

Dear Mr. Graff,

Thank you for your email. Here is my reply to your comment.

- 1.) The internal and external photographs were supplied as a single file. This is not correct Please reassemble as External Photos, and Internal Photos.
- 2.) Test Setup photos (Appendix 1:), both Radiated and AC conducted (if applicable), must be broken out as a separate document.

A-PEX reply: For 1.) and 2.) as above, I submitted corrected documents. Please find them at your FTP server,

- 3.) The Operational Description is stamped confidential, but not listed as Confidential in the confidential request letter. Please address with your client. Please also note that in general, Operational Descriptions are not given Confidentiality status without more justification than supplied in the application.

A-PEX reply: I will send Confidential request letter with justification for Operational Descriptions "Request for Confidentiality of Operational Descriptions.pdf" today or tomorrow.

- 4.) Has this device been tested as a Part 15, Subpart B computer peripheral? If so, evidence of a Declaration of Conformity or Class B Certification would be appropriate.

A-PEX reply : Yes, it has been tested Part 15, Subpart B. We use DoC route for this device.

The address information for Grantee code NEE is different from that listed on the FCC database (see below). This will have to be corrected directly with the FCC. Please have your client provide current contact information on their letterhead to Bette Taube at FCC.  
<mailto:btaube@fcc.gov>

A-PEX reply: Regarding to the address of the client, I would like to use the address listed on the FCC database (6-20-1 Kinunodai, Yawara-mura, Thukuba-gun, Ibaraki-ken, Japan) for this application. As signatory is in different office from the listed one, address on many documents signed by client is different from the listed one. (The address information listed on FCC is correct.) I do not think it is problem. Please confirm this matter and inform me what I shall do on this matter.

We are looking forward to hearing from you soon.

Best regards,

Motomu Kawano  
2nd Division  
A-Pex International Co.,Ltd.  
4383-326 Asama-cho Ise-shi  
Mie-ken 516-0021 JAPAN  
TEL +81-596-24-6717  
FAX +81-596-24-8002  
E-mail: [mkawano@a-pex.co.jp](mailto:mkawano@a-pex.co.jp)

Motomu,

I have given your application a quick overview and have the following comments:

- 1.) The internal and external photographs were supplied as a single file. This is not correct Please reassemble as External Photos, and Internal Photos.
- 2.) Test Setup photos (Appendix 1:), both Radiated and AC conducted (if applicable), must be broken out as a separate document.
- 3.) The Operational Description is stamped confidential, but not listed as Confidential in the confidential request letter. Please address with your client. Please also note that in general, Operational Descriptions are not given Confidentiality status without more justification than supplied in the application.
- 4.) Has this device been tested as a Part 15, Subpart B computer peripheral? If so, evidence of a Declaration of Conformity or Class B Certification would be appropriate.

The address information for Grantee code NEE is different from that listed on the FCC database (see below). This will have to be corrected directly with the FCC. Please have your client provide current contact information on their letterhead to Bette Taube at FCC.

<mailto:btaube@fcc.gov>