

Jennifer Sanchez

From: Samantha Sharbonda
Sent: Tuesday, February 06, 2007 6:15 AM
To: Chris Harvey
Cc: Asad Bajwa; Alex Casadonte; Angela Brown; Jennifer Sanchez; Samantha Sharbonda
Subject: Additional Attention needed for Qual-Tron FCC ID:OGE -QTI-MIDSMRLY MT#20331

Hi Chris,

Asad has addressed your initial concerns for this certification. Please see his answers to your questions below. In addition, new photos and a revised report have been added to the customer information folder (H:\METrak_Job_Folders\2006\Q\Qual-Tron , Inc. - QUA14\20331\TCB\Customer Info\MRLY). Please let me know if there is anything else needed to perform the TECH review of this certification.

Have a great day!

Regards,

Samantha Sharbonda

TCB Administrator/Documentation
MET Laboratories, Inc.
Office: [410.949.1918](tel:410.949.1918)
Fax: [410.354.3313](tel:410.354.3313)
sSharbonda@metlabs.com

From: Asad Bajwa
Sent: Tuesday, February 06, 2007 3:09 AM
To: Samantha Sharbonda
Subject: RE: Additional Attention needed for Qual-Tron FCC ID:OGE -QTI-MIDSMRLY (?) MT#20331

Hi Sam,

The revised report is attached which take care of the template issues.
Here is the response to Chris's questions/comments.

The Test Report seems to have many places where FCC 90 Subpart Y (90.1215, FCC 04-265, and more) is referenced, but this is not a 90-Y device. **Fixed in report, it is not part Y**
I can not tell which rule sections actually apply based on the information in the application. The photographs are all out of focus, so I can't tell what I am reviewing. **Additional photographs already provided.**

The frequency range is listed as 138-174 MHz, but the data does not always substantiate this range (much of the data is for 146 - 168MHz).

Frequency range is 138-174 MHz, the data provided represents the low mid and high channels tested.

Some test report compliance information mentions the EMIDS device which is a different device. **Fixed in report, that was a typo.**

Occupied BW mentions the use of an HP 8546, but this does not show in the equipment list. **Fixed in report, that was a typo.**

The FCC ID's listed on the Form 731 (both FCC ID field and the equipment code field) do not match

the FCC ID listed on the label (different uses of the '-'). **Correct FCC ID is OGE-QT1MIDSMRLY**

Please let me know if I missed any thing or if there is anything else I can help with.
In addition I am planning to call Chris in the morning to see if he has any additional comments.

Best regards,
Asad

From: Samantha Sharbonda
Sent: Friday, January 26, 2007 9:41 AM
To: Asad Bajwa
Cc: Jennifer Sanchez; Alex Casadonte; Kevin Mehaffey
Subject: FW: Additional Attention needed for Qual-Tron FCC ID:OGE -QT1-MIDSMRLY (?) MT#20331

Hey Asad,

Can you please address the below issues that Chris Harvey has come across during the Technical Review for this job?

Thanks!

-Samantha

From: Chris Harvey [mailto:charveyemc@verizon.net]
Sent: Friday, January 26, 2007 11:32 AM
To: Samantha Sharbonda
Cc: Jennifer Sanchez; Kevin Mehaffey; Alex Casadonte; Chris Harvey
Subject: Additional Attention needed for Qual-Tron FCC ID:OGE -QT1-MIDSMRLY (?) MT#20331

Sam, I have been trying to make heads-or-tails of this application and need some clarification and correction before I can proceed further in this application.

The Test Report seems to have many places where FCC 90 Subpart Y (90.1215, FCC 04-265, and more) is referenced, but this is not a 90-Y device. I can not tell which rule sections actually apply based on the information in the application. The photographs are all out of focus, so I can't tell what I am reviewing. The frequency range is listed as 138-174 MHz, but the data does not always substantiate this range (much of the data is for 146 - 168MHz). The FCC's new policy on frequency ranges for Grants ([KDB #634817](#)) is not followed. Some test report compliance information mentions the EMIDS device which is a different device. Occupied BW mentions the use of an HP 8546, but this does not show in the equipment list. The FCC ID's listed on the Form 731 (both FCC ID field and the equipment code field) do not match the FCC ID listed on the label (different uses of the '-'). Please provide the channelization table for this device (not just LB, MB and HB).

Please address the above issues as well as the completeness of the application before resubmitting for review.

-----Original Message-----

From: Samantha Sharbonda [mailto:ssharbonda@metlabs.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 23, 2007 2:25 PM
To: charvey@ieee.org
Cc: ssharbonda@metlabs.com; jsanchez@metlabs.com; kmehaffey@metlabs.com; acasadonte@metlabs.com; jholton@metlabs.com
Subject: METrak Mail From: Samantha Sharbonda
Importance: High

Task Number: 301209

Task Description: EMC/TCB Application - MRLY

Task Status: In Progress

Hi Chris Harvey,

Please accomplish technical review for the FCC TCB this project with the following information:

H:\METrak_Job_Folders\2006\Q\Qual-Tron , Inc. - QUA14\20331\TCB\Customer Info\MRLY

Please keep in mind that our turn around time for technical review is twenty-four to forty-eight hours.

Let me know if I should provide you anything else, or if there may be any delays you may foresee in reviewing.

Thanks!

Regards,

Samantha Sharbonda
TCB Administrator/Documentation
MET Laboratories, Inc.
Office: 410.949.1918
Fax: 410.354.3313