

Chris Harvey

From: Thuy To [thuyt@kyocera-wireless.com]
Sent: Friday, October 06, 2006 4:16 PM
To: charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com
Cc: thuyt@kyocera-wireless.com; cli@kyocerawireless.com
Subject: Re: Kyocera Wireless Corp. , FCC ID: OVFKWC-K24B, Assessment NO.: AN06T6114, Notice#2

Attachments: K323 Sabre C2PC TEST REPORT1.pdf; ATT00932.txt



K323 Sabre C2PC ATT00932.txt (95
TEST REPORT1.p... B)

Dear Chris,

I have submitted a complete test report from Nemko. Please see attachment.

The RF Power Measurements comparison is:

	New measurements	Original Grant Power
AMPS	23.05dBm = 201mW	174mW
CDMA-850	24.12dBm = 258mW	174mW
PCS-CDMA	26.30dBm = 427mW	251mW

I trust that this submittal has clarified the issues.

Sincerely,
Thuy To

At 05:56 AM 9/21/2006 -0700, charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com wrote:

>Thuy (& CK),

>

>I have reviewed the updated exhibits submitted for this application and
>have the following additional issues that need to be addressed:

>

>1. You have submitted an exhibit of ERP/EIRP measurement data sheets
>performed by Nemko. This exhibit only contained data sheets with no
>supporting information required in a test report. Please submit a test
>report exhibit that contains the supporting information such as test
>site description, measurement standards/procedures, test equipment and
>calibration information.

>

>2. The RF Power Measurements comparison is:

	New measurements	Original Grant Power
>AMPS	23.05dBm = 201mW	174mW
>CDMA-850	25.75dBm = 375mW	174mW (increase by >3dB)
>PCS-CDMA	20.53dBm = 113mW	251mW (decrease by >3dB)

>

>Please explain the significant change in measured ERP/EIRP from the
>original device to this one. The antenna change could account for part
>of this change, but the RF power in the AMPS and CDMA-850 would be
>expected to have similar changes in power. The new AMPS and CDMA-850
>ERP measurements now are 2.7dB apart. Additionally, the RF power stated
>in the SAR reports does not match the RF power in the new ERP/EIRP
>measurement data sheets. Please explain these issues and update the exhibits as
necessary.

>

>The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can
>continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the
>requested information within 30 days of the original e-mail date may

>result in application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also,
>please note that partial responses increase processing time and should
>not be submitted. Any questions about the content of this
>correspondence should be directed to the e-mail address listed below the name of the
sender.

>

>Best regards,

>

>Chris Harvey

>charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com