Chris Harvey

From: SunHee Kim (HCT) [alondra@hct.co.kr]

Sent: Friday, August 08, 2008 9:04 AM

To: charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com

Cc: Nam-Wook Kang (HCT); Sang-Jun Lee (HCT); khpark@hct.co.kr; charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com;

claire.hoque@ccsemc.com; mkuo@ccsemc.com; \(\square\) (HCT)

Subject: Re: UTStarcom Korea Technologies Ltd., FCC ID: O6Y-CDM7076, Assessment NO.:

AN08T8208, Notice#1

Attachments: CDM7076 additional SAR compliance documentation.pdf; K Circuit Diagram Rev.1.pdf; HAC

RF Emission Report_CDM7076_Rev.1.pdf

Hi Chris,

Thank you for your response.

We attached the revised documents and replies are embedded below your questions.

If you need more coordination, please let me know asap.

Best Regards, SunHee Kim

---- Original Message -----

From: <<u>charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com</u>>

To: <alondra@hct.co.kr>; <khpark@hct.co.kr>

Cc: <charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com>; <claire.hoque@ccsemc.com>; <mkuo@ccsemc.com>

Sent: Thursday, August 07, 2008 11:14 PM

Subject: UTStarcom Korea Technologies Ltd., FCC ID: O6Y-CDM7076, Assessment NO.: AN08T8208, Notice#1

> Dear SunHee & KH Park,

>

- > You are listed as the Technical Contact for the above referenced TCB application. The following item(s) need(s) to be resolved before the review can be continued:
- > 1. The FCC ID on the online CCS application form is missing the '-' in the FCC ID: O6Y-CDM7076 that is in the exhibits. Please confirm the FCC ID for this application and I can change the form if needed.

==> The FCC ID: O6Y-CDM7076 is correct one.

>

- > 2. The Head SAR Measurement Plots seem to show clipped-peaks and multiple peak SAR locations that have not been addressed in the application. Please address these issues with additional SAR compliance documentation. Please note that the FCC has provided guidance on performing SAR measurements near the mouth region in FCC Knowledge DataBase (KDB) # 648474. The peaks on the head SAR plots seem to show peak SAR locations near the mouth region of the SAM. Please see the following link for further information:
- > http://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/oetcf/kdb/forms/FTSSearchResultPage.cfm?id=33853&switch=P
- ==> We attached the additional SAR compliance file according to KDB #648474.

But as you can find, SAR Plot in hinge touch position also be clipped with the flat phantom.

Therefore we performed the SAR testing with 0.8 separation distance in hidge position.

Please review the attachmet file and if you have more comments, please let me know.

>

- > 3. This device contains a USB port for connection to a computer (manual indicates Data Only when connected to PC on page 11). The FCC classifies this as a Computer peripheral device that requires either additional Certification application as a Computer Peripheral (equipment Class JBP) or FCC Declaration of Conformity compliance. There has not been a JBP Certification application submitted and the label does not contain the FCC Logo of DoC compliance. Please either submit a JBP application or provide the label evidence of DoC compliance (and an indication what laboratory was used for the DoC testing).
- ==> This USB port is just for charging. The EUT doesn't support PC connection fuction. So we proceed this project as verification.
- > 4. The exhibit "K Circuit Diagram" schematic uses a special font (ArielUnicodeMS) that is not regularly available and the text does not show correctly. Please update the Schematic exhibit to use standard fonts so the text can be read.

```
==> Please find the revised Circuit Diagram.
```

> 5. The HAC test report has only been submitted for RF method (M-rating) and the data indicates compliance with the M3 Rating. The Cover letter exhibit indicates HAC Rating of M3/T4. The Summary on page 3 of 23 of the HAC RF report indicates M4 compliance for E-Field channel 600, 1880MHz, but the test data on pages 3 and 19 seems to show M3 compliance. Please ensure the correct compliance and correct the exhibits with errors (Cover Letter and HAC-RF report) ==> E-Field 25ch. and 600 ch. are M3. We revised the HAC RF Emission on page 3.

> The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information within 30 days of the original e-mail date may result in application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted. Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.

> Sest regards,
> Chris Harvey
> Charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com
> >