

FCC Part 15 Test Report

for

Preco, Inc.

on the

Preview Object Detection System Model: PV2000 FCC ID: OXZPV2000A

Test Report #: 30059451 rev2 Date of Report: April 25, 2002 Revised: May 23, 2002

Job #: 3005945

Date of Test: August 27 to September 13, 2001

Date of Retest: April 25, 2002 Date of Retest: May 21, 2002

Total No of Pages Contained in this Report: 25



Lab Code 200201-0

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of Intertek Testing

This report must not be used to claim product endorsement by NVLAP or any agency of the U.S.

The results contained in this report were derived from measurements performed on the identified test samples. Any implied performance of other samples on this report is dependent on the









Suresh Kondapalli

representative of the samples tested.



Government.

EMC Technical Manager: David Chemomordik Date: 5 David Chernomordik, Ph.D.







FCC Part 15.249 Tx Cert, Ver 3/00 Intertek Testing Services NA, Inc.

1365 Adams Court, Menlo Park, CA 94025

Telephone 650-463-2900 Fax 650-463-2910 Home Page www.etlsemko.com



1365 Adams Court, Menlo Park, CA 94025 Date of Test: August 27 to September 13, 2001

Retest: April 25and May 21, 2002

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1.0 | Sumi | mary of Test Results | 2 |
|-----|--------|---|----|
| 2.0 | Gene | eral Description | 3 |
| | 2.1 | Product Description | |
| | 2.2 | Related Submittal(s) Grants | |
| | 2.3 | Test Methodology | |
| | 2.4 | Test Facility | |
| 3.0 | Syste | em Test Configuration | 4 |
| | 3.1 | Justification | 4 |
| | 3.2 | EUT Exercising Software | 4 |
| | 3.3 | System Test Configuration. | 5 |
| | | 3.3.1 Support Equipment | 5 |
| | | 3.3.2 Block Diagram of Test Setup | 5 |
| | 3.4 | Equipment Modification | 6 |
| | 3.5 | Additions, deviations and exclusions from standards | 6 |
| 4.0 | Emis | sion Results | 7 |
| | 4.1 | Test Procedure | 7 |
| | 4.2 | Field Strength Calculation | 8 |
| | 4.3 | Radiated Emission Data | 9 |
| | 4.3 | AC Line Conducted Emission Data | 12 |
| | 4.4 | Emission Plot | 13 |
| 6.0 | List o | of test equipment | 24 |
| 7.0 | Ante | nna Requirement | 25 |
| | | 4 | |

Preco, Inc., Model No: PV2000

1365 Adams Court, Menlo Park, CA 94025

Date of Test: August 27 to September 13, 2001

Retest: April 25and May 21, 2002

1.0 Summary of Test Results

MODEL: PV2000 FCC ID: OXZPV2000A

| FCC RULE | DESCRIPTION OF TEST | RESULT | PAGE | |
|-------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|----|
| 15.249a | Field Strength of Fundamental | Peak | Average | |
| | | 92.7 dB(μV/m) | 63.2 dB(μV/m) | 9 |
| | | Margin: 21.3 dB | Margin: 30.8 dB | |
| 15.249a | Field Strength of Harmonics | Worst case: 73.6 dB(uV/m) | @ 17.4 GHz. | 9 |
| | | Margin: 0.4 dB | | |
| 15.249c | Radiated Emissions outside the | Worst case: 51.9 dB(uV/m) | @ 5.911 GHz. | 9 |
| 15.109 | band | Margin: 2.1 dB | | |
| 15.205 | Radiated Emissions in restricted | Worst case: <43.0 dB(uV/m | n) @ 23.2 GHz | 9 |
| | bands | Margin: >11.0 dB | | |
| 15.107 | Line Conducted Emissions | Not Applicable. The device | is battery operated. | - |
| 15.203 | Antenna requirement | Complies. The antenna is per | rmanently installed | 12 |
| | | inside the device | | |

Retest: April 25and May 21, 2002

2.0 General Description

2.1 Product Description

The PreView Object Detection System is a system that detects both moving and stationary objects in a predefined area and reports the distance of the closest detected object via visual range indicators and an audible signal to a vehicle operator. The PreView system is designed as a back up and is not to be the sole method for rear collision avoidance.

2.2 Related Submittal(s) Grants

This report is for use with an application for certification of a low power transmitter to FCC Part 15.249 Requirements. One transmitter is included in the application. This specific report details the emission characteristics of transmitter.

2.3 Test Methodology

Radiated emission measurements were performed according to the procedures in ANSI C63.4 (1992). All measurements were performed in Open Area Test Sites. Preliminary scans were performed in the Open Area Test Sites only to determine worst case modes. For each scan, the procedure for maximizing emissions in Appendices D and E were followed. All Radiated tests were performed at an antenna to EUT distance of 3 meters, unless stated otherwise in the "Justification Section" of this Application.

2.4 Test Facility

The open area test site and conducted measurement facility used to collect the radiated data is Site 1. This test facility and site measurement data have been fully placed on file with the FCC and NVLAP accredited.

Retest: April 25and May 21, 2002

3.0 System Test Configuration

3.1 Justification

For emission testing, the equipment under test (EUT) was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it). During testing, all cables were manipulated to produce worst case emissions.

For the measurements, the EUT is attached to a cardboard box (if necessary) and placed on the wooden turntable. If the EUT attaches to peripherals, they are connected and operational (as typical as possible). The EUT is wired to transmit full power.

The signal is maximized through rotation and placement in the three orthogonal axes. The antenna height and polarization are varied during the search for maximum signal level. The antenna height is varied from 1 to 4 meters.

Radiated emissions are taken at three meters unless the signal level is too low for measurement at that distance. If necessary, a pre-amplifier is used and/or the test is conducted at a closer distance. All readings are extrapolated back to the equivalent three-meter reading using inverse scaling with distance.

3.2 EUT Exercising Software

The EUT exercise program used during radiated and conducted testing was designed to exercise the various system components in a manner similar to a typical use.


1365 Adams Court, Menlo Park, CA 94025 Preco, Inc., Model No: PV2000

Date of Test: August 27 to September 13, 2001 Retest: April 25and May 21, 2002

- 3.3 System Test Configuration
- 3.3.1 Support Equipment

HP E-3631-A DC Power Supply

Block Diagram of Test Setup 3.3.2



| * = EUT | S = Shielded; | $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{With} \; \mathbf{Ferrite}$ |
|--------------------------------|----------------|--|
| ** = No Ferrite on video cable | U = Unshielded | $\mathbf{M} = \text{Length in Meters}$ |

1365 Adams Court, Menlo Park, CA 94025 Date of Test: August 27 to September 13, 2001 Preco, Inc., Model No: PV2000

Retest: April 25and May 21, 2002

3.4 **Equipment Modification**

No modifications were installed by Intertek Testing Services during compliance testing in order to bring the product into compliance (please note that this does not include changes made specifically by Preco, Inc. prior to compliance testing).

Any modifications installed previous to testing by Preco, Inc. will be incorporated in each production model sold/leased in the United States.

3.5 Additions, deviations and exclusions from standards

No additions, deviations or exclusions from the standard were made.

Retest: April 25and May 21, 2002

4.0 Emission Results

Radiated emission measurements were performed from 30 MHz to 40 GHz.

Data is included of the worst case configuration (the configuration which resulted in the highest emission levels). A sample calculation, configuration photographs and data tables of the emissions are included. All measurements were performed with peak detection unless otherwise specified.

4.1 Test Procedure

Test was performed on the open site and in an anechoic chamber. The horn antenna was placed at 1 m distance from the device. To calculate the Field Strength at 3 m, the Distance Correction Factor of -9.5 dB was used.

For the testing at fundamental frequency and its harmonic, the Spectrum Analyzer was set to measure "Line spectrum", For this purpose the Resolution Bandwidth (RB) was set to 100 kHz (10 kHz at fundamental), e.g. less than the Pulse Repetition Frequency (PRF). In this case the spectrum lines of the pulsed carrier can be observed. The highest level of these "lines" is the average reading.

The Peak Value is calculated by adding the Duty Factor to the "Line spectrum" level. The Duty Factor is defined as 20Log (1/DC). The Duty Cycle (DC) is calculated from the "Line spectrum". As the Null of spectrum theoretically occurs at the point where the frequency equals $1/\mathbf{t}$, where \mathbf{t} is the pulse duration, the \mathbf{t} is calculated as 1/f, where f is the frequency at which the first Null spectrum occurs.

The Duty Cycle is calculated as **DC= t ^ PRF.**

For spurious emissions (excluding harmonics), the spectrum analyzer Resolution Bandwidth and Video Bandwidth were set to 1 MHz and 7 MHz to measure a Peak value, and to 1 MHz and 10 Hz to measure an Average value.

Radiated emissions test below 1 GHz was performed at 3 m distance according to the procedures described in ANSI C63.4 (1992).

Retest: April 25and May 21, 2002

4.2 Field Strength Calculation

The field strength is calculated by adding the Antenna Factor and Cable Factor, and subtracting the Amplifier Gain (if any) from the measured reading. The basic equation with a sample calculation is as follows:

$$FS = RA + AF + CF - AG$$

Where $FS = Field Strength in dB (\mu V/m)$

 $RA = Receiver Amplitude (including preamplifier) in dB (<math>\mu V$)

CF = Cable Attenuation Factor in dB

AF = Antenna Factor in dB/m

AG = Amplifier Gain in dB

In the following table(s), the reading shown on the data table reflects the preamplifier gain. An example for the calculations in the following table is as follows: -

$$FS = RR + LF$$

Where FS = Field Strength in dB (μ V/m) RR = RA - AG in dB (μ V) LF = CF + AF in dB

Assume a receiver reading of 52.0 dB (μV) is obtained. The antenna factor of 7.4-dB/m and cable factor of 1.6 dB is added. The amplifier gain of 29 dB is subtracted, giving field strength of 32 dB ($\mu V/m$). This value in dB ($\mu V/m$) was converted to its corresponding level in $\mu V/m$.

 $RA = 52.0 \; dB \; (\mu V) \qquad \qquad AF = 7.4 \; dB/m \\ RR = 23.0 \; dB \; (\mu V) \qquad \qquad CF = 1.6 \; dB \\ LF = 9.0 \; dB \qquad \qquad AG = 29.0 \; dB$

$$\begin{split} FS &= RR + LF \\ FS &= 23 + 9 = 32 \text{ dB } (\mu\text{V/m}) \end{split}$$

Level in $\mu V/m = Common Antilogarithm \{[32 dB (<math>\mu V/m)]/20\} = 39.8 \mu V/m$

Retest: April 25and May 21, 2002

4.3 Radiated Emission Data

The data on the following pages list the significant emission frequencies, the limit and the margin of compliance.

Results: Pass by 0.4 dB at 17.4 GHz

Note: a) For the test result above 1 GHz, see table #1 and plots in section 4.4, for the test result below 1 GHz, see table #2

a) All emissions below 23 GHz not reported are at least 6 dB below the limits

Date of Test: August 27 to September 13, 2001 Retest: April 25and May 21, 2002

Table 1

| Radiated Emissions Test Data | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------|---|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Company: | Company: Preco Inc Model #: PV2000 Standard FCC § 15.249 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EUT: | PreView Object Detection System | S/N #: | Limits | | | | | | | | | |
| Project #: | 3005945 | Test date: April 25, 2002 | Test Distance | 1 | meter | | | | | | | |
| Test Mode: | Transmitting at 5.8 GHz | Engineer: David C. | | | | | | | | | | |

| Frequency | SA | Detection | Antenna | Polariz. | Correction | DCF | Net | Limit | Margin |
|-----------|--------------------|-----------|---------|----------|------------|------|--------------------|---------------|--------|
| | Reading | Peak/Ave | Factor | H/V | Factor | | | @ 3m | |
| MHz | dΒμV | | dB(1/m) | | dB | dB | $dB(\mu V/m)$ | $dB(\mu V/m)$ | dB |
| 5800 | 35.8 1) | Ave | 35.0 | Н | 1.9 * | -9.5 | 63.2 | 94.0 | -30.8 |
| 5800 | 1 | Peak | 1 | - | - | - | 92.7 2) | 114.0 | -21.3 |
| 5911 ** | 60.7 4) | Peak | 35.0 | Н | -33.1 | -9.5 | 53.1 | 74.0 | -20.9 |
| 5911 ** | 59.5 ⁵⁾ | Ave | 35.0 | Н | -33.1 | -9.5 | 51.9 | 54.0 | -2.1 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 11600 | 37.8 ⁶⁾ | Ave | 39.7 | Н | -34.2 | -9.5 | 33.8 | 54.0 | -20.2 |
| 11600 | - | Peak | - | - | - | - | 63.3 ²⁾ | 74.0 | -10.7 |
| 17400 | $40.4^{-7)}$ | Ave | 44.0 | Н | -30.8 | -9.5 | 44.1 | 54.0 | -9.9 |
| 17400 | - | Peak | - | - | - | - | 73.6 2) | 74.0 | -0.4 |
| 23200 | 26.9 8) | Ave. 9) | 40.4 | Н | -14.8 | -9.5 | 43.0 | 54.0 | -11.0 |
| 29000 | 35.0 8) | Ave. 9) | 43.5 | Н | -17.2 | -9.5 | 51.8 | 54.0 | -2.2 |
| 34800 | 34.0 8) | Ave. 9) | 43.6 | Н | -15.8 | -9.5 | 52.3 | 54.0 | -1.7 |

| | | * no preamplifier was used |
|---|--------|--|
| 1 | Votes: | a) Correction Factor (dB) = Cable Loss - Preamplifier Gain (calibrated together prior the testing) |
| | | b) DCF.: Distance Correction Factor |
| | | c) Net (dB) = SA Reading + Antenna Factor + Correction Factor + DCF |
| | | d) Negative signs (-) in Margin column signify levels below the limits. |

¹⁾ Spectrum analyzer reading (see plot #1)

 $\Delta_1 = 148$ MHz from plot #5,

 $\Delta_2 = 2.46$ MHz from plot # 4.

DF = 29.5 dB

²⁾ Calculated: **Peak = Average + Duty Factor** ³⁾.

Duty Factor = 20 Log ($\Delta_1/2\Delta_2$);

⁴⁾ Spectrum analyzer reading (see plot # 7)

⁵⁾ Spectrum analyzer reading (see plot # 8)

^{**} Only emissions on the upper side-lobe were measured, as the upper side-lobe peak is the worst case (see plots #1 and #6)

⁶⁾ Spectrum analyzer reading (see plot # 9)

⁷⁾ Spectrum analyzer reading (see plot # 10)

⁸⁾ Noise floor

⁹⁾ Measured with reduced video bandwidth. Peak reading is no more than 12 dB above the average.

Date of Test: August 27 to September 13, 2001 Retest: April 25and May 21, 2002

Table 2

Radiated Emissions Test Data

| Company: | Preco Electronics Inc | Model #: | PV2000 | Standard | FCC § 15B | |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|------------|--------------|---------------|-----------|--------|
| EUT: | Preview Object detection system | S/N #: | Not labeled | Limits | 2 | |
| Project #: | 3005945 | Test Date: | Sep 11, 2001 | Test Distance | 3 | meters |
| Test Mode: | Rx | Engineer: | Suresh K | | | |

| | Antenna Used | | | Pre-Amp Used | | | Cable | Used | Transducer Used | |
|---------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------|------|---------------|------|-----------------|------|
| Number: | 1 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Model: | EMCO 3143 | EM LPA-25 | EMCO 3143 | CDI_P950 | None | None | Site 3 10m | None | None | None |

| Frequency | Reading | Detector | Ant | Amp | Ant. Pol. | Ant. | Pre-Amp | Insert. | D. C. F. | Net | Limit | Margin |
|-----------|---------|----------|-----|-----|-----------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|--------|
| | | | | | | Factor | | Loss | | | @3m | |
| MHz | dB(μV) | P/A/Q | # | # | H/V | dB(1/m) | dB | dB | dB | dB(μV/m) | dB(μV/m) | dB |
| 30.00 | 37.3 | Peak | 2 | 5 | V | 11.5 | 18.4 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 31.9 | 40.0 | -8.1 |
| 160.00 | 33.2 | Peak | 2 | 5 | V | 9.4 | 18.1 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 27.7 | 43.5 | -15.8 |
| 45.00 | 33.2 | Peak | 2 | 5 | V | 8.0 | 18.8 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 24.3 | 40.0 | -15.7 |
| 50.00 | 36.1 | Peak | 2 | 5 | V | 5.7 | 18.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 25.4 | 40.0 | -14.6 |
| 150.00 | 29.1 | Peak | 2 | 5 | V | 11.8 | 18.2 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 25.8 | 43.5 | -17.7 |
| 220.00 | 32.1 | Peak | 2 | 5 | V | 11.1 | 19.5 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 27.0 | 46.0 | -19.0 |
| 260.00 | 30.1 | Peak | 2 | 5 | V | 12.4 | 19.6 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 26.7 | 46.0 | -19.3 |
| 275.00 | 30.5 | Peak | 2 | 5 | V | 12.5 | 19.2 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 27.7 | 46.0 | -18.3 |
| 350.00 | 29.5 | Peak | 2 | 5 | Η | 15.4 | 17.9 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 31.6 | 46.0 | -14.4 |
| 590.00 | 27.8 | QP | 2 | 5 | Ι | 19.3 | 15.6 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 36.7 | 46.0 | -9.3 |
| 600.00 | 31.4 | Peak | 2 | 5 | Ι | 19.4 | 15.0 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 41.0 | 46.0 | -5.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Notes:

- a) D.C.F.:Distance Correction Factor
- b) Insert. Loss (dB) = Cable A + Cable B + Cable C.
- c) Net (dB) = Reading + Antenna Factor Pre-amp gain + Insert. Loss
- d) Negative signs (-) in Margin column signify levels below the limits.
- e) All other emissions not reported are below the equipment noise floor which is at least 20 dB below the limits.

1365 Adams Court, Menlo Park, CA 94025 Date of Test: August 27 to September 13, 2001 Retest: April 25and May 21, 2002

| 4.3 | AC Line | Conducted | Emission | Data |
|-----|---------|-----------|----------|------|
| | | | | |

Not Applicable. The EUT is battery operated

Preco, Inc., Model No: PV2000

1365 Adams Court, Menlo Park, CA 94025

Date of Test: August 27 to September 13, 2001


Retest: April 25and May 21, 2002

4.4 Emission Plot


The following plots show the emission level of the transmitter.

| Plot # | Description |
|--------|--|
| 1 | Emission in "Line spectrum mode" at Fundamental frequency |
| 2 | Emission in "Line spectrum mode" at Fundamental frequency, |
| | marker at band-edge frequency 5875 MHz |
| 3 | Emission in "Line spectrum mode" at Fundamental frequency, |
| | marker at band-edge frequency 5725 MHz |
| 4 | Emission in "Line spectrum mode" at Fundamental frequency (to verify PRF) |
| 5 | Emission in "Line spectrum mode" at Fundamental frequency (to verify pulse duration) |
| 6 | Emission in "Line spectrum mode" at side-lobe frequency, with no pre-amp. |
| 7 | Peak measurement at side-lobe frequency, with pre-amp. |
| 8 | Average measurement at side-lobe frequency, with pre-amp. |
| 9 | Emission in "Line spectrum mode" at second harmonic, average measurement |
| 10 | Emission in "Line spectrum mode" at third harmonic, average measurement |


1365 Adams Court, Menlo Park, CA 94025 Date of Test: August 27 to September 13, 2001




Date of Test: August 27 to September 13, 2001




Date of Test: August 27 to September 13, 2001




Date of Test: August 27 to September 13, 2001




Date of Test: August 27 to September 13, 2001




Date of Test: August 27 to September 13, 2001




Date of Test: August 27 to September 13, 2001




Date of Test: August 27 to September 13, 2001



Date of Test: August 27 to September 13, 2001



Date of Test: August 27 to September 13, 2001



1365 Adams Court, Menlo Park, CA 94025 Preco, Inc., Model No: PV2000

Date of Test: August 27 to September 13, 2001

Retest: April 25and May 21, 2002

List of test equipment 6.0

| EQUIPMENT | MANUFACTURER | MODEL NUMBER | SERIAL NUMBER | CAL. INTERVAL | CAL. DUE |
|----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|
| Spectrum Analyzer w/85650 | Hewlett Packard | 8566B | 2416A00317 | 12 | 4/6/02 |
| QP Adapter | | | 2043A00251 | | |
| Spectrum Analyzer | Tektronix | 2784 | B3020108 | 12 | 8/08/02 |
| Spectrum Analyzer | Hewlett Packard | 8591EM | 3536A00451 | 12 | 7/17/02 |
| Bi-Log Antenna | EMCO | 3143 | 9509-1160 | 12 | 7/12/02 |
| Double-ridged Horn Antenna | EMCO | 3115 | 9107-3712 | 12 | 3/17/02 |
| Horn Antenna | EMCO | 3160-09 | Not Labeled | # | # |
| Horn Antenna | EMCO | 3160-10 | Not Labeled | # | # |
| Pre-Amplifier | CDI | P950 | ITS009 | 12 | 7/02/02 |
| Pre-Amplifier | CDI | P1000 | N/A | 12 | 10/06/01 |
| Pre-Amplifier | Avantek | AFT-18855 | 8723H705 | 12 | 10/5/02 |
| Pre-amplifier | CTT | ACO/400 | 47526 | 12 | 10/5/02 |

[#] No Calibration Required

1365 Adams Court, Menlo Park, CA 94025 Preco, Inc., Model No: PV2000

Date of Test: August 27 to September 13, 2001

Retest: April 25and May 21, 2002

Antenna Requirement 7.0

The transmitter uses a permanently connected antenna which is integrated part of the EUT.