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## CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE (SAR EVALUATION)

DATES OF TEST: March 21, 2006

| APPLICANT: | SIERRA WIRELESS |
| :--- | :--- |
| ADDRESS: | 2290 COSMOS CT |
|  | CARLSBAD CA 92009 |
|  | UNITED STATES |


| Express MINI-PCI USB Wireless CDMA Modem Module is installed in R1 Note 14" host device. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test Sample is a: | Production unit |  |  |
| Host device(s): | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Host Devices } \\ & \text { R1 Note 14" } \end{aligned}$ | WLAN Module / FCC ID Intel Golan / PD9LEN3945ABG |  |
| FCC Rule Parts | Frequency Range [MHz] | The Highest SAR Values [1g] | The Highest Collocation SAR Values [1g] |
| 22H | 824.7-848.31 | 0.071 | 0.067 |
| 24E | 1851.25-1908.75 | 0.182 | 0.187 |

This wireless portable device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992 and had been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in FCC OET 65 Supplement C (Edition 01-01). And RSS-102 Issue 1 (Provisional) September 25, 1999.
The maximum 1 g SAR level measured for all the tests performed did not exceed the limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure (W/kg) Partial Body of 1.6 W/kg. Level defined in Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to OET Bulletin 65 (97-01).

Note: The results documented in this report apply only to the tested sample, under the conditions and modes of operation as described herein. This document may not be altered or revised in any way unless done so by Compliance Certification Services and all revisions are duly noted in the revisions section. Any alteration of this document not carried out by Compliance Certification Services will constitute fraud and shall nullify the document. No part of this report may be used to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, or any government agency.

Approved \& Released For CCS By:


Hsin Fu Shih
Senior Engineer
Compliance Certification Services

Tested By:


Ninous Davoudi
EMC Engineer
Compliance Certification Services
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## 1 EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST (EUT) DESCRIPTION

Express MINI-PCI USB Wireless CDMA Modem Module is installed in R1 Note 14" host device.

| Host device(s): | $\frac{\text { Host Devices }}{\text { R1 Note 14" }}$ | $\frac{\text { WLAN Module / FCC ID }}{\text { Intel Golan / PD9LEN3945ABG }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## 2 FACILITIES AND ACCREDITATION

The test sites and measurement facilities used to collect data are located at 561F Monterey Road, Morgan Hill, California, USA. The sites are constructed in conformance with the requirements of ANSI C63.4, ANSI C63.7 and CISPR Publication 22. All receiving equipment conforms to CISPR Publication 16-1, "Radio Interference Measuring Apparatus and Measurement Methods."


CCS is accredited by NVLAP, Laboratory Code 200065-0. The full scope of accreditation can be viewed at http://www.ccsemc.com.

No part of this report may be used to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, or any government agency.

## 3 SYSTEM DESCRIPTION



The DASY4 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:

- A standard high precision 6 -axis robot (Stäubli RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, ADconversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- A probe alignment unit which improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer operating Windows 2000 or Windows XP.
- DASY4 software.
- Remote controls with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The SAM twin phantom enabling testing left-hand and right-hand usage.
- The device holder for handheld mobile phones.
- Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.
- Validation dipole kits allowing to validate the proper functioning of the system.


### 3.1 COMPOSITION OF INGREDIENTS FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUID

The following tissue formulations are provided for reference only as some of the parameters have not been thoroughly verified. The composition of ingredients may be modified accordingly to achieve the desired target tissue parameters required for routine SAR evaluation.

| Ingredients (\% by weight) | 450 |  | $835 \quad$ Frequency (MHz) |  |  |  | 1900 |  | 2450 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tissue Type | Head | Body | Head | Body | Head | Body | Head | Body | Head | Body |
| Water | 38.56 | 51.16 | 41.45 | 52.4 | 41.05 | 56.0 | 54.9 | 40.4 | 62.7 | 73.2 |
| Salt ( NaCl ) | 3.95 | 1.49 | 1.45 | 1.4 | 1.35 | 0.76 | 0.18 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.04 |
| Sugar | 56.32 | 46.78 | 56.0 | 45.0 | 56.5 | 41.76 | 0.0 | 58.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| HEC | 0.98 | 0.52 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.21 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Bactericide | 0.19 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.27 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Triton X-100 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 0.0 |
| DGBE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.92 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.7 |
| Dielectric Constant | 43.42 | 58.0 | 42.54 | 56.1 | 42.0 | 56.8 | 39.9 | 54.0 | 39.8 | 52.5 |
| Conductivity (S/m) | 0.85 | 0.83 | 0.91 | 0.95 | 1.0 | 1.07 | 1.42 | 1.45 | 1.88 | 1.78 |

Salt: 99+\% Pure Sodium Chloride
Water: De-ionized, $16 \mathrm{M} \Omega+$ resistivity

Sugar: 98+\% Pure Sucrose
HEC: Hydroxyethyl Cellulose
DGBE: 99+\% Di(ethylene glycol) butyl ether, [2-(2-butoxyethoxy)ethanol]
Triton X-100 (ultra pure): Polyethylene glycol mono [4-(1,1, 3, 3-tetramethylbutyl)phenyl]ether

## 4 SIMULATING LIQUID PARAMETERS CHECK

The simulating liquids should be checked at the beginning of a series of SAR measurements to determine of the dielectric parameters are within the tolerances of the specified target values. The relative permittivity and conductivity of the tissue material should be within $\pm 5 \%$ of the values given in the table below.


Set-up for liquid parameters check

## Reference Values of Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantom

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in IEEE Standard 1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in IEEE Standard 1528.

| Target Frequency $(\mathrm{MHz})$ | Head |  | Body |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ | $\sigma(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{m})$ | $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ | $\sigma(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{m})$ |
| 150 | 52.3 | 0.76 | 61.9 | 0.80 |
| 300 | 45.3 | 0.87 | 58.2 | 0.92 |
| 450 | 43.5 | 0.87 | 56.7 | 0.94 |
| 835 | 41.5 | 0.90 | 55.2 | 0.97 |
| 900 | 41.5 | 0.97 | 55.0 | 1.05 |
| 915 | 41.5 | 0.98 | 55.0 | 1.06 |
| 1450 | 40.5 | 1.20 | 54.0 | 1.30 |
| 1610 | 40.3 | 1.29 | 53.8 | 1.40 |
| $1800-2000$ | 40.0 | 1.40 | 53.3 | 1.52 |
| 2450 | 39.2 | 1.80 | 52.7 | 1.95 |
| 3000 | 38.5 | 2.40 | 52.0 | 2.73 |
| 5800 | 35.3 | 5.27 | 48.2 | 6.00 |

( $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}=$ relative permittivity, $\sigma=$ conductivity and $\rho=1000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ )

### 4.1 SIMULATING LIQUID PARAMETER CHECK RESULT

Simulating Liquid Dielectric Parameters Check Result @ Muscle 835 MHz
Room Ambient Temperature $=23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Relative humidity $=30 \%$
Measured by: Ninous Davoudi

| Simulating Liquid |  |  | Parameters |  | Target | Measured | Deviation (\%) | Limit (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{MHz})$ | Temp. ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Depth (cm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 835 | 21 | 15 | €" | Relative Permittivity ( $\epsilon_{r}$ ): | 55.2 | 54.0665 | -2.05 | $\pm 5$ |
|  |  |  | 20.9025 | Conductivity ( $\sigma$ ): | 0.97 | 0.97097 | 0.10 | $\pm 5$ |

Liquid Check
Ambient temperature: 23.0 deg. C; Liquid temperature: 21 deg C March 21, 2006 09:02 AM

Frequency
750000000.
755000000.
760000000.
765000000.
770000000.
775000000.
780000000.
785000000.
790000000.
795000000.
800000000.
805000000.
810000000.
815000000.
820000000.
825000000.
830000000.
835000000.
840000000.
845000000.
850000000.
855000000.
860000000.
865000000.
870000000.
875000000.
880000000.
885000000.
890000000.
895000000.
900000000.
905000000.
910000000.

## $e^{\prime}$

54.9969
54.9492
54.8676
54.8189
54.7643
54.6902
54.6518
54.6009
54.5476
54.4715
54.4074
54.3807
54.3061
54.2819
54.2319
54.2035
54.1226
54.0665
54.0431
53.9809
53.9376
53.8846
53.8208
53.7792
53.7491
53.6778
53.6346
53.5796
53.5222
53.4916
53.4361
53.4104
53.3579
e"
21.2389
21.1930
21.2182
21.1968
21.1542
21.1538
21.1181
21.1100
21.0915
21.0697
21.0783
21.0283
20.9846
20.9682
20.9556
20.9076
20.8956
20.9025
20.8754
20.8300
20.8326
20.8063
20.8059
20.7759
20.7738
20.7449
20.7586
20.7663
20.7645
20.7210
20.7188
20.7103
20.6709

The conductivity ( $\sigma$ ) can be given as:

```
\sigma = \omega}\boldsymbol{\omega
where f}=\mathrm{ target f* 10 }\mp@subsup{0}{}{6
    \varepsilon
```

Simulating Liquid Dielectric Parameters Check Result @ Muscle 1900 MHz
Room Ambient Temperature $=23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Relative humidity $=30 \% \quad$ Measured by: Ninous Davoudi

| Simulating Liquid |  |  | Parameters |  | Target | Measured | Deviation (\%) | Limit (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{MHz})$ | Temp. ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Depth (cm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1900 | 21 | 15 | €" | Relative Permittivity ( $\epsilon_{r}$ ): | 53.3 | 51.4474 | -3.48 | $\pm 5$ |
|  |  |  | 14.1179 | Conductivity ( $\sigma$ ): | 1.52 | 1.49225 | -1.83 | $\pm 5$ |

Liquid Check
Ambient temperature: 23.0 deg. C; Liquid temperature: 21 deg C March 21, 2006 01:34 PM

| Frequency | $\mathrm{e}^{\prime}$ | e |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1710000000. | 52.1739 | 13.4588 |
| 1720000000. | 52.1375 | 13.4959 |
| 1730000000. | 52.0977 | 13.5394 |
| 1740000000. | 52.0556 | 13.5598 |
| 1750000000. | 51.9943 | 13.6068 |
| 1760000000. | 51.9707 | 13.6448 |
| 1770000000. | 51.9240 | 13.6912 |
| 1780000000. | 51.8808 | 13.7349 |
| 1790000000. | 51.8306 | 13.7633 |
| 1800000000. | 51.8087 | 13.7933 |
| 1810000000. | 51.7705 | 13.8228 |
| 1820000000. | 51.7351 | 13.8574 |
| 1830000000. | 51.6747 | 13.8814 |
| 1840000000. | 51.6459 | 13.9109 |
| 1850000000. | 51.6166 | 13.9623 |
| 1860000000. | 51.5725 | 13.9983 |
| 1870000000. | 51.5325 | 14.0204 |
| 1880000000. | 51.4847 | 14.0638 |
| 1890000000. | 51.4623 | 14.0817 |
| 1900000000. | 51.4474 | 14.1179 |
| 1910000000. | 51.3865 | 14.1599 |

The conductivity ( $\sigma$ ) can be given as:

```
\sigma = \omega\mp@subsup{\varepsilon}{0}{}\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime\prime=}=2\boldsymbol{\pi}\boldsymbol{f}\mp@subsup{\varepsilon}{0}{}\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime\prime}
where f=target f* 10 
    \varepsilon}=8.854*1\mp@subsup{0}{}{-12
```


## 5 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

The system performance check is performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications of $\pm 10 \%$.

## System Performance Check Measurement Conditions

- The measurements were performed in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom filled with Body simulating liquid of the following parameters.
- The DASY4 system with an Isotropic E Field Probe EX3DV3 SN:3531 was used for the measurements.
- The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feed point was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 10 mm (above 1 GHz ) and f 15 mm (below 1 GHz ) from dipole center to the simulating liquid surface.
- The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 15 mm was aligned with the dipole.
- Special $5 \times 5 \times 7$ fine cube was chosen for cube integration( $d x=d y=7.5 \mathrm{~mm} ; d z=5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ).
- Distance between probe sensors and phantom surface was set to 2.5 (below 3 G ) mm .
- The dipole input power (forward power) was $250 \mathrm{~mW} \pm 3 \%$.
- The results are normalized to 1 W input power.


## Reference SAR Values for body-tissue

In the table below, the numerical reference SAR values of a SPEAG validation dipoles placed below the flat phantom filled with body-tissue simulating liquid are given. The reference SAR values were calculated using the finite-difference time-domain method and the geometry parameters.

| Dipole Type | Distance <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{MHz})$ | SAR (1g) <br> $[\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{kg}]$ | SAR (10g) <br> $[\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{kg}]$ | SAR (peak) <br> $[\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{kg}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D450V2 | 15 | 450 | 5.01 | 3.36 | 7.22 |
| D835V2 | 15 | 850 | 9.71 | 6.38 | 14.1 |
| D900V2 | 15 | 900 | 11.1 | 7.17 | 16.3 |
| D1450V2 | 10 | 1450 | 29.6 | 16.6 | 49.8 |
| D1800V2 | 10 | 1800 | 38.5 | 20.3 | 67.5 |
| D1900V2 | 10 | 1900 | 39.8 | 20.8 | 69.6 |
| D2000V2 | 10 | 2000 | 40.9 | 21.2 | 71.5 |
| D2450V2 | 10 | 2450 | 51.2 | 23.7 | 97.6 |

Note: All SAR values normalized to 1 W forward power.

### 5.1 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK RESULTS

## System Validation Dipole: D835V2 SN:4d002

Date: March 21, 2006
Ambient Temperature $=23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Relative humidity $=30 \%$
Measured by: Ninous Davoudi

| Body Simulating Liquid |  |  |  | Mrasured | Target_1g | Deviation[\%] | Lim it [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| f (MHz) | Temp. [ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Depth [cm] | 1 g | Normalized to 1 W |  |  |  |
| 835 | 21 | 15 | 2.60 | 10.4 | 9.71 | 7.11 | $\pm 10$ |
|  |  |  | 10 g | Normalized to 1 W | Target_10g | Deviation[\%] | Lim it [\%] |
|  |  |  | 1.71 | 6.84 | 6.38 | 7.21 | $\pm 10$ |

## System Validation Dipole: D1900V2 SN:5d043

Date: March 21, 2006
Ambient Temperature $=23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Relative humidity $=30 \%$
Measured by: Ninous Davoudi

| Body Simulating Liquid |  |  | Mrasured |  | Target_19 | Deviation[\%] | Lim it [\% ] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{MHz})$ | Temp. $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Depth [cm] | 1 g | Normalized to 1 W |  |  |  |
| 1900 | 21 | 15 | 10.20 | 40.8 | 39.8 | 2.51 | $\pm 10$ |
|  |  |  | 10 g | Normalized to 1 W | Target_10g | Deviation[\%] | Lim it [\%] |
|  |  |  | 5.35 | 21.4 | 20.8 | 2.88 | $\pm 10$ |

## 6 SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE

A summary of the procedure follows:
a) A measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location is used as a reference value for assessing the power drop of the EUT. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test, and then again at the end of the test.
b) The SAR distribution at the exposed flat section of the flat phantom is measured at a distance of 2.5 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covers the entire dimension of the EUT and the horizontal grid spacing is $15 \mathrm{~mm} \times 15 \mathrm{~mm}$. Based on this data, the area of the maximum absorption is determined by Spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.
c) Around this point, a volume of $X=Y=Z=30 \mathrm{~mm}$ is assessed by measuring $5 \times 5 \times 7 \mathrm{~mm}$ points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value is evaluated with the following procedure:
(i) The data at the surface are extrapolated, since the centre of the dipoles is 1.2 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.3 mm . The extrapolation is based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order is calculated through the points in $z$-axes. This polynomial is then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
(ii) The maximum interpolated value is searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes ( 1 g and 10 g ) are computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three onedimensional splines with the "Not a knot"- condition (in $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ and z -direction). The volume is integrated with the trapezoidal - algorithm. One thousand points ( $10 \times 10 \times 10$ ) are interpolated to calculate the averages.
(iii) All neighbouring volumes are evaluated until no neighbouring volume with a higher average value is found.
(iv) The SAR value at the same location as in Step (a) is again measured to evaluate the actual power drift.

## DASY4 SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE

## Step 1: Power Reference Measurement

The Power Reference Measurement and Power Drift Measurements are for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. The Minimum distance of probe sensors to surface determines the closest measurement point to phantom surface. The minimum distance of probe sensors to surface is 2.1 mm . This distance cannot be smaller than the Distance of sensor calibration points to probe tip as defined in the probe properties (for example, 1.2 mm for an EX3DV3 probe type).

## Step 2: Area Scan

The Area Scan is used as a fast scan in two dimensions to find the area of high field values, before doing a fine measurement around the hot spot. The sophisticated interpolation routines implemented in DASY4 software can find the maximum locations even in relatively coarse grids. When an Area Scan has measured all reachable points, it computes the field maximal found in the scanned area, within a range of the global maximum. The range (in dB ) is specified in the standards for compliance testing. For example, a 2 dB range is required in IEEE Standard 1528, EN 50361 and IEC 62209 standards, whereby 3 dB is a requirement when compliance is assessed in accordance with the ARIB standard (Japan). If only one Zoom Scan follows the Area Scan, then only the absolute maximum will be taken as reference. For cases where multiple maximums are detected, the number of Zoom Scans has to be increased accordingly.

## Step 3: Zoom Scan

Zoom Scans are used to assess the peak spatial SAR values within a cubic averaging volume containing 1 g and 10 g of simulated tissue. The Zoom Scan measures $5 \times 5 \times 7 \mathrm{~mm}$ points within a cube whose base faces are centered on the maxima found in a preceding area scan job within the same procedure. When the measurement is done, the Zoom Scan evaluates the averaged SAR for 1 g and 10 g and displays these values next to the job's label.

## Step 4: Power drift measurement

The Power Drift Measurement measures the field at the same location as the most recent power reference measurement within the same procedure, and with the same settings. The Power Drift Measurement gives the field difference in dB from the reading conducted within the last Power Reference Measurement. This allows a user to monitor the power drift of the device under test within a batch process. The measurement procedure is the same as Step 1.

## Step 5: Z-Scan

The Z Scan measures points along a vertical straight line. The line runs along the Z-axis of a onedimensional grid. In order to get a reasonable extrapolation, the extrapolated distance should not be larger than the step size in Z-direction.

## $7 \quad$ PROCEDURES USED TO ESTABLISH TEST SIGNAL

The manufacturer supplied a special driving program (Hyper Terminal) by using the following commands to turn the transmitter on and change the channels and bands:
atloem=176
OK
at!diag
OK
at! $!x=1$
OK
at!chan=XXXX, 1 or 0
OK
at!allup=1
OK
"at!chan=" changes both the band and the channels. Channels the first \# then the comma followed by the band $0=$ cellular and $1=$ PCS.

Conducted powers were measured prior to SAR measurement.
CDMA Cell Band:

| Ch | f(MHz) | Conducted Power <br> Avg Power |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1013 | 824.70 | 24.71 |
| 384 | 836.52 | 24.51 |
| 777 | 848.31 | 24.49 |
| CDIMA PCS Bnad: |  |  |
|  |  | Conducted Power |
| Ch | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{MHz})$ | Avg Power |
| 25 | 1851.25 | 24.55 |
| 600 | 1880.00 | 24.53 |
| 1175 | 1908.75 | 24.28 |

8 SAR TEST SUMMARY

### 8.1 LAP HELD POSITION



Notes:

1) The exact method of extrapolation is Measured SAR $\times 10^{\wedge}(-d r i f t / 10)$. The SAR reported at the end of the measurement process by the DASY4 system can be scaled up by the Power drift to determine the SAR at the beginning of the measurement process.
2) Please see attachments for the detailed measurement data and plots showing the maximum SAR location of the EUT.
3) Collocation with Intel Golan WLAN module FCC ID: PD9LEN3945ABG

9 PHOTOS
Express MINI-PCI USB Wireless CDMA Modem Module


R1 Note 14"


Antenna Location


## EUT Location



## 10 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

### 10.1 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY FOR 300 MHZ - 3GHZ

| Uncertainty component | Tol. ( $\pm \%$ ) | Probe Dist. | Div. | Ci (1g) | Ci (10g) | Std. Unc.( $\pm \%)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Ui (1g) | $\mathrm{Ui}(10 \mathrm{~g})$ |
| Measurement System |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Probe Calibration | 4.80 | N | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4.80 | 4.80 |
| Axial Isotropy | 4.70 | R | 1.732 | 0.707 | 0.707 | 1.92 | 1.92 |
| Hemispherical Isotropy | 9.60 | R | 1.732 | 0.707 | 0.707 | 3.92 | 3.92 |
| Boundary Effects | 1.00 | R | 1.732 | 1 | 1 | 0.58 | 0.58 |
| Linearity | 4.70 | R | 1.732 | 1 | 1 | 2.71 | 2.71 |
| System Detection Limits | 1.00 | R | 1.732 | 1 | 1 | 0.58 | 0.58 |
| Readout Electronics | 1.00 | N | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Response Time | 0.80 | R | 1.732 | 1 | 1 | 0.46 | 0.46 |
| Integration Time | 2.60 | R | 1.732 | 1 | 1 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
| RF Ambient Conditions - Noise | 1.59 | R | 1.732 | 1 | 1 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections | 0.00 | R | 1.732 | 1 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Probe Positioner Mechnical Tolerance | 0.40 | R | 1.732 | 1 | 1 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| Probe Positioning With Respect to Phantom Shell | 2.90 | R | 1.732 | 1 | 1 | 1.67 | 1.67 |
| Extrapolation, interpolation, and integration algorithms for max. SAR evaluation | 3.90 | R | 1.732 | 1 | 1 | 2.25 | 2.25 |
| Test sample Related |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test Sample Positioning | 1.10 | N | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.10 | 1.10 |
| Device Holder Uncertainty | 3.60 | N | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3.60 | 3.60 |
| Power and SAR Drift Measurement | 5.00 | R | 1.732 | 1 | 1 | 2.89 | 2.89 |
| Phantom and Tissue Parameters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phantom Uncertainty | 4.00 | R | 1.732 | 1 | 1 | 2.31 | 2.31 |
| Liquid Conductivity - Target | 5.00 | R | 1.732 | 0.64 | 0.43 | 1.85 | 1.24 |
| Liquid Conductivity - Meas. | 8.60 | N | 1 | 0.64 | 0.43 | 5.50 | 3.70 |
| Liquid Permittivity - Target | 5.00 | R | 1.732 | 0.6 | 0.49 | 1.73 | 1.41 |
| Liquid Permittivity - Meas. | 3.30 | N | 1 | 0.6 | 0.49 | 1.98 | 1.62 |
| Combined Standard Uncertainty |  |  | RSS |  |  | 11.44 | 10.49 |
| Expanded Uncertainty (95\% Confidence Interval) |  |  | $\mathrm{K}=2$ |  |  | 22.87 | 20.98 |
| Notesfor table <br> 1. Tol. - tolerance in influence quaitity <br> 2. N - Nomal <br> 3. R-Rectangular <br> 4. Div. - Divisor used to obtain standard uncertainty <br> 5. Ci - is te sensitivity coefficient |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 11 TEST EQUIPMENT LIST

| Name of Equipment | Manufacturer | Type/Model | Serial Number | Cal. Due date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Robot - Six Axes | Stäubli | RX90BL | N/A | N/A |
| Robot Remote Control | Stäubli | CS7MB | 3403-91535 | N/A |
| DASY4 Measurement Server | SPEAG | SEUMS001BA | 1041 | N/A |
| Probe Alignment Unit | SPEAG | LB (V2) | 261 | N/A |
| S-Parameter Network Analyzer | Agilent | 8753ES-6 | US39173569 | 2/9/07 |
| Electronic Probe kit | Hewlett Packard | 85070C | N/A | N/A |
| E-Field Probe | SPEAG | EX3DV3 | 3531 | 7/21/06 |
| Thermometer | ERTCO | 639-1 | 8636 | 10/20/06 |
| SAM Phantom (SAM1) | SPEAG | TP-1185 | QD000P40CA | N/A |
| SAM Phantom (SAM2) | SPEAG | TP-1015 | N/A | N/A |
| Data Acquisition Electronics | SPEAG | DAE4 | 558 | 1/20/07 |
| System Validation Dipole | SPEAG | D835V2 | 4d002 | 1/23/08 |
| System Validation Dipole | SPEAG | D1900V2 | 5d043 | 1/29/08 |
| Power Meter | Giga-tronics | 8651A | 8651404 | 12/27/06 |
| Power Sensor | Giga-tronics | 80701A | 1834588 | 12/27/07 |
| Amplifier | Mini-Circuits | ZHL-42W | D072701-5 | N/A |
| Radio Communication Tester | Rohde \& Schwarz | CMU 200 | 838114/032 | 12/17/06 |
| Simulating Liquid | CCS | M835 | N/A | Within 24 hrs of first test |
| Simulating Liquid | CCS | M1900 | N/A | Within 24 hrs of first test |

12 ATTACHMENTS

| No. | Contents | No. Of Pages |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | System Performance Check Plots | 4 |
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| 3 | Certificate of E-Field Probe - EX3DV3SN3531 | 10 |
| 4 | Certificate of System Validation Dipole - D835V2 SN:4d002 | 9 |
| 5 | Certificate of System Validation Dipole - D1900V2 SN:5d043 | 9 |

