Please note: Upper frequency changed per instructions of OET today, 12/11/2002

Bill

I have started to look at this grant.

Old grants are old grants. Let the dead rest. :)

I do have two concerns though.

Firstly I think there is a mistake in upper frequency. Can the transmitter really tune to 1909.95 MHZ? If so I believe the device will fail band edge compliance. Data provided in original report is not tuned to 1909.95. Need to determine highest tuneable freq?

Also, we are trying to determine if a SAR report is needed for this filing since it goes above the low threshold. We understand that there were no metallic changes to this.

Martin Perrine

>>> William Graff 10/31/02 12:53PM >>> Tim,

I have a problem child application.

Please review the original Grant for O6YUTS-708J. It is a PCS short rang phone system with a GSM-like [we can't call it GSM - the applicant gets pissed] emission. There a couple of obvious errors. You guys in Columbia issued the Grant.

- 1.) Equipment was called a PCF should have been called PCE
- 2.) No source based time averaging factored into SAR report. Test mode would put EUT into a steady-state carrier; normal operation is a 12.5% duty factor [1:8].

None of this would come to light unless I did a Class II PC [TC386170]. Equipment code now fixed to PCF, but there remains the SAR error. I did not touch the Grant Notes because of the sacred rule about keeping all the info on the Grant the same when doung Class II PC.

Is any further action on my part required?

Bill

[~] William H. Graff, NARTE Certified

[~] President and Director of Engineering

[~] AmericanTCB, Inc.

^{~ 6731} Whittier Ave.

```
~ McLean, VA 22101
```

~ mailto:whgraff@americanTCB.com

~ mobile mailto:whgraff@attglobal.net

~ Direct Phone: (480)317-0683
~ Direct Mobile: (602)790-6788
~ Corporate Phone: (703)847-4700
~ Corporate FAX: (703)847-6888

~