FCC ID: MXF-WL211P

Dear mr. Jon Curtis,
Please find our response in CAPS below on your comments.
Thanks and looking forward to your reply.

Best regards,
Derick Sariredjo
NMi Niekerk NL

1. The label must have the term "FCC ID" in front of the ID number. See 47 CFR Part 2.925(a) (1).
PLEASE FIND REVISED LABEL JPG ATTACHED.

2. It appears that you are choosing to put the warning statement in the manual, rather than on the label. This is allowed due to the small size of the device, but I do not find it in the manual. Please put the statement from 15.19 (a) (3) in the manual and include the caution against user modifications from 15.21. Please put the 15.105 (b) information to the user in the manual. Attached is a Gemtek manual which I downloaded from the FCC web site which shows these statements on pages 2 and 3.
PLEASE FIND ATTACHED REVISED MANUAL IN MSWORD FORMAT.

3. Do you want a "-" in the FCC ID as shown on the 731 form (MXF-WL211P) and label or do you want no dash as shown in the test report and other documents (MXFWL211P).
PLEASE USE FCC ID: MXF-WL211P

4. I am accepting that computer power sources are more stable than fresh batteries and that the voltage variations of 15.31(e) do not need to be performed. Please make a note in future test reports to this effect. This will serve to document your rationale for compliance with 15.31(e).
OK, FUTURE REPORTS WILL BE AS REQUESTED.

5. Please tell us the frequency range of radiated emissions measurements investigated.
INVESTIGATED FREQUENCY RANGE IS 9kHz TO 26.5GHz

6. I am uncomfortable with the way you have demonstrated compliance with the radiated band restricted limits of 15.205 at the 2483.5MHz frequency. You only show me a conducted emissions plot. I have taken the power level shown, -41.07 dbm and converted it to a field strength assuming an isentropically efficient antenna. I get 512uV/m but then I must factor in that the data is taken with a 3MHz bandwidth and that the

data is peak, while the limit is average. This lowers the expected radiated emissions reading and I conclude that the device complies.

The FCC has previously reviewed your data presentation and accepted it in FCC filing OGD10430200, so I will accept it now, but please change to a procedure that documents directly radiated emission compliance at the band edge for future submissions. I can only conclude that the FCC accepted your submission previously due to the extremely low power of the device and the same sort of internal calculation that I have employed.

If the FCC had not previously accepted this data in filing OGD10430200, I would ask you to perform field strength measurements to show band edge compliance.
FUTURE REPORTS WILL CONTAIN TESTRESULTS AS REQUESTED.

(See attached file: WL211user manual-1.1rev0.doc)(See attached file: wl211p label sample.jpg)