

20 October 2000

Mr. Errol Chang FCC Application Processing Branch

**Re:** Question from the FCC

FCC ID: NBZNRM6833

Correspondence Reference Number: 16687
731 Confirmation Number EA98660
Date of Original E-Mail: 10/19/2000

Dear Mr. Chang:

Pursuant to your e-mail to APREL Laboratories' Jay Sarkar, I am forwarding to you our responses to item 1. The relevant portions of the FCC's e-mail follow with our response inserted in the appropriate place:

```
> 1. Two test configurations were used in the body-worn SAR
> evaluation, "Clip" and "Phone" parallel to the phantom. Please
> clarify or provide illustrations for these two test configurations.
> Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2000 10:23 AM
> To: Jayanta (Jay) Sarkar, <a href="mailto:isarkar@aprel.com">isarkar@aprel.com</a>
        APREL Laboratories
> From: Errol Chang, echang@fcc.gov
        FCC Application Processing Branch
> Re:
                                    FCC ID NBZNRM6833
                                    Novatel Wireless Technologies Ltd
> Applicant:
> Correspondence Reference Number: 16687
> 731 Confirmation Number:
                                   EA98660
> Date of Original E-Mail:
                                   10/20/2000
> 1. The revised RF exposure statement in the manual differs
> from that submitted previously. We would, therefore, like
> you to confirm the following.
```

The originally submitted RF exposure statement was erroneously carried over from the previous fillings for the similar products Minstrel III and Mintrel IV (FCC ID NBZNRM-6832). Those two had to be submitted as mobile products because they were re-using the FCC ID for a CDPD module that had a grant as a mobile device, thus the reference to a 20 cm separation. The device





now under consideration is seeking approval as a portable device and the SAR measurements show that the device meets the FCC's health and safety requirements at a standard separation distance of 4 cm (agreed to by the FCC and Novatel Wireless in a meeting in June 2000 and confirmed in follow up e-mail). The RF exposure statement was therefore corrected to reflect this.

visit our Web Pages: www.aprel.com

I trust that the above will answer your inquiry. If not, feel free to contact me.

Regards,

Paul G. Cardinal, Ph.D. Director, Laboratory Operations