

Chris Harvey

From: Chris Harvey [charvey@ieee.org]
Sent: Monday, April 07, 2008 3:15 PM
To: 'Thuy To'
Cc: 'charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com'; 'cli@kyocera-wireless.com'
Subject: RE: Kyocera Wireless Corp. , FCC ID: OVFKWC-K33B04, Assessment NO.: AN08T7784, Notice#1

Thuy, thank you for the response. Please note that the new FCC policy on handset and Simultaneous Transmission evaluations does not require (or actually request) testing with simultaneous transmission, but rather requires an evaluation (summing) of the individual SAR measurements when individual stand-alone SAR testing is performed. The FCC has moved away from making simultaneous transmitting SAR measurements because of the errors created in the measurements in one band while transmitting simultaneously in another band. I will not require any changes in the new SAR report, but thought you should be aware of the policy so that when you have a device with closer antennas, you will be able to interpret the policy more accurately. Also, please note that I will record the stand-alone Cellular/PCS and AWS SAR values on the grant.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Best regards,

Chris Harvey

From: Thuy To [mailto:thuyt@kyocera-wireless.com]
Sent: Monday, April 07, 2008 12:45 PM
To: charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com
Cc: charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com; cli@kyocera-wireless.com
Subject: Re: Kyocera Wireless Corp. , FCC ID: OVFKWC-K33B04, Assessment NO.: AN08T7784, Notice#1

Dear Chris,

Please see my response to the following item.

2. A new short term confidentiality letter has been attached.
3. The SAR test report has been updated with the description of the new FCC policy. No stand-alone SAR and simultaneous SAR testing was determined. The SAR report has measurements with the Bluetooth transmitter turned off and on, however, no simultaneous transmitter SAR testing was followed. The results were shown with the Bluetooth Transmitter turned on to report the highest SAR data.
4. T-coil HAC testing was not performed since the changes did not impact the HAC magnetic field of the phone and no alterations were made to the audio section. An updated cover letter has been attached that state this justification.

I trust that the above information has answered your inquiry.

Sincerely,

Thuy To
Kyocera Wireless Corp.

At 12:13 PM 3/31/2008 -0800, charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com wrote:

Dear Thuy To,

You are listed as the Technical Contact for the above referenced TCB application. The following item (s) need(s) to be resolved before the review can be continued:

1. Please note that this application includes operation in the FCC Pt. 27 AWS band, which is on the FCC's Permit-But-Ask (PBA) list. I have submitted a KDB request to the FCC to request that TCB review of this device is acceptable.
2. The Internal Photos are listed as confidential. The FCC does not routinely accept internal photographs as confidential, especially for consumer products, without proper justification. The Internal Photos can be held as Short-Term Confidential. Please either provide proper justification for the Internal Photos to be confidential (explaining how the end user would not be able to access inside the unit) or provide an updated confidentiality letter to include the internal Photos in the Short-Term Confidential request.
3. The FCC released a new policy for determining whether simultaneous SAR testing is needed for a particular device (see FCC knowledge DataBase # 648474). The SAR test report submitted in this application includes SAR testing with the licensed transmitter and Bluetooth transmitter operating simultaneously, which is no longer considered acceptable. Please use the new FCC policy to determine if simultaneous SAR evaluation is needed for this device and update the SAR information accordingly.
4. The original approval has a T-Coil HAC rating of T4. This application did not have any documentation to substantiate the T4 T-Coil rating. Please address this discrepancy.

The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information within 30 days of the original e-mail date may result in application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted. Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.

Best regards,

Chris Harvey
Charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com

4/7/2008