

From: Lucy [lucy\_tsai@cclab.com.tw]  
Sent: Monday, August 04, 2003 3:01 AM  
To: Mike Kuo  
Cc: Jonson Lee (E-mail); ? ??; C&C Lab. - Eric  
Subject: Re: In-Tech Electronics Limited, FCC ID: NV6-CS8033, Assessment  
NO.: AN03T3088

Hi Mike,

Below are answer for In-Tech, FCC ID NV6-CS8033, and please help to review them and issue the grant as fast as you can, thank you.

Q#1, please refer to the attached file for revised operational description that the antenna has been revised as 2.85dBi.

Q#2 and Q#3, we have re-did the test and revised the the test procedure and its version as ANSI C63.4:1992 as recorded in the attached RF test report.

Q#4-6, please refer to the attached revised user manual for details.

(But regard Q#4, can't CF card be taken as a ITE accessory at the same time?)

Best Regards,

Lucy

----- Original Message -----

From: "Mike Kuo" <MKUO@CCSEMC.com>  
To: "Eric (E-mail)" <eric@cclab.com.tw>; "Lucy (E-mail)" <lucy\_tsai@cclab.com.tw>; "Ting (E-mail)" <ting@cclab.com.tw>  
Cc: "Jonson Lee (E-mail)" <jonson@cclab.com.tw>  
Sent: Monday, August 04, 2003 2:38 PM  
Subject: FW: In-Tech Electronics Limited, FCC ID: NV6-CS8033, Assessment  
NO.: AN03T3088

-----Original Message-----

From: Compliance Certification Services [mailto:MKuo@ccsemc.com]  
Sent: Sunday, August 03, 2003 10:29 PM  
Subject: In-Tech Electronics Limited, FCC ID: NV6-CS8033, Assessment NO.:  
AN03T3088

Question #1: In the operational description file, the antenna is PCB type with 0 dBi gain. Such antenna gain statement does not agree with Antenna specification. In addition, in the test report, antenna gain is stated as ceramic type with max. of 2.85dBi gain. Please go over your submission file and harmonize the antenna type and antenna gain information.

Question #2: Page 2 of test report stated that ANSI C63.2 :1992 measurement procedures was used and followed. However, there are several places in the test report stated ANSI C63.4:2001 measurement procedures was used. Please harmonize your measurement procedures statements in the test report.

Question #3: Peak output power: Channel power method was used for power

measurement. By looking at the spectrum plots, it looks like the average method was used. 15.247 is requiring Peak output power measurement not average. Please provide the detail instrument setting used during the measurement.

Question #4: User Manual contains FCC DoC logo and DoC statement. Such FCC logo and statement only applicable to the device subject to FCC DoC procedures. This CF card does not subject to FCC DoC procedure, please remove such statement and logo.

Question #5: User Manual does not contain information required per section 15.21 of FCC rules, please provide revised user manual to comply this requirement.

Question #6: Per the description contains in the user manual, this CF card can be used with notebook computer, PDA or cellular phone, this CF card when use with these device is considered as portable device. The RF exposure statement to limit the device to maintain 20cm separation distance to the device can not be achieve by the end user. Due to the output power is below the low threshold, SAR evaluation is not required. No separation distance is requiried for this device. Please remove separation distance requirement in the user manual.

Best Regards

Mike Kuo

The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information within 60 days of the original e-mail date may result in application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted. Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.