

## SAR EVALUATION REPORT

For

# Vanstone Electronic (Beijing) Co., Ltd.

Room 4-18, No.25 Landianchang South Road, Haidian District, Beijing, China

FCC ID: OWLVH808

Report Type: **Product Type:** 

Original Report Wireless Hand-held Payment

Terminal

Sandy Wany

**Test Engineer:** Sandy Wang

**Report Number:** RSZ130426002-20

**Report Date:** 2013-10-12

Alvin Huang

**Reviewed By:** RF Leader

Prepared By: Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building,

ShiHua Road, FuTian Free Trade Zone

Shenzhen, Guangdong, China Tel: +86-755-33320018 Fax: +86-755-33320008 www.baclcorp.com.cn

Note: This test report is prepared for the customer shown above and for the equipment described herein. It may not be duplicated or used in part without prior written consent from Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp.

Attestation of Test Results					
	Company Name Vanstone Electronic (Beijing) Co., Ltd.				
	EUT Description	Wireless Hand-held Payment Terminal			
EUT Information	FCC ID	OWLVH808			
	Model Number	VH808			
	Test Date	2013-7-16 to 2013-7-17			
Frequency	I	Max. SAR Level(s) Reported	Limit(W/Kg)		
Cellular Band		0.677 W/kg, 1g Body SAR	1.6		
PCS Band		0.674 W/kg, 1g Body SAR			
	ANSI/IEEE C95.1: 2005 IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fileds,3 kHz to 300 GHz.  ANSI/IEEE C95.3: 2002 IEEE Recommended Practice for Measurements and Computations of Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields With Respect to Human Exposure to SuchFields,100 kHz—300				
Applicable Standards	GHz.  IEEE1528: 2003  IEEE Recommended	Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average R) in the Human Head from Wireless Communication	Specific		
	Mobile and Portable Devices RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies				

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

**Note:** This wireless device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Standards and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2003 and RF exposure KDB procedures.

The results and statements contained in this report pertain only to the device(s) evaluated.

SAR Evaluation Report 2 of 73

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY	4
EUT DESCRIPTION	5
TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION	5
REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDELINES	6
SAR LIMITS	7
FACILITIES AND ACCREDITATION	8
DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM	9
EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION	16
EQUIPMENTS LIST & CALIBRATION INFORMATION	
SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION	17
LIQUID VERIFICATION	
SYSTEM ACCURACY VERIFICATION	19
SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA	
EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY	24
TEST POSITIONS FOR DEVICE OPERATING NEXT TO A PERSON'S EAR	
CHEEK/TOUCH POSITION EAR/TILT POSITION	
TEST POSITION	
SAR Evaluation Procedure	
CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT	28
PROVISION APPLICABLE	28
TEST PROCEDURE	28
MAXIMUM OUTPUT POWER AMONG PRODUCTION UNITS	
SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS	31
SAR TEST DATA	
EUT SCAN RESULTS	
APPENDIX A MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	36
APPENDIX B PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	37
APPENDIX C DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	47
APPENDIX D EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS	67
Liquid depth ≥ 15cm	67
BODY-WORN FRONT SETUP PHOTO	67
BODY-WORN BACK SETUP PHOTO	68
APPENDIX E EUT PHOTOS	
EUT – FRONT VIEW	
EUT_BACK VIEW	
EUT – LEFT SIDE VIEW EUT – RIGHT SIDE VIEW	
EUT – Top View	
EUT -BOTTOM VIEW	71
EUT –UNCOVERED VIEW	72
APPENDIX F INFORMATIVE REFERENCES	73

## **DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY**

Revision Number	Report Number	Description of Revision	Date of Revision	
0	RSZ130426002-20	Original Report	2013-10-12	

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

SAR Evaluation Report 4 of 73

## **EUT DESCRIPTION**

This report has been prepared on behalf of Vanstone Electronic (Beijing) Co., Ltd. and their product, FCC ID: OWLVH808, Model: VH808 or the EUT (Equipment under Test) as referred to in the rest of this report.

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

## **Technical Specification**

Product Type	Portable	
Exposure Category:	Population/Uncontrolled	
Antenna Type(s):	Internal Antenna	
<b>Body-Worn Accessories:</b>	None	
Face-Head Accessories:	None	
Multi-slot Class:	Class 12	
Operation Mode :	GPRS Data and RFID	
	Cellular Band: 824-849 MHz (TX); 869-894 MHz (RX)	
Frequency Band:	PCS Band: 1850-1910 MHz (TX); 1930-1990 MHz (RX)	
	RF ID: 13.56 MHz	
	Cellular Band : 32.18 dBm	
Conducted RF Power:	PCS Band: 29.26 dBm	
	RF ID: < -40 dBm	
Dimensions (L*W*H):	118mm (L)× 61mm (W)× 20mm (H)	
Power Source:	3.7VDC/2000mAh Rechargeable Battery	
Normal Operation:	Body-worn	

SAR Evaluation Report 5 of 73

#### REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDELINES

#### FCC:

The Report and Order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 mW/g as recommended by the ANSI/IEEE standard C95.1-1992 [6] for an uncontrolled environment (Paragraph 65). According to the Supplement C of OET Bulletin 65 "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guide-lines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", released on Jun 29, 2001 by the FCC, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in North America is 1.6 mW/g average over 1 gram of tissue mass.

#### CE:

The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 2 mW/g as recommended by EN62209-1 for an uncontrolled environment. According to the Standard, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in Europe is 2 mW/g average over 10 gram of tissue mass.

The test configurations were laid out on a specially designed test fixture to ensure the reproducibility of measurements. Each configuration was scanned for SAR. Analysis of each scan was carried out to characterize the above effects in the device.

SAR Evaluation Report 6 of 73

#### **SAR Limits**

## FCC Limit (1g Tissue)

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

	SAR (W/kg)			
EXPOSURE LIMITS	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)		
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4		
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1 g of tissue)	1.60	8.0		
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0		

#### CE Limit (10g Tissue)

	SAR (W/kg)			
EXPOSURE LIMITS	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)		
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4		
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 10 g of tissue)	2.0	10		
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0		

Population/Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individual who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational/Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

General Population/Uncontrolled environments Spatial Peak limit 1.6W/kg (FCC) & 2~W/kg (CE) applied to the EUT.

SAR Evaluation Report 7 of 73

## FACILITIES AND ACCREDITATION

The test site used by Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) to collect data is located at 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building, Shi Hua Road, Fu Tian Free Trade Zone, Shenzhen, Guangdong, P.R. of China

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

SAR Evaluation Report 8 of 73

#### **DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM**

These measurements were performed with ALSAS 10 Universal Integrated SAR Measurement system from APREL Laboratories.

#### **ALSAS-10U System Description**

ALSAS-10-U is fully compliant with the technical and scientific requirements of IEEE 1528, IEC 62209, CENELEC, ARIB, ACA, and the Federal Communications Commission. The system comprises of a six axes articulated robot which utilizes a dedicated controller. ALSAS-10U uses the latest methodologies. And FDTD modeling to provide a platform which is repeatable with minimum uncertainty.

#### **Applications**

Predefined measurement procedures compliant with the guidelines of CENELEC, IEEE, IEC, FCC, etc are utilized during the assessment for the device. Automatic detection for all SAR maxima are embedded within the core architecture for the system, ensuring that peak locations used for centering the zoom scan are within a 1mm resolution and a 0.05mm repeatable position. System operation range currently available up-to 6 GHz in simulated tissue.

#### **Area Scans**

Area scans are defined prior to the measurement process being executed with a user defined variable spacing between each measurement point (integral) allowing low uncertainty measurements to be conducted. Scans defined for FCC applications utilize a 10mm2 step integral, with 1mm interpolation used to locate the peak SAR area used for zoom scan assessments.



Report No: RSZ130426002-20

Where the system identifies multiple SAR peaks (which are within 25% of peak value) the system will provide the user with the option of assessing each peak location individually for zoom scan averaging.

#### **Zoom Scan (Cube Scan Averaging)**

The averaging zoom scan volume utilized in the ALSAS-10U software is in the shape of a cube and the side dimension of a 1 g or 10 g mass is dependent on the density of the liquid representing the simulated tissue. A density of 1000 kg/m3 is used to represent the head and body tissue density and not the phantom liquid density, in order to be consistent with the definition of the liquid dielectric properties, i.e. the side length of the 1 g cube is 10mm, with the side length of the 10 g cube 21,5mm.

When the cube intersects with the surface of the phantom, it is oriented so that 3 vertices touch the surface of the shell or the center of a face is tangent to the surface. The face of the cube closest to the surface is modified in order to conform to the tangent surface.

The zoom scan integer steps can be user defined so as to reduce uncertainty, but normal practice for typical test applications (including FCC) utilize a physical step of 5x5x8 (8mmx8mmx5mm) providing a volume of 32mm in the X & Y axis, and 35mm in the Z axis.

SAR Evaluation Report 9 of 73

#### **ALSAS-10U Interpolation and Extrapolation Uncertainty**

The overall uncertainty for the methodology and algorithms the used during the SAR calculation was evaluated using the data from IEEE 1528 based on the example f3 algorithm:


Report No: RSZ130426002-20

$$f_3(x,y,z) = A \frac{a^2}{\frac{a^2}{4} + {x'}^2 + {y'}^2} \cdot \left( e^{-\frac{2z}{a}} + \frac{a^2}{2(a+2z)^2} \right)$$

## **Isotropic E-Field Probe**

The isotropic E-Field probe has been fully calibrated and assessed for isotropicity, and boundary effect within a controlled environment. Depending on the frequency for which the probe is calibrated the method utilized for calibration will change.

The E-Field probe utilizes a triangular sensor arrangement as detailed in the diagram below:



SAR is assessed with a calibrated probe which moves at a default height of 5mm from the center of the diode, which is mounted to the sensor, to the phantom surface (in the Z Axis). The 5mm offset height has been selected so as to minimize any resultant boundary effect due to the probe being in close proximity to the phantom surface.

The following algorithm is an example of the function used by the system for linearization of the output from the probe when measuring complex modulation schemes.

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

SAR Evaluation Report 10 of 73

#### **Isotropic E-Field Probe Specification**

	Frequency Dependent	
Calibration Method	Below 1 GHz Calibration in air performed in a TEM Cell	
	Above 1 GHz Calibration in air performed in waveguide	
Sensitivity	$0.70 \mu\text{V/(V/m)}^2$ to $0.85 \mu\text{V/(V/m)}^2$	
Dynamic Range	0.0005 W/kg to 100 W/kg	
Isotropic Response	Better than 0.1 dB	
Diode Compression Point (DCP)	Calibration for Specific Frequency	
Probe Tip Diameter	< 2.9 mm	
Sensor Offset	1.56 (+/- 0.02 mm)	
Probe Length	289 mm	
	@ 500 Hz: 1 dB	
Video Bandwidth		
	@ 1.02 kHz: 3 dB	
Boundary Effect	t Less than 2.1% for distance greater than 0.58 mm	
	The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.5% for 4.9mm	
	diameter probe.	
Spatial Resolution	<u> </u>	
_	The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.0% for 2.5mm	
	diameter probe	

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

### **Boundary Detection Unit and Probe Mounting Device**

ALSAS-10U incorporates a boundary detection unit with a sensitivity of 0.05mm for detecting all types of surfaces. The robust design allows for detection during probe tilt (probe normalize) exercises, and utilizes a second stage emergency stop. The signal electronics are fed directly into the robot controller for high accuracy surface detection in lateral and axial detection modes (X, Y, & Z).

The probe is mounted directly onto the Boundary Detection unit for accurate tooling and displacement calculations controlled by the robot kinematics. The probe is connect to an isolated probe interconnect where the output stage of the probe is fed directly into the amplifier stage of the Daq-Paq.

### **Daq-Paq** (Analog to Digital Electronics)

ALSAS-10U incorporates a fully calibrated Daq-Paq (analog to digital conversion system) which has a 4 channel input stage, sent via a 2 stage auto-set amplifier module. The input signal is amplified accordingly so as to offer a dynamic range from  $5\mu V$  to 800mV. Integration of the fields measured is carried out at board level utilizing a Co-Processor which then sends the measured fields down into the main computational module in digitized form via an RS232 communications port. Probe linearity and duty cycle compensation is carried out within the main Daq-Paq module.

ADC	12 Bit	
Amplifier Range	20 mV to 200 mV and 150 mV to 800 mV	
Field Integration	Local Co-Processor utilizing proprietary integration algorithms	
Number of Input Channels	4 in total 3 dedicated and 1 spare	
Communication	Packet data via RS232	

SAR Evaluation Report 11 of 73

#### **Axis Articulated Robot**

ALSAS-10U utilizes a six axis articulated robot, which is controlled using a Pentium based real-time movement controller. The movement kinematics engine utilizes proprietary (Thermo CRS) interpolation and extrapolation algorithms, which allow full freedom of movement for each of the six joints within the working envelope. Utilization of joint 6 allows for full probe rotation with a tolerance better than 0.05mm around the central axis.

Report No: RSZ130426002-20



Robot/Controller Manufacturer	Thermo CRS	
Number of Axis	Six independently controlled axis	
Positioning Repeatability	ty 0.05 mm	
Controller Type	Single phase Pentium based C500C	
Robot Reach	710 mm	
Communication	RS232 and LAN compatible	

#### **ALSAS Universal Workstation**

ALSAS Universal workstation allows for repeatability and fast adaptability. It allows users to do calibration, testing and measurements using different types of phantoms with one set up, which significantly speeds up the measurement process.

#### **Universal Device Positioner**

The universal device positioner allows complete freedom of movement of the EUT. Developed to hold a EUT in a free-space scenario any additional loading attributable to the material used in the construction of the positioner has been eliminated. Repeatability has been enhanced through the linear scales which form the design used to indicate positioning for any given test scenario in all major axes. A 15° tilt indicator is included for the of aid cheek to tilt movements for head SAR analysis. Overall uncertainty for measurements have been reduced due to the design of the Universal device positioner, which allows positioning of a device in as near to a free-space scenario as possible, and by providing the means for complete repeatability.

SAR Evaluation Report 12 of 73




Report No: RSZ130426002-20

## **Phantom Types**

The ALSAS-10U allows the integration of multiple phantom types. SAM Phantoms fully compliant with IEEE 1528, Universal Phantom, and Universal Flat.

#### **APREL SAM Phantoms**

The SAM phantoms developed using the IEEE SAM CAD file. They are fully compliant with the requirements for both IEEE 1528 and FCC Supplement C. Both the left and right SAM phantoms are interchangeable, transparent and include the IEEE 1528 grid with visible NF and MB lines.



SAR Evaluation Report 13 of 73

#### **APREL Laboratories Universal Phantom**

The Universal Phantom is used on the ALSAS-10U as a system validation phantom. The Universal Phantom has been fully validated both experimentally from 800MHz to 6GHz and numerically using XFDTD numerical software.

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

The shell thickness is 2mm overall, with a 4mm spacer located at the NF/MB intersection providing an overall thickness of 6mm in line with the requirements of IEEE-1528.

The design allows for fast and accurate measurements, of handsets, by allowing the conservative SAR to be evaluated at on frequency for both left and right head experiments in one measurement.



SAR Evaluation Report 14 of 73

### **Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantoms**

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in P1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations described in Reference [12] and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in P1528.

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

Ingredients	Frequency (MHz)									
(% by weight)	45	0	83	35	9:	15	19	00	24	50
Tissue Type	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body
Water	38.56	51.16	41.45	52.4	41.05	56.0	54.9	40.4	62.7	73.2
Salt (Nacl)	3.95	1.49	1.45	1.4	1.35	0.76	0.18	0.5	0.5	0.04
Sugar	56.32	46.78	56.0	45.0	56.5	41.76	0.0	58.0	0.0	0.0
HEC	0.98	0.52	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.21	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
Bactericide	0.19	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.27	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Triton x-100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	0.0
DGBE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.92	0.0	0.0	26.7
Dielectric Constant	43.42	58.0	42.54	56.1	42.0	56.8	39.9	54.0	39.8	52.5
Conductivity (s/m)	0.85	0.83	0.91	0.95	1.0	1.07	1.42	1.45	1.88	1.78

#### Recommended Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body

Frequency	Head T	Γissue	Body Tissue		
(MHz)	£r	O (S/m)	£r	O (S/m)	
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80	
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92	
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94	
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97	
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05	
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06	
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30	
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40	
1800-2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52	
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95	
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73	
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00	

SAR Evaluation Report 15 of 73

## **EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION**

## **Equipments List & Calibration Information**


Equipment	Model	Calibration Date	S/N
CRS F3 robot	ALS-F3	N/A	RAF0805352
CRS F3 Software	ALS-F3-SW	N/A	N/A
CRS C500C controller	ALS-C500	N/A	RCF0805379
Probe mounting device & Boundary Detection Sensor System	ALS-PMDPS-3	N/A	120-00270
Universal Work Station	ALS-UWS	N/A	100-00157
Data Acquisition Package	ALS-DAQ-PAQ-3	2013-05-12	110-00212
Miniature E-Field Probe	ALS-E-020	2012-08-08	500-00283
Dipole, 835MHz	ALS-D-835-S-2	2011-08-25	180-00558
Dipole, 1900MHz	ALS-D-1900-S-2	2011-08-25	210-00710
Dipole Spacer	ALS-DS-U	N/A	250-00907
Device holder/Positioner	ALS-H-E-SET-2	N/A	170-00510
Left ear SAM phantom	ALS-P-SAM-L	N/A	130-00311
Right ear SAM phantom	ALS-P-SAM-R	N/A	140-00359
UniPhantom	ALS-P-UP-1	N/A	150-00413
Simulated Tissue 835 MHz Body	ALS-TS-835-B	Each Time	270-02101
Simulated Tissue 1900 MHz Body	ALS-TS-1900-B	Each Time	295-02102
Power Amplifier	5S1G4	N/A	71377
Synthesized Sweeper	HP 8341B	2013-05-16	2624A00116
UNIVERSAL RADIO COMMUNICATION TESTER	CMU 200	2012-12-06	1100.0008.02
EMI Test Receiver	ESCI	2012-11-24	101120

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

SAR Evaluation Report 16 of 73

## SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION

## **Liquid Verification**



Report No: RSZ130426002-20

Liquid Verification Setup Block Diagram

## **Liquid Verification Results**

Frequency Liquid		Liquid Parameter		Targ	et Value	I	Tolerance	
Туре	$\epsilon_{\rm r}$	O'(S/m)	$\epsilon_{ m r}$	O'(S/m)	$\Delta \epsilon_{ m r}$	ΔΟ (S/m)	(%)	
824.2	Body	55.14	0.95	55.20	0.97	-0.109	-2.062	±5
836.6	Body	55.21	0.97	55.20	0.97	0.018	0.000	±5
848.8	Body	55.29	0.99	55.20	0.97	0.163	2.062	±5
1850.2	Body	54.11	1.49	53.30	1.52	1.520	-1.974	±5
1880.0	Body	53.86	1.51	53.30	1.52	1.051	-0.658	±5
1909.8	Body	53.94	1.54	53.30	1.52	1.201	1.316	±5

<sup>\*</sup>Liquid Verification was performed on 2013-7-16.

Please refer to the following tables.

SAR Evaluation Report 17 of 73

	850 MHz Bod	y	1	1900 MHz Body	y
Frequency (MHz)	e'	e''	Frequency (MHz)	e'	e''
824.0	55.135353	20.653224	1850.0	54.107845	14.460056
824.5	55.138491	20.552735	1851.2	54.039764	14.417473
825.0	55.141624	20.565253	1852.4	54.024608	14.418577
825.5	55.144767	20.577687	1853.6	54.035191	14.394850
826.0	55.147905	20.712749	1854.8	53.938027	14.414333
826.5	55.151043	20.776480	1856.0	54.041050	14.450754
827.0	55.154181	20.690979	1857.2	54.034221	14.476739
827.5	55.157319	20.569115	1858.4	54.016235	14.397955
828.0	55.160457	20.603677	1859.6	53.997906	14.392298
828.5	55.163595	20.561100	1860.8	53.893697	14.445094
829.0	55.166733	20.665255	1862.0	53.921373	14.272297
829.5	55.169871	20.608084	1863.2	53.867354	14.285102
830.0	55.173009	20.485981	1864.4	53.902106	14.302185
830.5	55.176147	20.550369	1865.6	53.908579	14.273220
831.0	55.179285	20.535544	1866.8	53.983589	14.263790
831.5	55.182423	20.742968	1868.0	54.052033	14.279863
832.0	55.185561	20.720632	1869.2	54.061095	14.302289
832.5	55.188699	20.497331	1870.4	53.974593	14.353740
833.0	55.191837	20.430695	1871.6	53.916973	14.354449
833.5	55.194975	20.542162	1872.8	53.955407	14.383439
834.0	55.198113	20.694019	1874.0	53.881872	14.391748
834.5	55.201251	20.586981	1875.2	53.945035	14.455005
835.0	55.205389	20.530440	1876.4	53.848150	14.377786
835.5	55.207527	20.778147	1877.6	53.950433	14.457827
836.0	55.210665	20.785070	1878.8	53.978220	14.589663
836.5	55.213803	20.642877	1880.0	53.860541	14.608618
837.0	55.216941	20.469960	1881.2	53.818781	14.605654
837.5	55.220079	20.506226	1882.4	53.914323	14.577032
838.0	55.223217	20.790509	1883.6	53.879824	14.533055
838.5	55.226355	20.805713	1884.8	53.904721	14.555796
839.0	55.229493	20.727725	1886.0	53.939932	14.487990
839.5	55.232631	20.662683	1887.2	53.920707	14.464156
840.0	55.235768	20.729826	1888.4	54.010484	14.498465
840.5	55.238906	20.775190	1889.6	53.940975	14.503077
841.0	55.242044	20.730318	1890.8	53.986650	14.559906
841.5	55.245182	20.667055	1892.0	53.974614	14.356089
842.0	55.248320	20.842895	1893.2	53.947066	14.317010
842.5	55.251458	20.811408	1894.4	53.919704	14.366092
843.0	55.254596	20.771806	1895.6	53.912552	14.699893
843.5	55.257734	20.727317	1896.8	53.902453	14.698000
844.0	55.260872	20.744975	1898.0	53.902783	14.678560
844.5	55.264010	20.770882	1899.2	53.979784	14.679000
845.0	55.267148	20.680300	1900.4	53.944700	14.577657
845.5	55.270286	20.626686	1901.6	53.948183	14.667003
846.0	55.273424	20.799687	1902.8	53.917274	14.627025
846.5	55.276562	20.861091	1904.0	53.998985	14.595842
847.0	55.279700	20.809950	1905.2	53.910742	14.564363
847.5	55.282838	20.730251	1906.4	53.900654	14.489297
848.0	55.285976	20.820408	1907.6	53.826334	14.606088
848.5	55.289114	20.898492	1908.8	53.910614	14.541516
849.0	55.292252	20.898674	1910.0	53.937137	14.505138


SAR Evaluation Report 18 of 73

## **System Accuracy Verification**

Prior to the assessment, the system validation kit was used to test whether the system was operating within its specifications of  $\pm 10\%$ . The validation results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

#### **System Verification Setup Block Diagram**



#### Probe and dipole antenna List and Detail

Manufa cturer	Description	Model	Serial Number	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date
APREL	Probe	ALS-E-020	500-00283	2012-08-08	2013-08-07
APREL	Dipole antenna(835MHz)	ALS-D-835-S-2	180-00558	2011-08-25	2014-08-24
APREL	Dipole antenna(1900MHz)	ALS-D-1900-S-2	210-00710	2011-08-25	2014-08-24

#### **System Accuracy Check Results**

Date	Frequency Band	Liquid Type		ed SAR Kg)	Target Value (W/Kg)	Delta (%)	Tolerance (%)
2013-7-16	835	Body	1g	9.779	9.684	0.971	±10
2013-7-10	1900	Body	1g	40.137	39.769	0.917	±10

<sup>\*</sup>All SAR values are normalized to 1 Watt forward power.

SAR Evaluation Report 19 of 73

#### SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

System Performance Check 835 MHz Body Liquid

Dipole 835 MHz; Type: ALS-D-835-S-2; S/N: 180-00558

Product Data

Device Name : Dipole 835 MHz Serial No. : 180-00558 Type : Dipole

Model : ALS-D-835-S-2

Frequency Band : 835

Max. Transmit Pwr : 1 W

Drift Time : 3 min(s)

Power Drift-Start : 10.005 W/kg

Power Drift-Finish : 10.079W/kg

Power Drift (%) : 0.736

Phantom Data

Name : APREL-Uni Type : Uni-Phantom Size (mm) : 280 x 280 x 200 Serial No. : System Default

Location : Center Description : Default

Phantom Data

Tissue Data

Type : Body Serial No. : 270-02101 : 835.0 MHz Frequency Last Calib. Date : 16-Jul-2013 : 20.00 °C Temperature Ambient Temp. : 21.00 °C Humidity : 56.00 RH% Epsilon : 55.21 F/m Sigma : 0.97 S/m Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data

Name : E-Field Model : E-020

Type : E-Field Triangle Serial No. : 500-00283 Last Calib. Date : 08-Aug-2012

Frequency Band : 835 Duty Cycle Factor : 1 Conversion Factor : 6.6

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

Measurement Data


Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete Tissue Temp. : 21.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 21.00 °C

Area Scan : 7x9x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

SAR Evaluation Report 20 of 73

1 gram SAR value : 9.779 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 5.730W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 10.556 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 17.199 W/kg



835 MHz System Validation with Body Tissue

SAR Evaluation Report 21 of 73

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

System Performance Check 1900 MHz Body Liquid

Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: ALS-D-1900-S-2; S/N: 210-00710

Product Data

Device Name : Dipole 1900MHz Serial No. : 210-00710 Type : Dipole

Model : ALS-D-1900-S-2

Frequency Band : 1900

Max. Transmit Pwr : 1 W

Drift Time : 3 min(s)

Power Drift-Start : 40.014 W/kg

Power Drift-Finish : 40.334 W/kg

Power Drift (%) : 0.798

Phantom Data

Name : APREL-Uni
Type : Uni-Phantom
Size (mm) : 280 x 280 x 200
Serial No. : System Default

Location : Center Description : Default

Tissue Data

Type : Body Serial No. : 295-02102 : 1900.00 MHz Frequency Last Calib. Date : 16-Jul-2013 Temperature : 20.00 °C : 21.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 56.00 RH% Humidity : 53.94 F/m Epsilon : 1.54 S/m Sigma Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data

Name : E-Field Model : E-020

Type : E-Field Triangle Serial No. : 500-00283 Last Calib. Date : 08-Aug-2012

Frequency Band : 1900 Duty Cycle Factor : 1 Conversion Factor : 5.0

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

Measurement Data


Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete Tissue Temp. : 20.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 21.00 °C

Area Scan : 7x9x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

SAR Evaluation Report 22 of 73

1 gram SAR value : 40.137 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 21.920 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 41.210 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 88.246 W/kg



1900 MHz System Validation with Body Tissue


SAR Evaluation Report 23 of 73


#### **EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY**

#### **Test Positions for Device Operating Next to a Person's Ear**

This category includes most wireless handsets with fixed, retractable or internal antennas located toward the top half of the device, with or without a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover. The handset should have its earpiece located within the upper ¼ of the device, either along the centerline or off-centered, as perceived by its users. This type of handset should be positioned in a normal operating position with the "test device reference point" located along the "vertical centerline" on the front of the device aligned to the "ear reference point". The "test device reference point" should be located at the same level as the center of the earpiece region. The "vertical centerline" should bisect the front surface of the handset at its top and bottom edges. A "ear reference point" is located on the outer surface of the head phantom on each ear spacer. It is located 1.5 cm above the center of the ear canal entrance in the "phantom reference plane" defined by the three lines joining the center of each "ear reference point" (left and right) and the tip of the mouth

A handset should be initially positioned with the earpiece region pressed against the ear spacer of a head phantom. For the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom, the device should be positioned parallel to the "N-F" line defined along the base of the ear spacer that contains the "ear reference point". For interim head phantoms, the device should be positioned parallel to the cheek for maximum RF energy coupling. The "test device reference point" is aligned to the "ear reference point" on the head phantom and the "vertical centerline" is aligned to the "phantom reference plane". This is called the "initial ear position". While maintaining these three alignments, the body of the handset is gradually adjusted to each of the following positions for evaluating SAR:





Report No: RSZ130426002-20

SAR Evaluation Report 24 of 73

#### **Cheek/Touch Position**

The device is brought toward the mouth of the head phantom by pivoting against the "ear reference point" or along the "N-F" line for the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom.

This test position is established:


• When any point on the display, keypad or mouthpiece portions of the handset is in contact with the phantom.

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

o (or) When any portion of a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover opened to its intended self-adjusting normal use position is in contact with the cheek or mouth of the phantom.

For existing head phantoms – when the handset loses contact with the phantom at the pivoting point, rotation should continue until the device touches the cheek of the phantom or breaks its last contact from the ear spacer.

#### **Cheek /Touch Position**



#### **Ear/Tilt Position**


With the handset aligned in the "Cheek/Touch Position":

- 1) If the earpiece of the handset is not in full contact with the phantom's ear spacer (in the "Cheek/Touch position") and the peak SAR location for the "Cheek/Touch" position is located at the ear spacer region or corresponds to the earpiece region of the handset, the device should be returned to the "initial ear position" by rotating it away from the mouth until the earpiece is in full contact with the ear spacer.
- 2) (otherwise) The handset should be moved (translated) away from the cheek perpendicular to the line passes through both "ear reference points" (note: one of these ear reference points may not physically exist on a split head model) for approximate 2-3 cm. While it is in this position, the device handset is tilted away from the mouth with respect to the "test device reference point" until the inside angle between the vertical centerline on the front surface of the phone and the horizontal line passing through the ear reference point isby 15 80°. After the tilt, it is then moved (translated) back toward the head perpendicular to the line passes through both "ear reference points" until the device touches the phantom or the ear spacer. If the antenna touches the head first, the positioning process should be repeated with a tilt angle less than 15° so that the device and its antenna would touch the phantom simultaneously. This test position may require a device holder or positioner to achieve the translation and tilting with acceptable positioning repeatability.

SAR Evaluation Report 25 of 73

If a device is also designed to transmit with its keypad cover closed for operating in the head position, such positions should also be considered in the SAR evaluation. The device should be tested on the left and right side of the head phantom in the "Cheek/Touch" and "Ear/Tilt" positions. When applicable, each configuration should be tested with the antenna in its fully extended and fully retracted positions. These test configurations should be tested at the high, middle and low frequency channels of each operating mode; for example, AMPS, CDMA, and TDMA. If the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (left, right, Cheek/Touch, Tile/Ear, extended and retracted) is at least 2.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s). If the transmission band of the test device is less than 10 MHz, testing at the high and low frequency channels is optional.

#### Ear /Tilt 15° Position



#### Test positions for body-worn and other configurations

Body-worn operating configurations should be tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in normal use configurations. Devices with a headset output should be tested with a headset connected to the device. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device may be tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. When multiple accessories that contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device must be tested with each accessory that contains a unique metallic component. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (e.g., the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components), only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body must be tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices that are intended to be authorized for body-worn use. A separation distance of 1.5 cm between the back of the device and a flat phantom is recommended for testing body-worn SAR compliance under such circumstances. Other separation distances may be used, but they should not exceed 2.5 cm. In these cases, the device may use body-worn accessories that provide a separation distance greater than that tested for the device provided however that the accessory contains no metallic components.

SAR Evaluation Report 26 of 73

#### **SAR Evaluation Procedure**

The evaluation was performed with the following procedure:

Step 1: Measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location above the ear point or central position was used as a reference value for assessing the power drop. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test and then again at the end of the testing.

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

- Step 2: The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 4 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head or EUT and the horizontal grid spacing was 10 mm x 10 mm. Based on these data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.
- Step 3: Around this point, a volume of 35 mm x 35 mm x 35 mm was assessed by measuring 7x 7 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated under the following procedure:
  - 1) The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 1.2 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.3 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
  - 2) The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g or 10 g) were computed by the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"-condition (in x, y and z-directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal-algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the averages.

All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

Step 4: Re-measurement of the SAR value at the same location as in Step 1. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation was repeated.

SAR Evaluation Report 27 of 73

## CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT


## **Provision Applicable**

The measured peak output power should be greater and within 5% than EMI measurement.

#### **Test Procedure**

The RF output of the transmitter was connected to the input of the EMI Test Receiver through sufficient attenuation.

Report No: RSZ130426002-20



**GSM** 

## **Maximum Output Power among production units**

	Max Target Power for Production Unit (dBm)								
Mode/Band	Channel								
Wiode/Baild	Low	Middle	High						
GPRS 1 slot	33.00	33.00	33.00						
GPRS 2 slot	32.50	32.50	32.50						
GPRS 3 slot	29.00	29.00	29.00						
GPRS 4 slot	29.00	29.00	29.00						
GPRS 1 slot	30.00	30.00	30.00						
GPRS 2 slot	29.50	29.50	29.50						
GPRS 3 slot	26.00	26.00	26.00						
GPRS 4 slot	26.00	26.00	26.00						

SAR Evaluation Report 28 of 73

#### **GPRS**

Band	Channel	Frequency	RF Peak Output Power (dBm)					
Dana	No.	(MHz)	1 slot	2 slot	3 slots	4 slots		
GSM 850	128	824.2	32.18	32.10	28.32	28.15		
	190	836.6	31.92	31.82	28.62	28.50		
	251	848.8	32.07	32.01	28.76	28.76		
	512	1850.2	29.12	29.06	25.36	25.25		
PCS 1900	661	1880.0	29.12	29.02	25.50	25.34		
	810	1909.8	29.26	29.14	25.71	25.57		

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

For SAR, the time based average power is relevant, the difference in between depends on the duty cycle of the TDMA signal.

Number of Time slot	1	2	3	4
Duty Cycle	1:8	1:4	1:2.66	1:2
Time based Ave. power compared to slotted Ave. power	-9 dB	-6 dB	-4.25 dB	-3 dB
Crest Factor	8	4	2.66	2

## The time based average power

Band	Channel	Frequency	Time based average Power (dBm)						
Danu	No.	(MHz)	1 slot	2 slot	3 slots	4 slots			
GSM 850	128	824.2	23.18	26.10	24.07	25.15			
	190	836.6	22.92	25.82	24.37	25.50			
	251	848.8	23.07	26.01	24.51	25.76			
	512	1850.2	20.12	23.06	21.11	22.25			
PCS 1900	661	1880.0	20.12	23.02	21.25	22.34			
	810	1909.8	20.26	23.14	21.46	22.57			

### Note:

- 1. Rohde & Schwarz Radio Communication Tester (CMU200) was used for the measurement of GSM peak and average output power for active timeslots. For GPRS, 1, 2, 3 and 4 timeslots has been activated separately with power level 5(850 MHz band) and
- 0(1900 MHz band).

29 of 73 **SAR** Evaluation Report

#### **RF ID:**

Iı	Indicated			Detector	Corr	ection Fa	ctor	Corrected	
Frequency Range (MHz)	Mark point (MHz)	Maximum Reading (dBμV) @3m	Antenna Height (m)	Height PK/	Ant. Factor (dB)	Cable Loss (dB)	Pre- Amp. Gain (dB)	Amplitude (dBµV/m) @3m	dBm
13.110-13.410	13.275	7.14	1.1	QP	32.1	0.2	0	39.04	-57.96
13.410-13.553	13.501	12.85	1.2	QP	32.1	0.2	0	44.75	-52.25
13.553-13.567	13.561	22.9	1.3	QP	32.1	0.2	0	54.80	-42.20
13.567-13.710	13.692	13.64	1.1	QP	32.1	0.2	0	45.54	-51.46
13.710-14.010	13.986	6.87	1.2	QP	32.1	0.2	0	38.77	-58.23

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

#### Note:

The power of RF ID is much less than the test exclusion thresholds, according to the KDB 447498, so SAR is not required for RF ID. And estimated SAR of RF ID is similar to zero.

SAR Evaluation Report 30 of 73

#### SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

This page summarizes the results of the performed dosimetric evaluation.

#### **SAR Test Data**

#### **Environmental Conditions**

Temperature:	21-22° C
Relative Humidity:	50-53%
ATM Pressure:	1001-1002 mbar

<sup>\*</sup> Testing was performed by Sandy Wang on 2013-07-16.

#### **GSM 850:**

EUT	Frequency (MHz)		Test	Power	Meas. Avg.	Max. Rated	FCC 1g SAR (W/Kg)		
Position	Channel	MHz	Mode	Drift (%)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	Scaled SAR
Body-Front (0mm)	128(Low)	824.2	GPRS	/	/	/	/	/	/
	190(Middle)	836.6	GPRS	1.284	31.82	32.50	1.169	0.316	0.369
(*******)	251(High)	848.8	GPRS	/	/	/	/	/	/
	128(Low)	824.2	GPRS	/	/	/	/	/	/
Body-Back (0mm)	190(Middle)	836.6	GPRS	-2.951	31.82	32.50	1.169	0.579	0.677
	251(High)	848.8	GPRS	/	/	/	/	/	/

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

#### PCS Band:

EUT	Frequency (MHz)		Test	Power	Meas.	Max. Rated	FCC 1g SAR (W/Kg)		
Position	Channel	MHz	Mode	Drift (%)		Power (dBm)	Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	Scaled SAR
Body-Front (0mm)	512(Low)	1850.2	GPRS	/	/	/	/	/	/
	661(Middle)	1880.0	GPRS	1.256	29.02	29.50	1.117	0.027	0.030
, ,	810(High)	1909.8	GPRS	/	/	/	/	/	/
	512(Low)	1850.2	GPRS	/	/	/	/	/	/
Body-Back (0mm)	661(Middle)	1880.0	GPRS	-2.755	29.02	29.50	1.117	0.603	0.674
	810(High)	1909.8	GPRS	/	/	/	/	/	/

#### Note:

- 1 .When the 1-g SAR is  $\leq$  0.8W/Kg, testing for other channels is optional. 2.The Multi-slot Classes of EUT is Class 12 which has maximum 4 Downlink slots and 4Uplink slots, the maximum active slots is 5, when perform the multiple slots scan, 3DL+2UL is the worst case.

  3. When SAR or MPE is not measured at the maximum power level allowed for production units, the
- results must be scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance limit according to the power applied to the individual channels tested to determine compliance.

SAR Evaluation Report 31 of 73

#### **EUT SCAN RESULTS**

#### Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

#### **Body-worn-Front (836.6 MHz Middle Channel)**

Measurement Data

Test mode : GPRS
Crest Factor : 4
Scan Type : : Complete

Area Scan : 8x11x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 0.153 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 0.155 W/kg Power Drift (%) : 1.284

Tissue Data

 Type
 : Body

 Frequency
 : 836.6 MHz

 Epsilon
 : 55.21 F/m

 Sigma
 : 0.97 S/m

 Density
 : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data

Serial No. : 500-00283
Frequency Band : 835
Duty Cycle Factor : 4
Conversion Factor : 6.6


Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 0.316 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 0.170 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 0.351 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 0.560 W/kg

Plot 1#

Report No: RSZ130426002-20



SAR Evaluation Report 32 of 73

#### **Body-worn-Back (836.6 MHz Middle Channel)**

Measurement Data

Test mode : GPRS
Crest Factor : 4
Scan Type : : Complete

Area Scan : 8x11x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 0.469 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 0.455 W/kg Power Drift (%) : -2.951

Tissue Data

 Type
 : Body

 Frequency
 : 836.6 MHz

 Epsilon
 : 55.21 F/m

 Sigma
 : 0.97 S/m

 Density
 : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data

Serial No. : 500-00283 Frequency Band : 835 Duty Cycle Factor : 4 Conversion Factor : 6.6

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

 1 gram SAR value
 : 0.579 W/kg


 10 gram SAR value
 : 0.284 W/kg

 Area Scan Peak SAR
 : 0.653 W/kg

 Zoom Scan Peak SAR
 : 1.191 W/kg

Plot 2#

Report No: RSZ130426002-20



SAR Evaluation Report 33 of 73

#### **Body- worn Front (1880.0 MHz Middle Channel)**

Measurement Data

Test mode : GPRS
Crest Factor : 4
Scan Type : Complete

Area Scan : 8x11x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 0.065 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 0.065 W/kg Power Drift (%) : 1.256

Tissue Data

 Type
 : Body

 Frequency
 : 1880.0 MHz

 Epsilon
 : 53.86 F/m

 Sigma
 : 1.51 S/m

 Density
 : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data

Serial No. : 500-00283
Frequency Band : 1900
Duty Cycle Factor : 4
Conversion Factor : 5.0


Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

 $\begin{array}{lll} 1 \text{ gram SAR value} & : 0.027 \text{ W/kg} \\ 10 \text{ gram SAR value} & : 0.013 \text{ W/kg} \\ \text{Area Scan Peak SAR} & : 0.026 \text{ W/kg} \\ \text{Zoom Scan Peak SAR} & : 0.070 \text{ W/kg} \end{array}$ 

Plot 3#

Report No: RSZ130426002-20



SAR Evaluation Report 34 of 73

#### **Body- worn Back (1880.0 MHz Middle Channel)**

Measurement Data

Test mode : GPRS
Crest Factor : 4
Scan Type : Complete

Area Scan : 8x11x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 0.010 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 0.010 W/kg Power Drift (%) : -2.775

Tissue Data

 Type
 : Body

 Frequency
 : 1880.0 MHz

 Epsilon
 : 53.86 F/m

 Sigma
 : 1.51 S/m

 Density
 : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data

Serial No. : 500-00283
Frequency Band : 1900
Duty Cycle Factor : 4
Conversion Factor : 5.0

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

 1 gram SAR value
 : 0.603 W/kg


 10 gram SAR value
 : 0.323 W/kg

 Area Scan Peak SAR
 : 0.651 W/kg

 Zoom Scan Peak SAR
 : 0.910 W/kg

Plot 4#

Report No: RSZ130426002-20



SAR Evaluation Report 35 of 73

## APPENDIX A MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The uncertainty budget has been determined for the measurement system and is given in the following Table.

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

## Measurement Uncertainty for 300MHz to 3GHz

Source of Uncertainty	Tolerance Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	c <sub>i</sub> <sup>1</sup> (1-g)	c <sub>i</sub> <sup>1</sup> (10-g)	Standard Uncertainty (1-g) %	Standard Uncertainty (10-g) %
Measurement System							
Probe Calibration	3.5	normal	1	1	1	3.5	3.5
Axial Isotropy	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-cp)^{1/2}$	(1-cp) <sup>1</sup>	1.5	1.5
Hemispherical Isotropy	10.9	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	√ср	√ср	4.4	4.4
Boundary Effect	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Linearity	4.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.7	2.7
Detection Limit	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Readout Electronics	1.0	normal	1	1	1	1.0	1.0
Response Time	0.8	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.5	0.5
Integration Time	1.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.0	1.0
RF Ambient Condition -Noise	0.006	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.003	0.003
RF Ambient Condition - Reflections	3.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7
Probe Positioner Mech. Restrictions	0.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.2	0.2
Restriction							
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	2.9	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7
Extrapolation and Integration	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.1	2.1
Test Sample Positioning	0.023	normal	1	1	1	0.023	0.023
Device Holder Uncertainty	6.215	normal	1	1	1	6.215	6.215
Drift of Output Power	4.627	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.67	2.67
Phantom and Setup							
Phantom Uncertainty(shape & thickness tolerance)	3.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.0	2.0
Liquid Conductivity(target)	5.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.5	2.0	1.4
Liquid Conductivity(meas.)	1.938	normal	1	0.7	0.5	1.36	0.97
Liquid Permittivity(target)	5.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.5	1.7	1.4
Liquid Permittivity(meas.)	3.093	normal	1	0.6	0.5	1.86	1.55
Combined Uncertainty		RSS				10.78	10.55
Expanded uncertainty (coverage factor=2)		Normal(k=2)				21.56	21.10

SAR Evaluation Report 36 of 73

#### APPENDIX B PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

#### NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

Calibration File No.: 1427-1430

Client.: BACL Lab

# CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

Equipment: Miniature Isotropic RF Probe
Record of Calibration
Head and Body
Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories
Model No.: E-020

Serial No.: 500-00283

Calibration Procedure: D01-032-E020-V2, D22-012-Tissue, D28-002-Dipole

Project No: BACL-5673

Calibrated: 8<sup>th</sup> August 2012 Released on: 9<sup>th</sup> August 2012

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

VCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Suite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr, OTTAWA, ONTARIO CANADA K2K 3J1 Division of APREL Lab. TEL: (613) 435-8300 FAX: (613) 435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 37 of 73

Division of APREL Inc.

#### Introduction

This Calibration Report reproduces the results of the calibration performed in line with the references listed below. Calibration is performed using accepted methodologies as per the references listed below. Probes are calibrated for air, and tissue and the values reported are the results from the physical quantification of the probe through meteorgical practices.

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

#### **Calibration Method**

Probes are calibrated using the following methods.

<1000MHz

TEM Cell for sensitivity in air

Standard phantom using temperature transfer method for sensitivity in tissue

>1000MHz

Waveguide\* method to determine sensitivity in air and tissue

\*Waveguide is numerically (simulation) assessed to determine the field distribution and power

The boundary effect for the probe is assessed using a standard flat phantom where the probe output is compared against a numerically simulated series of data points

#### References

- IEEE Standard 1528 (2003) including Amendment 1
   IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques
- EN 62209-1 (2006)
   Human Exposure to RF Fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models. instrumentation, and procedures-Part 1: Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held mobile wireless devices
- IEC 62209-2 Ed. 1.0 (2010-03)
   Human exposure to RF fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless devices Human models, instrumentation, and procedures - Part 2: specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices (30 MHz - 6 GHz)
- TP-D01-032-E020-V2 E-Field probe calibration procedure
- o D22-012-Tissue dielectric tissue calibration procedure
- D28-002-Dipole procedure for validation of SAR system using a dipole
- IEEE 1309 Draft Standard for Calibration of Electromagnetic Field Sensors and Probes, Excluding Antennas, from 9kHz to 40GHz

Page 2 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 38 of 73

Division of APREL Inc.

#### Conditions

Probe 500-00283 was a recalibration with the exception frequency of 450 MHz .which was a new calibration

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory:  $22 \degree C +/- 1.5 \degree C$ Temperature of the Tissue:  $21 \degree C +/- 1.5 \degree C$ Relative Humidity: < 60%

#### **Primary Measurement Standards**

Instrument	Serial Number	Cal due date
Power meter Anritsu MA2408A	90025437	Nov.4, 2012
Power Sensor Anritsu MA2481D	103555	Nov 4, 2012
Attenuator HP 8495A (70dB)	1944A10711	Sept. 14, 2012
Network Analyzer Anritsu MT8801C	MB11855	Feb. 8, 2013

#### **Secondary Measurement Standards**

Signal Generator Agilent E4438C -506 MY55182336 June 7, 2013

#### Attestation

The below named signatories have conducted the calibration and review of the data which is presented in this calibration report.

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this subject has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within the results pages have been reviewed for accuracy.

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

Dan Brooks, Test Engineer

Page 3 of 10

SAR Evaluation Report 39 of 73

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

Division of APREL Inc.

**Probe Summary** 

Probe Type: E-Field Probe E020

Serial Number: 500-00283

Frequency: As presented on page 5

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

Sensor Offset: 1.56
Sensor Length: 2.5

Tip Enclosure: Composite\*

Tip Diameter: < 2.9 mm

Tip Length: 55 mm

Total Length: 289 mm

\*Resistive to recommended tissue recipes per IEEE-1528

Sensitivity in Air

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Channel X:} & 1.2 \ \mu \text{V/(V/m)}^2 \\ \text{Channel Y:} & 1.2 \ \mu \text{V/(V/m)}^2 \\ \text{Channel Z:} & 1.2 \ \mu \text{V/(V/m)}^2 \\ \end{array}$ 

Diode Compression Point: 95 mV

SAR Evaluation Report 40 of 73

Page 4 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

Division of APREL Inc.

Calibration for Tissue (Head H, Body B)

Frequency	Tissue Type	Measured Epsilon	Measured Sigma	Calibration Uncertainty	Tolerance Uncertainty for 5%*	Conversion Factor
450 H	<mark>Head</mark>	<mark>43.98</mark>	0.9	<mark>3.5</mark>	<mark>3.4</mark>	<mark>6</mark>
450 B	<mark>Body</mark>	<mark>57.07</mark>	0.92	<mark>3.5</mark>	<mark>3.4</mark>	<mark>6</mark>
750 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
750 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
835 H	<mark>Head</mark>	<mark>42.35</mark>	<mark>0.938</mark>	<b>3.5</b>	<mark>3.4</mark>	<mark>6.6</mark>
835 B	<mark>Body</mark>	<mark>56.65</mark>	<mark>1.018</mark>	<b>3.5</b>	<mark>3.4</mark>	<mark>6.6</mark>
900 H	<mark>Head</mark>	<mark>41.35</mark>	<mark>0.98</mark>	<b>3.5</b>	<mark>3.4</mark>	<mark>6</mark>
900 B	<mark>Body</mark>	<mark>56.08</mark>	1.05	<b>3.5</b>	<mark>3.4</mark>	<mark>6</mark>
1450 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
1450 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
1500 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
1500 B	Body	X	X	X	X	Х
1640 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
1640 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
1750 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
1750 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	X
1800 H	Head	X	Х	X	X	X
1800 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
1900 H	<mark>Head</mark>	<mark>38.72</mark>	1.35	<mark>3.5</mark>	<mark>2.7</mark>	<mark>5.2</mark>
1900 B	<b>Body</b>	<mark>51.62</mark>	<mark>1.48</mark>	<mark>3.5</mark>	<mark>2.7</mark>	<mark>5</mark>
2000 H	Head	Х	Х	X	X	X
2000 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	X
2100 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
2100 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	X
2300 H	Head	X	Х	X	X	X
2300 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	X
2450 H	<mark>Head</mark>	<mark>38.06</mark>	<mark>1.87</mark>	3.5	<mark>3.5</mark>	<mark>4.9</mark>
2450B	<mark>Body</mark>	<mark>50.22</mark>	<mark>2.03</mark>	<mark>3.5</mark>	<mark>3.5</mark>	<mark>4.3</mark>
2600 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
2600 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
3000 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
3000 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
3600 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
3600 B	Body	Х	X	Х	X	X
5200 H	Head	Х	X	X	X	X
5200 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	X
5600 H	Head	X	Х	X	X	Х
5600 B	Body	X	Х	Х	X	Х
5800 H	Head	X	Х	Х	X	X
5800 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	X

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

Page 5 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

**SAR** Evaluation Report 41 of 73

Division of APREL Inc.

#### **Boundary Effect:**

Uncertainty resulting from the boundary effect is less than 2.1% for the distance between the tip of the probe and the tissue boundary, when less than 0.58mm.

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

#### **Spatial Resolution:**

The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.5% for 4.9mm diameter probe. The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.0% for 2.5mm diameter probe.

#### **DAQ-PAQ Contribution**

To minimize the uncertainty calculation all tissue sensitivity values were calculated using a load impedance of 5  $M\Omega$ .

#### **Boundary Effect:**

For a distance of 0.58mm the worst case evaluated uncertainty (increase in the probe sensitivity) is less than 2.1%.

#### NOTES:

\*The maximum deviation from the centre frequency when comparing the lower to upper range is listed.


Page 6 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 42 of 73

Division of APREL Inc.

# Receiving Pattern Air




Report No: RSZ130426002-20


Page 7 of 10 This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

**SAR** Evaluation Report 43 of 73

# **Isotropy Error Air**



Report No: RSZ130426002-20



Isotropicity Tissue:

0.10 dB


Page 8 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

**SAR** Evaluation Report 44 of 73

Division of APREL Inc.

# **Dynamic Range**



Report No: RSZ130426002-20

Page 9 of 10
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.


**SAR** Evaluation Report 45 of 73

Division of APREL Inc.

#### Video Bandwidth

#### **Probe Frequency Characteristics**

Report No: RSZ130426002-20



Video Bandwidth at 500 Hz 1 dB Video Bandwidth at 1.02 KHz: 3 dB

#### **Test Equipment**

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List May 2012.

SAR Evaluation Report 46 of 73

Page 10 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

#### APPENDIX C DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

#### **NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES**

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

Calibration File No: DC-1327 Project Number: BAC-dipole-cal-5618

# CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

Validation Dipole(Head and Body)

Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories
Part number: ALS-D-835-S-2
Frequency: 835 MHz
Serial No: 180-00558

Customer: Bay Area Compliance Laboratory

Calibrated: 25<sup>th</sup> August 2011 Released on: 25<sup>th</sup> August 2011

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES
Suite 102 303 Terry Fox Dr Division of APREL Lab

Suite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr. Kanata, ONTARIO CANADA K2K 3J1 Division of APREL Lab. TEL: (613) 435-8300 FAX: (613)435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 47 of 73

Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### Conditions

Dipole 180-00558 was received in good condition and a re-calibration.

22 °C +/- 0.5°C Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 21 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue:

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this device has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within this report has been reviewed for accuracy.

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

Stuart Nicol

C. Teodorian

**Primary Measurement Standards** Instrument

Power meter Anritsu MA2408A Power Sensor Anritsu MA2481D Attenuator HP 8495A (70dB) 1 Network Analyzer Agilent E5071C Secondary Measurement Standards

Signal Generator Agilent E4438C

Serial Number

Nov.4, 2011 Nov 4, 2011 245025437 103555 944A10711 Aug.8, 2012 1334746J Feb. 8, 2012

Cal due date

-506 MY55182336 June 7, 2012

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

48 of 73 SAR Evaluation Report

Division of APREL Laboratories.

### **Calibration Results Summary**

The following results relate the Calibrated Dipole and should be used as a quick reference for the user.

#### **Mechanical Dimensions**


**Length:** 162.2 mm **Height:** 89.4 mm

**Electrical Specification** 

Tissue	Frequency	SWR:	Return Loss	Impedance
Head	835 MHz	1.0417 U	-35.395dB	49.020 Ω
Body	835 MHz	1.1177 U	-25.424dB	55.435 Ω

#### **System Validation Results**

Tissue	Frequency	1 Gram	10 Gram	Peak
Head	835 MHz	9.590	6.003	15.013
Body	835 MHz	9.684	6.263	14.23



3

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 49 of 73

Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### Introduction

This Calibration Report has been produced in line with the SSI Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-018-ALSAS. The results contained within this report are for Validation Dipole 180-00558. The calibration routine consisted of a three-step process. Step 1 was a mechanical verification of the dipole to ensure that it meets the mechanical specifications. Step 2 was an Electrical Calibration for the Validation Dipole, where the SWR, Impedance, and the Return loss were assessed. Step 3 involved a System Validation using the ALSAS-10U, along with APREL E-020 130 MHz to 26 GHz E-Field Probe Serial Number 212.

#### References

SSI-TP-018-ALSAS Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-016 Tissue Calibration Procedure

IEEE 1528 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques"

#### Conditions

Dipole 180-00558 was new taken from stock.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory:  $22 \,^{\circ}\text{C} \,^{+/-} \, 0.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ Temperature of the Tissue:  $20 \,^{\circ}\text{C} \,^{+/-} \, 0.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ 

#### **Dipole Calibration uncertainty**

The calibration uncertainty for the dipole is made up of various parameters presented below.

 Mechanical
 1%

 Positioning Error
 1.22%

 Electrical
 1.7%

 Tissue
 2.2%

 Dipole Validation
 2.2%

TOTAL 8.32% (16.64% K=2)

4

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 50 of 73

# NCL Calibration Laboratories Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **Dipole Calibration Results**

#### **Mechanical Verification**

APREL	APREL	Measured	Measured
Length	Height	Length	Height
161.0 mm	89.8 mm	162.2 mm	89.4 mm

Tissue Type	Return Loss:	SWR:	Impedance:
Head	-35.395 dB	1.0417 U	49.020Ω
Body	-25.454 dB	1.1177 U	55.435Ω

#### **Tissue Validation**

	Dielectric constant, ε <sub>r</sub>	Conductivity, o [S/m]
Head Tissue 835MHz	41.78	0.92
Body Tissue 835MHz	56.37	0.95

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


**SAR** Evaluation Report 51 of 73


Report No: RSZ130426002-20

Division of APREL Laboratories.

The Following Graphs are the results as displayed on the Vector Network Analyzer.

#### S11 Parameter Return Loss






This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


Report No: RSZ130426002-20

SAR Evaluation Report 52 of 73

# NCL Calibration Laboratories Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### SWR






This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

**SAR** Evaluation Report 53 of 73 Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### **Smith Chart Dipole Impedance**





This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 54 of 73

8

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **Test Equipment**

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List 2011.

9

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 55 of 73

# 835MHz Dipole Calibration By BACL at 2012-12-12

# **Mechanical Verification**


APREL Length	APREL Height	Measured Length	Measured Height
161.0 mm	89.8 mm	161.2 mm	89.5 mm

Tissue Type	Measured Return Loss	Measured Impedance
Head	-36.177 dB	50.207 Ω
Body	-24.964 dB	49.594 Ω

# **Test Graphs:**

Head Tissue

Return Loss:




Impedance:



Report No: RSZ130426002-20

**Body Tissue** 

Return Loss:



Impedance:



SAR Evaluation Report 56 of 73

#### **NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES**

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

Calibration File No: DC-1331
Project Number: BAC-dipole –cal-5615

# CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

Validation Dipole (Head & Body)

Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories
Part number: ALS-D-1900-S-2
Frequency: 1900 MHz
Serial No: 210-00710

Customer: Bay Area Compliance Laboratory

Calibrated: 25<sup>th</sup> August, 2011 Released on: 25<sup>th</sup> August, 2011

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Suite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr. Kanata, ONTARIO CANADA K2K 3J1 Division of APREL Lab. TEL: (613) 435-8300 FAX: (613)435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 57 of 73

Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### Conditions

Dipole 210-00710 was received in good condition and was a re-calibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory:  $22 \,^{\circ}\text{C} \, +/- \, 0.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ Temperature of the Tissue:  $21 \,^{\circ}\text{C} \, +/- \, 0.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ 

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this device has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within this report has been reviewed for accuracy.

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

Stuart Nicol

C. Teodorian

**Primary Measurement Standards** Instrument Serial Number Cal due date Power meter Anritsu MA2408A Nov.4, 2011 245025437 Power Sensor Anritsu MA2481D Nov 4, 2011 103555 Attenuator HP 8495A (70dB) 1 944A10711 Aug.8, 2012 Network Analyzer Agilent E5071C 1334746J Feb. 8, 2012 Secondary Measurement Standards Signal Generator Agilent E4438C -506 MY55182336 June 7, 2012

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 58 of 73

Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **Calibration Results Summary**

The following results relate the Calibrated Dipole and should be used as a quick reference for the user.

#### **Mechanical Dimensions**


**Length:** 67.1 mm **Height:** 38.9 mm

**Electrical Specification** 

Tissue	Frequency	SWR:	Return Loss	Impedance
Head	1900MHz	1.0417 U	-35.395dB	49.020 Ω
Body	1900MHz	1.1177 U	-25.424dB	55.435 Ω

#### **System Validation Results**

Tissue	Frequency	1 Gram	10 Gram	Peak
Head	1900 MHz	39.648	20.311	73.365
Body	1900 MHz	39.769	20.176	75.866



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 59 of 73

3

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### Introduction

This Calibration Report has been produced in line with the SSI Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-018-ALSAS. The results contained within this report are for Validation Dipole 210-00710. The calibration routine consisted of a three-step process. Step 1 was a mechanical verification of the dipole to ensure that it meets the mechanical specifications. Step 2 was an Electrical Calibration for the Validation Dipole, where the SWR, Impedance, and the Return loss were assessed. Step 3 involved a System Validation using the ALSAS-10U, along with APREL E-020 130 MHz to 26 GHz E-Field Probe Serial Number 212.

#### References

SSI-TP-018-ALSAS Dipole Calibration Procedure
SSI-TP-016 Tissue Calibration Procedure
IEEE 1528 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques"

#### Conditions

Dipole 210-00710 was new taken from stock.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5 °C Temperature of the Tissue: 20 °C +/- 0.5 °C

#### Dipole Calibration uncertainty

The calibration uncertainty for the dipole is made up of various parameters presented below.

 Mechanical
 1%

 Positioning Error
 1.22%

 Electrical
 1.7%

 Tissue
 2.2%

 Dipole Validation
 2.2%

TOTAL 8.32% (16.64% K=2)

4

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 60 of 73

Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **Dipole Calibration Results**

#### Mechanical Verification

APREL	APREL	Measured	Measured
Length	Height	Length	Height
68.0 mm	39.5 mm	67.1mm	38.9 mm

#### **Electrical Validation**

Tissue Type	Return Loss:	SWR:	Impedance:
Head	-29.360 dB	1.0732 U	47.869 Ω
Body	-22.799 dB	1.1566 U	48.022 Ω

#### **Tissue Validation**

	Dielectric constant, ε <sub>r</sub>	Conductivity, o [S/m]
Head Tissue 1900MHz	38.4	1.43
Body Tissue 1900MHz	51.87	1.59

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 61 of 73

5


Report No: RSZ130426002-20


Division of APREL Laboratories.

The Following Graphs are the results as displayed on the Vector Network Analyzer.

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

#### S11 Parameter Return Loss






This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


6

SAR Evaluation Report 62 of 73

#### Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### SWR





This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 63 of 73


1

Report No: RSZ130426002-20


Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **Smith Chart Dipole Impedance**

#### Head



#### Body



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

8

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

SAR Evaluation Report 64 of 73

Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### **Test Equipment**

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List 2011

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

9

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

# 1900MHz Dipole Calibration By BACL at 2012-12-12

# **Mechanical Verification**


APREL Length	APREL Height	Measured Length	Measured Height
68.0 mm	39.5 mm	68.2 mm	39.2 mm

Tissue Type	Measured Return Loss	Measured Impedance
Head	-28.284 dB	49.471 Ω
Body	-22.445 dB	51.588 Ω

# **Test Graphs:**

Head Tissue

Return Loss:




Impedance:



Report No: RSZ130426002-20

**Body Tissue** 

Return Loss:



Impedance:



SAR Evaluation Report 66 of 73

# APPENDIX D EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS

 $Liquid\ depth \geq 15cm$ 

Report No: RSZ130426002-20




**Body-worn Front Setup Photo** 



SAR Evaluation Report 67 of 73

# **Body-worn Back Setup Photo**

Report No: RSZ130426002-20



SAR Evaluation Report 68 of 73

# **APPENDIX E EUT PHOTOS**

**EUT – Front View** 

Report No: RSZ130426002-20



**EUT-Back View** 



SAR Evaluation Report 69 of 73

Report No: RSZ130426002-20



**EUT – Right Side View** 



SAR Evaluation Report 70 of 73

Report No: RSZ130426002-20



**EUT –Bottom View** 



SAR Evaluation Report 71 of 73

#### **EUT – Uncovered View**

Report No: RSZ130426002-20



SAR Evaluation Report 72 of 73

#### APPENDIX F INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

[1] Federal Communications Commission, \Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radiofrequency radiation", Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.

Report No: RSZ130426002-20

- [2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, \Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields", Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commission, O\_ce of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, \Automated E-\_eld scanning system for dosimetricPage 73 of 73 assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105{113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph K.astle, and Thomas Schmid, \Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEICE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645{652, May 1997.
- [5] CENELEC, \Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz 6GHz", Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.
- [6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.
- [7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM \_ 97, Dubrovnik, October 15{17, 1997, pp. 120-24.
- [8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \E-field probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23{25 June, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard K. uhn, and Niels Kuster, \The depen-dence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865-1873, Oct. 1996.
- [10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastle, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.
- [11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9
- [13] NIS81 NAMAS, \The treatment of uncertainity in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, 1994.
- [14] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, \Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 10.

\*\*\*\*\* END OF REPORT \*\*\*\*\*

SAR Evaluation Report 73 of 73