# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

SEP 1 1994

IN REPLY REFER TO:

31030/EQU/4-2-4 1300B4

Mr. Valdis V. Liepa University of Michigan Radiation Laboratory NASA/Center for Space Terahertz Technology 3228 EECS Building Ann Arbor, MI 48109-2122

Dear Mr. Liepa:

This is in reply to your facsimile transmission of August 2, 1994, regarding the labelling of a low power communication device that will be marketed within the U.S. and Canada. You request approval to combine the labels for both countries, permitting a single label to be employed. As indicated, this combined label would read as follows:

"This device complies with Part 15 of the FCC Rules and with RSS-210 of the Industry Canada. Operation is subject to the following two conditions: (1) This device may not cause harmful interference, and (2) this device must accept any interference received, including interference that may cause undesired operation."

According to Section 15.19(a) of our rules, a low power communications device operating under Part 15 must be labelled with the specific statement contained in paragraph (a)(3). The only difference between the statement required under our rules and your proposed statement is the addition in the first sentence of the phrase "... and with RSS-210 of the Industry Canada."

I note that Kwai Lum of Industry Canada, in a facsimile to you on August 3, 1994, has already given permission to use this combined label. I also agree that the use of this combined label, as shown above, is acceptable under our regulations. This label conveys the desired information and is essentially identical to our requirement. As expressed by Mr. Lum, text denoting compliance with the standards for both countries was not stated in our rules as "it would be too presumptuous [to assume] that all products are for both markets."

I trust that the above responds to your inquiry. Additional questions should be directed to John Reed, 1300B4, at the address on the letterhead or at (202) 653-7313.

tohet. The

Sincerely,

Richard B. Engelman

Chief, Technical Standards Branch Office of Engineering and Technology

Government of Canada Industry Canada FACSIMILE SHEET

Gouvernement du Canada Industrie Canada FORMULE D'ENVOI PAR TELECOPIEUR

TO/A:

Name/Nom....: Mr Valdis V. Liepa

Office/Bureau.: Radiation Lab, University of Michigan, USA

Tel. No./No. de tél.:

Fax: 313-747-2106

FROM/DE:

Name/Nom....:

Kwai Lum

Manager, Radio Equipment Standards,

300 Slater Street, 13th Floor,

Ottawa, Canada, K1A 0C8

Phone: 613-990-4699; Fax: 613-952-5108

Total pages : Pages totales:

Date & time sent: August 3, 94.

Date & heure envoyé:

Our\_Ref : DGEP-5630-1 (RSS-210 Labelling)

This is to respond to your fax of August 1, 94 requesting that we permit a combined statement for FCC and Industry Canada on the equipment labels.

We wish to assure you that your suggested combined label that you submitted in your fax is acceptable to Canada since our standard (section 5.8 of RSS-210) allows (to quote) "....equivalent statement....".

We have made our labelling statement as close as we can to Part 15.19(3); the differences are : we left out the word "harmful" because of difficulties in defining what is harmful. We added the phrase "of the device" to remove any possible misunderstanding.

To re-capitulate, although your proposed statement uses FCC text except for the mention of "RSS-210 of Industry Canada", we consider it to be equivalent. Our preferred text is per RSS-210; the next best is to add the word "harmful" to meet FCC requirements.

Since FCC and Industry Canada are from different countries, we do not consider it necessary to state in our separate standards a combined text. In any case it would be too presumptuous that all products are for both markets.

Our equipment certification staff will be informed of the above. We will also copy this to Mr Reed of the FCC since you said that you sent a similar fax to him.

Regards,

Kwai Lum

cc Mr John Reed (FCC OET fax 202-653-8773).

cc R. Corey (Equipment Certification).



## UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING THE RADIATION LABORATORY DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING AND COMPUTER SCIENCE

3228 EECS BUILDING 1301 BEAL AVENUE ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48109-2122 734 764-0500 FAX 734 647-2106 http://www.eecs.umich.edu/RADLAB/

Re: Certification for Digi-Code 5200, 5202 Transmitter

Models: 5200, 5202

FCC ID: OWMCK-DIGICODE CANADA: to be provided by IC

# **POWER OF ATTORNEY**

A letter granting Valdis V. Liepa the Power of Attorney is on file and can be provided when so requested.



# **Letter of Agency**

Date: August 13, 2001

American Telecommunications Certification Body, Inc. 6731 Whittier Ave.
Suite C110
McLean, VA 22101

To Whom It May Concern:

Please be advised that Digi-Code, Inc. authorizes Valdis V. Liepa to act on our behalf, until otherwise notified, for the applications submitted to American Telecommunications Certification Body, Inc. (ATCB).

We certify that we are not subject to denial of federal benefits, that includes FCC benefits, pursuant to section 5301 of the Anti-Drug Abuse ACT of 1988, U.S.C. 862. Further, no party, as defined in 47 CFR 1.2002(b), to the application is subject to denial of federal benefits, that includes FCC benefits.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Richard J. Caceres

President

Digi-Code, Inc.

### University Of Michigan



COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING THE RADIATION LABORATORY DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING AND COMPUTER SCIENCE

3228 EECS BUILDING 1301 BEAL AVENUE ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48109-2122 734 764-0500 FAX 734 647-2106 http://www.eecs.umich.edu/RADLAB/

Re: Certification for Digi-Code 5200, 5202 Transmitter

Models: 5200, 5202

FCC ID: OWMCK-DIGICODE CANADA: to be provided by IC

# REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIALITY

Pursuant to 47 CRF 0.459, Digi-Code requests that a part of the subject application be held confidential. This comprises Exhibits

- (5) Schematics
- (10) Parts List (Part of Exhibit only)

Digi-Code has spent substantial effort in developing this product and it is one of the first of its kind in industry. Having the subject information easily available to "competition" would negate the advantage they have achieved by developing this product. Not protecting the details of the design will definitely result in a financial hardship.

If there are any questions regarding this request, please contact me at the above address or call 734-483-4211, fax 734-647-2106 or e-mail liepa@umich.edu.

Sincerely,

Valdis V. Liepa Research Scientist University of Michigan

## UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING THE RADIATION LABORATORY DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING AND COMPUTER SCIENCE

3228 EECS BUILDING 1301 BEAL AVENUE ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48109-2122 734 764-0500 FAX 734 647-2106 http://www.eecs.umich.edu/RADLAB/

September 30, 2001

Re: Certification for Digi-Code 5200, 5202 Transmitter

Models: 5200, 5202

FCC ID: OWMCK-DIGICODE CANADA: to be provided by IC

# STATEMENT OF MODIFICATIONS

There were no modifications made to the DUT by this test laboratory. (Also see Section 3.1 of the attached Test Report).

Valdis V. Liepa Research Scientist

## UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING THE RADIATION LABORATORY DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING AND COMPUTER SCIENCE

3228 EECS BUILDING 1301 BEAL AVENUE ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48109-2122 734 764-0500 FAX 734 647-2106 http://www.eecs.umich.edu/RADLAB/

Re: Certification for Digi-Code 5200, 5202 Transmitter

Models: 5200, 5202

FCC ID: OWMCK-DIGICODE CANADA: to be provided by IC

# GENERAL PRODUCT INFORMATION

The device, for which certification is pursued, has been designed by:

Digi-Code, Inc 307 Robbins Drive Troy, Michigan 48083

Richard J. Caceres Tel: (248) 613-9094 Fax: (248) 588-6801

It will be marketed and serviced by:

Digi-Code