

## 8 References

[ANSI 1992] ANSI C95.1: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, Inst. of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., 1992.

[DASY 1995] Referenzliste des Herstellers, der Fa. Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, über installierte DASY-Systeme mit RX90 Robotern: Deutsche Telekom, Forschungs- und Technologiezentrum; Motorola Cellular - MRO; Motorola; Ericsson Mobile Communications AB; Nokia Mobile Phones LTD; IMST GmbH, 1995.

[FCC 1996] Federal Communications Commission: Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radiofrequency radiation, Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, 1996.

[FCC 1997] Federal Communications Commission: Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields, Supplement C to OET Bulletin 65, FCC, 1997.

[Kuster 1997] N. Kuster, R. Kästle and T. Schmid: Dosimetric evaluation of handheld mobile communications equipment with known precision, In: IEICE Trans. Commun., Vol. E80-B, No. 5, 645-652, 1997.




Fig. 9: The test position used for compliance testing.

A reference line describing the phone is defined as a line (on the surface of the phone facing the phantom) which connects the center of the ear piece with the center of the bottom of the case (typically near the microphone). The human head position is given by means of a reference plane defined by the following three points: auditory canal opening of both ears and the center of the closed mouth.

The center of the ear piece is placed directly at the entrance of the auditory canal. The telephone line lies in the head plane. The angle between the phone line and the line connecting both auditory canal openings is reduced until the device touches the surface of the phantom.

## 7.5 Material Measurement System

Type: HP85070B  
 Software version: HP85070 Rev. B.01.05 1993  
 NVA: HP8753D (6 GHz option)

Material parameters:

PCS 1900	
Relative permittivity $\epsilon_r$	$41.4 \pm 5.6$
Conductivity $\sigma$	$(1.90 \pm 0.24) \text{ S/m}$
Mass density $\rho$	$1.04 \text{ g/cm}^3$

Table 10: Parameters of the tissue simulating liquid.

## 7.6 Environment

Ambient temperature: 22-25 °C  
 Tissue simulating liquid: 22-25 °C

## 7 Appendix

### 7.1 Administrative Data

Date of measurement: July 01, 2000 by: Dipl.-Ing. C. Hennes  
 Data stored: Cetecom\_6575\_099

### 7.2 Device under Test and Test Conditions

MTE: Option International n.v./s.a. Sparky 900/1900  
 IMEI: 004999010460140  
 Date of receipt of MTE: July 01, 2000  
 Standard: PCS 1900  
 Modulation: GMSK  
 Frequency Tx: low end: ch. 0512, center: ch. 0661, high end: ch. 0810  
 MS Pwr TCH: 30 dBm  
 Battery status: charged batteries (3.6 V), battery status checked with the battery status bar of the MTE at the end of each measurement  
 Base station: Wavetek STABILOCK 4032 GSM

### 7.3 DASY Options

Software version: DASY V2.3d  
 Probe: ET3DV5 SN: 1332  
 Validation: June 16, 2000, dipole validation kit: D1800V2 #: 206  
 Phantom: Schmid & Partner generic twin phantom, left and right hand position, flat part (hip position).

### 7.4 Test Position

The test position used for the dosimetric assessment is shown in Fig. 9. For an exact description helpful geometrical definitions are introduced and shown in Fig. 8.




Fig. 8: Geometrical definitions for the description of the test position.




Fig. 7: SAR distribution plot with maximum local SAR value for PCS 1900 (channel 0661, hip position with belt holster).

**The Option International n.v./s.a. Sparky 900/1900 mobile phone unit used in the PCS 1900 standard in connection with the handheld computer (Model Visor Deluxe from Handspring) is in compliance with the American Standard ANSI C95.1 [ANSI 1992]. The tests were performed according to the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Guidelines [FCC 1997].**

Note: The measured SAR values depend on the material parameters. Therefore the material parameters must be enclosed in all copies and publications of these results.

Fig. 5 – 7 show the SAR distribution plots with the maximum local SAR for PCS 1900 respectively.




Fig. 5: SAR distribution plot with maximum local SAR value for PCS 1900 (channel 0512, left hand position).




Fig. 6: SAR distribution plot with maximum local SAR value for PCS 1900 (channel 0512, hip position with leather belt pouch).

## 6 Evaluation

In Fig. 3 and 4 the SAR results for PCS 1900 given in Tables 6 – 9 are summarized and compared to the limit.




Fig. 3: The measured SAR values for PCS 1900 using the Option International n.v./s.a. Sparky 900/1900 in comparison to the American standard.




Fig. 4: The measured SAR values for PCS 1900 using the Option International n.v./s.a. Sparky 900/1900 in comparison to the American standard in the hip position.

## 5 SAR Results

The Tables below contain the measured SAR values averaged over a mass of 1 g.

left hand p.	channel	SAR(1g) W/kg	File
<hr/>			
lowest freq.	0512	0.479 ± 0.122	CETPLL_4.MEA
center freq.	0661	0.469 ± 0.120	CETPLM_4.MEA
highest freq.	0810	0.473 ± 0.121	CETPLH_4.MEA

Table 6: Measurement results for PCS 1900 for the Option International n.v./s.a. Sparky 900/1900, left hand position.

right hand p.	channel	SAR(1g) W/kg	File
<hr/>			
lowest freq.	0512	0.359 ± 0.093	CETPRL_4.MEA
center freq.	0661	0.360 ± 0.093	CETPRM_4.MEA
highest freq.	0810	0.359 ± 0.093	CETPRH_4.MEA

Table 7: Measurement results for PCS 1900 for the Option International n.v./s.a. Sparky 900/1900, right hand position.

Position	channel	SAR(1g) W/kg	File
<hr/>			
hip	0512	0.202 ± 0.054	HIPLCL.MEA
hip	0661	0.193 ± 0.052	HIPLCM.MEA
hip	0810	0.175 ± 0.048	HIPLCH.MEA

Table 8: Measurement results for PCS 1900 for the Option International n.v./s.a. Sparky 900/1900, hip position with leather belt pouch.

Position	channel	SAR(1g) W/kg	File
<hr/>			
hip	0512	0.190 ± 0.052	HIPPCL.MEA
hip	0661	0.221 ± 0.059	HIPPDM.MEA
hip	0810	0.215 ± 0.058	HIPPCH.MEA

Table 9: Measurement results for PCS 1900 for the Option International n.v./s.a. Sparky 900/1900, hip position with plastic belt holster.

#### 4.1 Technical Parameters of the Measurement System

Parameter	DASY
Spatial resolution	5 mm
Repeatability of probe position	$\pm 0.1$ mm
Dynamic range	5 mW/kg - 100 W/kg

Table 2: DASY system specification.

Parameter	Accuracy
Frequency linearity	$\pm 0.2$ dB
Deviation from isotropy (in air)	$\pm 0.8$ dB
Surface detection	$\pm 0.2$ mm

Table 3: Probe specification.

Parameter	Noise floor
SAR values	< 0.005 W/kg
Electric field strength $E$	< 1 V/m

Table 4: Sensitivity of DASY.

Accuracy influencing conditions	Accuracy of SAR values
Isotropy, calibration, noise floor	< 13 % @ 1 W/kg
Extrapolation of SAR values	< 7 %
Dielectric parameters	< 5 %

Table 5: Accuracy of the SAR values determined by measurements [Kuster 1997].

(averaging region 1 g or 10 g) for compliance testing.

This is done by two scans: first a coarse scan determines the region of the maximum SAR, afterwards the 1 g or 10 g averaged SAR is measured in a second fine scan. The measurement time takes about 20 minutes.

The phantom (generic twin phantom) is a fiberglass shell integrated in a wooden table. The thickness of the phantom amounts to  $2 \text{ mm} \pm 0.1 \text{ mm}$ . It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage. The phantom setup includes a coverage (polyethylene) which prevents the evaporation of the liquid. The ear is simulated by ensuring a space of 4 mm thickness between the tissue simulating liquid and the speaker of the phone.

maintaining these alignments, the body of the handset is gradually moved towards the cheek until any point on the mouth-piece or keypad is in contact with the cheek.

When operating the device with a hands free kit the mobile is normally used in a body-worn condition. In general, a body-worn position is defined as a position where the human body does not have much curvature. Therefore testing can be done using a flat phantom.

## 4 The Measurement System

DASY is an abbreviation of „Dosimetric Assessment System“ and describes a system which is able to determine the SAR distribution inside a phantom of a human being according to different standards. It consists of a robot, several field probes calibrated for use in liquids, a shell phantom, tissue simulating liquid and software. The software controls the robot and processes the measured data to compare them with safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields. Fig. 2 shows the equipment, similar to the installations in other laboratories [DASY 1995].

A mobile phone operating at the maximum power level is placed by a non metallic device holder in a well-defined position at a shell phantom of a human being. The distribution of the electric field strength  $E$  is measured in the tissue simulating liquid within the shell phantom. For this miniaturized field probes with high sensitivity and low field disturbance are used. Afterwards the corresponding SAR values are calculated with the known electrical conductivity  $\sigma$  and the mass density  $\rho$  of the tissue. The system software is able to determine the averaged SAR values



Fig. 2: The measurement setup with a phantom containing tissue simulating liquid and a device under test.

### 2.3 SAR Limit

In this report the comparison between the American exposure limits and the measured data is made using the spatial peak SAR; the power level of the device under test guarantees that the whole body averaged SAR is not exceeded.

Having in mind a worst case consideration, the SAR limit is valid for uncontrolled environment and for exposure times longer than 30 minutes [ANSI 1992]. According to Table 1 the SAR values have to be averaged over a mass of 1 g ( $SAR_{1g}$ ) with the shape of a cube.

Standard	Status	SAR limit [W/kg]
ANSI C95.1	In force	1.6

Table 1: Relevant spatial peak SAR limit averaged over a mass of 1 g.

## 3 The American Measurement Procedure

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has published a report and order on the 1<sup>st</sup> of August 1996 [FCC 1996], which requires routine dosimetric assessment of mobile telecommunications devices, either by laboratory measurement techniques or by computational modeling, prior to equipment authorization or use. In 1997 the FCC has published additional information for evaluating compliance of mobile and portable devices with FCC limits for human exposure to radiofrequency emissions [FCC 1997]. This supplement is not intended, however, to establish mandatory procedures, and other methods and procedures may be acceptable if based on sound engineering practice.

### 3.1 Test Conditions

The device under test has to operate at maximum power level with a fully charged battery during the measurement. The SAR test has to be performed with the mobile phone transmitting at three different frequencies in the allocated frequency band: lowest frequency, center frequency, and highest frequency. For devices with retractable antenna the tests shall be performed with the antenna fully extended and fully retracted.

### 3.2 Test Position

The lack of standardized test positions for evaluating handsets can result in difficulties in determining RF compliance with SAR limits. Therefore the FCC recommends one test position (other test positions representing normal operating positions are also acceptable):

First the device is positioned in a normal operating position with the center of its ear-piece aligned with the location of the ear canal on a simulated head model. With the ear-piece pressed against the head, the next step is to align the vertical center-line of the body of the handset with an imaginary plane consisting of the three lines joining both ears and the tip of the mouth. While

## 2 The American Standard ANSI C95.1

In the USA the recent Standard ANSI C95.1 was published in April 1992 [ANSI 1992]. It sets limits for human exposure to radiofrequency fields in the frequency range 3 kHz to 300 GHz.

### 2.1 Distinction Between Exposed Population, Duration of Exposure and Frequencies

The American standard distinguishes between controlled and uncontrolled environment. Controlled environments are locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure as a concomitant of employment or by other cognizant persons. Uncontrolled environments are locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The exposures may occur in living quarters or workplaces.

For exposure in controlled environments higher field strengths are admissible. In addition the duration of exposure is considered. For the relevant frequency range the limit is made at 30 minutes exposure time. For short-term exposure below a duration of 30 minutes, higher field strengths are admissible.

Due to the influence of frequency on important parameters, as the penetration depth of the electromagnetic fields into the human body and the absorption capability of different tissues, the limits in general vary with frequency.

### 2.2 Distinction between Maximum Permissible Exposure and SAR Limits

The biological relevant parameter describing the effects of electromagnetic fields in the frequency range of interest is the specific absorption rate SAR (dimension: power/mass). It is a measure of the power absorbed per unit mass. The SAR may be spatially averaged over the total mass of an exposed body or its parts. The SAR is calculated from the r.m.s. electric field strength  $E$  inside the human body, the conductivity  $S$  and the mass density  $r$  of the biological tissue:

$$SAR = S \frac{E^2}{r} = c \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} \Big|_{t \rightarrow 0+} . \quad (1)$$


The specific absorption rate describes the initial rate of temperature rise  $\partial T / \partial t$  as a function of the specific heat capacity  $c$  of the tissue. A limitation of the specific absorption rate prevents an excessive heating of the human body by electromagnetic energy.

As it is sometimes difficult to determine the SAR directly by measurement (e.g. whole body averaged SAR), the standard specifies more readily measurable maximum permissible exposures in terms of external electric  $E$  and magnetic field strength  $H$  and power density  $S$ , derived from the SAR limits. The limits for  $E$ ,  $H$  and  $S$  have been fixed so that even under worst case conditions, the limits for the specific absorption rate SAR are not exceeded.

For the relevant frequency range the maximum permissible exposure may be exceeded if the exposure can be shown by appropriate techniques to produce SAR values below the corresponding limits.



(d)



(e)

Fig. 1: Pictures of the device under test. (a) Sparky 900/1900, (b) Sparky 900/1900 with leather belt pouch to be used in hip position, (c) Sparky 900/1900 with leather belt pouch and hands free kit in hip position, (d) Sparky 900/1900 with belt holster to be used in hip position, (e) Sparky 900/1900 with belt holster and hands free kit in hip position.

The objective of the measurements done by IMST was the dosimetric assessment of one device in the PCS 1900 standard. The examinations have been carried out with the dosimetric assessment system „DASY“ scribed below.

## 1 Subject of Investigation


The device Sparky 900/1900 (IMEI: 004999010460140) from Option International n.v./s.a. is a new mobile phone unit for a handheld computer (Model Visor Deluxe from Handspring) operating in the 900 MHz and 1900 MHz frequency range. The device has a helix antenna. The system concepts used are the GSM 900 and the PCS 1900 standards.



(a)



(b)



(c)

## Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>SUBJECT OF INVESTIGATION .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>THE AMERICAN STANDARD ANSI C95.1.....</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1	<i>DISTINCTION BETWEEN EXPOSED POPULATION, DURATION OF EXPOSURE AND FREQUENCIES.....</i>	6
2.2	<i>DISTINCTION BETWEEN MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE EXPOSURE AND SAR LIMITS .....</i>	6
2.3	<i>SAR LIMIT.....</i>	7
<b>3</b>	<b>THE AMERICAN MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE .....</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1	<i>TEST CONDITIONS.....</i>	7
3.2	<i>TEST POSITION.....</i>	7
<b>4</b>	<b>THE MEASUREMENT SYSTEM.....</b>	<b>8</b>
4.1	<i>TECHNICAL PARAMETERS OF THE MEASUREMENT SYSTEM .....</i>	10
<b>5</b>	<b>SAR RESULTS.....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>EVALUATION.....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>APPENDIX.....</b>	<b>15</b>
7.1	<i>ADMINISTRATIVE DATA .....</i>	15
7.2	<i>DEVICE UNDER TEST AND TEST CONDITIONS .....</i>	15
7.3	<i>DASY OPTIONS .....</i>	15
7.4	<i>TEST POSITION.....</i>	15
7.5	<i>MATERIAL MEASUREMENT SYSTEM .....</i>	16
7.6	<i>ENVIRONMENT.....</i>	16
<b>8</b>	<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>17</b>

## Executive Summary

The device Sparky 900/1900 (IMEI: 004999010460140) from Option International n.v./s.a. is a new mobile phone unit for a handheld computer (Model Visor Deluxe from Handspring) operating in the 900 MHz and 1900 MHz frequency range. The device has a helix antenna. The system concepts used are the GSM 900 and the PCS 1900 standards.

The objective of the measurements done by IMST was the dosimetric assessment of one device in the PCS 1900 standard. The examinations have been carried out with the dosimetric assessment system „DASY“.

The measurements were made according to the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Guidelines [FCC 1997] for evaluating compliance of mobile phones with the American Standard ANSI C95.1 [ANSI 1992]. In [ANSI 1992] limits are defined to prevent harmful effects in human beings exposed to electromagnetic fields.

The Option International n.v./s.a. Sparky 900/1900 mobile phone unit used in the PCS 1900 standard in connection with the handheld computer (Model Visor Deluxe from Handspring) is in compliance with the American Standard ANSI C95.1 [ANSI 1992].

prepared by: ..... reviewed by: .....

Dipl.-Ing. Christoph Hennes  
test engineer


Dr.-Ing. Frank Gustrau  
quality assurance engineer

**IMST GmbH**  
Carl-Friedrich-Gauß-Straße 2  
D-47475 Kamp-Lintfort

Tel. +49- 2842-981 372  
Fax +49- 2842-981 398  
email: [gustrau@imst.de](mailto:gustrau@imst.de)



TTI-P-G 158



---

## Report

# **Dosimetric Assessment of the Mobile Telephone Unit Option International n.v./s.a. Sparky 900/1900 According to the American FCC Requirements**

July 21, 2000  
**IMST GmbH**  
**Carl-Friedrich-Gauß-Str. 2**  
**D-47475 Kamp-Lintfort**

customer  
**CETECOM GmbH**  
**Im Teelbruch 122**  
**D-45219 Essen**

The test results only relate to the items tested.  
This report shall not be reproduced except in full without the written  
approval of the testing laboratory.