissue/CLA150, touch configuration, Pin=1W/Zoom Scan


(7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 80.11 V/m; Power Drift = -0.05 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 6.11 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 3.79 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.51 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 4.89 W/kg




0 dB = 4.91 W/kg = 6.91 dBW/kg

Certificate No: CLA150-4004\_May14

Page 5 of 8

SAR Evaluation Report 51 of 62

# Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL



Certificate No: CLA150-4004\_May14 Page 6 of 8

SAR Evaluation Report 52 of 62

### **DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL**

Date: 08.05.2014

Report No: RSZ150723553-20

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: CLA150; Type: CLA150; Serial: CLA150 - SN: 4004

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 150 MHz

Medium parameters used: f = 150 MHz;  $\sigma = 0.8 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\varepsilon_r = 62.5$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

#### DASY52 Configuration:

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3877; ConvF(11.45, 11.45, 11.45); Calibrated: 06.01.2014;

Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn654; Calibrated: 18.07.2013

Phantom: ELI v4.0; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: TP:1003

DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

### CLA Calibration for MSL-LF Tissue/CLA150, touch configuration, Pin=1W/Area Scan

(81x81x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.500 mm, dy=1.500 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 4.87 W/kg

### CLA Calibration for MSL-LF Tissue/CLA150, touch configuration, Pin=1W/Zoom Scan


(7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 77.84 V/m; Power Drift = -0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 6.05 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 3.8 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.55 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 4.88 W/kg




0 dB = 4.87 W/kg = 6.88 dBW/kg

Certificate No: CLA150-4004\_May14

Page 7 of 8


SAR Evaluation Report 53 of 62



Certificate No: CLA150-4004\_May14 Page 8 of 8


SAR Evaluation Report 54 of 62

# **APPENDIX D – EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS**







Face-Up 2.5 cm Separation to Flat Phantom




SAR Evaluation Report 55 of 62





SAR Evaluation Report 56 of 62

# **APPENDIX E – EUT PHOTOS**





**EUT – Back View** 



SAR Evaluation Report 57 of 62

### **EUT-Left View**



**EUT-Right View** 



SAR Evaluation Report 58 of 62

# **EUT-Top View**



**EUT-Bottom View** 



SAR Evaluation Report 59 of 62

### **EUT-Antenna**



**EUT – Belt Clip** 



SAR Evaluation Report 60 of 62

# **EUT – Battery**



**EUT-Earphone** 



SAR Evaluation Report 61 of 62

### APPENDIX F – INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, \Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radiofrequency radiation", Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.
- [2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, \Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields", Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commission, O ce of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, \Automated E-\_eld scanning system for dosimetricPage 62 of 62 assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105{113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph K.astle, and Thomas Schmid, \Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEICE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645 (652, May 1997.
- [5] CENELEC, \Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz 6GHz", Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.
- [6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.
- [7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM \_ 97, Dubrovnik, October 15 {17, 1997, pp. 120-24.
- [8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \E-field probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23 {25 June, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard K. uhn, and Niels Kuster, \The depen-dence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865-1873, Oct. 1996.
- [10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastle, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.
- [11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9
- [13] NIS81 NAMAS, \The treatment of uncertainty in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, 1994.
- [14] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, \Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 10.
- [15] FCC OET KDB643646 SAR Test Reduction Considerations for Occupational PTT Radios.

\*\*\*\*\* END OF REPORT \*\*\*\*\*

SAR Evaluation Report 62 of 62