

This document is generated in response to the queries asked in the e-mail from Compliance Certification Services titled "Kyocera Wireless Corp, FCC ID: OVFKWC-KX16, Assessment NO.: AN05T5286, Notice#1". The queries asked in the e-mail received by Lin Lu on 14th of November 2005 are listed below followed by the response the questions.

From: Compliance Certification Services [mailto:mike.kuo@ccsemc.com]

Sent: Mon 11/14/2005 6:15 AM

To: Mike Kuo

Subject: Kyocera Wireless Corp, FCC ID: OVFKWC-KX16, Assessment NO.: AN05T5286, Notice#1

Question #1: As indicated in the Class II permissive change cover letter, the major change is the alternate PCB manufacturer (Unitech). However, only SAR evaluation is performed but not Part 22/24 related tests. Please provide your justification why changing the PCB manufacturer has effects on the SAR evaluation but not the Radio characteristic.

Question #2: Please provide internal photos with Unitech as PCB manufacturer.

Best Regards

Mike Kuo

The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information within 30 days of the original e-mail date may result in application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted. Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.

Question #1: As indicated in the Class II permissive change cover letter, the major change is the alternate PCB manufacturer (Unitech). However, only SAR evaluation is performed but not Part 22/24 related tests. Please provide your justification why changing the PCB manufacturer has effects on the SAR evaluation but not the Radio characteristic.

The Printed Circuit Board provided by the alternate manufacturer has the same electrical characteristic as that provided by the primary manufacturer, i.e., same number of layers, same amount of copper and same permittivity and permeability of FR4. Therefore we do not expect any change on the electrical performance of KX16 by using the alternate PCB. In order to ensure the KX16 in compliance with FCC requirements, the SAR measurement was conducted. Due to the measurement uncertainty, the SAR value in worst case was higher than the value initially submitted. Therefore we are filling the application of Class II permissive change.


Question #2: Please provide internal photos with Unitech as PCB manufacturer.

The internal photos are submitted along with this response. The component placement and board layout are kept the same as before.

Exhibit 8: OVFKWC-KX16

Internal Photos (Original)









Internal Photos (C2PC)

