



**EMC Technologies Pty Ltd**

ABN 82 057 105 549  
176 Harrick Road  
Keilor Park  
Victoria Australia 3042

Ph: + 613 9365 1000  
Fax: + 613 9331 7455  
email: melb@emctech.com.au

## SAR Test Report

Report Number: M080762\_MC8781\_SAR\_GSM-UMTS

Test Sample: Portable TABLET Computer  
Radio Module Under Test: WWAN MC8781  
Host PC Model: T2020  
WWAN FCC ID: N7NMC8781-F  
WWAN IC ID: 2417C-MC8781

Date of Issue: 2<sup>nd</sup> September 2008

EMC Technologies Pty Ltd reports apply only to the specific samples tested under stated test conditions. It is the manufacturer's responsibility to assure that additional production units of this model are manufactured with identical electrical and mechanical components. EMC Technologies Pty Ltd shall have no liability for any deductions, inferences or generalisations drawn by the client or others from EMC Technologies Pty Ltd issued reports. This report shall not be used to claim, constitute or imply product endorsement by EMC Technologies Pty Ltd.



This document must not be copied or reproduced, except in full without the written permission of the Manager, EMC Technologies Pty Ltd. The certificate on page 3 may be reproduced in full.  
[www.emctech.com.au](http://www.emctech.com.au)

## CONTENTS

<b>1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2.0 INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3.0 SAMPLE TECHNICAL INFORMATION.....</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1 WWAN Details .....	4
3.1.1 Test Signal, Frequency and Output Power.....	4
3.3 EUT (Notebook PC) Details.....	5
3.4.1 Test sample Accessories.....	5
3.4.2 Battery Types.....	5
<b>4.0 TEST SIGNAL, FREQUENCY AND OUTPUT POWER .....</b>	<b>6</b>
4.1 Battery Status .....	9
<b>5.0 DETAILS OF TEST LABORATORY .....</b>	<b>9</b>
5.1 Location .....	9
5.2 Accreditations .....	9
5.3 Environmental Factors.....	9
<b>6.0 DESCRIPTION OF SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM.....</b>	<b>10</b>
6.1 Probe Positioning System.....	10
6.2 E-Field Probe Type and Performance .....	10
6.3 Data Acquisition Electronics .....	10
6.4 Validation .....	10
6.4.1 Validation Results (900 MHz and 1800 MHz).....	10
6.4.2 Deviation from reference validation values.....	10
6.4.3 Liquid Depth 15cm.....	11
6.5 Phantom Properties (Size, Shape, Shell Thickness).....	12
6.6 Tissue Material Properties.....	13
6.6.1 Liquid Temperature and Humidity.....	13
6.7 Simulated Tissue Composition Used for SAR Test.....	14
6.8 Device Holder for Laptops and P 9.1 Phantom .....	14
<b>7.0 SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE USING DASY4.....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>8.0 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY .....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>9.0 EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION DETAILS.....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>10.0 OET BULLETIN 65 – SUPPLEMENT C TEST METHOD.....</b>	<b>19</b>
10.1.1 “Tablet” Position Definition (0mm spacing).....	19
10.1.2 “Edge On” Position.....	19
10.2 List of All Test Cases (Antenna In/Out, Test Frequencies, User Modes).....	19
10.3 FCC RF Exposure Limits for Occupational/ Controlled Exposure.....	19
10.4 FCC RF Exposure Limits for Un-controlled/Non-occupational .....	19
<b>11.0 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS .....</b>	<b>20</b>
11.1.1 SAR Results.....	20
<b>12.0 COMPLIANCE STATEMENT.....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>APPENDIX A MULTIBAND EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS .....</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>APPENDIX B TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS .....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>APPENDIX C PLOTS OF THE SAR MEASUREMENTS .....</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>APPENDIX D CALIBRATION DOCUMENTS.....</b>	<b>67</b>



**SAR TEST REPORT**  
**Report Number: M080762\_ MC8781\_ SAR\_ GSM-UMTS**  
**WWAN FCC ID: N7NMC8781-F**  
**WWAN IC ID: 2417C-MC8781**

**1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION**

**Test Sample:** Portable TABLET Computers with Intel and Atheros WLAN Modules  
**Radio Module Under Test:** WWAN GSM/UMTS Module MC8781

**Interface Type:** Mini-PCI Module  
**Device Category:** Portable Transmitter  
**Test Device:** Pre-Production Unit  
**Host PC model:** T2020  
**WWAN FCC ID:** N7NMC8781-F  
**WWAN IC ID:** 2417C-MC8781  
**RF exposure Category:** General Population/Uncontrolled

**Manufacturer:** Fujitsu Limited

**Test Standard/s:**

1. Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines For Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01)
2. Radio Frequency Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands) RSS-102 Issue 2 November 2005

**Statement Of Compliance:** The Fujitsu TABLET Computer T2020 with GSM/UMTS Module MC8781 complied with the FCC General public/uncontrolled RF exposure limits of 1.6mW/g per requirements of 47CFR2.1093(d). It also complied with IC RSS-102 requirements.

**Test Dates:** 21-22<sup>nd</sup> August 2008

**Test Officer:**



**Peter Jakubiec**  
**Test Officer**

**Authorised Signature:**



**Peter Jakubiec**  
**EMC Technologies Pty Ltd**



**SAR TEST REPORT**  
**Portable TABLET Computer**  
**Model: T2020**  
**Report Number: M080762\_ MC8781\_ SAR\_ GSM-UMTS**

**2.0 INTRODUCTION**

Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) testing was performed on the Fujitsu TABLET PC, Model: T2020 with Sierra Mini-PCI Wireless WAN Module (UMTS), Model: MC8781, The Mini-PCI Wireless WAN (WWAN) was tested in the dedicated host – LIFEBOOK T SERIES, Model T2020.

**3.0 SAMPLE TECHNICAL INFORMATION**

(Information supplied by the client)

**3.1 WWAN Details**

**Transmitter:** Mini-Card UMTS Module  
**FCC ID** N7NMC8781-F  
**IC** 2417C-MC8781  
**Wireless Module:** UMTS  
**Model Number:** MC8781  
**Manufacturer:** Sierra Wireless  
**GSM Frequency** 850 / 900 / 1800 / 1900 MHz  
**Bands:**  
**UMTS Frequency** Band I(2100MHz) / Band II(1900MHz) / Band V(850MHz)  
**Bands:**  
**Features:** EGPRS, GPRS, UMTS and HSDPA, and HSUPA  
**Output Power:** 32 +/- 1dBm in 850 band  
 29 +/- 1dBm in 1900 band  
 23 +/- 1dBm in UMTS bands

**3.1.1 Test Signal, Frequency and Output Power**

The EUT was provided by Fujitsu Australia Pty Ltd. It was put into operation using an Anritsu Radio Communication Analyser MT8820A. The channels utilised in the measurements were the traffic channels shown in the table below. The power level was set to Class 4 for 850 MHz and Class 1 for 1900 MHz GSM bands and class 3 for 850 and 1900 MHz UMTS bands.

**Channels and Output power:**

Channel and Mode	Frequency MHz	Average Output Power dBm
<b>GPRS Mode</b>		
Channels 128, 190 and 251	824.2, 836.6 and 848.8	33
Channels 512, 661 and 810	1850.2, 1880 and 1909.8	30
<b>UMTS Mode</b>		
Channels 4132, 4183 and 4233	826.4, 836.6 and 846.6	24
Channels 9262, 9400 and 9538	1852.4, 1880 and 1907.6	24



### 3.3 EUT (Notebook PC) Details

**Host notebook :** LifeBook T series  
**Model Name:** T2020  
**Serial Number:** Pre-production Sample  
**Manufacturer:** FUJITSU LIMITED  
  
**CPU Type and Speed:** Core2 Duo SU9400 1.4GHz  
**LCD** 12.1" WXGA  
**Wired LAN:** Marvell 88E8057 : 10 Base-T/100 Base-TX/1000Base-T  
**Modem:** Agere MDC1.5 modem Model: D40  
**Port Replicator Model:** FPCPR87  
  
**AC Adapter Model:** 60W: SED80N2-16.0(Sanken)  
**Voltage:** 16V  
**Current Specs:** 3.75A  
**Watts:** 60W

**Host System # 1 :** FCC Granted HOST PC FCC ID: **EJE-WB0063**, IC ID: **337J-WB0063**  
**Radio Module # 1:** WLAN WiFi Link 5300(Shirley Peak 3x3) (802.11a/b/g/n)  
**WLAN Model Number::** WLAN Radio 533AN\_HMW  
**WLAN Manufacturer:** Intel Corp.  
**Interface Type:** Half Mini-Card Wireless LAN Module  
**Radio Module # 2:** Bluetooth module  
**Model Number:** EYTF3CSFS  
**Manufacturer:** TAIYO YUDEN  
**Interface Type:** USB

**Host System # 2 :** FCC Granted HOST PC FCC ID: **EJE-WB0065**, IC ID: **337J-WB0065**  
**Radio Module # 2:** WLAN HB92 (802.11a/b/g/n)  
**WLAN Model Number:** AR5BHB92  
**WLAN Manufacturer:** Atheros Corp.  
**Interface Type:** Half Mini-Card Wireless LAN Module  
**Radio Module # 2:** Bluetooth module  
**Model Number:** EYTF3CSFS  
**Manufacturer:** TAIYO YUDEN  
**Interface Type:** USB

#### 3.4.1 Test sample Accessories

#### 3.4.2 Battery Types

One type of Fujitsu Lithium Ion Battery is used to power the Portable TABLET Computer Wireless WAN Model: MC8781. SAR measurements were performed with the battery as shown below.

#### Standard Battery

<b>Battery #1</b>		<b>Battery #2</b>	
Model	FPCBP186	Model	NCR-B/608AE
V/mAh	10.8V/5800mAh	V/mAh	10.8V/5800mAh



#### 4.0 TEST SIGNAL, FREQUENCY AND OUTPUT POWER

The Portable Tablet Computer Wireless WAN had a total of 423 channels within the 824.2 to 848.8 MHz and 1850.2 to 1909.8 MHz GPRS frequency bands and 379 channels within the frequency ranges 826.4 to 846.6 MHz and 1852.4 to 1907.6 MHz. For the SAR measurements the device was operating at full transmit power.

The frequency span of the GSM and UMTS bands was more than 10MHz consequently; the SAR levels of the test sample were measured for lowest, centre and highest channels in the applicable modes. There were no wires or other connections to the Portable TABLET Computer during the SAR measurements.

At the beginning and at the completion of the SAR tests, the conducted power of the device was measured after temporary modification of antenna connector inside the device's TX RX compartment. Measurements were performed with a calibrated Power Meter. The results of this measurement are listed in table below.

**Table: Frequency and Conducted Power Results GSM**

Coding Scheme	GPRS Power Class	RF Channel	Measured Power (dBm)
CS1	10	128	30.39
CS1	10	190	30.42
CS1	10	251	30.67
CS1	11	128	27.38
CS1	11	190	27.38
CS1	11	251	27.58
CS1	12	128	24.47
CS1	12	190	24.40
CS1	12	251	24.62

Coding Scheme	EGPRS Power Class	RF Channel	Measured Power (dBm)
MCS5	10	128	25.32
MCS5	10	190	25.72
MCS5	10	251	25.93
MCS5	11	128	25.15
MCS5	11	190	25.62
MCS5	11	251	25.84
MCS5	12	128	25.12
MCS5	12	190	25.52
MCS5	12	251	25.78



Coding Scheme	GPRS Power Class	RF Channel	Measured Power (dBm)
CS1	10	512	28.55
CS1	10	661	28.42
CS1	10	810	28.44
CS1	11	512	28.40
CS1	11	661	28.30
CS1	11	810	28.36
CS1	12	512	28.32
CS1	12	661	28.23
CS1	12	810	28.16

Coding Scheme	EGPRS Power Class	RF Channel	Measured Power (dBm)
MCS5	10	512	25.61
MCS5	10	661	25.60
MCS5	10	810	25.59
MCS5	11	512	25.53
MCS5	11	661	25.46
MCS5	11	810	25.61
MCS5	12	512	25.54
MCS5	12	661	25.48
MCS5	12	810	25.50

**Conducted Power Measurement UMTS 850 MHz**

Configuration:  
 12.2 kbps RMC  
 Test Loop Mode 1  
 $\beta_c = 8, \beta_d = 15$  (3GPP default)  
 TPC (Transmit Power Control) = All 1s

Channel No.	$\beta_c$	$\beta_d$	Result (dBm)
4132	8	15	22.52
4183	8	15	22.39
4233	8	15	22.50

**Conducted Power Measurement UMTS + HSDPA 850 MHz**

Configuration:  
 Device HSDPA Category 6 (Downlink 3.6 Mbps and Uplink 384 kbps)  
 H-Set = 3  
 QPSK in H-Set (3)  
 CQI Feedback Cycle = 4ms; CQI Repetition Rate = 2ms  
 3GPP default HS-DPCCH power offset parameters  $\Delta_{AKN} = 5; \Delta_{NAKN} = 5; \Delta_{CQI} = 2$



Sub Test No.	$\beta_c$	$\beta_d$	$\Delta AK$ N	$\Delta NAK$ N	$\Delta C$ QI	Result (dBm)		
						4132	4183	4233
1	2	15	8	8	8	22.60	22.31	22.26
2	12	15	8	8	8	22.06	21.71	21.82
3	15	8	8	8	8	22.10	21.70	21.87
4	15	4	8	8	8	21.58	21.30	21.44
1	2	15	5	5	2	22.62	22.27	22.27
2	12	15	5	5	2	22.20	21.90	22.13
3	15	8	5	5	2	20.91	20.74	20.64
4	15	4	5	5	2	20.17	20.02	19.97

**Conducted Power Measurement UMTS 1900 MHz**

Configuration:  
 12.2 kbps RMC  
 Test Loop Mode 1  
 $\beta_c = 8, \beta_d = 15$  (3GPP default)  
 TPC (Transmit Power Control) = All 1s

Channel No.	$\beta_c$	$\beta_d$	Result (dBm)
9262	8	15	24.74
9400	8	15	24.67
9538	8	15	23.75

**Conducted Power Measurement UMTS + HSDPA 1900 MHz**

Configuration:  
 Device HSDPA Category 6 (Downlink 3.6 Mbps and Uplink 384 kbps)  
 H-Set = 3  
 QPSK in H-Set (3)  
 CQI Feedback Cycle = 4ms; CQI Repetition Rate = 2ms  
 3GPP default HS-DPCCH power offset parameters  $\Delta AKN = 5; \Delta NAKN = 5; \Delta CQI = 2$

Sub Test No.	$\beta_c$	$\beta_d$	$\Delta AK$ N	$\Delta NAK$ N	$\Delta C$ QI	Result (dBm)		
						9262	9400	9538
1	2	15	8	8	8	24.79	24.72	23.73
2	12	15	8	8	8	25.14	25.17	23.88
3	15	8	8	8	8	25.47	25.43	24.28
4	15	4	8	8	8	25.15	25.06	23.70
1	2	15	5	5	2	24.77	24.66	23.58
2	12	15	5	5	2	25.11	25.03	23.69
3	15	8	5	5	2	24.43	24.36	23.11
4	15	4	5	5	2	24.12	23.92	22.84





#### 4.1 Battery Status

The device battery was fully charged prior to commencement of measurement. Each SAR test was completed within 30 minutes. The battery condition was monitored by measuring the RF field at a defined position inside the phantom before the commencement of each test and again after the completion of the test. It was not possible to perform conducted power measurements at the output of the device, at the beginning and end of each scan due to lack of a suitable antenna port. The uncertainty associated with the power drift was less than 12% and was assessed in the uncertainty budget.

### DETAILS OF TEST LABORATORY

#### 5.1 Location

EMC Technologies Pty Ltd  
 176 Harrick Road  
 Keilor Park, (Melbourne) Victoria  
 Australia 3042

**Telephone:** +61 3 9365 1000  
**Facsimile:** +61 3 9331 7455  
**email:** [melb@emctech.com.au](mailto:melb@emctech.com.au)  
**website:** [www.emctech.com.au](http://www.emctech.com.au)

#### 5.2 Accreditations

EMC Technologies Pty. Ltd. is accredited by the National Association of Testing Authorities, Australia (NATA).  
**NATA Accredited Laboratory Number: 5292**

EMC Technologies Pty Ltd is NATA accredited for the following standards:

<b>AS/NZS 2772.1:</b>	RF and microwave radiation hazard measurement
<b>ACA:</b>	Radio communications (Electromagnetic Radiation - Human Exposure) Standard 2003
<b>FCC:</b>	Guidelines for Human Exposure to RF Electromagnetic Field OET65C 01/01
<b>EN 50360: 2001</b>	Product standard to demonstrate the compliance of mobile phones with the basic restrictions related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields (300 MHz – 3 GHz)
<b>EN 50361: 2001</b>	Basic standard for the measurement of Specific Absorption Rate related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phones (300MHz – 3GHz)
<b>IEEE 1528: 2003</b>	Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques.

Refer to NATA website [www.nata.asn.au](http://www.nata.asn.au) for the full scope of accreditation.

#### 5.3 Environmental Factors

The measurements were performed in a shielded room with no background RF signals. The temperature in the laboratory was controlled to within 21±1°C, the humidity was in the range 35% to 36%. The liquid parameters are measured daily prior to the commencement of each test. Tests were performed to check that reflections within the environment did not influence the SAR measurements. The noise floor of the DASY4 SAR measurement system using the SN1380 probe was less than 5µV in both air and liquid mediums.



## 6.0 DESCRIPTION OF SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM

Applicable Head Configurations	: None
Applicable Body Configurations	: Tablet Position

### 6.1 Probe Positioning System

The measurements were performed with the state-of-the-art automated near-field scanning system **DASY4 V4.7 Build 53** from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG). The system is based on a high precision 6-axis robot (working range greater than 1.1m), which positions the SAR measurement probes with a positional repeatability of better than  $\pm 0.02$  mm. The DASY4 fully complies with the OET65 C (01-01), IEEE 1528 and EN50361 SAR measurement requirements.

### 6.2 E-Field Probe Type and Performance

The SAR measurements were conducted with SPEAG dosimetric probe ET3DV6 Serial: 1380 designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimised for dosimetric evaluation. The probes have been calibrated and found to be accurate to better than  $\pm 0.25$  dB. The probe is suitable for measurements close to material discontinuity at the surface of the phantom. The sensors of the probe are directly loaded with Schottky diodes and connected via highly resistive lines (length = 300 mm) to the data acquisition unit.

### 6.3 Data Acquisition Electronics

The data acquisition electronics (DAE3) consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. The input impedance of the DAE3 box is 200 M $\Omega$ ; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80dB. Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock.

The mechanical probe-mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sideways probe contacts. They are used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection.

## 6.4 Validation

### 6.4.1 Validation Results (900 MHz and 1800 MHz)

The following tables lists the dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquid measured prior to SAR validation. The results of the validation are listed in columns 4 and 5. The forward power into the reference dipole for SAR validation was adjusted to 250 mW.

**Table: Validation Results**

1. Validation Date & Frequency	2. $\epsilon_r$ (measured)	3. $\sigma$ (mho/m) (measured)	4. Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	5. Measured SAR 10g (mW/g)
22 <sup>nd</sup> August 2008 900 MHz	40.3	1.00	2.65	1.71
21 <sup>st</sup> August 2008 1800 MHz	38.8	1.40	9.18	4.87

### 6.4.2 Deviation from reference validation values

The reference SAR values are derived using a reference dipole and flat section of the SAM phantom suitable for a centre frequency of 900 and 1800 MHz. These reference SAR values are obtained from the IEEE Std 1528-2003 and are normalized to 1W.



The SPEAG calibration reference SAR value is the SAR validation result obtained in a specific dielectric liquid using the validation dipole during calibration. The measured one-gram SAR should be within 10% of the expected target reference values shown in table below (2450MHz) below.

**Table: Deviation from reference validation values @ (900MHz and 1800 MHz)**

Frequency and Date	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Measured SAR 1g (Normalized to 1W)	SPEAG Calibration reference SAR Value 1g (mW/g)	Deviation From SPEAG Reference (1g)	IEEE Std 1528 reference SAR value 1g (mW/g)	Deviation From IEEE (1g)
900MHz	2.65	10.60	10.9	-2.75	10.8	-1.85
1800MHz	9.18	36.72	38.2	-3.87	38.1	-3.62

NOTE: All reference validation values are referenced to 1W input power.

### 6.4.3 Liquid Depth 15cm

During the SAR measurement process the liquid level was maintained to a level of 15cm with a tolerance of 0.5cm.




Photo of liquid Depth in Flat Phantom



### 6.6 Tissue Material Properties

The dielectric parameters of the brain simulating liquid were measured prior to SAR assessment using the HP85070A dielectric probe kit and HP8753ES Network Analyser. The actual dielectric parameters are shown in the following table.

**Table: Measured Brain Simulating Liquid Dielectric Values for Validations**

Frequency Band	$\epsilon_r$ (measured range)	$\epsilon_r$ (target)	$\sigma$ (mho/m) (measured range)	$\sigma$ (target)	$\rho$ kg/m <sup>3</sup>
900 MHz Brain	40.3	41.5 ±5% (39.4 to 43.6)	1.00	0.97 ±5% (0.92 to 1.02)	1000
1800 MHz Brain	38.8	40.0 ±5% (38.0 to 42.0)	1.40	1.40 ±5% (1.33 to 1.47)	1000

NOTE: The brain liquid parameters were within the required tolerances of ±5%.

**Table: Measured Body Simulating Liquid Dielectric Values at 850MHz**

Frequency Band	$\epsilon_r$ (measured range)	$\epsilon_r$ (target)	$\sigma$ (mho/m) (measured range)	$\sigma$ (target)	$\rho$ kg/m <sup>3</sup>
825 MHz Body	54.5	55.2 ±5% (52.4 to 58.0)	0.98	0.97 ±5% (0.92 to 1.02)	1000
835 MHz Body	54.4	55.2 ±5% (52.4 to 58.0)	0.99	0.97 ±5% (0.92 to 1.02)	1000
850 MHz Body	54.3	55.2 ±5% (52.4 to 58.0)	1.00	0.97 ±5% (0.92 to 1.02)	1000

Note: The body liquid parameters were within the required tolerances of ±5%.

**Table: Measured Body Simulating Liquid Dielectric Values at 1880MHz**

Frequency Band	$\epsilon_r$ (measured range)	$\epsilon_r$ (target)	$\sigma$ (mho/m) (measured range)	$\sigma$ (target)	$\rho$ kg/m <sup>3</sup>
1850 MHz Body	51.4	53.3 ±5% (50.6 to 56.0)	1.46	1.52 ±5% (1.44 to 1.60)	1000
1880.0 MHz Body	51.3	53.3 ±5% (50.6 to 56.0)	1.48	1.52 ±5% (1.44 to 1.60)	1000
1910 MHz Body	51.3	53.3 ±5% (50.6 to 56.0)	1.50	1.52 ±5% (1.44 to 1.60)	1000

Note: The body liquid parameters were within the required tolerances of ±5%.

#### 6.6.1 Liquid Temperature and Humidity

The humidity and dielectric/ambient temperatures were recorded during the assessment of the tissue material dielectric parameters. The difference between the ambient temperature of the liquid during the dielectric measurement and the temperature during tests was less than |2|°C.

**Table: Temperature and Humidity recorded for each day**

Date	Ambient Temperature (°C)	Liquid Temperature (°C)	Humidity (%)
21 <sup>st</sup> August 2008	20.0	19.8	36.0
22 <sup>nd</sup> August 2008	20.5	20.2	35.0



**6.7 Simulated Tissue Composition Used for SAR Test**

The tissue simulating liquids are created prior to the SAR evaluation and often require slight modification each day to obtain the correct dielectric parameters.

**Table: Tissue Type: Brain @ 850/900MHz**  
Volume of Liquid: 30 Litres

Approximate Composition	% By Weight
Distilled Water	41.05
Salt	1.35
Sugar	56.5
HEC	1.0
Bactericide	0.1

**Table: Tissue Type: Brain @ 1800/1950MHz MHz**  
Volume of Liquid: 30 Litres

Approximate Composition	% By Weight
Distilled Water	61.17
Salt	0.31
Bactericide	0.29
Triton X-100	38.23

**Table: Tissue Type: Body @ 850/900MHz**  
Volume of Liquid: 30 Litres

Approximate Composition	% By Weight
Distilled Water	56
Salt	0.76
Sugar	41.76
HEC	1.21
Bactericide	0.27

**Table: Tissue Type: Body @ 1800/1950MHz MHz**  
Volume of Liquid: 30 Litres

Approximate Composition	% By Weight
Distilled Water	40.4
Salt	0.5
Sugar	58
HEC	1
Bactericide	0.1

\*Refer "OET Bulletin 65 97/01 P38"

**6.8 Device Holder for Laptops and P 9.1 Phantom**

A low loss clamp was used to position the TABLET underneath the phantom surface. Small pieces of foam were then used to press the TABLET flush against the phantom surface.

*Refer to Appendix A for photographs of device positioning*



## 7.0 SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE USING DASY4

The SAR evaluation was performed with the SPEAG DASY4 system. A summary of the procedure follows:

- a) A measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location is used as a reference value for assessing the power drop of the EUT. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test, and then again at the end of the test.
- b) The SAR distribution at the exposed flat section of the flat phantom is measured at a distance of 3.9 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covers the entire dimension of the EUT and the horizontal grid spacing is 15 mm x 15 mm. The actual Area Scan has dimensions of 75 mm x 120 mm surrounding the test device. Based on this data, the area of the maximum absorption is determined by Spline interpolation.
- c) Around this point, a volume of 30 mm x 30 mm x 30 mm is assessed by measuring 7 x 7 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value is evaluated with the following procedure:
  - (i) The data at the surface are extrapolated, since the centre of the dipoles is 2.7 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.2 mm. The extrapolation is based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order is calculated through the points in z-axis. This polynomial is then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
  - (ii) The maximum interpolated value is searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g and 10 g) are computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"- condition (in x, y and z-direction). The volume is integrated with the trapezoidal – algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) are interpolated to calculate the averages.
  - (iii) All neighbouring volumes are evaluated until no neighbouring volume with a higher average value is found.
  - (iv) The SAR value at the same location as in Step (a) is again measured to evaluate the actual power drift.



## 8.0 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The uncertainty analysis is based on the template listed in the IEEE Std 1528-2003 for both Handset SAR tests and Validation uncertainty. The measurement uncertainty of a specific device is evaluated independently and the total uncertainty for both evaluations (95% confidence level) must be less than 30%.

**Table: Uncertainty Budget for DASY4 V4.7 Build 53 – EUT SAR**

a	b	c	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h=cxf/e	i=cxg/e	k
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol. (%)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	C <sub>i</sub> (1g)	C <sub>i</sub> (10g)	1g u <sub>i</sub> (%)	10g u <sub>i</sub> (%)	v <sub>i</sub>
<b>Measurement System</b>									
Probe Calibration (k=1) (numerical calibration)	7.2.1	4.8	N	1	1	1	4.8	4.8	∞
Axial Isotropy	7.2.1	4.7	R	1.73	0.707	0.707	1.9	1.9	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	7.2.1	9.6	R	1.73	0.707	0.707	3.9	3.9	∞
Boundary Effect	7.2.1	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Linearity	7.2.1	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	7.2.1	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	7.2.1	1	N	1	1	1	1.0	1.0	∞
Response Time	7.2.1	0.8	R	1.73	1	1	0.5	0.5	∞
Integration Time	7.2.1	2.6	R	1.73	1	1	1.5	1.5	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	7.2.3	0.05	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	7.2.2	0.4	R	1.73	1	1	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	7.2.2	2.9	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	7.2.4	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
<b>Test Sample Related</b>									
Test Sample Positioning	7.2.2	1.61	N	1	1	1	1.6	1.6	11
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1	3.34	N	1	1	1	3.3	3.3	7
Output Power Variation – SAR Drift Measurement	7.2.3	11.51	R	1.73	1	1	6.6	6.6	∞
<b>Phantom and Tissue Parameters</b>									
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	7.2.2	4	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity – Deviation from target values	7.2.3	5	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity – Measurement uncertainty	7.2.3	4.3	N	1	0.64	0.43	2.8	1.8	5
Liquid Permittivity – Deviation from target values	7.2.3	5	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity – Measurement uncertainty	7.2.3	4.3	N	1	0.6	0.49	2.6	2.1	5
Combined standard Uncertainty			RSS				<b>11.9</b>	<b>11.5</b>	154
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)			k=2				<b>23.7</b>	<b>22.92</b>	

Estimated total measurement uncertainty for the DASY4 measurement system was ±11.9%. The extended uncertainty (K = 2) was assessed to be ±23.7% based on 95% confidence level. The uncertainty is not added to the measurement result.





**Table: Uncertainty Budget for DASY4 V4.7 Build 53 – Validation**

a	b	c	D	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h=cxf/e	i=cxg/e	k
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol. (6%)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	C <sub>i</sub> (1g)	C <sub>i</sub> (10g)	1g u <sub>i</sub> (6%)	10g u <sub>i</sub> (6%)	v <sub>i</sub>
<b>Measurement System</b>									
Probe Calibration (k=1) (standard calibration)	E.2.1	4.8	N	1	1	1	4.8	4.8	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Boundary Effect	E.2.3	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	1	N	1	1	1	1.0	1.0	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	E.6.1	0.05	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	0.4	R	1.73	1	1	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	2.9	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
<b>Test Sample Related</b>									
Dipole Axis to Liquid Surface		2	R	1.73	1	1	1.2	1.2	∞
Power Drift		4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
				□					□
<b>Phantom and Tissue Parameters</b>									
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	4	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity – Deviation from target values	E.3.2	5	R	1.73	0.6	0.43	1.7	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity – Measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	2.5	N	1.73	0.6	0.43	0.9	0.6	5
Liquid Permittivity – Deviation from target values	E.3.2	5	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity – Measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	2.5	N	1.73	0.6	0.49	0.9	0.7	5
Combined standard Uncertainty			RSS				<b>8.0</b>	<b>7.8</b>	154
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)			k=2				16.0	15.63	

Estimated total measurement uncertainty for the DASY4 measurement system was ±8.0%. The extended uncertainty (K = 2) was assessed to be ±16.0% based on 95% confidence level. The uncertainty is not added to the Validation measurement result.



## 9.0 EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION DETAILS

**Table: SPEAG DASY4 Version V4.7 Build 53**

Equipment Type	Manufacturer	Model Number	Serial Number	Calibration Due	Used For this Test?
Robot - Six Axes	Staubli	RX90BL	N/A	Not applicable	✓
Robot Remote Control	SPEAG	CS7MB	RX90B	Not applicable	✓
SAM Phantom	SPEAG	N/A	1260	Not applicable	✓
SAM Phantom	SPEAG	N/A	1060	Not applicable	
Flat Phantom	AndreT	10.1	P 10.1	Not Applicable	✓
Flat Phantom	AndreT	9.1	P 9.1	Not Applicable	✓
Flat Phantom	SPEAG	PO1A 6mm	1003	Not Applicable	
Data Acquisition Electronics	SPEAG	DAE3 V1	359	11-July-2009	
Data Acquisition Electronics	SPEAG	DAE3 V1	442	24-July-2009	✓
Probe E-Field - Dummy	SPEAG	DP1	N/A	Not applicable	
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	ET3DV6	1380	18-Dec-2008	✓
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	ET3DV6	1377	14-July-2009	
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	ES3DV6	3029	Not Used	
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	EX3DV4	3563	14-July-2009	
Antenna Dipole 300 MHz	SPEAG	D300V2	1005	14-Dec-2009	
Antenna Dipole 450 MHz	SPEAG	D450V2	1009	14-Dec-2008	
Antenna Dipole 900 MHz	SPEAG	D900V2	047	7-July-2010	✓
Antenna Dipole 1640 MHz	SPEAG	D1640V2	314	16-July-2010	
Antenna Dipole 1800 MHz	SPEAG	D1800V2	242	8-July-2010	✓
Antenna Dipole 1950 MHz	SPEAG	D1950V3	1113	5-March-2009	
Antenna Dipole 3500 MHz	SPEAG	D3500V2	1002	06-July-2009	
Antenna Dipole 2450 MHz	SPEAG	D2450V2	724	13-Dec-2008	
Antenna Dipole 5600 MHz	SPEAG	D5GHzV2	1008	07-Dec-2009	
RF Amplifier	EIN	603L	N/A	*In test	
RF Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZHL-42	N/A	*In test	✓
RF Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZVE-8G	N/A	*In test	
Synthesized signal generator	Hewlett Packard	ESG-D3000A	GB37420238	*In test	✓
RF Power Meter Dual	Hewlett Packard	437B	3125012786	07-July-2009	✓
RF Power Sensor 0.01 - 18 GHz	Hewlett Packard	8481H	1545A01634	09-July-2009	✓
RF Power Meter Dual	Gigatronics	8542B	1830125	24-June-2009	
RF Power Sensor	Gigatronics	80301A	1828805	24-June-2009	
RF Power Meter Dual	Hewlett Packard	435A	1733A05847	*In test	✓
RF Power Sensor	Hewlett Packard	8482A	2349A10114	*In test	✓
Network Analyser	Hewlett Packard	8714B	GB3510035	06-Sept-2008	
Network Analyser	Hewlett Packard	8753ES	JP39240130	02 Oct-2008	✓
Dual Directional Coupler	Hewlett Packard	778D	1144 04700	*In test	
Dual Directional Coupler	NARDA	3022	75453	*In test	✓

\* Calibrated during the test for the relevant parameters.



## 10.0 OET BULLETIN 65 – SUPPLEMENT C TEST METHOD

Notebooks should be evaluated in normal use positions, typical for lap-held bottom-face only. However the number of positions will depend on the number of configurations the laptop can be operated in. The “LIFEBOOK T SERIES” can be used in either a conventional laptop position (see Appendix A1) or a Tablet configuration. The antenna location in the “LIFEBOOK T SERIES” is closest to the top of the screen when used in a conventional laptop configuration and due to the separation distances involved between the phantom and the laptop antenna, testing is not required in this position.

### 10.1.1 “Tablet” Position Definition (0mm spacing)

The device was tested in the 2.00 mm flat section of the AndreT Flat phantom P 9.1 for the “Tablet” position. The Transceiver was placed at the bottom of the phantom and suspended in such way that the back of the device was touching the phantom. This device orientation simulates the PC’s normal use – being held on the lap of the user. A spacing of 0mm ensures that the SAR results are conservative and represent a worst-case position.

### 10.1.2 “Edge On” Position

The device was tested in the (2.00 mm) flat section of the AndreT phantom for the “Edge On” position. The Antenna edge of the Transceiver was placed underneath the flat section of the phantom and suspended until the edge touched the phantom. *Refer to Appendix A for photos of measurement positions.*

## 10.2 List of All Test Cases (Antenna In/Out, Test Frequencies, User Modes)

The device has a fixed antenna. Depending on the measured SAR level up to three test channels with the test sample operating at maximum power were recorded. The following table represents the matrix used to determine what testing was required.

**Table: Testing configurations**

Phantom Configuration	*Device Mode WWAN Band Name	Test Configurations		
		Channel (Low)	Channel (Middle)	Channel (High)
Tablet	WCDMA 850 MHz		x	
	WCDMA 1900 MHz		x	
	GPRS 850 MHz		x	
	GPRS 1900 MHz		x	

### Legend

X Testing Required in this configuration

Testing required in this configuration only if SAR of middle channel is more than 3dB below the SAR limit or it is the worst case.

## 10.3 FCC RF Exposure Limits for Occupational/ Controlled Exposure

Spatial Peak SAR Limits For:	
Partial-Body:	8.0 mW/g (averaged over any 1g cube of tissue)
Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles:	20.0 mW/g (averaged over 10g cube of tissue)

## 10.4 FCC RF Exposure Limits for Un-controlled/Non-occupational

Spatial Peak SAR Limits For:	
Partial-Body:	1.6 mW/g (averaged over any 1g cube of tissue)
Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles:	4.0 mW/g (averaged over 10g cube of tissue)



## 11.0 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

The SAR values averaged over 1g tissue masses were determined for the sample device for all test configurations listed in section 10.2.

### 11.1.1 SAR Results

There are two modes of operation which include UMTS and GPRS transmission. Refer to section 7.2 for selection of all device test configurations. Table below displays the SAR results.

**Table: SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS – 850 MHz GPRS**

Test Position	Plot No.	Test Channel	Test Freq (MHz)	Measured 1g SAR Results (mW/g)	Measured Drift (dB)
Tablet Ant. MID GPRS Class 10	1	190	836.6	0.41	-0.011
Tablet Ant. MID GPRS Class 11	2	190	836.6	0.32	-0.076
Tablet Ant. MID GPRS Class 12	3	190	836.6	0.21	-0.022
Tablet Ant. IN GPRS Class 10	-	190	836.6	Noise Floor	0.026
Tablet Ant. OUT GPRS Class 10	4	128	824.2	0.53	-0.073
	5	190	836.6	0.49	0.003
	6	251	848.8	0.48	-0.473

NOTE: The measurement uncertainty of 23.7% was not added to the result.

**Table: SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS – 850 MHz UMTS**

Test Position	Plot No.	Test Channel	Test Freq (MHz)	Measured 1g SAR Results (mW/g)	Measured Drift (dB)
Tablet Ant. IN	-	4183	836.6	Noise Floor	0.112
Tablet Ant. MID	7	4183	836.6	0.23	-0.003
	8	4132	826.4	0.26	0.026
Tablet Ant. OUT	9	4183	836.6	0.27	-0.008
	10	4233	846.6	0.31	-0.117

NOTE: The measurement uncertainty of 23.7% was not added to the result.



**Table: SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS – 1900 MHz GPRS**

Test Position	Plot No.	Test Channel	Test Freq (MHz)	Measured 1g SAR Results (mW/g)	Measured Drift (dB)
Tablet Ant. MID GPRS Class 10	11	661	1880.0	0.31	-0.050
Tablet Ant. MID GPRS Class 11	12	661	1880.0	0.47	-0.032
Tablet Ant. MID GPRS Class 12	13	661	1880.0	0.62	-0.010
Tablet Ant. IN GPRS Class 12	14	661	1880.0	0.10	-0.080
Tablet Ant. OUT GPRS Class 12	15	512	1850.2	0.79	-0.059
	16	661	1880.0	0.74	-0.016
	17	810	1909.8	0.68	-0.030

NOTE: The measurement uncertainty of 23.7% was not added to the result.

**Table: SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS – 1900 MHz UMTS**

Test Position	Plot No.	Test Channel	Test Freq (MHz)	Measured 1g SAR Results (mW/g)	Measured Drift (dB)
Tablet Ant. IN	18	9400	1880.0	0.08	-0.148
Tablet Ant. MID	19	9400	1880.0	0.50	-0.027
Tablet Ant. OUT	20	9262	1852.4	0.67	0.049
	21	9400	1880.0	0.59	-0.007
	22	9538	1907.6	0.45	-0.020

NOTE: The measurement uncertainty of 23.7% was not added to the result.

The highest SAR level recorded was 0.79 mW/g as evaluated in a 1g cube of averaging mass. This value was obtained in Tablet Ant. OUT position in GPRS Class 10 mode, utilizing channel 512 (1850.2 MHz).

## 12.0 COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

The Fujitsu TABLET PC, Model: T2020 with SIERRA WIRELESS Mini-PCI Wireless WAN Module, Model: MC8781 was found to comply with the FCC and RSS-102 SAR requirements.

The highest SAR level recorded was 0.79 mW/g for a 1g cube. This value was measured at 512 MHz (channel 1850.2) in the “Tablet Ant. OUT” position in GPRS Class 10 transmission mode. This was below the limit of 1.6 mW/g for uncontrolled exposure, even taking into account the measurement uncertainty of 23.7 %.



## APPENDIX A MULTIBAND EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS

According to the SAR standards, when the sum of SAR (simultaneously transmitting antennas WLAN and WWAN) values is  $< 1.6\text{mW/g}$ , no simultaneous transmission SAR evaluation is required.

Multiband evaluation was not required for UMTS/GSM WWAN (MC8781), WIFI (533AN\_HMW or AR5BHB92) and Bluetooth (EYTF3CS FS) because:

- 1) Both the UMTS/GSM transmitting antenna EDGES were not required to be tested,
- 2) The SAR results from Bluetooth tests are at DASY4 measurement system Noise Floor, and
- 3) Based on the SAR results shown below, the sum of highest SAR results for the WiFi and WWAN is less than the SAR FCC limit:  $0.03+0.79\text{mw/g} < 1.6\text{mW/g}$ .

### Summary of highest SAR Results considered for Multiband Evaluation:

1) Report No. M080644 for WLAN Intel 533AN\_HMW

- 1) Worst case SAR 2.45GHz Tablet Ant. A (near the UMTS transmitting antenna – WLAN 1) Channel 6 (2437MHz), DSSS mode: 0.03mW/g
- 2) Worst case SAR 5.6GHz Tablet Ant. A Channel 120 (5600MHz), OFDM mode: Noise Floor

2) Report No. M080648 for WLAN Atheros AR5BHB92


- 1) Worst case SAR 2.45GHz Tablet Ant. A Channel 6 (2437MHz), DSSS mode: 0.013mW/g
- 2) Worst case SAR 5.6GHz Tablet Ant. A Channel 118 (5590MHz), OFDM mode: Noise Floor

3) Report No. M080762 Tablet PC T2020 with WWAN module MC8781

Worst case SAR Tablet Ant. (Main) OUT Channel 512 (1850.2MHz), GPRS Class 10: 0.79mw/g




### Diagram Showing Antenna Positions




## APPENDIX B TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS

Tablet Position Antenna In





### Tablet Position Antenna Out



This document must not be copied or reproduced, except in full without the written permission of the Manager, EMC Technologies Pty Ltd. The certificate on page 3 may be reproduced in full. [www.emctech.com.au](http://www.emctech.com.au)

Tablet Position Antenna Mid

