



Appendix D:
Dipole Calibration Parameters

**Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG**
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

Client **Kyocera USA**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE																											
Object(s)	D835V2 - SN:454																										
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-05 v2 Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits																										
Calibration date:	April 20, 2004																										
Condition of the calibrated item	In Tolerance (according to the specific calibration document)																										
<p>This calibration statement documents traceability of M&TE used in the calibration procedures and conformity of the procedures with the ISO/IEC 17025 International standard.</p> <p>All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature 22 +/- 2 degrees Celsius and humidity < 75%.</p> <p>Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Model Type</th> <th>ID #</th> <th>Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)</th> <th>Scheduled Calibration</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Power meter EPM E442</td> <td>GB37480704</td> <td>6-Nov-03 (METAS, No. 252-0254)</td> <td>Nov-04</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Power sensor HP 8481A</td> <td>US37292783</td> <td>6-Nov-03 (METAS, No. 252-0254)</td> <td>Nov-04</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Power sensor HP 8481A</td> <td>MY41092317</td> <td>18-Oct-02 (Agilent, No. 20021018)</td> <td>Oct-04</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RF generator R&S SML-03</td> <td>100698</td> <td>27-Mar-2002 (R&S, No. 20-92389)</td> <td>In house check: Mar-05</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Network Analyzer HP 8753E</td> <td>US37390585</td> <td>18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-03)</td> <td>In house check: Oct 05</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Model Type	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration	Power meter EPM E442	GB37480704	6-Nov-03 (METAS, No. 252-0254)	Nov-04	Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	6-Nov-03 (METAS, No. 252-0254)	Nov-04	Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	18-Oct-02 (Agilent, No. 20021018)	Oct-04	RF generator R&S SML-03	100698	27-Mar-2002 (R&S, No. 20-92389)	In house check: Mar-05	Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-03)	In house check: Oct 05
Model Type	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration																								
Power meter EPM E442	GB37480704	6-Nov-03 (METAS, No. 252-0254)	Nov-04																								
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	6-Nov-03 (METAS, No. 252-0254)	Nov-04																								
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	18-Oct-02 (Agilent, No. 20021018)	Oct-04																								
RF generator R&S SML-03	100698	27-Mar-2002 (R&S, No. 20-92389)	In house check: Mar-05																								
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-03)	In house check: Oct 05																								
Calibrated by:	Name Judith Mueller	Function Technician	Signature 																								
Approved by:	Name Katja Pokovic	Function Laboratory Director	Signature 																								
Date issued: April 21, 2004																											
<p>This calibration certificate is issued as an intermediate solution until the accreditation process (based on ISO/IEC 17025 International Standard) for Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG is completed.</p>																											

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG

s p e a g

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland
Phone +41 1 245 9700, Fax +41 1 245 9779
info@speag.com, <http://www.speag.com>

DASY

Dipole Validation Kit

Type: D835V2

Serial: 454

Manufactured: January 31, 2002

Calibrated: April 20, 2004

1. Measurement Conditions

The measurements were performed in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom filled with **head simulating solution** of the following electrical parameters at 835 MHz:

Relative Dielectricity	42.8	± 5%
Conductivity	0.94 mho/m	± 5%

The DASY4 System with a dosimetric E-field probe ET3DV6 (SN:1507, Conversion factor 6.3 at 835 MHz) was used for the measurements.

The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feedpoint was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 15mm from dipole center to the solution surface. The included distance spacer was used during measurements for accurate distance positioning.

The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 15mm was aligned with the dipole. The 7x7x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration.

The dipole input power (forward power) was 250 mW ± 3 %. The results are normalized to 1W input power.

2. SAR Measurement with DASY4 System

Standard SAR-measurements were performed according to the measurement conditions described in section 1. The results (see figure supplied) have been normalized to a dipole input power of 1W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values measured with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6 SN:1507 and applying the advanced extrapolation are:

averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of tissue:	10.2 mW/g ± 16.8 % (k=2)¹
averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of tissue:	6.64 mW/g ± 16.2 % (k=2)¹

¹ validation uncertainty

5. SAR Measurement with DASY4 System

Standard SAR-measurements were performed according to the measurement conditions described in section 4. The results (see figure supplied) have been normalized to a dipole input power of 1 W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values measured with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6 SN:1507 and applying the advanced extrapolation are:

averaged over 1 cm³ (1 g) of tissue: **10.1 mW/g ± 16.8 % (k=2)²**

averaged over 10 cm³ (10 g) of tissue: **6.64 mW/g ± 16.2 % (k=2)²**

6. Dipole Impedance and Return Loss

The dipole was positioned at the flat phantom sections according to section 4 and the distance holder was in place during impedance measurements.

Feedpoint impedance at 835 MHz: **Re {Z} = 47.2 Ω**

Im {Z} = -1.1 Ω

Return Loss at 835 MHz **-29.6 dB**

7. Handling

Do not apply excessive force to the dipole arms, because they might bend. Bending of the dipole arms stresses the soldered connections near the feedpoint leading to a damage of the dipole.

8. Design

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals.

9. Power Test

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

² validation uncertainty

Date/Time: 04/20/04 12:55:03

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: D835V2 - SN454

Communication System: CW-835; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL 835 MHz;

Medium parameters used: $f = 835 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.94 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 42.8$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section


Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:


- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(6.3, 6.3, 6.3); Calibrated: 1/23/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn411; Calibrated: 11/6/2003
- Phantom: SAM with CRP - TP1006; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1006;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112

Pin = 250 mW; d = 15 mm/Area Scan (81x81x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$
 Reference Value = 55.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB
 Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 2.75 mW/g

Pin = 250 mW; d = 15 mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$,
 $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$
 Reference Value = 55.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB
 Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.76 mW/g
 Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.88 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 2.56 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.66 mW/g



H20C\



Date/Time: 04/16/04 13:28:44

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: D835V2 - SN454

Communication System: CW-835; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Muscle 835 MHz;

Medium parameters used: $f = 835$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.99$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 55$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section


Measurement Standard: DAS4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(6.13, 6.13, 6.13); Calibrated: 1/23/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn411; Calibrated: 11/6/2003
- Phantom: SAM with CRP - TP1006; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1006;
- Measurement SW: DAS4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112

Pin = 250 mW; d = 15 mm/Area Scan (81x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
 Reference Value = 54.2 V/m; Power Drift = 0.004 dB
 Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 2.74 mW/g

Pin = 250 mW; d = 15 mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm
 Reference Value = 54.2 V/m; Power Drift = 0.004 dB
 Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.72 mW/g
 Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.69 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 2.52 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.66 mW/g



Body

