## Regarding RF Exposure report power difference.

| Non-Conformity or Comment | Submitted Response | Respondent / Date <br> of Response |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Manufacturer indicated report 99536-94 was <br> incorrectly provided as exhibit for review. However, <br> this is inconsistent with supplied data. Please confirm <br> which report is correct. | The correct RF exposer report <br> to use with 99536-84A <br> \&99536-88A is 99536-92. | CKC (SP) 10/27/17 |
| The power output shown in RF Exposure report 99536- |  |  |
| 92 is higher than the power shown in the applicable |  |  |
| test report. Does this imply the power in the |  |  |
| regulatory report is under-reported? Was there an |  |  |
| equipment modification? |  |  | | The power reported in 99536- |
| :--- |
| 92 is the maximum power over |
| extreme temperatures from |
| the EN300-328 V2.1.1 report. |
| The FCC \& RSS reports show a |
| lower output power due to the |
| readings only being performed |
| at nominal temperature. |$\quad$.

