

## **Smart Technologies & Investment Ltd.**

Application
For
Certification
(FCC ID: N9KSMARTECRF1252T)

318MHz Transmitter

0500495 TL/ Ann Choy April 22, 2005

- The test results reported in this test report shall refer only to the sample actually tested and shall not refer or be deemed to refer to bulk from which such a sample may be said to have been obtained.
- This report shall not be reproduced except in full without prior authorization from Intertek Testing Services Hong Kong Limited.
- For Terms And Conditions of the services, it can be provided upon request.
- The evaluation data of the report will be kept for 3 years from the date of issuance.

#### **LIST OF EXHIBITS**

#### INTRODUCTION

EXHIBIT 1: General Description

EXHIBIT 2: System Test Configuration

EXHIBIT 3: Emission Results

EXHIBIT 4: Equipment Photographs

EXHIBIT 5: Product Labelling

EXHIBIT 6: Technical Specifications

EXHIBIT 7: Instruction Manual

EXHIBIT 8: Miscellaneous Information

#### MEASUREMENT/TECHNICAL REPORT

**Smart Technologies & Investment Ltd. - MODEL: RF-1252** 

FM-136 F3100

FCC ID: N9KSMARTECRF1252T

**April 22, 2005** 

This report concerns (check one:) Original	Grant <u>X</u> Class	I Change
Equipment Type: <u>DSC - Low Power Transmitt</u> (example: computer, printer,		
Deferred grant requested per 47 CFR 0.457(	d)(1)(ii)? Yes	No <u>X</u>
	If yes, defer until:	
Company Name agrees to notify the Commis	ssion by:	date
of the intended date of announcement of tissued on that date.	the product so that th	e grant can be
Transition Rules Request per 15.37?	Yes	No_X_
If no, assumed Part 15, Subpart C for intention Edition] provision.	nal radiator - the new 4	7 CFR [12-08-03
Report prepared by:	Tommy Leung Intertek Testing Services 2/F., Garment Center, 576, Castle Peak Road, Kowloon, Hong Kong. Phone: 852-2173-8539 Fax: 852-2371-0521	

## **Table of Contents**

1.0 General Description	2
1.1 Product Description	
1.2 Related Submittal(s) Grants	
1.3 Test Methodology	
1.4 Test Facility	
<b>,</b>	
2.0 System Test Configuration	5
2.1 Justification	
2.2 EUT Exercising Software	
2.3 Special Accessories	
2.4 Equipment Modification	
2.5 Measurement Uncertainty	
2.6 Support Equipment List and Description	
a safeta a data a sa	
3.0 Emission Results	8
3.1 Field Strength Calculation	
3.2 Radiated Emission Configuration Photograph	
3.3 Radiated Emission Data	
3.4 Conducted Emission Configuration Photograph	
3.5 Conducted Emission Data	
	_
4.0 Equipment Photographs	17
5.0 Product Labelling	19
<u></u>	
6.0 Technical Specifications	21
7.0 Instruction Manual	23
8.0 Miscellaneous Information	25
8.1 Measured Bandwidth	
8.2 Discussion of Pulse Desensitization	
8.3 Calculation of Average Factor	28
8.4 Emissions Test Procedures	29

## List of attached file

Exhibit type	File Description	filename
Test Report	Test Report	report.pdf
Operation Description	Technical Description	descri.pdf
Test Setup Photo	Radiated Emission	config photos.doc
Test Setup Photo	Conducted Emission	config photos.doc
Test Report	Conducted Emission Test Result	conduct.pdf
Test Report	Bandwidth Plot	bw.pdf
External Photo	External Photo	external photos.doc
Internal Photo	Internal Photo	internal photos.doc
Block Diagram	Block Diagram	block.pdf
Schematics	Circuit Diagram	circuit.pdf
ID Label/Location	Label Artwork and Location	label.pdf
User Manual	User Manual	manual.pdf
Test Report	Timing Diagram	timing.pdf

# EXHIBIT 1 GENERAL DESCRIPTION

#### 1.0 **General Description**

#### 1.1 Product Description

The Equipment Under Test (EUT) is a transmitter operating at 318MHz. The EUT is powered by 8-24Vac/dc (external power source) and/or 6Vdc (4 x 1.5V "AA" battery). It is a keypad unit of Wireless Gate Entry System with Intercom function. It transmits a 318MHz control signal to the corresponding receiver after entering a valid code. The gate connected to the receiver will be opened accordingly if the dip switches settings are the same. The transmitter incorporates a switch which will cease transmission with 2.0s of being released the switch. Also, after a "Call" request, it transmits or receives a home user's voice at the corresponding intercom unit with a 900MHz signal in half duplex.

The Model: FM-136 and F3100 are the same as the Model: RF-1252 is in hardware aspect. The difference in model number serves as marketing strategy.

Antenna Type: Integral, External

For electronic filing, the brief circuit description is saved with filename: descri.pdf.

#### 1.2 Related Submittal(s) Grants

This is an application for certification of a transmitter operating at 318MHz. The receiver, associated with this transmitter, has FCC ID: I6HGT091RCVR and has been granted. On the other hand, 900MHz transceiver, a composite device subject to an additional equipment authorization, has the same as this FCC ID: N9KSMARTECRF1252T and has been filed at the same time.

#### 1.3 Test Methodology

Both AC mains line-conducted and radiated emission measurements were performed according to the procedures in ANSI C63.4 (2001). All measurements were performed in Open Area Test Sites. Preliminary scans were performed in the Open Area Test Sites only to determine worst case modes. For each scan, the procedure for maximizing emissions in Appendices D and E were followed. All Radiated tests were performed at an antenna to EUT distance of 3 meters, unless stated otherwise in the "Justification Section" of this Application.

#### 1.4 Test Facility

The open area test site and conducted measurement facility used to collect the emission data is located at Garment Centre, 576 Castle Peak Road, Kowloon, Hong Kong. This test facility and site measurement data have been fully placed on file with the FCC.

# EXHIBIT 2 SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION

#### 2.0 **System Test Configuration**

#### 2.1 Justification

The system was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it), and in the confines as outlined in ANSI C63.4 (2001).

The EUT could be powered by 8-24Va.c./d.c. power supply. It has been verified that the change of the supply voltage would not change the emission result by more than 1-2 dB. During the test, the EUT was powered from AC 120V 60Hz input, DC 9V 300mA output adaptor (Model: AD35-09003, supplied by Client).

For maximizing emissions, the EUT was rotated through 360°, the antenna height was varied from 1 meter to 4 meters above the ground plane, and the antenna polarization was changed. This step by step procedure for maximizing emissions led to the data reported in Exhibit 3.0.

The unit was operated standalone and placed in the center of the turntable.

The equipment under test (EUT) was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it). The EUT was placed on turntable, which enabled the engineer to maximize emissions through its placement in the three orthogonal axes. For simplicity of testing, the unit was wired to transmit continuously.

The frequency range from 318MHz to 3.2GHz was searched for spurious emissions from the device. Only those emissions reported were detected. All other emissions were at least 20 dB below the applicable limits.

#### 2.2 EUT Exercising Software

There was no special software to exercise the device. Once the button is depressed, the unit transmits the typical signal. For simplicity of testing, the unit was wired to transmit continuously.

#### 2.3 Special Accessories

There are no special accessories necessary for compliance of this product.

#### 2.4 Equipment Modification

Any modifications installed previous to testing by Smart Technologies & Investment Ltd. will be incorporated in each production model sold/leased in the United States.

No modifications were installed by Intertek Testing Services.

#### 2.5 Measurement Uncertainty

When determining the test conclusion, the Measurement Uncertainty of test has been considered.

#### 2.6 Support Equipment List and Description

This product was tested in a standalone configuration.

All the items listed under section 2.0 of this report are

Confirmed by:

Tommy Leung
Assistant Manager
Intertek Testing Services Hong Kong Ltd.
Agent for Smart Technologies & Investment Ltd.

Date

\_\_\_\_\_Signature

April 22, 2005

FCC ID: N9KSMARTECRF1252T

## **EXHIBIT 3**

## **EMISSION RESULTS**

### 3.0 **Emission Results**

Data is included worst case configuration (the configuration which resulted in the highest emission levels). A sample calculation, configuration photographs and data tables of the emissions are included.

#### 3.1 Field Strength Calculation

The field strength is calculated by adding the reading on the Spectrum Analyzer to the factors associated with preamplifiers (if any), antennas, cables, pulse desensitization and average factors (when specified limit is in average and measurements are made with peak detectors). A sample calculation is included below.

where  $FS = Field Strength in dB\mu V/m$ 

RA = Receiver Amplitude (including preamplifier) in dBμV

CF = Cable Attenuation Factor in dB

AF = Antenna Factor in dB

AG = Amplifier Gain in dB

PD = Pulse Desensitization in dB

AV = Average Factor in -dB

In the radiated emission table which follows, the reading shown on the data table may reflect the preamplifier gain. An example of the calculations, where the reading does not reflect the preamplifier gain, follows:

$$FS = RA + AF + CF - AG + PD + AV$$

## 3.1 Field Strength Calculation (cont'd)

#### Example

Assume a receiver reading of 62.0 dBµV is obtained. The antenna factor of 7.4 dB and cable factor of 1.6 dB is added. The amplifier gain of 29 dB is subtracted. The pulse desensitization factor of the spectrum analyzer was 0 dB, and the resultant average factor was -10 dB. The net field strength for comparison to the appropriate emission limit is 32 dBµV/m. This value in dBµV/m was converted to its corresponding level in  $\mu V/m$ .

 $RA = 62.0 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V}$ 

AF = 7.4 dB

CF = 1.6 dB

AG = 29.0 dB

PD = 0 dB

AV = -10 dB

 $FS = 62 + 7.4 + 1.6 - 29 + 0 + (-10) = 32 dB\mu V/m$ 

Level in  $\mu$ V/m = Common Antilogarithm [(32 dB $\mu$ V/m)/20] = 39.8  $\mu$ V/m

3.2 Radiated Emission Configuration Photograph

Worst Case Radiated Emission at 318.050 MHz

For electronic filing, the worst case radiated emission configuration photograph is saved with filename: config photos.doc.

#### 3.3 Radiated Emission Data

**TEST PERSONNEL:** 

The data on the following page lists the significant emission frequencies, the limit and the margin of compliance. Numbers with a minus sign are below the limit.

Judgement: Passed by 7.9 dB

Send
Signature
Jess Tang, Engineer Typed/Printed Name

April 22, 2005

Date

Applicant: Smart Technologies & Investment Ltd. Date of Test: January 24-February 14, 2005 Model: RF-1252

Table 1

Radiated Emissions

Polarization	Frequency	Reading	Pre-	Antenna	Average	Net	Limit	Margin
	(MHz)	(dBµV)	Amp	Factor	Factor	at 3m	at 3m	(dB)
			Gain	(dB)	(-dB)	(dBµV/m)	(dBµV/m)	
			(dB)					
V	318.050	64.0	16	23.0	3.1	67.9	75.8	-7.9
V	636.100	36.0	16	29.0	3.1	45.9	55.8	-9.9
V	954.110	28.1	16	33.0	3.1	42.0	55.8	-13.8
V	1272.187	46.2	34	26.1	3.1	35.2	55.8	-20.6
V	1590.234	43.3	34	27.2	3.1	33.4	54.0	-20.6
V	1908.280	41.8	34	27.2	3.1	31.9	55.8	-23.9

Notes: 1. Peak Detector Data unless otherwise stated.

- 2. All measurements were made at 3 meter. Harmonic emissions not detected at the 3-meter distance were measured at 0.3-meter and an inverse proportional extrapolation was performed to compare the signal level to the 3-meter limit. No other harmonic emissions than those reported were detected at a test distance of 0.3-meter.
- 3. Negative value in the margin column shows emission below limit.
- 4. Horn antenna is used for the emission over 1000MHz.

Test Engineer: Jess Tang

3.4 Conducted Emission Configuration Photograph

Worst Case Line-Conducted Configuration

For electronic filing, the worst case line-conducted configuration photograph are saved with filename: config photos.doc.

#### 3.5 Conducted Emission Data

For electronic filing, the graph and data table of conducted emission is saved with filename: conduct.pdf.

Judgement: Passed by more than 20 dB

IEST PERSONNEL:
Send
Signature
Jess Tang, Engineer Typed/Printed Name
rypeu/Filineu Name
April 22, 2005

Date

# EXHIBIT 4 EQUIPMENT PHOTOGRAPHS

## 4.0 **Equipment Photographs**

For electronic filing, the photographs of the tested EUT are saved with filename: external photos.doc & internal photos.doc.

# EXHIBIT 5 PRODUCT LABELLING

## 5.0 **Product Labelling**

For electronic filing, the FCC ID label artwork and the label location are saved with filename: label.pdf.

# EXHIBIT 6 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

## 6.0 <u>Technical Specifications</u>

For electronic filing, the block diagram and schematics of the tested EUT are saved with filename: block.pdf and circuit.pdf respectively.

# EXHIBIT 7 INSTRUCTION MANUAL

## 7.0 **Instruction Manual**

For electronic filing, a preliminary copy of the Instruction Manual is saved with filename: manual.pdf.

This manual will be provided to the end-user with each unit sold/leased in the United States.

# EXHIBIT 8 MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION

## 8.0 <u>Miscellaneous Information</u>

This miscellaneous information includes details of the measured bandwidth, the test procedure and calculation of factors such as pulse desensitization and averaging factor.

#### 8.1 Measured Bandwidth

For electronic filing, the plot shows the fundamental emission when modulated is saved with filename: bw.pdf. From the plot, the bandwidth is observed to be 390 kHz, at 20 dBc where the bandwidth limit is 795 kHz.

Therefore, the unit meets the requirement of section 15.231(c).

Figure 8.1 Bandwidth

#### 8.2 Discussion of Pulse Desensitization

The determination of pulse desensitivity was made in accordance with Hewlett Packard Application Note 150-2, *Spectrum Analysis ... Pulsed RF.* 

The effective period ( $T_{eff}$ ) was approximately 3.88 ms for a digital "1" bit, as shown in the plots of Exhibit 8.3. With a resolution bandwidth (3 dB) of 100 kHz, the pulse desensitivity factor was 0 dB.

#### 8.3 Calculation of Average Factor

Averaging factor in  $dB = 20 \log (duty \text{ cycle})$ 

The specification for output field strengths in accordance with the FCC rules specify measurements with an average detector. During testing, a spectrum analyzer incorporating a peak detector was used. Therefore, a reduction factor can be applied to the resultant peak signal level and compared to the limit for measurement instrumentation incorporating an average detector.

The time period over which the duty cycle is measured is 100 milliseconds, or the repetition cycle, whichever is a shorter time frame. The worst case (highest percentage on) duty cycle is used for the calculation. The duty cycle is measured by placing the spectrum analyzer in zero scan (receiver mode) and linear mode at maximum bandwidth (3 MHz at 3 dB down) and viewing the resulting time domain signal output from the analyzer on a Tektronix oscilloscope. The oscilloscope is used because of its superior time base and triggering facilities.

The duty cycle is simply the on-time divided by the period:

The duration of one cycle = 100 msEffective period of the cycle =  $(3.88 \times 18) \text{ ms} = 69.84 \text{ ms}$ 

DC = 69.84 ms / 100 ms = 0.6984

Therefore, the averaging factor is found by  $20 \log_{10} 0.6984 = -3.1 \text{ dB}$ 

For electronic filing, the plot shows the transmission timing is saved with filename: timing.pdf.

#### 8.4 Emissions Test Procedures

The following is a description of the test procedure used by Intertek Testing Services in the measurements of transmitters operating under Part 15, Subpart C rules.

The test set-up and procedures described below are designed to meet the requirements of ANSI C63.4 - 2001.

The transmitting equipment under test (EUT) is placed on a wooden turntable which is four feet in diameter and approximately one meter in height above the ground plane. During the radiated emissions test, the turntable is rotated and any cables leaving the EUT are manipulated to find the configuration resulting in maximum emissions. The EUT is adjusted through all three orthogonal axes to obtain maximum emission levels. The antenna height and polarization are varied during the testing to search for maximum signal levels. The height of the antenna is varied from one to four meters.

Detector function for radiated emissions is in peak mode. Average readings, when required, are taken by measuring the duty cycle of the equipment under test and subtracting the corresponding amount in dB from the measured peak readings. A detailed description for the calculation of the average factor can be found in Exhibit 8.3.

The frequency range scanned is from the lowest radio frequency signal generated in the device which is greater than 9 kHz to the tenth harmonic of the highest fundamental frequency or 40 GHz, whichever is lower. For line conducted emissions, the range scanned is 150 kHz to 30 MHz.

#### 8.4 Emissions Test Procedures (cont'd)

The EUT is warmed up for 15 minutes prior to the test.

AC power to the unit is varied from 85% to 115% nominal and variation in the fundamental emission field strength is recorded. If battery powered, a new, fully charged battery is used.

Conducted measurements are made as described in ANSI C63.4 - 2001.

The IF bandwidth used for measurement of radiated signal strength was 100 kHz or greater when frequency is below 1000 MHz. Where pulsed transmissions of short enough pulse duration warrant, a greater bandwidth is selected according to the recommendations of Hewlett Packard Application Note 150-2. A discussion of whether pulse desensitivity is applicable to this unit is included in this report (See Exhibit 8.2). Above 1000 MHz, a resolution bandwidth of 1 MHz is used.

Transmitter measurements are normally conducted at a measurement distance of three meters. However, to assure low enough noise floor in the forbidden bands and above 1 GHz, signals are acquired at a distance of one meter or less. All measurements are extrapolated to three meters using inverse scaling, unless otherwise reported. Measurements taken at a closer distance are so marked.