

From: etcemi [etcemi@ms29.hinet.net]
Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2001 10:49 PM
To: Mr. Mike Kuo; certadm
Subject: Fw: Portman , FCC ID:OBDT20H0621, AN01T1433

----- Original Message -----

From: "etcemi" <etcemi@ms29.hinet.net>
To: "Mike Kuo" <MikeKuo@CCSEMC.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2001 12:06 PM
Subject: Re: Portman , FCC ID:OBDT20H0621, AN01T1433

> Dear Mike,
>
> I am very sorry fro the delay. Here is just a large storm and it destroys
so
> many thing.
> Please check my explanation below:
> Question #1 : What was the position that generated the worse
> emission as reported in the filing.
> ANS.: With both of the EUT's antenna and the receiving antenna in
horizontal
> direction, the emission was at the maximum field strength.
>
> Question #3 : Understood the receiver is integrated into this
> transceiver. But my question did not address. Please explain.
> ANS.: This is a super-heterodyne receiver other than a super-regenerative
> receiver, so only an unmodulated CW signal was supplied to the receiver.
> This signal was sent from a signal generator behide the separating FRP
wall.
>
> If you have any further questions, please infrom me as soon as possible.
>
> Regards,
>
> K. C. Chen
> ETC/eMC Department II
>
>

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: "Mike Kuo" <MikeKuo@CCSEMC.com>
> To: "'etcemi'" <etcemi@ms29.hinet.net>; "Mike Kuo" <MikeKuo@CCSEMC.com>
> Cc: "CERTADM" <CERTADM@CCSEMC.com>
> Sent: Friday, September 14, 2001 7:51 AM
> Subject: RE: Portman , FCC ID:OBDT20H0621, AN01T1433
>
>

> > Dear K.C.:
> >
> > Answer to Question #1 : What was the position that generated the worse
> > emission as reported in the filing.
> >
> > Answer to Question #2 : O.K.
> >
> > Answer to Question #3 : Understood the receiver is integrated into this
> > transceiver. But my question did not address. Please explain.

> >
> > Best Regards
> >
> > Mike Kuo
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: etcemi [mailto:etcemi@ms29.hinet.net]
> > Sent: Thursday, September 13, 2001 12:42 AM
> > To: Mike Kuo
> > Cc: certadm
> > Subject: Re: Portman , FCC ID:OBDT20H0621, AN01T1433
> >
> >
> > Dear Mike,
> >
> > Thanks for your help.
> > Above application is the handset unit for another application of FCC ID
> > OBDAM80000612.
> > Please find below for our explanations:
> >
> > Question #1: No place in the test report has indicated that this
hand-held
> > transceiver was tested at X,Y, and Z position. Please confirm that this
> > device has been investigated at three positions and inform which
> > orientation
> > was positioned which produced the highest field strength.
> > ANS.: Sorry for our omission on describing the test procedures.
Certainly
> > we
> > did the preliminary tests with three orthogonal axes to determine the
> > configuration for final test.
> >
> >
> > Question #2: There is no technical description in the operational
> > description file to describe how this device comply with periodical
> > transmission.
> > ANS.: This device is the handset unit. This system submitted for
> > certification is a CAR SECURITY SYSTEM
> > consisting of two transceivers. The Base Unit intends to be installed
into
> > a
> > vehicle and the handset unit will be carried with a person (the car
> > owner).
> > The handset was used to set the base unit in security mode or in the
> > normal
> > mode. After the handset unit transmits a RF signal to set the base unit
in
> > security mode, the base unit will reponse a RF signal, about only 300
ms,
> > to
> > the handset as a confirming signal and then shuts down the transmission
> > automatically. And, if the the car with the base unit installed is
> > happening
> > some thing, that is the base unit is triggered, the base unit will
> > transmits
> > a RF siganl for 2 seconds to infroming the situation and then shuts down
> > the

> > transmission automatically.
> >
> >
> > Question #3: Please describe how the receiver portion of tests were
> > performed. There is no information described in the test report for me
to
> > verify the test setup in accordance to ANSI C63.4 section 12.1.1.1
> > requirements.
> > ANS.: This device is a transceiver, so the receiver is an integral
portion
> > of the device.
> >
> > If you have any further questions, please infrom me as soon as possible.
> >
> >
> > Regards,
> >
> > K. C. Chen
> > ETC/EMC Department II
> >
> >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: CERTADM
> > > Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2001 4:40 PM
> > > To: Mike Kuo
> > > Subject: Portman , FCC ID:OBBD20H0621, AN01T1433
> > >
> > >
> > > Notice_content
> > > -----
> > > Question #1: No place in the test report has indicated that this
> hand-held
> > > transceiver was tested at X,Y, and Z position. Please confirm that
this
> > > device has been investigated at three positions and inform which
> > orientation
> > > was positioned which produced the highest field strength.
> > >
> > > Question #2: There is no technical description in the operational
> > > description file to describe how this device comply with periodical
> > > transmission.
> > >
> > > Question #3: Please describe how the receiver portion of tests were
> > > performed. There is no information described in the test report for
me
> to
> > > verify the test setup in accordance to ANSI C63.4 section 12.1.1.1
> > > requirements.
> > >
> > > Best Regards
> > >
> > > Mike Kuo / TCB Certifier
> > > The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can
> continue
> > > on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested
> > > information within 60 days of the original e-mail date may result in
> > > application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please

> note
> > > that partial responses increase processing time and should not be
> > submitted.
> > > Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be
> directed
> > to
> > > the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.
> >
> >
>