

# **FCC SAR Test Report**

Report No. : SA140312C10

Applicant : MiTAC International Corp.

Address : Building B, No. 209, Sec. 1, Nan Gang Rd., Nan Gang Dist., Taipei 11568,

Taiwan, R.O.C.

Product : Tablet PC

FCC ID : P4Q-N435WIFI

Brand : Mio ; Mitac ; Code ; Janam ; Stryker

Model No. : N435

Standards : FCC 47 CFR Part 2 (2.1093) / IEEE C95.1:1992 / IEEE 1528:2003

IEEE 1528a-2005 / KDB 865664 D01 v01r03 / KDB 248227 D01 v01r02

KDB 447498 D01 v05r02 / KDB 941225 D07 v01r01

Sample Received Date : Mar. 12, 2014

Date of Testing : Jul. 18, 2014

**CERTIFICATION:** The above equipment have been tested by **Bureau Veritas Consumer Products Services (H.K.) Ltd., Taoyuan Branch – Lin Kou Laboratories**, and found compliance with the requirement of the above standards. The test record, data evaluation & Equipment Under Test (EUT) configurations represented herein are true and accurate accounts of the measurements of the sample's SAR characteristics under the conditions specified in this report. It should not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of our laboratory. The client should not use it to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by TAF or any government agencies.

Prepared By:

Vera Huang / Specialist

Approved By:

Roy Wu / Manager



This report is for your exclusive use. Any copying or replication of this report to or for any other person or entity, or use of our name or trademark, is permitted only with our prior written permission. This report sets forth our findings solely with respect to the test samples identified herein. The results set forth in this report are not indicative or representative of the quality or characteristics of the lot from which a test sample was taken or any similar or identical product unless specifically and expressly noted. Our report includes all of the tests requested by you and the results thereof based upon the information that you provided to us. You have 60 days from date of issuance of this report to notify us of any material error or omission caused by our negligence, provided, however, that such notice shall be in writing and shall specifically address the issue you wish to raise. A failure to raise such issue within the prescribed time shall constitute your unqualified acceptance of the completeness of this report, the tests conducted and the correctness of the report contents. Unless specific mention, the uncertainty of measurement has been explicitly taken into account to declare the compliance or non-compliance to the specification.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 1 of 27
Report No.: SA140312C10 Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014





Page No.

: 2 of 27

Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014

# **Table of Contents**

Ke	elease Control Record					
1.	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					
2.						
3.		Measurement System				
	3.1	Definition of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)	6			
	3.2	SPEAG DASY System	6			
		3.2.1 Robot	7			
		3.2.2 Probes				
		3.2.3 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)	8			
		3.2.4 Phantoms				
		3.2.5 Device Holder				
		3.2.6 System Validation Dipoles	10			
		3.2.7 Tissue Simulating Liquids	11			
	3.3	SAR System Verification	14			
	3.4	SAR Measurement Procedure				
		3.4.1 Area & Zoom Scan Procedure				
		3.4.2 Volume Scan Procedure	15			
		3.4.3 Power Drift Monitoring	16			
		3.4.4 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation	16			
		3.4.5 SAR Averaged Methods	16			
4.	SAR I	Measurement Evaluation				
	4.1	EUT Configuration and Setting	17			
	4.2	EUT Testing Position	17			
	4.3	Tissue Verification	19			
	4.4	System Validation	19			
	4.5	System Verification	19			
	4.6	Maximum Output Power				
		4.6.1 Maximum Conducted Power	20			
		4.6.2 Measured Conducted Power Result	20			
	4.7	SAR Testing Results	22			
		4.7.1 SAR Results for Body (Separation Distance is 5 mm Gap)	22			
		4.7.2 SAR Measurement Variability	23			
-		ration of Test Equipment				
6.		urement Uncertainty				
7	Inform	nation on the Testing Laboratories	27			

Appendix A. SAR Plots of System Verification

Appendix B. SAR Plots of SAR Measurement

Appendix C. Calibration Certificate for Probe and Dipole

Appendix D. Photographs of EUT and Setup



# **Release Control Record**

Report No.	Reason for Change	Date Issued
SA140312C10	Initial release	Jul. 22, 2014

 Report Format Version 5.0.0
 Page No. : 3 of 27

 Report No. : SA140312C10
 Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014



# 1. Summary of Maximum SAR Value

Equipment Class	Mode	Highest Reported <b>Body SAR</b> <sub>1g</sub> (5 mm Gap) (W/kg)
DTC	2.4G WLAN	0.34
DTS	5.8G WLAN	0.73
	5.2G WLAN	0.26
NII	5.3G WLAN	0.33
	5.6G WLAN	0.52
DSS	Bluetooth	N/A
DXX	NFC	N/A

### Note:

1. The SAR limit (SAR<sub>1g</sub> 1.6 W/kg) for general population / uncontrolled exposure is specified in FCC 47 CFR part 2 (2.1093) and ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992.

 Report Format Version 5.0.0
 Page No.
 : 4 of 27

 Report No. : SA140312C10
 Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014



# 2. <u>Description of Equipment Under Test</u>

EUT Type	Tablet PC
FCC ID	P4Q-N435WIFI
Brand Name	Mio; Mitac; Code; Janam; Stryker
Model Name	N435
	EUT 1: Tablet w/ 2D HoneyWell (Laser) Scanner
	EUT 2: Tablet w/ 2D HoneyWell (LED) Scanner
EUT Configuration	EUT 3: Tablet w/ 2D Code Scanner
	EUT 4: Tablet w/ 1D Opticon Scanner
	EUT 5: Tablet w/o Bar Code Scanner
Ty Fraguency Pands	WLAN: 2412 ~ 2462, 5180 ~ 5240, 5260 ~ 5320, 5500 ~ 5700, 5745 ~ 5825
Tx Frequency Bands	Bluetooth : 2402 ~ 2480
(Unit: MHz)	NFC: 13.56
	802.11b: DSSS
Unlink Madulations	802.11a/g/n : OFDM
Uplink Modulations	Bluetooth : GFSK
	NFC : ASK
	WLAN 2.4G: 15.5
	WLAN 5.2G : 16.0
Maximum Tune-up Conducted Power	WLAN 5.3G : 16.0
(Unit: dBm)	WLAN 5.6G : 16.5
	WLAN 5.8G : 16.0
	Bluetooth: 2.5
Antenna Type	Fixed Internal Antenna
EUT Stage	Identical Prototype

### Note:

1. The above EUT information is declared by manufacturer and for more detailed features description please refers to the manufacturer's specifications or User's Manual.

## **List of Accessory:**

	-	
	Brand Name	Tian Yu
Battery	Model Name	SJS3060
Dallery	Power Rating	3.7Vdc, 3060mAh
	Туре	Li-ion
BCR Scanner 1	Brand Name	Honeywell
(2D)	Model Name	N5603, N56X3
(20)	Remark	Laser
BCR Scanner 2	Brand Name	Honeywell
(2D)	Model Name	N5600, N56X3, N56X0, N5603
(20)	Remark	LED
BCR Scanner 3	Brand Name	Code
(2D)	Model Name	CR8012
BCR Scanner 4	<b>Brand Name</b>	Opticon
(1D)	Model Name	MDL-2XXX : MSL-2XXX

 Report Format Version 5.0.0
 Page No.
 : 5 of 27

 Report No. : SA140312C10
 Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014



# 3. SAR Measurement System

### 3.1 Definition of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are higher than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density (ρ). The equation description is as below:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{\rho dv} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg)

SAR measurement can be related to the electrical field in the tissue by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

Where:  $\sigma$  is the conductivity of the tissue,  $\rho$  is the mass density of the tissue and E is the RMS electrical field strength.

## 3.2 SPEAG DASY System

DASY system consists of high precision robot, probe alignment sensor, phantom, robot controller, controlled measurement server and near-field probe. The robot includes six axes that can move to the precision position of the DASY4/5 software defined. The DASY software can define the area that is detected by the probe. The robot is connected to controlled box. Controlled measurement server is connected to the controlled robot box. The DAE includes amplifier, signal multiplexing, AD converter, offset measurement and surface detection. It is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (ECO). The ECO performs the conversion form the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 6 of 27
Report No.: SA140312C10 Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014







Fig-3.1 DASY System Setup

### 3.2.1 Robot

The DASY system uses the high precision robots from Stäubli SA (France). For the 6-axis controller system, the robot controller version (DASY4: CS7MB; DASY5: CS8c) from Stäubli is used. The Stäubli robot series have many features that are important for our application:

- High precision (repeatability ±0.035 mm)
- High reliability (industrial design)
- · Jerk-free straight movements
- · Low ELF interference (the closed metallic construction shields against motor control fields)



Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 7 of 27
Report No.: SA140312C10 Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014



### 3.2.2 Probes

The SAR measurement is conducted with the dosimetric probe. The probe is specially designed and calibrated for use in liquid with high permittivity. The dosimetric probe has special calibration in liquid at different frequency.

Model	EX3DV4	
Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core. Built-in shielding against static charges. PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE).	
Frequency	10 MHz to 6 GHz Linearity: ± 0.2 dB	
Directivity	± 0.3 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.5 dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)	
Dynamic Range	10 μW/g to 100 mW/g Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (noise: typically < 1 μW/g)	
Dimensions	Overall length: 337 mm (Tip: 20 mm) Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 12 mm) Typical distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1 mm	

Model	ES3DV3	
Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core. Interleaved sensors. Built-in shielding against static charges. PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE).	
Frequency	10 MHz to 4 GHz Linearity: ± 0.2 dB	
Directivity	± 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.3 dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)	
Dynamic Range	5 μW/g to 100 mW/g Linearity: ± 0.2 dB	AST .
Dimensions	Overall length: 337 mm (Tip: 20 mm) Tip diameter: 3.9 mm (Body: 12 mm) Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.0 mm	

# 3.2.3 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)

Model	DAE3, DAE4	
Construction	Signal amplifier, multiplexer, A/D converter and control logic. Serial optical link for communication with DASY embedded system (fully remote controlled). Two step probe touch detector for mechanical surface detection and emergency robot stop.	
Measurement	-100 to +300 mV (16 bit resolution and two range settings: 4mV,	
Range	400mV)	The state of the s
Input Offset	< 5µV (with auto zero)	
Voltage	Spy (with auto 2010)	
Input Bias Current	< 50 fA	
Dimensions	60 x 60 x 68 mm	

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 8 of 27
Report No.: SA140312C10 Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014



## 3.2.4 Phantoms

Model	Twin SAM	
Construction	The shell corresponds to the specifications of the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE 1528 and IEC 62209-1. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by teaching three points with the robot.	
Material	Vinylester, glass fiber reinforced (VE-GF)	
Shell Thickness	2 ± 0.2 mm (6 ± 0.2 mm at ear point)	
Dimensions	Length: 1000 mm  Width: 500 mm  Height: adjustable feet	
Filling Volume	approx. 25 liters	



Model	ELI
Construction	Phantom for compliance testing of handheld and body-mounted wireless devices in the frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz. ELI is fully compatible with the IEC 62209-2 standard and all known tissue simulating liquids. ELI has been optimized regarding its performance and can be integrated into our standard phantom tables. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow installation of the complete setup, including all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids, by teaching three points. The phantom is compatible with all SPEAG dosimetric probes and dipoles.
Material	Vinylester, glass fiber reinforced (VE-GF)
Shell Thickness	2.0 ± 0.2 mm (bottom plate)
Dimensions	Major axis: 600 mm Minor axis: 400 mm
Filling Volume	approx. 30 liters



 Report Format Version 5.0.0
 Page No.
 : 9 of 27

 Report No. : SA140312C10
 Issued Date
 : Jul. 22, 2014



## 3.2.5 Device Holder

Model	Mounting Device	
Construction	In combination with the Twin SAM Phantom or ELI4, the Mounting Device enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter device in spherical coordinates. Rotation point is the ear opening point. Transmitter devices can be easily and accurately positioned according to IEC, IEEE, FCC or other specifications. The device holder can be locked for positioning at different phantom sections (left head, right head, flat).	
Material	POM	

Model	Laptop Extensions Kit	
Construction	Simple but effective and easy-to-use extension for Mounting Device that facilitates the testing of larger devices according to IEC 62209-2 (e.g., laptops, cameras, etc.). It is lightweight and fits easily on the upper part of the Mounting Device in place of the phone positioner.	
Material	POM, Acrylic glass, Foam	

## 3.2.6 System Validation Dipoles

Model	D-Serial	
Construction	Symmetrical dipole with I/4 balun. Enables measurement of feed point impedance with NWA. Matched for use near flat phantoms filled with tissue simulating solutions.	
Frequency	750 MHz to 5800 MHz	
Return Loss	> 20 dB	
Power Capability	> 100 W (f < 1GHz), > 40 W (f > 1GHz)	


 Report Format Version 5.0.0
 Page No.
 : 10 of 27

 Report No. : SA140312C10
 Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014



### 3.2.7 Tissue Simulating Liquids

For SAR measurement of the field distribution inside the phantom, the phantom must be filled with homogeneous tissue simulating liquid to a depth of at least 15 cm. For head SAR testing, the liquid height from the ear reference point (ERP) of the phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. For body SAR testing, the liquid height from the center of the flat phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. The nominal dielectric values of the tissue simulating liquids in the phantom and the tolerance of 5% are listed in Table-3.1.



The dielectric properties of the head tissue simulating liquids are defined in IEEE 1528, and KDB 865664 D01 Appendix A. For the body tissue simulating liquids, the dielectric properties are defined in KDB 865664 D01 Appendix A. The dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using an Agilent 85070D Dielectric Probe Kit and an Agilent Network Analyzer.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 11 of 27
Report No.: SA140312C10 Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014



**Table-3.1 Targets of Tissue Simulating Liquid** 

Erogueney.		Range of	Target	Range of
Frequency (MHz)	Target Permittivity	±5%	Conductivity	±5%
		For Head		
750	41.9	39.8 ~ 44.0	0.89	0.85 ~ 0.93
835	41.5	39.4 ~ 43.6	0.90	0.86 ~ 0.95
900	41.5	39.4 ~ 43.6	0.97	0.92 ~ 1.02
1450	40.5	38.5 ~ 42.5	1.20	1.14 ~ 1.26
1640	40.3	38.3 ~ 42.3	1.29	1.23 ~ 1.35
1750	40.1	38.1 ~ 42.1	1.37	1.30 ~ 1.44
1800	40.0	38.0 ~ 42.0	1.40	1.33 ~ 1.47
1900	40.0	38.0 ~ 42.0	1.40	1.33 ~ 1.47
2000	40.0	38.0 ~ 42.0	1.40	1.33 ~ 1.47
2300	39.5	37.5 ~ 41.5	1.67	1.59 ~ 1.75
2450	39.2	37.2 ~ 41.2	1.80	1.71 ~ 1.89
2600	39.0	37.1 ~ 41.0	1.96	1.86 ~ 2.06
3500	37.9	36.0 ~ 39.8	2.91	2.76 ~ 3.06
5200	36.0	34.2 ~ 37.8	4.66	4.43 ~ 4.89
5300	35.9	34.1 ~ 37.7	4.76	4.52 ~ 5.00
5500	35.6	33.8 ~ 37.4	4.96	4.71 ~ 5.21
5600	35.5	33.7 ~ 37.3	5.07	4.82 ~ 5.32
5800	35.3	33.5 ~ 37.1	5.27	5.01 ~ 5.53
		For Body	Ţ	5.5.
750	55.5	52.7 ~ 58.3	0.96	0.91 ~ 1.01
835	55.2	52.4 ~ 58.0	0.97	0.92 ~ 1.02
900	55.0	52.3 ~ 57.8	1.05	1.00 ~ 1.10
1450	54.0	51.3 ~ 56.7	1.30	1.24 ~ 1.37
1640	53.8	51.1 ~ 56.5	1.40	1.33 ~ 1.47
1750	53.4	50.7 ~ 56.1	1.49	1.42 ~ 1.56
1800	53.3	50.6 ~ 56.0	1.52	1.44 ~ 1.60
1900	53.3	50.6 ~ 56.0	1.52	1.44 ~ 1.60
2000	53.3	50.6 ~ 56.0	1.52	1.44 ~ 1.60
2300	52.9	50.3 ~ 55.5	1.81	1.72 ~ 1.90
2450	52.7	50.1 ~ 55.3	1.95	1.85 ~ 2.05
2600	52.5	49.9 ~ 55.1	2.16	2.05 ~ 2.27
3500	51.3	48.7 ~ 53.9	3.31	3.14 ~ 3.48
5200	49.0	46.6 ~ 51.5	5.30	5.04 ~ 5.57
5300	48.9	46.5 ~ 51.3	5.42	5.15 ~ 5.69
5500	48.6	46.2 ~ 51.0	5.65	5.37 ~ 5.93
5600	48.5	46.1 ~ 50.9	5.77	5.48 ~ 6.06
5800	48.2	45.8 ~ 50.6	6.00	5.70 ~ 6.30

 Report Format Version 5.0.0
 Page No.
 : 12 of 27

 Report No. : SA140312C10
 Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014





The following table gives the recipes for tissue simulating liquids.

**Table-3.2 Recipes of Tissue Simulating Liquid** 

Tissue Type	Bactericide	DGBE	HEC	NaCl	Sucrose	Triton X-100	Water	Diethylene Glycol Mono- hexylether
H750	0.2	-	0.2	1.5	56.0	-	42.1	-
H835	0.2	-	0.2	1.5	57.0	-	41.1	-
H900	0.2	-	0.2	1.4	58.0	-	40.2	-
H1450	-	43.3	-	0.6	-	-	56.1	-
H1640	-	45.8	-	0.5	-	-	53.7	-
H1750	-	47.0	-	0.4	-	-	52.6	-
H1800	-	44.5	-	0.3	-	-	55.2	-
H1900	-	44.5	-	0.2	-	-	55.3	-
H2000	-	44.5	-	0.1	-	-	55.4	-
H2300	-	44.9	-	0.1	-	-	55.0	-
H2450	-	45.0	-	0.1	-	-	54.9	-
H2600	-	45.1	-	0.1	-	-	54.8	-
H3500	-	8.0	-	0.2	-	20.0	71.8	-
H5G	-	-	-	•	-	17.2	65.5	17.3
B750	0.2	-	0.2	0.8	48.8	-	50.0	-
B835	0.2	-	0.2	0.9	48.5	-	50.2	-
B900	0.2	-	0.2	0.9	48.2	-	50.5	-
B1450	-	34.0	-	0.3	-	-	65.7	-
B1640	-	32.5	-	0.3	-	-	67.2	-
B1750	-	31.0	-	0.2	-	-	68.8	-
B1800	-	29.5	-	0.4	-	-	70.1	-
B1900	-	29.5	-	0.3	-	-	70.2	-
B2000	-	30.0	-	0.2	-	-	69.8	-
B2300	-	31.0	-	0.1	-		68.9	-
B2450	-	31.4	-	0.1	-	1	68.5	-
B2600	-	31.8	-	0.1	-	-	68.1	-
B3500	-	28.8	-	0.1	-	-	71.1	-
B5G	-	-	-	-	-	10.7	78.6	10.7


 Report Format Version 5.0.0
 Page No.
 : 13 of 27

 Report No. : SA140312C10
 Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014



## 3.3 SAR System Verification

The system check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. It is performed daily or before every SAR measurement. The system check uses normal SAR measurements in the flat section of the phantom with a matched dipole at a specified distance. The system verification setup is shown as below.



The validation dipole is placed beneath the flat phantom with the specific spacer in place. The distance spacer is touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The power meter PM1 measures the forward power at the location of the system check dipole connector. The signal generator is adjusted for the desired forward power (250 mW is used for 700 MHz to 3 GHz, 100 mW is used for 3.5 GHz to 6 GHz) at the dipole connector and the power meter PM2 is read at that level. After connecting the cable to the dipole, the signal generator is readjusted for the same reading at power meter PM2.

After system check testing, the SAR result will be normalized to 1W forward input power and compared with the reference SAR value derived from validation dipole certificate report. The deviation of system check should be within 10 %.

 Report Format Version 5.0.0
 Page No.
 : 14 of 27

 Report No. : SA140312C10
 Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014



### 3.4 SAR Measurement Procedure

According to the SAR test standard, the recommended procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

- (a) Power reference measurement
- (b) Area scan
- (c) Zoom scan
- (d) Power drift measurement

The SAR measurement procedures for each of test conditions are as follows:

- (a) Make EUT to transmit maximum output power
- (b) Measure conducted output power through RF cable
- (c) Place the EUT in the specific position of phantom
- (d) Perform SAR testing steps on the DASY system
- (e) Record the SAR value

#### 3.4.1 Area & Zoom Scan Procedure

First Area Scan is used to locate the approximate location(s) of the local peak SAR value(s). The measurement grid within an Area Scan is defined by the grid extent, grid step size and grid offset. Next, in order to determine the EM field distribution in a three-dimensional spatial extension, Zoom Scan is required. The Zoom Scan is performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR-distribution over 10 g. According to KDB 865664 D01, the resolution for Area and Zoom scan is specified in the table below.

Items	<= 2 GHz	2-3 GHz	3-4 GHz	4-5 GHz	5-6 GHz
Area Scan (Δx, Δy)	<= 15 mm	<= 12 mm	<= 12 mm	<= 10 mm	<= 10 mm
Zoom Scan (Δx, Δy)	<= 8 mm	<= 5 mm	<= 5 mm	<= 4 mm	<= 4 mm
Zoom Scan (Δz)	<= 5 mm	<= 5 mm	<= 4 mm	<= 3 mm	<= 2 mm
Zoom Scan Volume	>= 30 mm	>= 30 mm	>= 28 mm	>= 25 mm	>= 22 mm

#### Note:

When zoom scan is required and report SAR is <= 1.4 W/kg, the zoom scan resolution of  $\Delta x / \Delta y$  (2-3GHz: <= 8 mm, 3-4GHz: <= 7 mm, 4-6GHz: <= 5 mm) may be applied.

### 3.4.2 Volume Scan Procedure

The volume scan is used for assess overlapping SAR distributions for antennas transmitting in different frequency bands. It is equivalent to an oversized zoom scan used in standalone measurements. The measurement volume will be used to enclose all the simultaneous transmitting antennas. For antennas transmitting simultaneously in different frequency bands, the volume scan is measured separately in each frequency band. In order to sum correctly to compute the 1g aggregate SAR, the EUT remain in the same test position for all measurements and all volume scan use the same spatial resolution and grid spacing. When all volume scan were completed, the software, SEMCAD postprocessor can combine and subsequently superpose these measurement data to calculating the multiband SAR.

 Report Format Version 5.0.0
 Page No. : 15 of 27

 Report No. : SA140312C10
 Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014



#### 3.4.3 Power Drift Monitoring

All SAR testing is under the EUT install full charged battery and transmit maximum output power. In DASY measurement software, the power reference measurement and power drift measurement procedures are used for monitoring the power drift of EUT during SAR test. Both these procedures measure the field at a specified reference position before and after the SAR testing. The software will calculate the field difference in dB. If the power drift more than 5%, the SAR will be retested.

### 3.4.4 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation

The procedure for spatial peak SAR evaluation has been implemented according to the test standard. It can be conducted for 1g and 10g, as well as for user-specific masses. The DASY software includes all numerical procedures necessary to evaluate the spatial peak SAR value.

The base for the evaluation is a "cube" measurement. The measured volume must include the 1g and 10g cubes with the highest averaged SAR values. For that purpose, the center of the measured volume is aligned to the interpolated peak SAR value of a previously performed area scan.

The entire evaluation of the spatial peak values is performed within the post-processing engine (SEMCAD). The system always gives the maximum values for the 1g and 10g cubes. The algorithm to find the cube with highest averaged SAR is divided into the following stages:

- (a) Extraction of the measured data (grid and values) from the Zoom Scan
- (b) Calculation of the SAR value at every measurement point based on all stored data (A/D values and measurement parameters)
- (c) Generation of a high-resolution mesh within the measured volume
- (d) Interpolation of all measured values form the measurement grid to the high-resolution grid
- (e) Extrapolation of the entire 3-D field distribution to the phantom surface over the distance from sensor to surface
- (f) Calculation of the averaged SAR within masses of 1g and 10g

#### 3.4.5 SAR Averaged Methods

In DASY, the interpolation and extrapolation are both based on the modified Quadratic Shepard's method. The interpolation scheme combines a least-square fitted function method and a weighted average method which are the two basic types of computational interpolation and approximation.

Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation distance is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. The uncertainty increases with the extrapolation distance. To keep the uncertainty within 1% for the 1 g and 10 g cubes, the extrapolation distance should not be larger than 5 mm.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 16 of 27
Report No.: SA140312C10 Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014



# 4. SAR Measurement Evaluation

## 4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting

For WLAN SAR testing, the EUT has installed WLAN engineering testing software which can provide continuous transmitting RF signal. According to KDB 248227 D01, WLAN SAR should tested at the lowest data rate, and testing at higher data rate is not required when the maximum average output power is less than 1/4 dB higher than those measured at the lowest data rate. Since the WLAN power at lowest data rate has highest output power, WLAN SAR for this device was performed at the lowest data rate.

### 4.2 EUT Testing Position

The test position was determined based on the FCC response shown in below.

FCC Response on 03/10/2014:

Based upon the information provided, the EUT has a diagonal screen size of 15cm and is designed primarily for interactive hand-held use next to or near the body of the user. The device does not support next to ear voice operations.

Such a device is considered a UMPC Mini-Tablet and is subject to the guidance provided in KDB 941225 D07. As such, this EUT must be tested for 1-g SAR on all surfaces and side edges with a transmitting antenna located at <= 25mm from that surface or edge, at 5mm separation from a flat phantom, for the data modes, wireless technologies and frequency bands supported by the device to determine SAR compliance.

For simultaneous transmission conditions, the procedures described in KDB 447498 are used to determine 1-g SAR test exclusion and SAR test requirements. The simultaneous transmission configurations must be clearly described in the SAR report to support the test exclusion analysis and results.

According to KDB 941225 D07, UMPC mini-tablet devices are tested on all sides and edges with a transmitting antenna within 25 mm from that surface or edge, at 5 mm separation from a flat phantom, for the data modes, wireless technologies and frequency bands supported by the device to determine SAR compliance. Since the procedures are more conservative than those required for hotspot mode, additional SAR tests for hotspot mode is typically not necessary.

 Report Format Version 5.0.0
 Page No.
 : 17 of 27

 Report No. : SA140312C10
 Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014






Fig-4.1 Illustration for Tablet Setup

Based on the antenna location shown on appendix of this report, the SAR testing required for hotspot mode is listed as below.

Antenna	Front Face	Rear Face	Left Side	Right Side	Top Side	Bottom Side
WLAN 2.4G / BT	V	V		V		
WLAN 5G	V	V		V		

According to KDB 447498 D01, the SAR test exclusion condition is based on source-based time-averaged maximum conducted output power, adjusted for tune-up tolerance, and the minimum test separation distance required for the exposure conditions. The SAR exclusion threshold is determined by the following formula.

1. For the test separation distance <= 50 mm

$$\frac{\text{Max.Tune up Power}_{(mW)}}{\text{Min.Test Separation Distance}_{(mm)}} \times \sqrt{f_{(GHz)}} \leq 3.0$$

When the minimum test separation distance is < 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm is applied to determine SAR test exclusion.

2. For the test separation distance > 50 mm, and the frequency at 100 MHz to 1500 MHz

[(Threshold at 50 mm in Step 1) + (Test Separation Distance – 50 mm) 
$$\times \left(\frac{f_{(MHz)}}{150}\right)$$
]<sub>(mW)</sub>

3. For the test separation distance > 50 mm, and the frequency at > 1500 MHz to 6 GHz  $\left[ (\text{Threshold at } 50 \text{ mm in Step 1}) + (\text{Test Separation Distance} - 50 \text{ mm}) \times 10 \right]_{(mW)}$ 

	Max.	Max.	Froi	nt Face / Rear F	ace		Top Side			Bottom Side			Left Side			Right Side	
Mode	Tune-up Power (dBm)	Tune-up Power (mW)	Ant. to Surface (mm)	Calculated Result	Require SAR Testing?												
ВТ	2.5	2	5	0.6	No	30	0.1	No	145.3	1048 mW	No	88.3	478 mW	No	5	0.6	No

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 18 of 27
Report No.: SA140312C10 Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014



## 4.3 Tissue Verification

The measuring results for tissue simulating liquid are shown as below.

Test Date	Tissue Type	Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Temp. (℃)	Measured Conductivity (σ)	Measured Permittivity $(\epsilon_r)$	Target Conductivity (σ)	Target Permittivity (ε <sub>r</sub> )	Conductivity Deviation (%)	Permittivity Deviation (%)
Jul. 18, 2014	Body	2450	21.2	1.967	53.819	1.95	52.7	0.87	2.12
Jul. 18, 2014	Body	5200	21.2	5.395	47.744	5.30	49.0	1.79	-2.56
Jul. 18, 2014	Body	5300	21.2	5.537	47.539	5.42	48.9	2.16	-2.78
Jul. 18, 2014	Body	5600	21.2	5.958	46.934	5.77	48.5	3.26	-3.23
Jul. 18, 2014	Body	5800	21.2	6.235	46.547	6.00	48.2	3.92	-3.43

#### Note:

The dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquid must be measured within 24 hours before the SAR testing and within  $\pm 5\%$  of the target values. Liquid temperature during the SAR testing must be within  $\pm 2\%$ .

## 4.4 System Validation

The SAR measurement system was validated according to procedures in KDB 865664 D01. The validation status in tabulated summary is as below.

Test	Drobo					Measured	Measured	Va	lidation for C	w	Valida	tion for Modu	lation
Date	Probe S/N	Calibrati	on Point	Conductivity (σ)	Permittivity (ε <sub>r</sub> )	Sensitivity Range	Probe Linearity	Probe Isotropy	Modulation Type	Duty Factor	PAR		
Jul. 18, 2014	3650	Body	2450	1.967	53.819	Pass	Pass	Pass	OFDM	N/A	Pass		
Jul. 18, 2014	3820	Body	5200	5.395	47.744	Pass	Pass	Pass	OFDM	N/A	Pass		
Jul. 18, 2014	3820	Body	5300	5.537	47.539	Pass	Pass	Pass	OFDM	N/A	Pass		
Jul. 18, 2014	3820	Body	5600	5.958	46.934	Pass	Pass	Pass	OFDM	N/A	Pass		
Jul. 18, 2014	3820	Body	5800	6.235	46.547	Pass	Pass	Pass	OFDM	N/A	Pass		

## 4.5 System Verification

The measuring result for system verification is tabulated as below.

Test Date	Mode	Frequency (MHz)	1W Target SAR-1g (W/kg)	Measured SAR-1g (W/kg)	Normalized to 1W SAR-1g (W/kg)	Deviation (%)	Dipole S/N	Probe S/N	DAE S/N
Jul. 18, 2014	Body	2450	49.60	12.10	48.40	-2.42	737	3650	360
Jul. 18, 2014	Body	5200	73.00	7.49	74.90	2.60	1019	3820	1431
Jul. 18, 2014	Body	5300	74.60	7.66	76.60	2.68	1019	3820	1431
Jul. 18, 2014	Body	5600	79.90	8.32	83.20	4.13	1019	3820	1431
Jul. 18, 2014	Body	5800	73.40	6.98	69.80	-4.90	1019	3820	1431

#### Note:

Comparing to the reference SAR value provided by SPEAG, the validation data should be within its specification of 10 %. The result indicates the system check can meet the variation criterion and the plots can be referred to Appendix A of this report.

 Report Format Version 5.0.0
 Page No.
 : 19 of 27

 Report No. : SA140312C10
 Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014



# 4.6 Maximum Output Power

### 4.6.1 Maximum Conducted Power

The maximum conducted average power (Unit: dBm) including tune-up tolerance is shown as below.

Mode	2.4G WLAN	5.2G WLAN	5.3G WLAN	5.6G WLAN	5.8G WLAN
802.11b	12.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
802.11g	15.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
802.11a	N/A	14.0	14.5	16.5	16.0
802.11n HT20	14.5	14.0	14.5	16.5	15.5
802.11n HT40	11.0	16.0	16.0	12.0	15.0

Mode	Bluetooth
All	2.5

## 4.6.2 Measured Conducted Power Result

The measuring conducted average power (Unit: dBm) is shown as below.

### <WLAN 2.4G>

Mode		802.11b						
Channel / Frequency (MHz)	1 (2412)	6 (2437)	11 (2462)					
Average Power	11.94	11.92	11.82					
Mode		802.11g						
Channel / Frequency (MHz)	1 (2412)	6 (2437)	11 (2462)					
Average Power	9.33	15.19	9.13					
Mode		802.11n (HT20)						
Channel / Frequency (MHz)	1 (2412)	6 (2437)	11 (2462)					
Average Power	8.79	14.41	8.66					
Mode		802.11n (HT40)						
Channel / Frequency (MHz)	3 (2422)	6 (2437)	9 (2452)					
Average Power	6.84	10.66	6.78					

### <WLAN 5.2G>

Mode	802.11a						
Channel / Frequency (MHz)	36 (5180)	40 (5200)	44 (5220)	48 (5240)			
Average Power	13.90	13.42	13.76	13.48			
Mode		802.11n (HT20)					
Channel / Frequency (MHz)	36 (5180)	40 (5200)	44 (5220)	48 (5240)			
Average Power	13.77	13.57	13.66	13.47			
Mode		802.11r	າ (HT40)				
Channel / Frequency (MHz)	38 (	5190)	46 (5230)				
Average Power	15	5.73	15.68				

 Report Format Version 5.0.0
 Page No.
 : 20 of 27

 Report No. : SA140312C10
 Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014





## <WLAN 5.3G>

Mode	802.11a						
Channel / Frequency (MHz)	52 (5260)	56 (5280)	60 (5300)	64 (5320)			
Average Power	13.93	14.05	13.96	13.14			
Mode		802.11n (HT20)					
Channel / Frequency (MHz)	52 (5260)	56 (5280)	60 (5300)	64 (5320)			
Average Power	14.13	13.22	14.17	13.46			
Mode		802.11r	n (HT40)				
Channel / Frequency (MHz)	54 (5	62 (	62 (5310)				
Average Power	15	.76	10.51				

## <WLAN 5.6G>

Mode	802.11a							
Channel / Frequency (MHz)	100 (5500)	104 (5520)	108 (5540)	112 (5560)	116 (5580)	132 (5660)	136 (5680)	140 (5700)
Average Power	13.11	15.81	15.75	15.53	16.19	15.90	15.85	11.41
Mode		802.11n (HT20)						
Channel / Frequency (MHz)	100 (5500)	104 (5520)	108 (5540)	112 (5560)	116 (5580)	132 (5660)	136 (5680)	140 (5700)
Average Power	12.21	15.81	15.84	16.03	16.05	15.79	15.66	10.51
Mode				802.11n	(HT40)			
Channel / Frequency (MHz)	102 (5510) 134 (5670)							
Average Power		9.	16			11.	.59	

## <WLAN 5.8G>

Mode	802.11a							
Channel / Frequency (MHz)	149 (5745)	153 (5765)	157 (5785)	161 (5805)	165 (5825)			
Average Power	15.52	15.47	15.33	15.26	15.16			
Mode	802.11n (HT20)							
Channel / Frequency (MHz)	149 (5745)	153 (5765)	157 (5785)	161 (5805)	165 (5825)			
Average Power	15.44	15.42	15.43	15.39	15.13			
Mode			802.11n (HT40)					
Channel / Frequency (MHz)	1	159 (5795	5)					
Average Power		14.31		14.96				

 Report Format Version 5.0.0
 Page No.
 : 21 of 27

 Report No. : SA140312C10
 Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014



# 4.7 SAR Testing Results

# 4.7.1 SAR Results for Body (Separation Distance is 5 mm Gap)

Plot No.	Band	Mode	Test Position	Ch.	EUT Config.	Max. Tune-up Power (dBm)	Measured Conducted Power (dBm)	Scaling Factor	Power Drift (dB)	Measured SAR-1g (W/kg)	Scaled SAR-1g (W/kg)
	802.11b	-	Front Face	1	1	12.0	11.94	1.01	0.03	0.063	0.06
	802.11b	-	Rear Face	1	1	12.0	11.94	1.01	-0.02	0.03	0.03
	802.11b	-	Right Side	1	1	12.0	11.94	1.01	0.06	0.072	0.07
	802.11g	,	Right Side	6	1	15.5	15.19	1.07	-0.04	0.142	0.15
	802.11n	HT20	Right Side	6	1	14.5	14.41	1.02	-0.03	0.119	0.12
01	802.11g	-	Right Side	6	2	15.5	15.19	1.07	-0.12	0.321	<mark>0.34</mark>
	802.11g	-	Right Side	6	3	15.5	15.19	1.07	-0.04	0.149	0.16
	802.11g	ı	Right Side	6	4	15.5	15.19	1.07	-0.03	0.155	0.17
	802.11g	-	Right Side	6	5	15.5	15.19	1.07	-0.12	0.167	0.18
	802.11a	-	Front Face	36	1	14.0	13.90	1.02	-0.06	0.085	0.09
	802.11a	-	Rear Face	36	1	14.0	13.90	1.02	0.18	0.00904	0.01
	802.11a	-	Right Side	36	1	14.0	13.90	1.02	0.15	0.181	0.19
02	802.11n	HT40	Right Side	38	1	16.0	15.73	1.06	-0.05	0.249	<mark>0.26</mark>
	802.11n	HT40	Right Side	38	2	16.0	15.73	1.06	0.15	0.17	0.18
	802.11n	HT40	Right Side	38	3	16.0	15.73	1.06	-0.01	0.166	0.18
	802.11n	HT40	Right Side	38	4	16.0	15.73	1.06	-0.13	0.224	0.24
	802.11n	HT40	Right Side	38	5	16.0	15.73	1.06	0.06	0.165	0.18
	802.11a	-	Front Face	56	1	14.5	14.05	1.11	-0.11	0.104	0.12
	802.11a	-	Rear Face	56	1	14.5	14.05	1.11	-0.15	0.015	0.02
	802.11a	-	Right Side	56	1	14.5	14.05	1.11	-0.09	0.262	0.29
03	802.11n	HT40	Right Side	54	1	16.0	15.76	1.06	-0.12	0.314	0.33
	802.11n	HT40	Right Side	54	2	16.0	15.76	1.06	0.01	0.235	0.25
	802.11n	HT40	Right Side	54	3	16.0	15.76	1.06	0.06	0.22	0.23
	802.11n	HT40	Right Side	54	4	16.0	15.76	1.06	0.13	0.3	0.32
	802.11n	HT40	Right Side	54	5	16.0	15.76	1.06	0.06	0.217	0.23
	802.11a	-	Front Face	116	1	16.5	16.19	1.07	-0.03	0.225	0.24
	802.11a	-	Rear Face	116	1	16.5	16.19	1.07	0.06	0.019	0.02
04	802.11a	-	Right Side	116	1	16.5	16.19	1.07	0.12	0.48	0.52
	802.11a	-	Right Side	116	2	16.5	16.19	1.07	-0.04	0.422	0.45
	802.11a	-	Right Side	116	3	16.5	16.19	1.07	-0.03	0.397	0.43
	802.11a	-	Right Side	116	4	16.5	16.19	1.07	-0.12	0.467	0.50
	802.11a	-	Right Side	116	5	16.5	16.19	1.07	-0.02	0.463	0.50
	802.11a	-	Front Face	149	1	16.0	15.52	1.12	-0.03	0.295	0.33
	802.11a	-	Rear Face	149	1	16.0	15.52	1.12	0.03	0.00343	0.00
	802.11a	-	Right Side	149	1	16.0	15.52	1.12	0.06	0.48	0.54
	802.11a	-	Right Side	149	2	16.0	15.52	1.12	-0.06	0.492	0.55
05	802.11a	-	Right Side	149	3	16.0	15.52	1.12	-0.02	0.652	0.73
	802.11a	-	Right Side	149	4	16.0	15.52	1.12	-0.01	0.548	0.61
	802.11a	-	Right Side	149	5	16.0	15.52	1.12	-0.13	0.538	0.60

### Note:

- 1. According to KDB 248227, when the extrapolated maximum peak SAR for the maximum output power channel is <= 1.6 W/kg and the 1g averaged SAR is <= 0.8 W/kg, WLAN SAR testing for other channels is not required.
- 2. SAR testing for 802.11g/n is not required when its maximum power is less than 1/4 dB higher than 802.11b.
- 3. SAR testing for 802.11n is not required when its maximum power is less than 1/4 dB higher than 802.11a.

 Report Format Version 5.0.0
 Page No.
 : 22 of 27

 Report No. : SA140312C10
 Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014

## **FCC SAR Test Report**



### 4.7.2 SAR Measurement Variability

According to KDB 865664 D01, SAR measurement variability was assessed for each frequency band, which is determined by the SAR probe calibration point and tissue-equivalent medium used for the device measurements. When both head and body tissue-equivalent media are required for SAR measurements in a frequency band, the variability measurement procedures should be applied to the tissue medium with the highest measured SAR, using the highest measured SAR configuration for that tissue-equivalent medium. Alternatively, if the highest measured SAR for both head and body tissue-equivalent media are  $\leq 1.45$  W/kg and the ratio of these highest SAR values, i.e., largest divided by smallest value, is  $\leq 1.10$ , the highest SAR configuration for either head or body tissue-equivalent medium may be used to perform the repeated measurement. These additional measurements are repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device should be returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it is re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results.

Since all the measured SAR are less than 0.8 W/kg, the repeated measurement is not required.

Test Engineer: Sam Onn, and Eric Wu

 Report Format Version 5.0.0
 Page No. : 23 of 27

 Report No. : SA140312C10
 Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014





# 5. Calibration of Test Equipment

Equipment	Manufacturer	Model	SN	Cal. Date	Cal. Interval
System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D2450V2	737	Jan. 21, 2013	2 Years
System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D5GHzV2	1019	Nov. 16, 2012	2 Years
Dosimetric E-Field Probe	SPEAG	EX3DV4	3650	Apr. 24, 2014	1 Year
Dosimetric E-Field Probe	SPEAG	EX3DV4	3820	May 15, 2014	1 Year
Data Acquisition Electronics	SPEAG	DAE3	360	Feb. 17, 2014	1 Year
Data Acquisition Electronics	SPEAG	DAE4	1431	Mar. 24, 2014	1 Year
ENA Series Network Analyzer	Agilent	E5071C	MY46214281	Jun. 13, 2014	1 Year
EXA Spectrum Analyzer	Agilent	N9010A	MY53470455	Feb. 22, 2014	1 Year
MXG Analong Signal Generator	Agilent	N5181A	MY50143868	Jun. 26, 2014	1 Year
Power Meter	Anritsu	ML2495A	1218009	Jun. 26, 2014	1 Year
Power Sensor	Anritsu	MA2411B	1207252	Jun. 26, 2014	1 Year
Thermometer	YFE	YF-160A	110600361	Feb. 27, 2014	1 Year

 Report Format Version 5.0.0
 Page No.
 : 24 of 27

 Report No. : SA140312C10
 Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014



# 6. Measurement Uncertainty

Error Description	Uncertainty Value (±%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	Ci (1g)	Standard Uncertainty (1g)	Vi
Measurement System						
Probe Calibration	6.0	Normal	1	1	± 6.0 %	∞
Axial Isotropy	4.7	Rectangular	√3	0.7	± 1.9 %	$\infty$
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	Rectangular	√3	0.7	± 3.9 %	$\infty$
Boundary Effects	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.6 %	$\infty$
Linearity	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.7 %	$\infty$
System Detection Limits	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.6 %	$\infty$
Readout Electronics	0.6	Normal	1	1	± 0.6 %	∞
Response Time	0.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.0 %	∞
Integration Time	1.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.0 %	∞
RF Ambient Noise	3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7 %	∞
RF Ambient Reflections	3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7 %	∞
Probe Positioner	0.5	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.3 %	∞
Probe Positioning	2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7 %	∞
Max. SAR Eval.	2.3	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.3 %	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	3.9	Normal	1	1	± 3.9 %	31
Device Holder	2.7	Normal	1	1	± 2.7 %	19
Power Drift	5.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.9 %	$\infty$
Phantom and Setup						
Phantom Uncertainty	4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.3 %	∞
Liquid Conductivity (Target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	± 1.8 %	∞
Liquid Conductivity (Meas.)	5.0	Normal	1	0.64	± 3.2 %	29
Liquid Permittivity (Target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 1.7 %	∞
Liquid Permittivity (Meas.)	5.0	Normal	1	0.6	± 3.0 %	29
Combined Standard Uncertain	inty				± 11.7 %	
Expanded Uncertainty (K=2)					± 23.4 %	

Uncertainty budget for frequency range 300 MHz to 3 GHz

 Report Format Version 5.0.0
 Page No.
 : 25 of 27

 Report No. : SA140312C10
 Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014



# FCC SAR Test Report

Error Description	Uncertainty Value (±%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	Ci (1g)	Standard Uncertainty (1g)	Vi
Measurement System						
Probe Calibration	6.55	Normal	1	1	± 6.55 %	$\infty$
Axial Isotropy	4.7	Rectangular	√3	0.7	± 1.9 %	$\infty$
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	Rectangular	√3	0.7	± 3.9 %	$\infty$
Boundary Effects	2.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.2 %	$\infty$
Linearity	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.7 %	$\infty$
System Detection Limits	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.6 %	∞
Readout Electronics	0.3	Normal	1	1	± 0.3 %	$\infty$
Response Time	0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.5 %	∞
Integration Time	2.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.5 %	$\infty$
RF Ambient Noise	3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7 %	∞
RF Ambient Reflections	3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7 %	$\infty$
Probe Positioner	0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.5 %	$\infty$
Probe Positioning	9.9	Rectangular	√3	1	± 5.7 %	$\infty$
Max. SAR Eval.	4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.3 %	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	3.9	Normal	1	1	± 3.9 %	31
Device Holder	2.7	Normal	1	1	± 2.7 %	19
Power Drift	5.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.9 %	∞
Phantom and Setup						
Phantom Uncertainty	4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.3 %	$\infty$
Liquid Conductivity (Target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	± 1.8 %	∞
Liquid Conductivity (Meas.)	5.0	Normal	1	0.64	± 3.2 %	30
Liquid Permittivity (Target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 1.7 %	∞
Liquid Permittivity (Meas.)	5.0	Normal	1	0.6	± 3.0 %	30
Combined Standard Uncertai	inty				± 13.4 %	
Expanded Uncertainty (K=2)					± 26.8 %	

Uncertainty budget for frequency range 3 GHz to 6 GHz

 Report Format Version 5.0.0
 Page No. : 26 of 27

 Report No. : SA140312C10
 Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014



# 7. Information on the Testing Laboratories

We, Bureau Veritas Consumer Products Services (H.K.) Ltd., Taoyuan Branch, were founded in 1988 to provide our best service in EMC, Radio, Telecom and Safety consultation. Our laboratories are accredited and approved according to ISO/IEC 17025.

If you have any comments, please feel free to contact us at the following:

### Taiwan HwaYa EMC/RF/Safety/Telecom Lab:

Add: No. 19, Hwa Ya 2nd Rd, Wen Hwa Vil., Kwei Shan Hsiang, Taoyuan Hsien 333, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Tel: 886-3-318-3232 Fax: 886-3-327-0892

#### Taiwan LinKo EMC/RF Lab:

Add: No. 47, 14th Ling, Chia Pau Vil., Linkou Dist., New Taipei City 244, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Tel: 886-2-2605-2180 Fax: 886-2-2605-1924

#### Taiwan HsinChu EMC/RF Lab:

Add: No. 81-1, Lu Liao Keng, 9th Ling, Wu Lung Vil., Chiung Lin Township, Hsinchu County 307, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Tel: 886-3-593-5343 Fax: 886-3-593-5342

Email: service.adt@tw.bureauveritas.com

Web Site: www.adt.com.tw

The road map of all our labs can be found in our web site also.

---END---

 Report Format Version 5.0.0
 Page No.
 : 27 of 27

 Report No. : SA140312C10
 Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014



# Appendix A. SAR Plots of System Verification

The plots for system verification with largest deviation for each SAR system combination are shown as follows.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014

Report No. : SA140312C10

## System Check\_B2450\_140718

# **DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; SN: 737**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: B24T25N1\_0718 Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.967$  S/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 53.819$ ;  $\rho =$ 

Date: 2014/07/18

 $1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Ambient Temperature: 22.3 °C; Liquid Temperature: 21.2 °C


## DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3650; ConvF(6.92, 6.92, 6.92); Calibrated: 2014/04/24;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn360; Calibrated: 2014/02/17
- Phantom: SAM Phantom Left; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP 1652
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Pin=250mW/Area Scan (81x81x1):** Interpolated grid: dx=1.200 mm, dy=1.200 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 18.7 W/kg

Pin=250mW/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 97.35 V/m; Power Drift = 0.02 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 25.0 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 12.1 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 5.6 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 12.1 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 5.6 W/kgMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 18.5 W/kg



# **System Check\_B5200\_140718**

# DUT: Dipole 5 GHz; Type: D5GHzV2; SN: 1019

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 5200 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: B50T60N1\_0718 Medium parameters used: f = 5200 MHz;  $\sigma = 5.395$  S/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 47.744$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Date: 2014/07/18

Ambient Temperature : 21.7 °C; Liquid Temperature : 21.2 °C


### DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3820; ConvF(4.44, 4.44, 4.44); Calibrated: 2014/05/15;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1431; Calibrated: 2014/03/24
- Phantom: Flat Phantom ELI 5.0\_Front; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: SN:1204
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Pin=100mW/Area Scan (91x91x1):** Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 15.8 W/kg

**Pin=100mW/Zoom Scan (7x7x12)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=2mm Reference Value = 56.08 V/m; Power Drift = 0.09 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 29.8 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 7.49 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.14 W/kgMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 15.3 W/kg



# **System Check\_B5300\_140718**

## DUT: Dipole 5 GHz; Type: D5GHzV2; SN: 1019

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 5300 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: B50T60N1\_0718 Medium parameters used: f = 5300 MHz;  $\sigma = 5.537$  S/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 47.539$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Date: 2014/07/18

Ambient Temperature : 21.7 °C; Liquid Temperature : 21.2 °C


### DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3820; ConvF(4.25, 4.25, 4.25); Calibrated: 2014/05/15;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1431; Calibrated: 2014/03/24
- Phantom: Flat Phantom ELI 5.0\_Front; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: SN:1204
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Pin=100mW/Area Scan (91x91x1):** Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 16.3 W/kg

**Pin=100mW/Zoom Scan (7x7x12)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=2mm Reference Value = 56.80 V/m; Power Drift = 0.07 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 31.8 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 7.66 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.15 W/kgMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 16.1 W/kg



# **System Check\_B5600\_140718**

# DUT: Dipole 5 GHz; Type: D5GHzV2; SN: 1019

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 5600 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: B50T60N1\_0718 Medium parameters used: f = 5600 MHz;  $\sigma = 5.958$  S/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 46.934$ ;  $\rho = 1.000$  J  $_{\odot}$ 

Date: 2014/07/18

 $1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Ambient Temperature : 21.7 °C; Liquid Temperature : 21.2 °C


## DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3820; ConvF(3.83, 3.83, 3.83); Calibrated: 2014/05/15;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1431; Calibrated: 2014/03/24
- Phantom: Flat Phantom ELI 5.0\_Front; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: SN:1204
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Pin=100mW/Area Scan (91x91x1):** Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 18.4 W/kg

**Pin=100mW/Zoom Scan (7x7x12)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=2mm Reference Value = 57.60 V/m; Power Drift = 0.09 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 34.7 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 8.32 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.36 W/kgMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 17.4 W/kg



# **System Check\_B5800\_140718**

# DUT: Dipole 5 GHz; Type: D5GHzV2; SN: 1019

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 5800 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: B50T60N1\_0718 Medium parameters used: f = 5800 MHz;  $\sigma = 6.235$  S/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 46.547$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \log \log r$ 

Date: 2014/07/18

 $1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Ambient Temperature : 21.7 °C; Liquid Temperature : 21.2 °C


## DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3820; ConvF(4, 4, 4); Calibrated: 2014/05/15;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1431; Calibrated: 2014/03/24
- Phantom: Flat Phantom ELI 5.0\_Front; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: SN:1204
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Pin=100mW/Area Scan (91x91x1):** Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 14.2 W/kg

**Pin=100mW/Zoom Scan (7x7x12)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=2mm Reference Value = 52.11 V/m; Power Drift = 0.09 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 30.3 W/kg

**SAR(1 g)** = **6.98 W/kg; SAR(10 g)** = **1.97 W/kg** Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 14.9 W/kg







# Appendix B. SAR Plots of SAR Measurement

The SAR plots for highest measured SAR in each exposure configuration, wireless mode and frequency band combination, and measured SAR > 1.5 W/kg are shown as follows.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Issued Date : Jul. 22, 2014

Report No. : SA140312C10

# P01 802.11g\_Right Side\_5mm\_Ch6\_EUT2

### **DUT: 140312C10**

Communication System: WLAN 2.4G; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1


Medium: B24T25N1\_0718 Medium parameters used: f = 2437 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.949$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 53.856$ ;  $\rho = 1.949$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 53.856$ 

Date: 2014/07/18

 $1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Ambient Temperature: 22.3 °C; Liquid Temperature: 21.2 °C

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3650; ConvF(6.92, 6.92, 6.92); Calibrated: 2014/04/24;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn360; Calibrated: 2014/02/17
- Phantom: SAM Phantom Left; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP 1652
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)
- Area Scan (71x191x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.200 mm, dy=1.200 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.385 W/kg
- Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 10.79 V/m; Power Drift = -0.12 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.599 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.321 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.163 W/kg Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.388 W/kg



# P02 802.11n\_HT40\_Right Side\_5mm\_Ch38\_EUT1

### **DUT: 140312C10**


Communication System: WLAN\_5G; Frequency: 5190 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: B50T60N1\_0718 Medium parameters used: f = 5190 MHz;  $\sigma = 5.385$  S/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 47.763$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Date: 2014/07/18

Ambient Temperature: 21.7°C; Liquid Temperature: 21.2°C

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3820; ConvF(4.44, 4.44, 4.44); Calibrated: 2014/05/15;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1431; Calibrated: 2014/03/24
- Phantom: Flat Phantom ELI 5.0\_Front; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: SN:1204
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)
- Area Scan (41x201x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.430 W/kg
- Zoom Scan (6x6x12)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=2mm Reference Value = 8.129 V/m; Power Drift = -0.05 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.914 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.249 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.090 W/kg Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.437 W/kg



# P03 802.11n\_HT40\_Right Side\_5mm\_Ch54\_EUT1

### **DUT: 140312C10**

Communication System: WLAN\_5G; Frequency: 5270 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1


Medium: B50T60N1\_0718 Medium parameters used: f = 5270 MHz;  $\sigma = 5.495$  S/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 47.598$ ;  $\rho = 1.000$  J  $_{\odot}$ 

Date: 2014/07/18

 $1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Ambient Temperature : 21.7 °C; Liquid Temperature : 21.2 °C

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3820; ConvF(4.25, 4.25, 4.25); Calibrated: 2014/05/15;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1431; Calibrated: 2014/03/24
- Phantom: Flat Phantom ELI 5.0\_Front; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: SN:1204
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)
- Area Scan (41x201x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.529 W/kg
- Zoom Scan (6x6x12)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=2mm Reference Value = 8.596 V/m; Power Drift = -0.12 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.17 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.314 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.115 W/kg Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.569 W/kg



# P04 802.11a\_Right Side\_5mm\_Ch116\_EUT1

### **DUT: 140312C10**


Communication System: WLAN\_5G; Frequency: 5580 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: B50T60N1\_0718 Medium parameters used: f = 5580 MHz;  $\sigma = 5.926$  S/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 46.969$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Date: 2014/07/18

Ambient Temperature : 21.7 °C; Liquid Temperature : 21.2 °C

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3820; ConvF(3.83, 3.83, 3.83); Calibrated: 2014/05/15;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1431; Calibrated: 2014/03/24
- Phantom: Flat Phantom ELI 5.0\_Front; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: SN:1204
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)
- Area Scan (41x201x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.911 W/kg
- Zoom Scan (6x6x12)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=2mm Reference Value = 6.222 V/m; Power Drift = 0.12 Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.81 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.480 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.180 W/kg Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.871 W/kg



# P05 802.11a\_Right Side\_5mm\_Ch149\_EUT3

### **DUT: 140312C10**

Communication System: WLAN\_5G; Frequency: 5745 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: B50T60N1\_0718 Medium parameters used: f = 5745 MHz;  $\sigma = 6.163$  S/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 46.677$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Date: 2014/07/18

1000 kg/m

Ambient Temperature : 21.7 °C; Liquid Temperature : 21.2 °C

## DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3820; ConvF(4, 4, 4); Calibrated: 2014/05/15;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1431; Calibrated: 2014/03/24

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.25 W/kg

- Phantom: Flat Phantom ELI 5.0\_Front; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: SN:1204
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)
- Area Scan (41x201x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.22 W/kg
- Zoom Scan (6x6x12)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=2mm Reference Value = 6.249 V/m; Power Drift = -0.02 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.62 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.652 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.219 W/kg

