

## Mike Kuo

---

**From:** Daniel Lee (李春和) [Daniel@sporton.com.tw]  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 31, 2006 6:22 AM  
**To:** Mike Kuo  
**Cc:** David Woo  
**Subject:** RE: Palm, Inc., FCC ID: O8F-850, Assessment NO.: AN06T5954, Notice#1

Dear Mike,

Worst SAR was just re-tested on sample without camera. The data will be updated in the report. Thanks.

BR  
Daniel

-----Original Message-----

From: Mike Kuo [mailto:mike.kuo@ccsemc.com]  
Sent: Thursday, August 31, 2006 9:36 AM  
To: Mike Kuo; Daniel Lee (ア?KウM)  
Cc: David Woo  
Subject: RE: Palm, Inc., FCC ID: O8F-850, Assessment NO.: AN06T5954, Notice#1

Hi Daniel and David :

I reviewed the full version of user manual, I found this device has two options. One with camera and the other one without camera. Based upon the test report, the tests were performed on the device with camera. Please provide additional test data or strong engineering justification to support the device without camera is also applicable to the test data submitted.

We still have FCC ID number issued to be confirmed.

Best Regards

Mike Kuo  
Compliance Certification Services  
561F Monterey Road  
Morgan Hill CA 95037  
Tel: (408)463-0885 x: 105  
Fax: (408)463-0888

e-mail:mike.kuo@ccsemc.com  
<http://www.ccsemc.com>

-----Original Message-----

From: Mike Kuo  
Sent: Tuesday, August 29, 2006 11:37 PM  
To: 'Daniel Lee \'(李春和\'')'  
Subject: RE: Palm, Inc., FCC ID: O8F-850, Assessment NO.: AN06T5954, Notice#1

Hi Daniel :

In the test report, I can not find the statement that you made. You have to clearly document the test method that you have employed to prove you were following published procedures.

Best Regards

Mike Kuo  
Compliance Certification Services  
561F Monterey Road  
Morgan Hill CA 95037  
Tel: (408)463-0885 x: 105  
Fax: (408)463-0888

e-mail:[mike.kuo@ccsemc.com](mailto:mike.kuo@ccsemc.com)  
<http://www.ccsemc.com>

-----Original Message-----

From: Daniel Lee \(\text{李春和}\) [mailto:[Daniel@sporton.com.tw](mailto:Daniel@sporton.com.tw)]  
Sent: Tuesday, August 29, 2006 8:27 PM  
To: Mike Kuo  
Subject: RE: Palm, Inc., FCC ID: O8F-850, Assessment NO.: AN06T5954, Notice#1

Dear Mike,

For the Question #2:Section 4.6 of revised Part 22/24 test report: even though the test procedure indicates that the radiated spurious emission tests were performed with substitution method per TIA/EIA 603 ( should be B or C ). The test plots submitted look like direct field strength measurement were made. Per FCC policy as indicated in KDB Publication

Number: 442401, for licensed transmitter, only substitution method is allowed. Direct field strength measurement is not allowed. Please explain and address this measurement procedure issues.

We will update the report for the TIA/EIA version.

However, we did follow the substitution method for the radiated spurious emission tests. We took the direct field strength measurement to find out the worst case, and then by using the substitution method to measure radited emission at the worst point.

We will respond the other comments later this week.

BR  
Daniel

-----Original Message-----

From: Mike Kuo [mailto:[mike.kuo@ccsemc.com](mailto:mike.kuo@ccsemc.com)]  
Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2006 7:28 AM  
To: Daniel Lee (\text{李春和}); David Woo  
Subject: FW: Palm, Inc., FCC ID: O8F-850, Assessment NO.: AN06T5954, Notice#1

Hi Daniel and David :

I have done my review. Below are the questions to be addressed.

Best Regards

Mike Kuo  
Compliance Certification Services  
561F Monterey Road  
Morgan Hill CA 95037  
Tel: (408)463-0885 x: 105  
Fax: (408)463-0888

e-mail:[mike.kuo@ccsemc.com](mailto:mike.kuo@ccsemc.com)  
<http://www.ccsemc.com>

-----Original Message-----

From: Mike Kuo  
Sent: Tuesday, August 29, 2006 4:19 PM  
To: Mike Kuo  
Subject: Palm, Inc., FCC ID: O8F-850, Assessment NO.: AN06T5954,  
Notice#1

Question #1: Measurement procedure: The acceptable measurement procedure for licensed transmitter is ANSI/TIA/EIA-603-B-2002. As indicate in the test report, TIA/EIA 603 Rev. A is used. Please review the newest TIA/EIA standard and provide a statement of compliance with new measurement standards.

Question #2: Section 4.6 of revised Part 22/24 test report: even though the test procedure indicates that the radiated spurious emission tests were performed with substitution method per TIA/EIA 603 ( should be B or C ). The test plots submitted look like direct field strength measurement were made. Per FCC policy as indicated in KDB Publication Number: 442401, for licensed transmitter, only substitution method is allowed. Direct field strength measurement is not allowed. Please explain and address this measurement procedure issues.

Question #3: Please submit a clear photo to show the test setup condition.

SAR Portion

Question #4: Body PCS CH512 keypad up with holster touched GPRS10 and with BT on: There are multiple hot spot, please provide the secondary hot spot reading.

Question #5: Body PCS CH611 keypad up with holster touched EDGE10 :  
There are multiple hot spot, please provide the secondary hot spot reading.

Question #6 : In the user manual, it suggests that user can use body worn accessories without metal and provide at least 1.5 cm separation distance. Based upon the body worn evaluation in the SAR test report, there is no test data to support 1.5 cm air gap compliance. Please provide additional test data with 1.5 air-gap during body worn investigation.

Administrative Portion :

Question #7 : The FCC ID label format provided does not include FCC DoC logo. Since this PDA phone can be connected to PC directly via data cable, it is considered as PC peripheral as well. PC peripheral can be approved via FCC DoC procedure or via certification procedure by TCB.

If FCC DoC procedure is used, please add FCC DoC logo on the label format and submit revised Label format.

If certificate procedure is used, then this application needs to be filed as three ways composite devices ( Part 22/24 transmitter, Bluetooth transmitter and PC peripheral ) under one FCC ID number.

Please confirm your approval strategy.

Question #8 : The FCC ID number listed in the regulatory section of user manual ( O8F850 ) does not agree with the FCC ID number listed on the label format and the test report. Please revise and / or confirm the FCC ID number.

Question #9 : Only regulatory section of user manual is submitted.  
Please provide entire user manual.

Best Regards

Mike Kuo

The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information within 30 days of the original e-mail date may result in application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee.

Also, please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted. Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.