

From: Tom Cokenias [tom@tncokenias.org]
Sent: Wednesday, March 14, 2001 6:32 PM
To: Mike Kuo
Subject: Re: FOXCOT WIRELESS LTD., FCC ID:OJFLITENNA9B430P4, AN01T1248

Notice no:2

Question #1:Based the answer that you provided in your e-mail dated 3/12/2001 to my previous question #6, the FCC Part 90 requirement is not part of submission for this application. However, the test report still referenced to compliance with FCC Part 90 requirements. Please clarify.

ANS1 I have removed erroneous references to Part 90

Question #2. Please correct the technical requirements in referencing to FCC rule part for AMPS/TDMA/CDMA 800 AMPS. There is no 24.13(a)(b) of FCC rules.

ANS 2 I have removed erroneous reference

Question #3:Please correct page 17 of installation manual by changing CFT to CFR.

ANS3 I changed it to CFR, see attachment

Question #4:Plot 34,38,44,48,54,and 58, the spurious emission are at -13dBm limit lines. In accordance to the test report, "SAW filter. See Repeater description." will be used. However, I can not locate such information in the "repeater description ". Please provide additional support information to justify such statement.

ANS 4 I corrected test report from SAW filter to "Ceramic filter and diplexer filter"

Question # 5: Please provide Plots from 61 to 70 for GSM modulation.

ANS 5 Attached

Question # 6: From page 12 to 26, please make the correction on the page header.

ANS 6 I have put the correct ID in the header

Best Regards

Mike Kuo / TCB Certifier

The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information within 60 days of the original e-mail date may result in application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted. Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.

=====

Mike Kuo

Compliance Certification Services

561F Monterey Road

Morgan Hill CA 95037

Telephone number:408-463-0885 EXT:105

Fax number :408-463-0888

E-Mail:mikekuo@ccsemc.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Tom Cokenias [mailto:tom@tncokenias.org]

Sent: Monday, March 12, 2001 7:11 AM

To: Mike Kuo

Subject: Re: FOXCAM WIRELESS LTD., FCC ID:OJFLITENNA9B430P4, AN01T1248

>Question #1: External Photos for Base and remote unit: Please provide
>additional photos to show the front and rear views of the device. Photo
>provided only show the view with external connectors.

ANS1 External base and remote photos have been submitted to website

>

>Question #2: Internal Photos for Base and remote unit: In accordance to FCC
>Part 2 section 2.1033(c)(12) requirements, the photographs of the equipment
>should have clarity to reveal equipment and layout, and labels for controls

>and meters and sufficient views of the internal construction to define
>component placement and chassis assembly. The photos provided does not
>comply with such requirements. Please take additional internal photos of
>device. Make sure the trace layout and component markings/identification
>can be identified. If internal components are equipped with metal
>shielding, please remove the metal shielding and take the photographs. If
>there are any modification made to the device during the final tests, please
>have camera to focus on these modifications. Internal photos shall include
>PCB component and solder sides.

ANS2 Internal pictures have been submitted to website

>

>Question #3: There is no test report uploaded. Please provide the test
>report.

ANS3 Test report has been submitted to website

>

>Question #4: Page 11 of user manual has included the RF exposure information
>by indicating 20cm separation distance. However, there is no information
>uploaded to justify such requirements and/or calculation. Please provide
>additional information to justify such requirements.

ANS4 MPE distance calculations have been submitted to website

>

>Question #5: There are two types of model mentioned in the user manual.
>Single Band and Dual Band model. In accordance to Operational Description
>provided, the EUT is for Dual Band Model. Please confirm.

ANS5 This is a dual mode unit

>

>Question #6: In the page 17 of user manual, EUT is claimed to comply with
>FCC Part 90 requirements. However, the application is requesting for Part
>22 and Part 24. Please explain the differences.

ANS6 Per the mfr,

The user manual is for all Litenna family, few from the Litenna with FCC Part 90 requirements but the EUT is claimed to comply with FCC Part 22 and part 24 requirements.

I can not open the file that you sent.

>

>Question #7: Request for confidentiality: In the request letter, two items
>were requested. Schematic Diagram and Block Diagram. I will assume that
>there are documents are Exhibit 4 and 5. Please confirm.

ANS7 Per mfr, Request for confidentiality: Block Diagram, Schematic Diagram and Active Parts List, there are documents are Exhibit 4, 5 and 6.

Exhibit 6 was uploaded to the TCB website, exhibits 4 and 5 submitted to you previously by email

>

>Question #8 Please provide Test Setup Photos.

ANS8 Test set-up photos are imbedded in test report and are also submitted separately to website

>

>Question #9 Test Data Plots: There are total of 60 plots are recorded and
>contain in six different file names. None of test data plots have any
>explanation on the technical requirements. Since at the time of review,
>there is no test report can use as reference. In your test report, please
>indicate FCC technical requirements Vs Data Plots number. Make sure to
>include the test equipment used, calibration date, test setup diagram and
>test conditions.

ANS 9 Test plot cross reference chart is in the test report.

>FYI: Due to lack of test report, the portion of technical review has not
>yet complete. Once additional information received, technical review will
>continue.

>

>Best Regards

>

>Mike Kuo / Compliance Certification Services

>The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue

>on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested

>information within 60 days of the original e-mail date may result in

>application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note

>that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted.

>Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to

>the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.

>=====

>Mike Kuo

>Compliance Certification Services

>561F Monterey Road

>Morgan Hill CA 95037

>Telephone number:408-463-0885 EXT:105

>Fax number :408-463-0888

>E-Mail:mikekuo@ccsemc.com