Chris Harvey

From: gina.lo [gina.lo@ccsrf.com] on behalf of application.2009 [application.2009@ccsrf.com]

Sent: Sunday, September 27, 2009 11:12 PM

- To: charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com
- Cc: application@ccsrf.com; chris.harvey@ccsemc.com; gina.lo@ccsrf.com; lucy.tsai@ccsemc.com
- Subject: Re:High Tech Computer Corp., FCC ID: NM8PB74100, Assessment NO.: AN09T9581 & AN09T9582 & AN09T9583, Notice#1

Dear Chris,

Please see my reply as below, thank you. Because the type error, the rating of the battery is wrong. We revised RF test report (page 4). The documents size is over 5M, I send two times. Total 6 documents.

The client ask us to provide the screenshot, thank you.

Best Regards,

Gina

<charvey-

tcb@ccsemc.com>	l-¥ó¤HiG <application@ccsrf.com>, <gina.lo@ccsrf.com></gina.lo@ccsrf.com></application@ccsrf.com>
	°Æ¥»§Û°e¡G <chris.harvey@ccsemc.com>, <lucy.tsai@ccsemc.com></lucy.tsai@ccsemc.com></chris.harvey@ccsemc.com>
2009/09/16 10:25 PM	¥D¦®¡G High Tech Computer Corp., FCC ID: NM8PB74100, Assessment NO.: AN09T9581 & AN09T9582 & AN09T9583, Notice#1

Dear Gina Lo,

You are listed as the Technical Contact for the above referenced TCB application. The following items need to be resolved before the review can be continued:

1. The RF report indicates that there are 2 possible LCD screen (different manufacturers?), 2 different camera modules (different manufacturers?), and 2 different USB Cables (single USB and double USB connectors). Page 26 of the SAR report states that power measurements were used to determine the worst case configuration for the LCD Panel (Wintek), Camera (Foxconn), and USB Cable (Acon) and Battery (TWS), but the test data pages reflect additional SAR measurements as the justification. Please update the text on page 26 to reflect the fact that power measurements AND additional SAR measurements were performed to justify the additional configurations. Ans: It's different manufacturers. For this EUT, two kinds of batteries, LCD Panels and Cameras.(Please SAR report page 3~4 and 26) In SAR report page 26, SAR worst case and final test configuration is Battery I(TWS) + LCD Panel I(Wintek) + Camera I(Foxconn), and configuration II is Battery II(HT energy) + LCD Panel II(SAMSUNG) + Camera II(Liteon). 2. Page 26 of the SAR test report indicates that the Average power of 802.11b mode is below the 60/f threshold, but incorrectly states that 49.660mW is less than 24.5mW, and that stand-alone SAR is not required for 802.11b. This statement needs to be corrected (stand-alone SAR was performed in 802.11b mode). Ans: Please see the revised SAR test report as the attachment.

3. Page 28 of the SAR report has a table of what appears to be the Bluetooth SAR measurements (0.000 no units). There are no Bluetooth SAR measurements otherwise documented in the SAR report (and are apparently not needed). If SAR measurements have not been performed for Bluetooth, please remove this table from the SAR report. Also, please update first 2 tables on page 28 to include the units of measurement (W/kg?). Ans: Please see the revised SAR test report as the attachment.

4. The Users manual contains European regulatory notices and SAR information but did not contain the required FCC regulatory notices. Please update the manual to include the FCC digital, transmitter, SAR and Hearing Aid Compatibility information. Ans: Please see the revised Users manual as the attachment.

5. One of the exhibits you have submitted for the Handset PCE application is a 3G WCDMA Tune-Up procedure exhibit. Since this device does not have WCDMA operation in any US/FCC bands, I would like to confirm that it is OK to remove this 3G Tune-Up procedure exhibit from this application. Ans: Please help remove this 3G Tune-Up procedure exhibit from this application.Thank you.

The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information within 30 days of the original e-mail date may result in application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted. Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.

Best regards,

Chris Harvey Charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com