
From: Joe Dichoso [mailto:Joe.Dichoso@fcc.gov]
Sent: Thursday, March 23, 2006 3:24 PM
To: John Reed; Brennan, Jim
Cc: Scarborough, John; Raymond Laforge
Subject: RE: Part 15 Label

Hello Jim,
The label information can go in the manual but the FCC identifier must go on the transmitter.
Regards,
Joe Dichoso

-----Original Message-----

From: John Reed
Sent: Thursday, March 23, 2006 3:24 PM
To: 'Brennan, Jim'
Cc: 'Scarborough, John'; Raymond Laforge; Joe Dichoso
Subject: RE: Part 15 Label

Hi Jim -

Sorry, but I'm not the one to give this interpretation. I believe that it's OK, as long as the FCC ID remains on the transmitter. However, I've forwarded your inquiry to our laboratory for their reply.

-----Original Message-----

From: Brennan, Jim [mailto:jbrennan@neptunetg.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 23, 2006 3:02 PM
To: John Reed
Cc: Scarborough, John
Subject: Part 15 Label

John,

We have a Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum transmitter we are using for reading Gas meters. This transmitter is installed between the index and gas meter. While this device may be installed on a gas meter that is installed at someone's house, it is not installed by the homeowner.

Since this device is not sold to the general public, and is normally installed by a professional, would it be acceptable to not have a label on the transmitter, and instead place the information in the installation manual? The following is the label information I am referring to.

THIS DEVICE COMPLIES WITH PART 15 OF THE
FCC RULES. OPERATION IS SUBJECT TO THE
FOLLOWING TWO CONDITIONS.

(1) THIS DEVICE MAY NOT CAUSE HARMFUL
INTERFERENCE, AND (2) THIS DEVICE MUST
ACCEPT ANY INTERFERENCE RECEIVED,
INCLUDING INTERFERENCE THAT MAY CAUSE
UNDESIRE OPERATION.

If you are not the one to address this issue, could you pass this on to the appropriate person?

Thanks,
Jim Brennan

TIMCO ENGINEERING INC.

849 NW State Road 45
Newberry, Florida 32669

<http://www.timcoengr.com>

888.472.2424 352 472 5500 Fax. 352.472.2030 email: tei@timcoengr.com

TCB & FCB

*FCC Approvals
Industry Canada Approvals
Notified Body for Europe*

March 27, 2006

Kirby Munroe
Advanced Compliance Solutions, Inc.
(770) 831-8048
www.acstestlab.com

Subj: FCC labeling guidelines: size of products vs. warning statements.

Hello, Kirby:

This morning I was able to communicate with Joe Dichoso at the FCC regarding the labeling concerns with the product for Neptune Technology Group.

Joe stated that a copy of the email correspondence (as you provided to me) should be submitted with this device's application for certification. The 2-part statement would be allowed to be placed in the manual for this filing.

I then asked Joe if we should continue to enforce the guidelines previously set by the FCC and he said "yes".

The Guidelines that were previously set by the FCC state that if a device is larger than the palm of your hand, or more specifically – larger than a PCMCIA card, the 2-part warning statement must be displayed somewhere on the device.

We will continue to enforce this guideline for all other applications whether from this applicant or another. This is a one-time variance for this application only.

Please feel free to forward a copy of this letter to your client so that they understand this policy going forward.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Eric Dobson



Quality Manager

In Korea Contact:
Timco-Thru Engineering
Mr. K. T. Kang
(02)846-5002

In Hong Kong;
Timco Engineering, Inc.
Mr. Gilbert Lui
(852)2330-6604

C:\Documents and Settings\kirby.munroe\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLK17B\labelling policy.doc