

SAR EVALUATION REPORT

For

Shenzhen HQT Science&Technology Co., Ltd.

5/F, East of Building M-8, Central Zone, Hi-tech Industrial Park, Nanshan District, Shenzhen, China

FCC ID:P6NDH-9100V

Report Type: **Product Type:** Original report Digital portable Radio Terry Xiathou **Test Engineer:** Terry XiaHou **Report Number:** RSZ150723552-20 **Report Date:** 2015-09-19 BeilHu Bell Hu **Reviewed By:** SAR Engineer Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) **Prepared By:** 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building, ShiHua Road, FuTian Free Trade Zone Shenzhen, Guangdong, China Tel: +86-755-33320018 Fax: +86-755-33320008 www.baclcorp.com.cn

Note: This test report is prepared for the customer shown above and for the device described herein. It may not be duplicated or used in part without prior written consent from Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp.

| Attestation of Test Results | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | Company Name | | Shenzhen HQT Science&Technology Co., Ltd. | | |
| | | EUT Description | | Digital portable Radio | | |
| EUT Informa | | FCC ID | | P6NDH-9100V | | |
| | | Mod | el Number | DH-9100 | | |
| | | | Test Date | 2015-09-15 | | |
| TX Frequency (MHz) | Modulati on | | Max. SA | R Level(s) Reported (1g-SAR) | Limit (W/Kg) | |
| 127 174MH | Digital | 12.5kHz | | 236W/kg(Corrected by multiplying 50%) :: 0.325 W/kg (Corrected by multiplying 50%) | 8.0 | |
| 136-174MHz | Analog | 12.5kHz | | 351 W/kg (Corrected by multiplying 50%) : 0.459 W/kg (Corrected by multiplying 50%) | | |
| Applicable Standards | | IEEE Standa Frequency E ANSI / IEE IEEE Recom Frequency E SuchFields, I IEC 62209-2 Human expo communicat Part 2: Pro communicat (frequency r IEEE1528:2 IEEE Recom Absorption I Measuremen KDB procee KDB 447498 KDB 865664 | Electromagnet E C95.3: 200 Immended Prace Electromagnet Idectromagnet Ideatromagnet Id | Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Ractic Fileds,3 kHz to 300 GHz. 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 | dio Inted wireless es – for wireless Specific ons Devices: | |

Note: This wireless device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate SAR For Occupational /Controlled Exposure Environment limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Standards and have been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013 and RF exposure KDB procedures.

The results and statements contained in this report pertain only to the device(s) evaluated.

SAR Evaluation Report 2 of 62

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY | 4 |
|---|----|
| EUT DESCRIPTION | 5 |
| TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION | 5 |
| REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDELINES | 6 |
| SAR LIMITS | |
| FACILITIES | |
| | |
| DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM | |
| EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION | |
| EQUIPMENTS LIST & CALIBRATION INFORMATION | 16 |
| SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VALIDATION AND VERIFICATION | 17 |
| Liquid Verification | |
| SYSTEM ACCURACY VALIDATION AND VERIFICATION | |
| | |
| EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY | |
| TEST POSITIONS FOR DEVICE OPERATING NEXT TO A PERSON'S EAR TEST POSITIONS FOR BODY-WORN AND OTHER CONFIGURATIONS | |
| FOR EUT POSITIONING PROCEDURES | |
| SAR EVALUATION PROCEDURE | |
| TEST METHODOLOGY | 26 |
| CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT | 27 |
| PROVISION APPLICABLE | |
| Test Procedure | |
| MAXIMUM OUTPUT POWER AMONG PRODUCTION UNITS | |
| SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS | |
| SAR TEST DATA | |
| TEST RESULT: | |
| SAR PLOTS (SUMMARY OF THE HIGHEST SAR VALUES) | |
| APPENDIX A – MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY | 34 |
| APPENDIX B – PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES | 36 |
| APPENDIX C – LOOP CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES | |
| APPENDIX D – EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS | 55 |
| Liquid depth ≥ 15cm | |
| FACE-UP 2.5 CM SEPARATION TO FLAT PHANTOM | |
| BODY-BACK 0.0 CM SEPARATION TO FLAT PHANTOM. | |
| EUT – Front View | |
| EUT – BACK VIEW | |
| EUT-LEFT VIEW | |
| EUT–RIGHT VIEWEUT–TOP VIEW | |
| EUT-BOTTOM VIEW | |
| EUT-ANTENNA | |
| EUT – Belt Clip | |
| EUT – Battery | |
| EUT – MICROPHONE | 61 |
| APPENDIX F – INFORMATIVE REFERENCES | 62 |

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

| Revision Number | Report Number | Description of Revision | Date of Revision |
|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 0 | RSZ150723552-20 | Original Report | 2015-09-19 |

SAR Evaluation Report 4 of 62

EUT DESCRIPTION

This report has been prepared on behalf of Shenzhen HQT Science&Technology Co., Ltd. and their product and their product, FCC ID: P6NDH-9100V, Model: DH-9100 or the EUT (Equipment Under Test) as referred to in the rest of this report. The EUT is a Digital portable Radio.

Report No: RSZ150723552-20

Technical Specification

| Product Type | Portable | |
|-------------------------|--|--|
| Exposure Category: | tegory: Occupational/Controlled Exposure | |
| Antenna Type(s): | External Antenna | |
| Body-Worn Accessories: | Belt Clip | |
| Face-Head Accessories: | None | |
| Modulation Type: | Type: FM/4FSK | |
| Frequency Band: | 136MHz-174MHz (TX) | |
| Conducted RF Power: | 37.35 dBm | |
| EUT Dimensions (L*W*H): | 150 mm (L) × 65 mm (W)× 36 mm (H) | |
| Power Source: | 7.4V Rechargeable Battery | |
| Normal Operation: | Face Up and Body-worn | |

SAR Evaluation Report 5 of 62

REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDELINES

FCC:

The Report and Order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 mW/g as recommended by the ANSI/IEEE standard C95.1-1992 [6] for an uncontrolled environment (Paragraph 65). According to the Supplement C of OET Bulletin 65 "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guide-lines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", released on Jun 29, 2001 by the FCC, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in North America is 1.6 mW/g average over 1 gram of tissue mass.

CE:

The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 2 mW/g as recommended by EN62209-1 for an uncontrolled environment. According to the Standard, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in Europe is 2 mW/g average over 10 gram of tissue mass.

The test configurations were laid out on a specially designed test fixture to ensure the reproducibility of measurements. Each configuration was scanned for SAR. Analysis of each scan was carried out to characterize the above effects in the device.

SAR Evaluation Report 6 of 62

SAR Limits

FCC Limit (1g Tissue)

Report No: RSZ150723552-20

| | SAR (W/kg) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| EXPOSURE LIMITS | (General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment) | (Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment) | | |
| Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body) | 0.08 | 0.4 | | |
| Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1 g of tissue) | 1.60 | 8.0 | | |
| Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g) | 4.0 | 20.0 | | |

CE Limit (10g Tissue)

| | SAR (V | W/kg) |
|--|--|--|
| EXPOSURE LIMITS | (General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment) | (Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment) |
| Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body) | 0.08 | 0.4 |
| Spatial Peak (averaged over any 10 g of tissue) | 2.0 | 10 |
| Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g) | 4.0 | 20.0 |

Population/Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individual who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational/Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

Occupational/Controlled environments Spatial Peak limit 8.0W/kg (FCC/IC) & 10 W/kg (CE) applied to the EUT.

SAR Evaluation Report 7 of 62

FACILITIES

The test site used by Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) to collect data is located at 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building, Shi Hua Road, Fu Tian Free Trade Zone, Shenzhen, Guangdong, P.R. of China

Report No: RSZ150723552-20

SAR Evaluation Report 8 of 62

DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM

These measurements were performed with ALSAS 10 Universal Integrated SAR Measurement system from APREL Laboratories.

ALSAS-10U System Description

ALSAS-10-U is fully compliant with the technical and scientific requirements of IEEE 1528, IEC 62209, CENELEC, ARIB, ACA, and the Federal Communications Commission. The system comprises of a six axes articulated robot which utilizes a dedicated controller. ALSAS-10U uses the latest methodologies. And FDTD modeling to provide a platform which is repeatable with minimum uncertainty.

Applications

Predefined measurement procedures compliant with the guidelines of CENELEC, IEEE, IEC, FCC, etc are utilized during the assessment for the device. Automatic detection for all SAR maxima are embedded within the core architecture for the system, ensuring that peak locations used for centering the zoom scan are within a 1mm resolution and a 0.05mm repeatable position. System operation range currently available up-to 6 GHz in simulated tissue.

Area Scans

Area scans are defined prior to the measurement process being executed with a user defined variable spacing between each measurement point (integral) allowing low uncertainty measurements to be conducted. Scans defined for FCC applications utilize a 10mm2 step integral, with 1mm interpolation used to locate the peak SAR area used for zoom scan assessments.



Where the system identifies multiple SAR peaks (which are within 25% of peak value) the system will provide the user with the option of assessing each peak location individually for zoom scan averaging.

Zoom Scan (Cube Scan Averaging)

The averaging zoom scan volume utilized in the ALSAS-10U software is in the shape of a cube and the side dimension of a 1 g or 10 g mass is dependent on the density of the liquid representing the simulated tissue. A density of 1000 kg/m3 is used to represent the head and body tissue density and not the phantom liquid density, in order to be consistent with the definition of the liquid dielectric properties, i.e. the side length of the 1 g cube is 10mm, with the side length of the 10 g cube 21,5mm.

When the cube intersects with the surface of the phantom, it is oriented so that 3 vertices touch the surface of the shell or the center of a face is tangent to the surface. The face of the cube closest to the surface is modified in order to conform to the tangent surface.

The zoom scan integer steps can be user defined so as to reduce uncertainty, but normal practice for typical test applications (including FCC) utilize a physical step of 5x5x8 (8mmx8mmx5mm) providing a volume of 32mm in the X & Y axis, and 35mm in the Z axis.

SAR Evaluation Report 9 of 62

ALSAS-10U Interpolation and Extrapolation Uncertainty


The overall uncertainty for the methodology and algorithms the used during the SAR calculation was evaluated using the data from IEEE 1528 based on the example f3 algorithm:

$$f_3(x, y, z) = A \frac{a^2}{\frac{a^2}{4} + x'^2 + y'^2} \cdot \left(e^{-\frac{2z}{a}} + \frac{a^2}{2(a+2z)^2} \right)$$

Isotropic E-Field Probe

The isotropic E-Field probe has been fully calibrated and assessed for isotropicity, and boundary effect within a controlled environment. Depending on the frequency for which the probe is calibrated the method utilized for calibration will change.

The E-Field probe utilizes a triangular sensor arrangement as detailed in the diagram below:



SAR is assessed with a calibrated probe which moves at a default height of 5mm from the center of the diode, which is mounted to the sensor, to the phantom surface (in the Z Axis). The 5mm offset height has been selected so as to minimize any resultant boundary effect due to the probe being in close proximity to the phantom surface.

The following algorithm is an example of the function used by the system for linearization of the output from the probe when measuring complex modulation schemes.

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

SAR Evaluation Report 10 of 62

Isotropic E-Field Probe Specification

| Calibration Method | Frequency Dependent Below 1 GHz Calibration in air performed in a TEM Cell Above 1 GHz Calibration in air performed in waveguide |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Sensitivity | $0.70 \ \mu V/(V/m)^2$ to $0.85 \ \mu V/(V/m)^2$ |
| Dynamic Range | 0.0005 W/kg to 100 W/kg |
| Isotropic Response | Better than 0.1 dB |
| Diode Compression Point (DCP) | Calibration for Specific Frequency |
| Probe Tip Diameter | < 2.9 mm |
| Sensor Offset | 1.56 (+/- 0.02 mm) |
| Probe Length | 289 mm |
| Video Bandwidth | @ 500 Hz: 1 dB @ 1.02 kHz: 3 dB |
| Boundary Effect | Less than 2.1% for distance greater than 0.58 mm |
| Spatial Resolution | The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.5% for 4.9mm diameter probe. The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.0% for 2.5mm diameter probe |

Boundary Detection Unit and Probe Mounting Device

ALSAS-10U incorporates a boundary detection unit with a sensitivity of 0.05mm for detecting all types of surfaces. The robust design allows for detection during probe tilt (probe normalize) exercises, and utilizes a second stage emergency stop. The signal electronics are fed directly into the robot controller for high accuracy surface detection in lateral and axial detection modes (X, Y, & Z).

The probe is mounted directly onto the Boundary Detection unit for accurate tooling and displacement calculations controlled by the robot kinematics. The probe is connect to an isolated probe interconnect where the output stage of the probe is fed directly into the amplifier stage of the Daq-Paq.

Daq-Paq (Analog to Digital Electronics)

ALSAS-10U incorporates a fully calibrated Daq-Paq (analog to digital conversion system) which has a 4 channel input stage, sent via a 2 stage auto-set amplifier module. The input signal is amplified accordingly so as to offer a dynamic range from $5\mu V$ to 800mV. Integration of the fields measured is carried out at board level utilizing a Co-Processor which then sends the measured fields down into the main computational module in digitized form via an RS232 communications port. Probe linearity and duty cycle compensation is carried out within the main Daq-Paq module.

| ADC | 12 Bit |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| Amplifier Range | 20 mV to 200 mV and 150 mV to 800 mV |
| Field Integration Local Co-Processor utilizing proprietary integration algorithms. | |
| Number of Input Channels 4 in total 3 dedicated and 1 spare | |
| Communication | Packet data via RS232 |

SAR Evaluation Report 11 of 62

Axis Articulated Robot

ALSAS-10U utilizes a six axis articulated robot, which is controlled using a Pentium based real-time movement controller. The movement kinematics engine utilizes proprietary (Thermo CRS) interpolation and extrapolation algorithms, which allow full freedom of movement for each of the six joints within the working envelope. Utilization of joint 6 allows for full probe rotation with a tolerance better than 0.05mm around the central axis.



| Robot/Controller Manufacturer | Thermo CRS | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Number of Axis | Six independently controlled axis | |
| Positioning Repeatability | y 0.05 mm | |
| Controller Type | Single phase Pentium based C500C | |
| Robot Reach | 710 mm | |
| Communication | RS232 and LAN compatible | |

ALSAS Universal Workstation

ALSAS Universal workstation allows for repeatability and fast adaptability. It allows users to do calibration, testing and measurements using different types of phantoms with one set up, which significantly speeds up the measurement process.

Universal Device Positioner

The universal device positioner allows complete freedom of movement of the EUT. Developed to hold a EUT in a free-space scenario any additional loading attributable to the material used in the construction of the positioner has been eliminated. Repeatability has been enhanced through the linear scales which form the design used to indicate positioning for any given test scenario in all major axes. A 15° tilt indicator is included for the of aid cheek to tilt movements for head SAR analysis. Overall uncertainty for measurements have been reduced due to the design of the Universal device positioner, which allows positioning of a device in as near to a free-space scenario as possible, and by providing the means for complete repeatability.

SAR Evaluation Report 12 of 62




Phantom Types

The ALSAS-10U allows the integration of multiple phantom types. SAM Phantoms fully compliant with IEEE 1528, Universal Phantom, and Universal Flat.

APREL SAM Phantoms

The SAM phantoms developed using the IEEE SAM CAD file. They are fully compliant with the requirements for both IEEE 1528 and FCC Supplement C. Both the left and right SAM phantoms are interchangeable, transparent and include the IEEE 1528 grid with visible NF and MB lines.



SAR Evaluation Report 13 of 62

APREL Laboratories Universal Phantom

The Universal Phantom is used on the ALSAS-10U as a system validation phantom. The Universal Phantom has been fully validated both experimentally from 30MHz to 6GHz and numerically using XFDTD numerical software.

The shell thickness is 2mm overall, with a 4mm spacer located at the NF/MB intersection providing an overall thickness of 6mm in line with the requirements of IEEE-1528.

The design allows for fast and accurate measurements, of handsets, by allowing the conservative SAR to be evaluated at on frequency for both left and right head experiments in one measurement.



SAR Evaluation Report 14 of 62

Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantoms

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in P1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations described in Reference [12] and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in P1528.

Simulated Tissue Composition

| Ingredients | Frequency (MHz) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|------|------|--|
| (% by weight) | 15 | 150 | | 450 | | 835 | | 1900 | | 2450 | |
| Tissue Type | Head | Body | Head | Body | Head | Body | Head | Body | Head | Body | |
| Water | 38.35 | 46.2 | 38.56 | 51.16 | 41.45 | 52.4 | 54.9 | 40.4 | 62.7 | 73.2 | |
| Salt (Nacl) | 5.15 | 3.00 | 3.95 | 1.49 | 1.45 | 1.4 | 0.18 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.04 | |
| Sugar | 55.4 | 49.7 | 56.32 | 46.78 | 56.0 | 45.0 | 0.0 | 58.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| HEC | 1 | 1 | 0.98 | 0.52 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Bactericide | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.19 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Triton x-100 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 0.0 | |
| DGBE | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.92 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.7 | |
| Dielectric Constant | 52.57 | 61.75 | 43.42 | 58.0 | 42.54 | 56.1 | 39.9 | 54.0 | 39.8 | 52.5 | |
| Conductivity (s/m) | 0.76 | 0.79 | 0.85 | 0.83 | 0.91 | 0.95 | 1.42 | 1.45 | 1.88 | 1.78 | |

Recommended Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body

| Frequency | Head | Tissue | Body Tissue | | |
|-----------|------|----------|--------------------|---------|--|
| (MHz) | Er | O' (S/m) | Er | O'(S/m) | |
| 150 | 52.3 | 0.76 | 61.9 | 0.80 | |
| 300 | 45.3 | 0.87 | 58.2 | 0.92 | |
| 450 | 43.5 | 0.87 | 56.7 | 0.94 | |
| 835 | 41.5 | 0.90 | 55.2 | 0.97 | |
| 900 | 41.5 | 0.97 | 55.0 | 1.05 | |
| 915 | 41.5 | 0.98 | 55.0 | 1.06 | |
| 1450 | 40.5 | 1.20 | 54.0 | 1.30 | |
| 1610 | 40.3 | 1.29 | 53.8 | 1.40 | |
| 1800-2000 | 40.0 | 1.40 | 53.3 | 1.52 | |
| 2450 | 39.2 | 1.80 | 52.7 | 1.95 | |
| 3000 | 38.5 | 2.40 | 52.0 | 2.73 | |
| 5800 | 35.3 | 5.27 | 48.2 | 6.00 | |

SAR Evaluation Report 15 of 62

EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION


Equipments List & Calibration Information

| Equipment | Model | Calibration Date | S/N |
|--|---------------|---------------------|------------|
| CRS F3 robot | ALS-F3 | N/A | RAF0805352 |
| CRS F3 Software | ALS-F3-SW | N/A | N/A |
| CRS C500C controller | ALS-C500 | N/A | RCF0805379 |
| Probe mounting device & Boundary Detection Sensor System | ALS-PMDPS-3 | N/A | 120-00270 |
| Universal Work Station | ALS-UWS | N/A | 100-00157 |
| Data Acquisition Package | ALS-DAQ-PAQ-3 | 2014-10-14 | 110-00212 |
| Miniature E-Field Probe | E-020 | 2014-10-14 | 500-00283 |
| Loop, 150 MHz | CLA150 | 2014-05-08 | 4004 |
| Device holder/Positioner | ALS-H-E-SET-2 | N/A | 170-00510 |
| Left ear SAM phantom | ALS-P-SAM-L | N/A | 130-00311 |
| Right ear SAM phantom | ALS-P-SAM-R | N/A | 140-00359 |
| UniPhantom | ALS-UM-FLAT | N/A | 153-00104 |
| Simulated Tissue 150 MHz Head | ALS-TS-150-H | Each Time | 250-01302 |
| Simulated Tissue 150 MHz Body | ALS-TS-150-B | Each Time | 250-01304 |
| Dielectric probe kit | HP85070B | 2015-06-13 | US33020324 |
| Power Amplifier | 5S1G4 | N/A | 71377 |
| Attenuator | 3dB | 2015-05-08 | 5402 |
| Network analyzer | 8752C | 2015-06-03 | 3410A02356 |
| Synthesized Sweeper | HP 8341B | 2015-06-03 | 2624A00116 |
| Directional couple | DC6180A | 2015-06-13 | 0325849 |
| EMI Test Receiver | ESCI | 2015-06-13 | 101746 |

SAR Evaluation Report 16 of 62

SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VALIDATION AND VERIFICATION

Liquid Verification



Liquid Verification Setup Block Diagram

Liquid Verification Results

| Frequency | Liquid | Liquid 1 | Parameter | er Target Value | | Delta (%) | | Tolerance |
|-----------|--------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|---------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| (MHz) | Type | ε _r | O'(S/m) | ε _r | O'(S/m) | $\Delta \epsilon_{ m r}$ | △O' (S/m) | (%) |
| 136.025 | Head | 51.63 | 0.77 | 52.30 | 0.76 | -1.281 | 1.316 | ±5 |
| 130.023 | Body | 61.25 | 0.79 | 61.90 | 0.80 | -1.050 | -1.250 | ±5 |
| 146.005 | Head | 50.62 | 0.78 | 52.30 | 0.76 | -3.212 | 2.632 | ±5 |
| 146.025 | Body | 61.99 | 0.81 | 61.90 | 0.80 | 0.145 | 1.250 | ±5 |
| 155.025 | Head | 40.42 | 0.78 | 52.30 | 0.76 | -22.715 | 2.632 | ±5 |
| 155.025 | Body | 60.58 | 0.81 | 61.90 | 0.80 | -2.132 | 1.250 | ±5 |
| 164 025 | Head | 50.57 | 0.79 | 52.30 | 0.76 | -3.308 | 3.947 | ±5 |
| 164.025 | Body | 62.82 | 0.82 | 61.90 | 0.80 | 1.486 | 2.500 | ±5 |
| 172.075 | Head | 51.02 | 0.78 | 52.30 | 0.76 | -2.447 | 2.632 | ±5 |
| 173.975 | Body | 61.96 | 0.82 | 61.90 | 0.80 | 0.097 | 2.500 | ±5 |

^{*}Liquid Verification was performed on 2015-09-15

SAR Evaluation Report 17 of 62

Please refer to the following tables.


| 150MHz Head | | | 150MHz Body | | | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Frequency (MHz) | e' | e'' | Frequency (MHz) | e' | e'' | | | |
| 136.00 | 51.6306 | 101.1785 | 136.00 | 61.2524 | 105.1357 | | | |
| 136.76 | 51.7351 | 100.1508 | 136.76 | 62.9093 | 105.4701 | | | |
| 137.52 | 51.7392 | 100.0787 | 137.52 | 62.5104 | 104.8229 | | | |
| 138.28 | 51.9976 | 99.2671 | 138.28 | 61.9795 | 104.7863 | | | |
| 139.04 | 51.5016 | 99.0046 | 139.04 | 63.0715 | 104.1802 | | | |
| 139.80 | 51.2670 | 98.9214 | 139.80 | 61.0699 | 103.7431 | | | |
| 140.56 | 51.2977 | 98.1872 | 140.56 | 60.9240 | 102.2949 | | | |
| 141.32 | 51.3499 | 97.1960 | 141.32 | 61.9744 | 102.1042 | | | |
| 142.08 | 51.0510 | 97.5092 | 142.08 | 62.6731 | 102.3306 | | | |
| 142.84 | 51.2164 | 96.9924 | 142.84 | 61.4773 | 101.7035 | | | |
| 143.60 | 50.8581 | 96.4857 | 143.60 | 62.4229 | 101.3109 | | | |
| 144.36 | 50.9928 | 96.0977 | 144.36 | 60.9568 | 100.1275 | | | |
| 145.12 | 50.7169 | 95.6324 | 145.12 | 62.3920 | 100.3412 | | | |
| 145.88 | 50.6233 | 95.7006 | 145.88 | 61.9933 | 99.7468 | | | |
| 146.64 | 50.6525 | 95.1170 | 146.64 | 60.8965 | 99.6905 | | | |
| 147.40 | 50.5872 | 94.6553 | 147.40 | 61.4732 | 98.6473 | | | |
| 148.16 | 50.5674 | 94.2441 | 148.16 | 60.6196 | 98.7208 | | | |
| 148.92 | 50.5272 | 92.7978 | 148.92 | 61.5815 | 97.6366 | | | |
| 149.68 | 50.4326 | 92.7243 | 149.68 | 61.8287 | 95.8513 | | | |
| 150.44 | 50.7321 | 92.3153 | 150.44 | 61.8400 | 96.5616 | | | |
| 151.20 | 50.5093 | 92.4079 | 151.20 | 60.5461 | 95.7851 | | | |
| 151.96 | 50.5958 | 92.5095 | 151.96 | 60.2183 | 95.7522 | | | |
| 152.72 | 50.5451 | 90.9984 | 152.72 | 62.3808 | 96.1193 | | | |
| 153.48 | 50.4927 | 91.9964 | 153.48 | 60.3968 | 93.9619 | | | |
| 154.24 | 50.5498 | 91.6293 | 154.24 | 60.7084 | 94.7580 | | | |
| 155.00 | 50.4222 | 90.1038 | 155.00 | 60.5835 | 94.3060 | | | |
| 155.76 | 50.1939 | 89.8048 | 155.76 | 61.1113 | 92.9772 | | | |
| 156.52 | 50.1265 | 89.7248 | 156.52 | 61.1830 | 93.4436 | | | |
| 157.28 | 49.7396 | 89.9019 | 157.28 | 62.2991 | 93.6800 | | | |
| 158.04 | 50.3774 | 89.5705 | 158.04 | 60.8131 | 93.1783 | | | |
| 158.80 | 50.3536 | 88.5153 | 158.80 | 60.5471 | 92.8026 | | | |
| 159.56 | 50.4548 | 87.6745 | 159.56 | 61.6867 | 92.5175 | | | |
| 160.32 | 50.4469 | 87.3971 | 160.32 | 61.8554 | 91.9793 | | | |
| 161.08 | 50.6200 | 87.9203 | 161.08 | 60.8647 | 90.2317 | | | |
| 161.84 | 50.6129 | 86.9675 | 161.84 | 62.1009 | 90.2230 | | | |
| 162.60 | 50.6288 | 86.8670 | 162.60 | 60.8127 | 89.0196 | | | |
| 163.36 | 50.8838 | 87.2660 | 163.36 | 61.3150 | 90.4243 | | | |
| 164.12 | 50.5745 | 86.6961 | 164.12 | 62.8163 | 89.8529 | | | |
| 164.88 | 50.7572 | 86.2267 85.0006 | 164.88 | 62.0339 | 88.9649 | | | |
| 165.64 166.40 | 50.7005 50.5400 | 85.0006 84.8221 | 165.64 | 61.8781 59.9544 | 89.2659 88.9950 | | | |
| 166.40 | 50.3400 | 84.8221 | 166.40 167.16 | 61.8963 | 88.9950 88.1473 | | | |
| 167.16 | 50.2826 | 84.9704 | 167.92 | 61.5052 | 88.0661 | | | |
| 168.68 | 50.4113 | 83.9283 | 168.68 | 60.3777 | 87.0056 | | | |
| 169.44 | 50.6395 | 84.4449 | 169.44 | 61.5956 | 87.5202 | | | |
| 170.20 | 50.8821 | 83.7600 | 170.20 | 62.1330 | 86.7243 | | | |
| 170.20 | 50.6132 | 83.3555 | 170.20 | 59.9757 | 86.1527 | | | |
| 171.72 | 50.8515 | 83.0339 | 171.72 | 61.1661 | 86.9848 | | | |
| 172.48 | 50.7981 | 82.1894 | 172.48 | 61.1667 | 86.5614 | | | |
| 173.24 | 51.1300 | 81.4631 | 173.24 | 59.8907 | 85.8741 | | | |
| 174.00 | 51.0243 | 80.8659 | 173.24 | 61.9560 | 86.0324 | | | |

SAR Evaluation Report 18 of 62

System Accuracy Validation and Verification

Prior to the assessment, the system verification kit was used to test whether the system was operating within its specifications of $\pm 10\%$. The verification results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

System Verification Setup Block Diagram



Probe and Loop antenna List and Detail

| Manufacturer | Description | Model | Serial Number | Calibration Date | Calibration Due Date |
|--------------|----------------------|-----------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| APREL | Probe | ALS-E-020 | 500-00283 | 2014-10-14 | 2015-10-13 |
| Speag | Loop antenna(150MHz) | CLA150 | 4004 | 2014-05-08 | 2017-05-07 |

System Validation

The SAR measurement system was validated according to the procedures in KDB 865664.

| Date | Probe Date Calibration | | Probe | Measured Param | | Validation for CW | | | |
|------------|------------------------|--------|-----------|----------------------------------|---------|-------------------|-----------|----------|--|
| | Poin | t(MHz) | S/N | $\mathbf{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{r}}$ | O (S/m) | Sensitivity | Linearity | Isotropy | |
| 2015-09-06 | 150 | Head | 500-00283 | 50.89 | 0.77 | Pass | Pass | Pass | |
| 2015-09-06 | 150 | Body | 500-00283 | 61.57 | 0.82 | Pass | Pass | Pass | |

System Accuracy Check Results

| Date | Frequency (MHz) | Liquid Type | Measured SAR (W/Kg) | | Target Value (W/Kg) | Delta (%) | Tolerance (%) |
|----------------|--------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| 2015-09-15 150 | Head | 1g | 3.573 | 3.750 | -4.720 | ±10 | |
| | 150 | Body | 1g | 3.543 | 3.810 | -7.008 | ±10 |

^{*}All SAR values are normalized to 1 Watt forward power.

SAR Evaluation Report 19 of 62

SAR SYSTEM VERIFICATION DATA

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

System Performance Check 150 MHz Head Liquid

Loop150 MHz; Type: CLA150; S/N:4004

Product Data

Device Name : Loop 150 MHz

Serial No. · 4004 Type : Loop : CLÅ150 Model Frequency Band : 150 Max. Transmit Pwr : 1 W Drift Time : 3 min(s) Power Drift-Start : 2.852 W/kg : 2.880 W/kg Power Drift-Finish Power Drift (%) : 0.982

Phantom Data

Name : APREL-Uni Type : Uni-Phantom Serial No. : System Default

Location : Center Description : Default

Phantom Data

Tissue Data

: Head Type Serial No. : 250-01302 Frequency : 150.00MHz Last Calib. Date : 15-Sep-2015 : 20.00°C Temperature Ambient Temp. : 21.00 °C Humidity : 56.00 RH% : 50.73 F/m Epsilon Sigma : 0.77 S/m

Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data

Name : E-Field Model : E-020

Type : E-Field Triangle Serial No. : 500-00283 Last Calib. Date : 14-Oct-2014

Frequency Band : 150 Duty Cycle Factor : 1 Conversion Factor : 6.0

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

Measurement Data

Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete Tissue Temp. : 21.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 21.00 °C

Area Scan : 8x10x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

SAR Evaluation Report 20 of 62

1 gram SAR value : 3.573 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 2.620 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 3.627 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 5.266 W/kg

Area Scan -50 -40 -30 -20 -10 0 10 20 30 40 50 -70_T -70 -60 -60 -50 -50 -40--40 680 -30 -30 446 212 978 744 510 276 042 808 574 340 106 872 638 404 170 936 702 468 234 000 -20--20 -10 (mm) -10 0 <u>®</u>10--10 ₹20--20 ×30--30 40-40 50 50 60--60 70--70 80--80 90 90 -50 -40 -30 -20 -10 0 10 20 30 40 50 Y Axis (mm)

150 MHz System Verification with Head Tissue

SAR Evaluation Report 21 of 62

System Performance Check 150 MHz Body Liquid

Loop 150 MHz; Type: CLA150; S/N: 4004

Product Data

Device Name : Loop 150 MHz

Serial No. : 4004 Type : Loop Model : CAL150 Frequency Band : 150 Max. Transmit Pwr : 1 W Drift Time $: 3 \min(s)$: 3.341 W/kg Power Drift-Start : 3.305 W/kg Power Drift-Finish Power Drift (%) : -1.261

Phantom Data

Name : APREL-Uni Type : Uni-Phantom Serial No. : System Default

Location : Center Description : Default

Phantom Data

Tissue Data

: Body Type 250-01304 Serial No. : 150.00MHz Frequency Last Calib. Date : 15-Sep-2015 Temperature : 20.00°C : 21.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 56.00 RH% Humidity : 61.84 F/m Epsilon Sigma : 0.81 S/m Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data

Name : E-Field Model : E-020

Type : E-Field Triangle Serial No. : 500-00283 Last Calib. Date : 14-Oct-2014

Frequency Band : 150 Duty Cycle Factor : 1 Conversion Factor : 6.0

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20 $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

Measurement Data


Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete Tissue Temp. : 21.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 21.00 °C

Area Scan : 8x10x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

SAR Evaluation Report 22 of 62

1 gram SAR value : 3.534 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 2.557 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 3.511 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 5.189 W/kg



150 MHz System Verification with Body Tissue


SAR Evaluation Report 23 of 62


EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY

Test Positions for Device Operating Next to a Person's Ear

This category includes most wireless handsets with fixed, retractable or internal antennas located toward the top half of the device, with or without a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover. The handset should have its earpiece located within the upper ¼ of the device, either along the centerline or off-centered, as perceived by its users. This type of handset should be positioned in a normal operating position with the "test device reference point" located along the "vertical centerline" on the front of the device aligned to the "ear reference point". The "test device reference point" should be located at the same level as the center of the earpiece region. The "vertical centerline" should bisect the front surface of the handset at its top and bottom edges. A "ear reference point" is located on the outer surface of the head phantom on each ear spacer. It is located 1.5 cm above the center of the ear canal entrance in the "phantom reference plane" defined by the three lines joining the center of each "ear reference point" (left and right) and the tip of the mouth

A handset should be initially positioned with the earpiece region pressed against the ear spacer of a head phantom. For the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom, the device should be positioned parallel to the "N-F" line defined along the base of the ear spacer that contains the "ear reference point". For interim head phantoms, the device should be positioned parallel to the cheek for maximum RF energy coupling. The "test device reference point" is aligned to the "ear reference point" on the head phantom and the "vertical centerline" is aligned to the "phantom reference plane". This is called the "initial ear position". While maintaining these three alignments, the body of the handset is gradually adjusted to each of the following positions for evaluating SAR:





SAR Evaluation Report 24 of 62

Test positions for body-worn and other configurations

Body-worn operating configurations should be tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in normal use configurations. Devices with a headset output should be tested with a headset connected to the device. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device may be tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. When multiple accessories that contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device must be tested with each accessory that contains a unique metallic component. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (e.g., the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components), only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body must be tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices that are intended to be authorized for body-worn use. A separation distance of 1.5 cm between the back of the device and a flat phantom is recommended for testing body-worn SAR compliance under such circumstances. Other separation distances may be used, but they should not exceed 2.5 cm. In these cases, the device may use body-worn accessories that provide a separation distance greater than that tested for the device provided however that the accessory contains no metallic components.




Figure 5 - Test positions for body-worn devices

For EUT Positioning Procedures

The EUT is a portable device operational at the body and face. The intended operating positions are "at the face" with the EUT at least 2.5cm from the mouth, and "at the body" by means of the offered body worn accessories. Body worn audio and PTT operation is accompished by means of optional remote accessories that are connected to the radio.

Body

The EUT was positioned in normal use configuration against the phantom with the offered body worn accessory with the offered audio accessories as applicable

Head

Not applicable

Face

The EUT was positioned with its' front side separated 2.5cm from the phantom

SAR Evaluation Report 25 of 62

SAR Evaluation Procedure

The evaluation was performed with the following procedure:

Step 1: Measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location above the ear point or central position was used as a reference value for assessing the power drop. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test and then again at the end of the testing.

Report No: RSZ150723552-20

- Step 2: The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 4 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head or EUT and the horizontal grid spacing was 10 mm x 10 mm. Based on these data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.
- Step 3: Around this point, a volume of 35 mm x 35 mm x 35 mm was assessed by measuring 7x 7 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated under the following procedure:
 - 1) The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 1.2 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.3 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
 - 2) The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g or 10 g) were computed by the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"-condition (in x, y and z-directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal-algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the averages.

All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

Step 4: Re-measurement of the SAR value at the same location as in Step 1. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation was repeated.

Test methodology

IEEE1528:2013 KDB 447498 D01 v05r02 KDB 865664 D01 v01r03 KDB 643646 D01 v01r01

KDB Inquiry: Tracking Number 316436

SAR Evaluation Report 26 of 62


CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT

Provision Applicable

The measured peak output power should be greater and within 5% than EMI measurement.

Test Procedure

The RF output of the transmitter was connected to the input of the Signal Analyzer through sufficient attenuation.



Maximum Output Power among production units

| Ma | Max. tune-up tolerance power limit for Production Unit (dBm) | | | | | | |
|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| PTT/Mode | TX Frequency(136-174)MHz | | | | | | |
| Digital-12.5K | 37.40 | | | | | | |
| Analog-12.5K | 37.40 | | | | | | |

Test Results:

| Mode | Frequency Spacing (kHz) | Frequency (MHz) | Output(dBm) | Output Power(W) | Power level |
|---------|----------------------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
| | | 136.025 | 37.11 | 5.140 | High |
| | | 146.025 | 37.17 | 5.212 | High |
| Digital | 12.5 | 155.025 | 37.15 | 5.188 | High |
| | | 164.025 | 37.11 | 5.140 | High |
| | | 173.975 | 37.35 | 5.433 | High |
| | | 136.025 | 37.10 | 5.129 | High |
| | | 146.025 | 37.16 | 5.200 | High |
| Analog | 12.5 | 155.025 | 37.14 | 5.176 | High |
| | | 164.025 | 37.20 | 5.248 | High |
| | | 173.975 | 37.29 | 5.358 | High |

SAR Evaluation Report 27 of 62

SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

This page summarizes the results of the performed dosimetric evaluation.

SAR Test Data

Environmental Conditions

| Temperature: | 21 ℃ |
|--------------------|-----------|
| Relative Humidity: | 50% |
| ATM Pressure: | 1002 mbar |

^{*} Testing was performed byTerry XiaHou on 2015-09-15

Test Result:

Digital (Modulation 4FSK; Channel Spacing 12.5 kHz):

| | Power | Max. Meas. | Max. Rated | | 1 g SAR V | alue(W/K | g) | |
|-----------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|-----------|----------|-------|------|
| Frequency (MHz) | Drift (%) | Power (dBm) | Power (dBm) | Scaled Factor | Meas SAR | | 50% | Plot |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 136.025 | -1.927 | 37.11 | 37.40 | 1.069 | 0.441 | 0.471 | 0.236 | 1# |
| 146.025 | -3.440 | 37.17 | 37.40 | 1.054 | 0.352 | 0.371 | 0.186 | / |
| 155.025 | -3.080 | 37.15 | 37.40 | 1.059 | 0.337 | 0.357 | 0.179 | / |
| 164.025 | 1.749 | 37.11 | 37.40 | 1.069 | 0.392 | 0.419 | 0.210 | / |
| 173.975 | -0.960 | 37.35 | 37.40 | 1.012 | 0.322 | 0.326 | 0.163 | / |
| | | Body-Back | with Belt Cl | ip(0.0cm) | | | | |
| 136.025 | -1.336 | 37.11 | 37.40 | 1.069 | 0.607 | 0.649 | 0.325 | 2# |
| 146.025 | -2.879 | 37.17 | 37.40 | 1.054 | 0.511 | 0.539 | 0.270 | / |
| 155.025 | 2.368 | 37.15 | 37.40 | 1.059 | 0.553 | 0.586 | 0.293 | / |
| 164.025 | 3.226 | 37.11 | 37.40 | 1.069 | 0.560 | 0.599 | 0.300 | / |
| 173.975 | 2.307 | 37.35 | 37.40 | 1.012 | 0.527 | 0.533 | 0.267 | / |

SAR Evaluation Report 28 of 62

Analog (Modulation FM; Channel Spacing 12.5 kHz):

| | Power | Max. Meas. | Max. Rated | | 1 g SAR | R Value(W/I | Kg) | |
|-----------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|-----------|---------------|-------|------|
| Frequency (MHz) | Drift (%) | Power (dBm) | Power (dBm) | Scaled Factor | Meas. SAR | Scaled SAR | 50% | Plot |
| Face up (2.5cm) | | | | | | | | |
| 136.025 | -1.186 | 37.10 | 37.40 | 1.072 | 0.656 | 0.703 | 0.351 | 3# |
| 146.025 | -0.862 | 37.16 | 37.40 | 1.057 | 0.539 | 0.570 | 0.285 | / |
| 155.025 | 0.822 | 37.14 | 37.40 | 1.062 | 0.610 | 0.648 | 0.324 | / |
| 164.025 | -1.554 | 37.20 | 37.40 | 1.047 | 0.566 | 0.593 | 0.296 | / |
| 173.975 | 2.902 | 37.29 | 37.40 | 1.026 | 0.577 | 0.592 | 0.296 | / |
| | | F | Body-Back wi | ith Belt Clip | (0.0cm) | | | |
| 136.025 | -0.463 | 37.10 | 37.40 | 1.072 | 0.857 | 0.918 | 0.459 | 4# |
| 146.025 | -2.418 | 37.16 | 37.40 | 1.057 | 0.779 | 0.823 | 0.412 | / |
| 155.025 | 2.555 | 37.14 | 37.40 | 1.062 | 0.765 | 0.812 | 0.406 | / |
| 164.025 | 2.993 | 37.20 | 37.40 | 1.047 | 0.810 | 0.848 | 0.424 | / |
| 173.975 | -3.037 | 37.29 | 37.40 | 1.026 | 0.822 | 0.843 | 0.422 | / |

Note:

- 1. When the 1-g SAR tested using the default battery and default accessories is $\leq 3.5W/Kg$ (corrected by Multiplying 50% for FM mode), testing for other channels are optional.
- 2. For a PTT, only simplex communication technology was supported, so the SAR value need to be corrected by Multiplying 50%.
- 3. The frequencies points result in highest SAR value were selected to test.
- 4. Passive body-worn and audio accessories generally do not apply to the head SAR of PTT radios.
- 5. The whole antenna and radiating structures that may contribute to the measured SAR or influence the SAR distribution has been included in the area scan.

SAR Evaluation Report 29 of 62

SAR Plots (Summary of the Highest SAR Values)

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

Face-Up 2.5cm (Digital 12.5k-136.025MHz)

Measurement Data

Modulation mode : 4FSK
Crest Factor : 2
Scan Type : Complete

Area Scan : 15x8x1: Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7: Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 0.403 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 0.411 W/kg Power Drift (%) : 1.927

Tissue Data

 Type
 : Head

 Frequency
 : 136.025MHz

 Epsilon
 : 51.63 F/m

 Sigma
 : 0.77 S/m

 Density
 : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data


Serial No. : 500-00283
Frequency Band : 150
Duty Cycle Factor : 2
Conversion Factor : 6.0

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20 $\mu V/(V/m)^2$

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 0.441 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 0.385 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 0.450 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 0.856 W/kg





SAR Evaluation Report 30 of 62

Body-back 0.0cm (Digital 12.5k-136.025MHz)

Measurement Data

Modulation mode : 4FSK
Crest Factor : 2
Scan Type : Complete

Area Scan : 15x8x1: Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7: Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 0.532 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 0.525 W/kg Power Drift (%) : -1.336

Tissue Data

Type : Body

 Frequency
 : 136.025 MHz

 Epsilon
 : 61.25 F/m

 Sigma
 : 0.79 S/m

 Density
 : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data


Serial No. : 500-00283 Frequency Band : 150 Duty Cycle Factor : 2 Conversion Factor : 6.0

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20 $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 0.607 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 0.529 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 0.615 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 0.972 W/kg

Plot 2#



SAR Evaluation Report 31 of 62

Face-Up 2.5cm (Analog 12.5k-136.0250MHz)

Measurement Data

Modulation mode : FM Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete

Area Scan : 15x8x1: Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7: Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 0.612 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 0.605 W/kg Power Drift (%) : -1.186

Tissue Data

 Type
 : Head

 Frequency
 : 136.025MHz

 Epsilon
 : 51.63 F/m

 Sigma
 : 0.77 S/m

 Density
 : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data


Serial No. : 500-00283 Frequency Band : 150 Duty Cycle Factor : 1 Conversion Factor : 6.0

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20 $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 0.656 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 0.585 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 0.672 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 0.933 W/kg

Plot 3#



SAR Evaluation Report 32 of 62

Body-back 0.0cm (Analog 12.5k-136.025MHz)

Measurement Data

Modulation mode : FM Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete

Area Scan : 15x8x1: Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7: Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 0.831 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 0.827 W/kg Power Drift (%) : -0.463

Tissue Data

Type : Body

 Frequency
 : 136.025 MHz

 Epsilon
 : 61.25 F/m

 Sigma
 : 0.79 S/m

 Density
 : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data


Serial No. : 500-00283 Frequency Band : 150 Duty Cycle Factor : 1 Conversion Factor : 6.0

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20 $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 0.857 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 0.773 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 0.884 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 1.362 W/kg

Plot 4#



SAR Evaluation Report 33 of 62

APPENDIX A – MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

According to IEEE1528:2013, the uncertainty budget has been determined for the Head SAR measurement system and is given in the following Table.

| Source of Uncertainty | Tolerance Value | Probability Distribution | Divisor | c _i ¹ (1-g) | c _i ¹ (10-g) | Standard Uncertainty (1-g) % | Standard Uncertainty (10-g) % |
|---|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | Measure | ment Syst | em | | | |
| Probe Calibration | 3.5 | normal | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Axial Isotropy | 3.7 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | $(1-cp)^{1/2}$ | $(1-cp)^1$ | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Hemispherical Isotropy | 10.9 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | √ср | √ср | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| Boundary Effect | 1.0 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Linearity | 4.7 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| Detection Limit | 1.0 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Readout Electronics | 1.0 | normal | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Response Time | 0.8 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Integration Time | 1.7 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| RF Ambient Condition -Noise | 0.6 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| RF Ambient Condition - Reflections | 3.0 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Probe Positioner Mech. Restrictions | 0.4 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell | 2.9 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Extrapolation and Integration | 3.7 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| | | Test sai | nple relate | ed | | | |
| Test sample positioning | 2.0 | normal | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Device Holder Uncertainty | 4.0 | normal | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6.215 | 6.215 |
| Drift of Output Power | 5.0 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 2.67 | 2.67 |
| | | Phantoi | n and Setu | ıp | | | |
| Phantom Uncertainty | 3.4 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| SAR correction in permittivity and conductivity | 1.2 | normal | 1 | 1 | 0.85 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| Liquid conductivity measurement | 5.0 | normal | 1 | 0.78 | 0.71 | 3.9 | 3.6 |
| Liquid permittivity measurement | 5.0 | normal | 1 | 0.25 | 0.29 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| conductivity—temperat ure | 1.1 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 0.78 | 0.71 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| permittivity—temperatu re | 1.3 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Combined Uncertainty | | RSS | | | | 10.78 | 10.55 |
| Expanded uncertainty (coverage factor=2) | | Normal(k=2) | | | | 21.56 | 21.10 |

SAR Evaluation Report 34 of 62

According to IEC62209-2:2010, the uncertainty budget has been determined for the Body SAR measurement system and is given in the following Table.

| Source of Uncertainty | Tolerance Value | Probability Distribution | Divisor | c _i ¹ (1-g) | c _i ¹ (10-g) | Standard Uncertainty (1-g) % | Standard Uncertainty (10-g) % |
|---|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | Measure | ment Syst | em | | | |
| Probe Calibration | 3.5 | normal | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Axial Isotropy | 3.7 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Boundary Effect | 1.0 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Linearity | 4.7 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| Detection Limit | 1.0 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Readout Electronics | 1.0 | normal | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Response Time | 0.8 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Integration Time | 1.7 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| RF Ambient Condition -Noise | 0.6 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| RF Ambient Condition - Reflections | 3.0 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Probe Positioner Mech. Restrictions | 0.4 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell | 2.9 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Extrapolation and Integration | 3.7 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| | | Test sar | nple relate | ed | | | |
| Test sample positioning | 2.0 | normal | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Device Holder Uncertainty | 4.0 | normal | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6.215 | 6.215 |
| Drift of Output Power | 5.0 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 2.67 | 2.67 |
| | | Phantor | n and Setu | ıp | | | |
| Phantom Uncertainty | 3.4 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| SAR correction in permittivity and conductivity | 1.2 | normal | 1 | 1 | 0.84 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| Liquid conductivity measurement | 5.0 | normal | 1 | 0.78 | 0.71 | 3.9 | 3.6 |
| Liquid permittivity measurement | 5.0 | normal | 1 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| conductivity—temperat ure | 1.1 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 0.78 | 0.71 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| permittivity—temperatu re | 1.3 | rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Combined Uncertainty | | RSS | | | | 9.58 | 9.49 |
| Expanded uncertainty (coverage factor=2) | | Normal(k=2) | | | | 19.16 | 18.98 |

SAR Evaluation Report 35 of 62

APPENDIX B – PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Calibration File No.: PC-1598

Task No: BACL-5778

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

Equipment: Miniature Isotropic RF Probe
Record of Calibration
Head and Body
Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories
Model No.: E-020
Serial No.: 500-00283

Calibration Procedure: D01-032-E020-V2, D22-012-Tissue, D28-002-Dipole

Project No: BACL-5745

Calibrated: 14th October 2014 Released on: 14th October 2014

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

Ite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr, Division of APREL Lab.

Suite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr, OTTAWA, ONTARIO CANADA K2K 3J1 Division of APREL Lab. TEL: (613) 435-8300 FAX: (613) 435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 36 of 62

Division of APREL Inc.

Introduction

This Calibration Report reproduces the results of the calibration performed in line with the references listed below. Calibration is performed using accepted methodologies as per the references listed below. Probes are calibrated for air, and tissue and the values reported are the results from the physical quantification of the probe through meteorgical practices.

Calibration Method

Probes are calibrated using the following methods.

<800 MHz

TEM Cell for sensitivity in air

Standard phantom using temperature transfer method for sensitivity in tissue

>800 MHz

Waveguide* method to determine sensitivity in air and tissue

*Waveguide is numerically (simulation) assessed to determine the field distribution and power

The boundary effect for the probe is assessed using a standard flat phantom where the probe output is compared against a numerically simulated series of data points

References

- IEEE Standard 1528:2013
 - IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques
- o EN 62209-1:2006
 - Human Exposure to RF Fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices Human models. instrumentation, and procedures Part 1: Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held mobile wireless devices
- o IEC 62209-2:2010
 - Human exposure to RF fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless devices Human models, instrumentation, and procedures Part 2: specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices (30 MHz 6 GHz)
- TP-D01-032-E020-V2 E-Field probe calibration procedure
- D22-012-Tissue dielectric tissue calibration procedure
- D28-002-Dipole procedure for validation of SAR system using a dipole
- IEEE 1309 Standard for Calibration of Electromagnetic Field Sensors and Probes, Excluding Antennas, from 9kHz to 40GHz

Page 2 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 37 of 62

Division of APREL Inc.

Conditions

Probe 500-00283 was a recalibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: $22 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ +/- $1.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ Temperature of the Tissue: $21 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ +/- $1.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ Relative Humidity: $< 60 \,^{\circ}\text{M}$

Primary Measurement Standards

 Instrument
 Serial Number
 Cal due date

 Tektronix USB Power Meter
 11C940
 May 14, 2015

 Signal Generator HP 83640B
 3844A00689
 Feb 12, 2015

Secondary Measurement Standards

Network Analyzer Anritsu 37347C 002106 Feb. 20, 2015

Attestation

The below named signatories have conducted the calibration and review of the data which is presented in this calibration report.

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this subject has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within the results pages have been reviewed for accuracy.

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

Dan Brooks, Test Engineer

Page 3 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 38 of 62

Division of APREL Inc.

Probe Summary

Probe Type: E-Field Probe E020

Serial Number: 500-00283

Frequency: As presented on page 5

Sensor Offset: 1.56
Sensor Length: 2.5

Tip Enclosure: Composite*

Tip Diameter: < 2.9 mm

Tip Length: 55 mm

Total Length: 289 mm

*Resistive to recommended tissue recipes per IEEE-1528

Sensitivity in Air

Diode Compression Point: 95 mV

SAR Evaluation Report 39 of 62

Page 4 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

Division of APREL Inc.

Calibration for Tissue (Head H. Body B)

| Frequency | Tissue Type | Measured Epsilon | Measured Sigma | Standard Uncertainty (%) | Calibration Frequency Range (MHz) | Conversion Factor |
|-----------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|--|----------------------|
| 450 H | Head | 43.59 | 0.86 | 3.5 | ±50 | 5.7 |
| 450 B | Body | 56.74 | 0.94 | 3.5 | ±50 | 5.8 |
| 750 H | Head | 42.98 | 0.92 | 3.5 | ±50 | 6.0 |
| 750 B | Body | 43.05 | 0.93 | 3.5 | ±50 | 5.5 |
| 835 H | Head | 43.42 | 0.94 | 3.5 | ±50 | 5.9 |
| 835 B | Body | 55.77 | 1.01 | 3.5 | ±50 | 5.9 |
| 900 H | Head | 41.87 | 1.06 | 3.5 | ±50 | 6.0 |
| 900 B | Body | 55.62 | 1.05 | 3.5 | ±50 | 5.9 |
| 1450 H | Head | X | Х | X | X | Х |
| 1450 B | Body | X | X | X | X | X |
| 1500 H | Head | X | X | X | X | Х |
| 1500 B | Body | X | X | X | X | X |
| 1640 H | Head | X | Х | Х | X | Х |
| 1640 B | Body | X | X | X | X | X |
| 1750 H | Head | 38.23 | 1.38 | 3.5 | ±75 | 5.4 |
| 1750 B | Body | 52.86 | 1.54 | 3.5 | ±75 | 5.3 |
| 1800 H | Head | X | X | X | X | Х |
| 1800 B | Body | X | X | X | X | X |
| 1900 H | Head | 40.20 | 1.38 | 3.5 | ±75 | <mark>4.8</mark> |
| 1900 B | Body | 52.63 | 1.46 | 3.5 | ±75 | 4.5 |
| 2000 H | Head | X | X | X | X | X |
| 2000 B | Body | X | X | X | X | X |
| 2100 H | Head | Х | Х | X | X | Х |
| 2100 B | Body | Х | Х | X | X | X |
| 2300 H | Head | Х | X | X | X | Х |
| 2300 B | Body | X | X | Х | X | Х |
| 2450 H | Head | 37.26 | 1.84 | 3.5 | ±75 | 4.9 |
| 2450B | Body | 53.61 | 1.9 | 3.5 | ±75 | 4.3 |
| 3000 H | Head | X | X | X | X | X |
| 3000 B | Body | X | Х | Х | X | X |
| 3600 H | Head | 37.49 | 3.16 | 3.5 | ±100 | 4.5 |
| 3600 B | Body | 49.94 | 3.86 | 3.5 | ±100 | 4.0 |
| 5250 H | Head | 35.51 | 4.78 | 3.5 | ±100 | 3.0 |
| 5250 B | Body | 47.54 | 5.11 | 3.5 | ±100 | 2.8 |
| 5600 H | Head | 36.05 | 5.15 | 3.5 | ±100 | 2.8 |
| 5600 B | Body | 46.49 | 5.72 | 3.5 | ±100 | 2.2 |
| 5800 H | Head | 45.99 | 6.01 | 3.5 | ±100 | 3.2 |
| 5800 B | Body | 35.6 | 5.37 | 3.5 | ±100 | 2.5 |

SAR Evaluation Report 40 of 62

Page 5 of 10
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

Division of APREL Inc.

Boundary Effect:

Uncertainty resulting from the boundary effect is less than 2.1% for the distance between the tip of the probe and the tissue boundary, when less than 0.58mm.

Report No: RSZ150723552-20

Spatial Resolution:

The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.5% for 4.9mm diameter probe. The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.0% for 2.5mm diameter probe.

DAQ-PAQ Contribution

To minimize the uncertainty calculation all tissue sensitivity values were calculated using a load impedance of 5 M Ω .

Probe Calibration Uncertainty

| Uncertainty component | Tolerance (±%) | Probability distribution | Divisor | Standard uncertainty (±%) |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------------------------|
| Incident or forward power | 2.5 | R | √3 | 1.44 |
| Reflected power | 2 | R | √3 | 1.15 |
| Liquid conductivity measurement | 1 | R | √3 | 0.58 |
| Liquid permittivity measurement | 1 | R | √3 | 0.58 |
| Liquid conductivity deviation | 1.5 | R | √3 | 0.87 |
| Liquid permittivity deviation | 1.5 | R | √3 | 0.87 |
| Frequency deviation | 2.25 | R | √3 | 1.30 |
| Field homogeneity | 2.5 | R | √3 | 1.44 |
| Field-probe positioning | 2.5 | R | √3 | 1.44 |
| Field-probe linearity | 1.55 | R | √3 | 0.89 |
| Combined standard uncertainty | | RSS | | 3.50 |


This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 41 of 62

Page 6 of 10

Division of APREL Inc.

Receiving Pattern Air





Page 7 of 10
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 42 of 62

Division of APREL Inc.

Isotropy Error Air





Isotropicity Tissue:


0.10 dB

Page 8 of 10
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 43 of 62

Division of APREL Inc.

Dynamic Range





Page 9 of 10
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 44 of 62

Division of APREL Inc.

Video Bandwidth





Video Bandwidth at 500 Hz1 dBVideo Bandwidth at 1.02 KHz:3 dB

Test Equipment

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List May 2014.

Page 10 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 45 of 62

ANNEX

PROBE ALS-E020 S/N 500-00283 CALIBRATION

Conditions

 $\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{Ambient Temperature of the laboratory:} & 20\ ^{\circ}\mbox{C}\ +/-\ 1.5\ ^{\circ}\mbox{C} \\ \mbox{Temperature of the Tissue:} & 21\ ^{\circ}\mbox{C}\ +/-\ 1.5\ ^{\circ}\mbox{C} \\ \mbox{Relative Humidity:} & <55\% \\ \end{array}$

| Frequency | Tissue Type | Measured Epsilon | Measured Sigma | Standard Uncertainty (%) | Calibration Frequency Range (MHz) | Conversion Factor |
|-----------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|--|----------------------|
| 150 H | Head | 50.6 | 0.78 | 3.5 | ±50 | 6.0 |
| 150 B | Body | 60.8 | 0.82 | 3.5 | ±50 | 6.0 |

Probe Calibration Uncertainty

| Uncertainty component | Tolerance (± %) | Probability distribution | Divisor | Standard uncertainty (± %) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------|-------------------------------|
| Incident or forward power | 2.5 | R | √3 | 1.44 |
| Reflected power | 2 | R | √3 | 1.15 |
| Liquid conductivity measurement | 1 | R | √3 | 0.58 |
| Liquid permittivity measurement | 1 | R | √3 | 0.58 |
| Liquid conductivity deviation | 1.5 | R | √3 | 0.87 |
| Liquid permittivity deviation | 1.5 | R | √3 | 0.87 |
| Frequency deviation | 2.25 | R | √3 | 1.30 |
| Field homogeneity | 2.5 | R | √3 | 1.44 |
| Field-probe positioning | 2.5 | R | √3 | 1.44 |
| Field-probe linearity | 1.55 | R | √3 | 0.89 |
| Combined standard uncertainty | | RSS | | 3.50 |

SAR Evaluation Report 46 of 62

APPENDIX C – LOOP CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Report No: RSZ150723552-20

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client BACL

Certificate No: CLA150-4004_May14

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object CLA150 - SN: 4004

Calibration procedure(s) QA CAL-15.v8

Calibration procedure for system validation sources below 700 MHz

Calibration date: May 08, 2014

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

| ID# | Cal Date (Certificate No.) | Scheduled Calibration |
|--------------------|--|---|
| GB41293874 | 03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01911) | Apr-15 |
| MY41498087 | 03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01911) | Apr-15 |
| SN: S5054 (3c) | 03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01915) | Apr-15 |
| SN: S5058 (20k) | 03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01918) | Apr-15 |
| SN: 5047.2 / 06327 | 03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01921) | Apr-15 |
| SN: 3877 | 06-Jan-14 (No. EX3-3877_Jan14) | Jan-15 |
| SN: 654 | 18-Jul-13 (No. DAE4-654_Jul13) | Jul-14 |
| ID# | Check Date (in house) | Scheduled Check |
| US3642U01700 | 04-Aug-99 (in house check Apr-13) | In house check: Apr-16 |
| US37390585 S4206 | 18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-13) | In house check: Oct-14 |
| Name | Function | Signature |
| Israe El-Naouq | Laboratory Technician | Moren Chalaceres |
| Katja Pokovic | Technical Manager | 2011 |
| | MY41498087 SN: S5054 (3c) SN: S5058 (20k) SN: 5047.2 / 06327 SN: 3877 SN: 654 ID # US3642U01700 US37390585 S4206 Name Israe El-Naouq | MY41498087 03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01911) SN: S5054 (3c) 03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01915) SN: S5058 (20k) 03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01918) SN: 5047.2 / 06327 03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01921) SN: 3877 06-Jan-14 (No. EX3-3877_Jan14) SN: 654 18-Jul-13 (No. DAE4-654_Jul13) ID # Check Date (in house) US3642U01700 04-Aug-99 (in house check Apr-13) US37390585 S4206 18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-13) Name Function Israe El-Naouq Laboratory Technician |

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

Certificate No: CLA150-4004_May14 Page 1 of 8

SAR Evaluation Report 47 of 62

Calibration Laboratory of

Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
Service suisse d'étalonnage
Servizio svizzero di taratura
Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Report No: RSZ150723552-20

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Glossary:

TSL tissue simulating liquid

ConvF sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z N/A not applicable or not measured

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- a) IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2013
- b) KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

Additional Documentation:

c) DASY4/5 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions: Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end
 of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL: The source is mounted in a touch configuration below the center marking of the flat phantom.
- Return Loss: This parameter is measured with the source positioned under the liquid filled phantom (as described in the measurement condition clause). The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured: SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized: SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters: The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

Certificate No: CLA150-4004_May14 Page 2 of 8

SAR Evaluation Report 48 of 62

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

| DASY Version | DASY5 | V52.8.8 |
|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Extrapolation | Advanced Extrapolation | |
| Phantom | ELI4 Flat Phantom | Shell thickness: 2 ± 0.2 mm |
| EUT Positioning | Touch Position | |
| Zoom Scan Resolution | dx, dy , $dz = 5.0 mm$ | |
| Frequency | 150 MHz ± 1 MHz | |

Report No: RSZ150723552-20

Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

| | Temperature | Permittivity | Conductivity |
|---|-----------------|---------------|------------------|
| Nominal Head TSL parameters | 22.0 °C | 52.3 | 0.76 mho/m |
| Measured Head TSL parameters | (22.0 ± 0.2) °C | 49.9 ± 6 % | 0.76 mho/m ± 6 % |
| Head TSL temperature change during test | < 0.5 °C | : | |

SAR result with Head TSL

| SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Head TSL | Condition | |
|---|------------------|--------------------------|
| SAR measured | 1 W input power | 3.79 W/kg |
| SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters | normalized to 1W | 3.75 W/kg ± 18.4 % (k=2) |

| SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Head TSL | condition | |
|---|------------------|--------------------------|
| SAR measured | 1 W input power | 2.51 W/kg |
| SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters | normalized to 1W | 2.49 W/kg ± 18.0 % (k=2) |

Body TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

| | Temperature | Permittivity | Conductivity |
|---|-----------------|--------------|------------------|
| Nominal Body TSL parameters | 22.0 °C | 61.9 | 0.80 mho/m |
| Measured Body TSL parameters | (22.0 ± 0.2) °C | 62.5 ± 6 % | 0.80 mho/m ± 6 % |
| Body TSL temperature change during test | < 0.5 °C | | |

SAR result with Body TSL

| SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Body TSL | Condition | |
|---|------------------|--------------------------|
| SAR measured | 1 W input power | 3.80 W/kg |
| SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters | normalized to 1W | 3.81 W/kg ± 18.4 % (k=2) |

| SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Body TSL | condition | |
|---|------------------|--------------------------|
| SAR measured | 1 W input power | 2.55 W/kg |
| SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters | normalized to 1W | 2.55 W/kg ± 18.0 % (k=2) |

Certificate No: CLA150-4004_May14 Page 3 of 8

SAR Evaluation Report 49 of 62

Appendix

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

| Impedance, transformed to feed point | 45.5 Ω - 10.6 jΩ |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| Return Loss | - 18.4 dB |

Report No: RSZ150723552-20

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

| Impedance, transformed to feed point | 46.0 Ω - 14.6 jΩ |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| Return Loss | - 16.2 dB |

Additional EUT Data

| Manufactured by | SPEAG |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| Manufactured on | August 23, 2013 |

Certificate No: CLA150-4004_May14

Page 4 of 8

SAR Evaluation Report 50 of 62

DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date: 08.05.2014

Report No: RSZ150723552-20

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: CLA150; Type: CLA150; Serial: CLA150 - SN: 4004

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 150 MHz

Medium parameters used: f = 150 MHz; $\sigma = 0.76 \text{ S/m}$; $\varepsilon_r = 49.9$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

DASY52 Configuration:

• Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3877; ConvF(11.76, 11.76, 11.76); Calibrated: 06.01.2014;

- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn654; Calibrated: 18.07.2013
- Phantom: ELI v4.0; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: TP:1003
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

CLA Calibration for HSL-LF Tissue/CLA150, touch configuration, Pin=1W/Area Scan

(81x81x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.500 mm, dy=1.500 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 4.91 W/kg

CLA Calibration for HSL-LF Tissue/CLA150, touch configuration, Pin=1W/Zoom Scan


(7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 80.11 V/m; Power Drift = -0.05 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 6.11 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 3.79 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.51 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 4.89 W/kg




0 dB = 4.91 W/kg = 6.91 dBW/kg

Certificate No: CLA150-4004_May14

Page 5 of 8

SAR Evaluation Report 51 of 62

Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL



Certificate No: CLA150-4004_May14 Page 6 of 8

SAR Evaluation Report 52 of 62

DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date: 08.05.2014

Report No: RSZ150723552-20

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: CLA150; Type: CLA150; Serial: CLA150 - SN: 4004

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 150 MHz

Medium parameters used: f = 150 MHz; $\sigma = 0.8 \text{ S/m}$; $\varepsilon_r = 62.5$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

DASY52 Configuration:

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3877; ConvF(11.45, 11.45, 11.45); Calibrated: 06.01.2014;

- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn654; Calibrated: 18.07.2013
- Phantom: ELI v4.0; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: TP:1003
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

CLA Calibration for MSL-LF Tissue/CLA150, touch configuration, Pin=1W/Area Scan

(81x81x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.500 mm, dy=1.500 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 4.87 W/kg

CLA Calibration for MSL-LF Tissue/CLA150, touch configuration, Pin=1W/Zoom Scan


(7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 77.84 V/m; Power Drift = -0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 6.05 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 3.8 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.55 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 4.88 W/kg




0 dB = 4.87 W/kg = 6.88 dBW/kg

Certificate No: CLA150-4004 May14

Page 7 of 8

SAR Evaluation Report 53 of 62



Certificate No: CLA150-4004_May14 Page 8 of 8


SAR Evaluation Report 54 of 62

APPENDIX D – EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS






Face-Up 2.5 cm Separation to Flat Phantom



SAR Evaluation Report 55 of 62

Body-Back 0.0 cm Separation to Flat Phantom



SAR Evaluation Report 56 of 62

APPENDIX E – EUT PHOTOS





EUT – Back View



SAR Evaluation Report 57 of 62

EUT-Left View



EUT-Right View



SAR Evaluation Report 58 of 62

EUT-Top View




EUT-Bottom View



SAR Evaluation Report 59 of 62

EUT-Antenna



EUT – Belt Clip



SAR Evaluation Report 60 of 62

EUT – Battery



EUT - Microphone



SAR Evaluation Report 61 of 62

APPENDIX F – INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, \Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radiofrequency radiation", Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.
- [2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, \Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields", Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commission, O ce of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, \Automated E-_eld scanning system for dosimetricPage 62 of 62 assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105{113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph K.astle, and Thomas Schmid, \Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEICE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645 (652, May 1997.
- [5] CENELEC, \Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz 6GHz", Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.
- [6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.
- [7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM _ 97, Dubrovnik, October 15 {17, 1997, pp. 120-24.
- [8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \E-field probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23 {25 June, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard K. uhn, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865-1873, Oct. 1996.
- [10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastle, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.
- [11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9
- [13] NIS81 NAMAS, \The treatment of uncertainity in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, 1994.
- [14] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, \Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 10.
- [15] FCC OET KDB643646 SAR Test Reduction Considerations for Occupational PTT Radios.

***** END OF REPORT *****

SAR Evaluation Report 62 of 62