

Acer NeWeb Corporation

Phone: +886 35428000 Fax: +886 35420098

6F, 110 Sec 2, Tung Ta Road, Hsinchu 300, Taiwan, R.O.C.

TO: David Chernomordik, Ph.D. **FROM:** Bob Lee
cc: Ollie Moyrong **eMail:** Bosqrelee@acer.com.tw
TEL #: (650)-463-2918 **Ext#** 601
FAX #: (650)-463-2910 **DATE:** 2001/03/27
Re: Explanation Letter 2412 **Number of Pages including this page:** 2

Dr. Chernomordik:

I apologize to let you know ANC (Acer NeWeb Corp.) has made some mistakes of filing wrong information to you before on model FCC ID:NKRWLANWARPLINKA. (ANC Model name WarpLink 2412) We would appreciate you review the corrected document by this request for current application. And look forward to your comment.

After recent found mistakes of sending wrong Processing Gain Data of reference FCC ID:OGD10410208, and wrong schematic document, I sincerely request to update the following documents with its associated reason stated below.

1. The Processing Gain Test Data performed on NKRWLANWARPLINKA.

Reason for change: Due to the Processing Gain Data that ANC submitted is not the correct version to the reference circuit, OGD10410208. This is also due to our vendor copy the wrong version of Processing Gain data to ANC. Despite of the reference data, ANC perform the test of Processing Gain on the implementation of NKRWLANWARPLINKA by an accredited LAB on 26th March 2001, and shows the evidence of compliance to FCC limit. Attached please find the Processing Gain Test Data of NKRWLANWARPLINKA. [1].

2. The Schematic drawing.

Reason for change: Due to ANC's vendor send the wrong schematic version. Hence, ANC filed the wrong version on last September, that put the different brand of RF filters than the real implementation of NKRWLANWARPLINKA. Therefore, ANC request to update the corrected schematic drawing that totally correspond to the real implementation of NKRWLANWARPLINKA.[2] The only correction is made on the RF filter with correct brand to the implementation.

3. Discard the confirmation letter ANC claimed identity to the reference circuit on the implementation of RF and IF filters and IC chipset.

Reason for change: ANC send the last confirmation letter describing “..... not only the IC chip but the RF and IF filters are identical to the reference circuit” (dated 3/9/2001), which is wrong information. The real implementation, as shown in the schematic document[2], is different than the reference circuit OGD10410208 only in the different brand of RF filter. Despite of this difference, ANC perform the Processing Gain test on the implementation of NKRWLANWARPLINKA with its associated schematic. We trust this is self-consistent. Hence ANC request to discard the last confirmation letter.

For summary of Processing Gain issue, regardless the reference data of OGD10410208, ANC performed the real test of Processing Gain on NKRWLANWARPLINKA with the test report shown in [1]. The associated schematic that describing the implementation of NKRWLANWARPLINKA is shown in [2].

Please find the following attachment in separate files.


[1]. Processing Gain Test Data of NKRWLANWARPLINKA.

[2]. Schematic of NKRWLANWARPLINKA.

Although mistakes, ANC has no attempt to make any intentional fault document for current application. But, on the contrary, ANC would actively update the correct document, and would exhibit all consistent evidence to demonstrate the compliance to FCC rules. We trust this is satisfactory and look forward to your comment.

For your reference.

Regards



Bob Lee

Senior Director

Acer NeWeb Corp.