

Helen Zhao

Subject: FW: Eastern Mastec Corp., FCC ID: OSRWL-T1000, Assessment NO.: AN05T4476, Notice#1



WL-T1000_Report.doc



WL-T1000_External_Photos.doc



WL-T1000_Internal_Photos.doc

From: Y. G. Gwon
Sent: Thursday, February 03, 2005 4:30 AM
To: Helen Zhao
Subject: Re: Eastern Mastec Corp., FCC ID: OSRWL-T1000, Assessment NO.: AN05T4476, Notice#1

Hi Helen,

Enclosed please find a revised test report and internal photo and external photo.

I really hope to clear all your kind comments.

Thank you very much.

Best Regards,
Y.G. Gwon

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Compliance Certification Services [mailto:hzhao@ccsemc.com]

> Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2005 4:32 PM

> Subject: Eastern Mastec Corp., FCC ID: OSRWL-T1000, Assessment NO.:

> AN05T4476, Notice#1

>

>

> Question #1: Please resubmit external photos to include bottom view of the EUT, and the AC/DC adaptor, please clearly show if any suppression component is used.

>

> Question #2: Please resubmit internal photos. The one in the filing is for WL-R1000.

>

> Question #3: Section 5.4 of the test report indicated that "the Module in the EUT cannot operate with FHSS modulation with the EUT the module was inserted into a test jig instead of the EUT and then the jig was connected to a personal computer. For spurious emission testing, The RF Module was inserted into the EUT and receive audio signal from the signal generator and then transmitted the signal continuously." However the setup photo does not show test jig, or notebook computer, or signal generator. Please explain how you did RF conducted emission testing with test jig, please show setup diagram. Also please explain if you could not change L, M, H channel when the RF module was inside the EUT for RF conducted emission testing, how you could do radiated emission testing with L, M, H channel when the RF module was inside the EUT at that time.

>

> Question #4: Please provide calibration date of the testing equipment in the test report as requested by ANSI C63.4 section 10 Test Reports. The statement of "All test equipment used is calibrated on a regular basis." is not acceptable.

>

> Question #5: Section 10 (TIME OF OCCUPANCY) of the report indicated Time of Occupancy per 30 seconds. However, based upon FCC15.247(a)(1)(iii) you need to report Time of

Occupancy within $0.4 \times 79 = 31.6$ seconds. Please revise the report accordingly.

>

> Question #6: Section 13.5.2.1 of the test report indicated 2200-2300MHz as a restrict band for low channel, actually it should be 2310-2390MHz, please revise the report.

>

> Question #7: Section 13.5.2.2 of the test report did not report the radiated emission test results for middle channel. Please provide additional middle channel test data.

>

> Best Regards,

> Helen Zhao

> The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information within 30 days of the original e-mail date may result in application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted. Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.