

SAR TEST REPORT

 REPORT NO.:
 SA940106L04

 MODEL NO.:
 PK292010700 (with miniPCI WLAN WMIA-123AG47)

 RECEIVED:
 Feb. 01, 2005

 TESTED:
 Mar. 10 ~ Apr. 15, 2005

 ISSUED:
 Apr. 19, 2005

APPLICANT: Gemtek Technology Co., Ltd.

ADDRESS: No.1, Jen Ai Road, Hsinchu Industrial Park, Hukou Hsinchu, Taiwan, R.O.C. 303

- **ISSUED BY:** Advance Data Technology Corporation
- **LAB ADDRESS:** No. 47, 14th Ling, Chia Pau Tsuen, Lin Kou Hsiang 244, Taipei Hsien, Taiwan, R.O.C.
- **TEST LOCATION:** No. 19, Hwa Ya 2nd Rd., Wen Hwa Tsuen, Kwei Shan Hsiang, Taoyuan Hsien 333, Taiwan, R.O.C.

This test report consists of 53 pages in total except Appendix. It may be duplicated completely for legal use with the approval of the applicant. It should not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of our laboratory. The client should not use it to claim product endorsement by CNLA, A2LA or any government agencies. The test results in the report only apply to the tested sample.





Table of Contents

1.	CERTIFICATION	3
2.	GENERAL INFORMATION	4
2.1	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF EUT	4
2.2	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF APPLIED STANDARDS	6
2.3	GENERAL INOFRMATION OF THE SAR SYSTEM	6
2.4	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE SPATIAL PEAK SAR EVALUATION	13
3.	DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODES AND CONFIGURATIONS	17
4.	DESCRIPTION OF SUPPORT UNITS	20
5.	TEST RESULTS	21
5.1	TEST PROCEDURES	
5.2	MEASURED SAR RESULTS	22
5.3	SAR LIMITS	
5.4	RECIPES FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS	34
5.5	TEST EQUIPMENT FOR TISSUE PROPERTY	39
6.	SYSTEM VALIDATION	
6.1	TEST EQUIPMENT	-
6.2	BEFORE STARTING THE MEASUREMENT, ALL TEST EQUIPMENT SHALL	
	WARMED UP FOR 30MIN.TEST PROCEDURE	
6.3	VALIDATION RESULTS	
6.4	SYSTEM VALIDATION UNCERTAINTIES (FOR 2.4GHz)	
6.5	SYSTEM VALIDATION UNCERTAINTIES (FOR 5GHz)	
7.	MEASUREMENT SAR PROCEDURE UNCERTAINTIES	
7.1	PROBE CALIBRATION UNCERTAINTY	
7.2		
7.3		
7.4		
7.5	READOUT ELECTRONICS UNCERTAINTY	
7.6		
7.7 7.8	INTEGRATION TIME UNCERTAINTY PROBE POSITIONER MECHANICAL TOLERANCE	
7.8 7.9	PROBE POSITIONER MECHANICAL TOLERANCE	
	PROBE POSITIONING PHANTOM UNCERTAINTY	
	DASY4 UNCERTAINTY BUDGET (FOR 2.4GHz)	
	DASY4 UNCERTAINTY BUDGET (FOR 2.4GHz) DASY4 UNCERTAINTY BUDGET (FOR 5 ~ 6GHz)	
7.1Z 8.	INFORMATION ON THE TESTING LABORATORIES	
0.	INFORMATION ON THE TESTING LABORATORIES	.05
	ENDIX A: TEST CONFIGURATIONS AND TEST DATA	
	ENDIX B: ADT SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	
	ENDIX B: ADT SAK MEASOREMENT STSTEM ENDIX C: PHOTOGRAPHS OF SYSTEM VALIDATION	
	ENDIX C. FHOTOGRAFTIS OF STSTEM VALIDATION ENDIX D: SYSTEM CERTIFICATE & CALIBRATION	



1. CERTIFICATION

PRODUCT : Motion Computing LE1600 (with IEEE 802.11a/b/g miniPCI WLAN)
 MODEL NO. : PK292010700 (with miniPCI WLAN WMIA-123AG47)
 BRAND NAME : Motion Computing
 APPLICANT : Gemtek Technology Co., Ltd.
 TESTED : Mar. 10 ~ Apr. 15, 2005
 TEST SAMPLE : ENGINEERING SAMPLE
 STANDARDS : FCC Part 2 (Section 2.1093), FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (01-01), RSS-102

The above equipment has been tested by **Advance Data Technology Corporation**, and found compliance with the requirement of the above standards. The test record, data evaluation & Equipment Under Test (EUT) configurations represented herein are true and accurate accounts of the measurements of the sample's EMC characteristics under the conditions specified in this report.

PREPARED BY DATE: Apr. 19, 2005 Andrea Hsia) **TECHNICAL** ACCEPTANCE DATE: Apr. 19, 2005 Responsible for RF Ansen Lei **APPROVED BY** DATE: Apr. 19, 2005 (Cody Chang, Deputy Manager)



2. GENERAL INFORMATION

2.1 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF EUT

PRODUCT Motion Computing LE1600 (with IEEE 802.11a/b/g miniPCI WLAN)	
MODEL NO.	PK292010700 (with miniPCI WLAN WMIA- 123AG47)
POWER SUPPLY	3.3Vdc from host equipment
CLASSIFICATION	Portable device, production unit
	For WLAN:
	CCK, DQPSK, DBPSK for DSSS
MODULATION TYPE	64QAM, 16QAM, QPSK, BPSK for OFDM
	For Bluetooth: GFSK
	For WLAN: DSSS, OFDM
MODULATION TECHNOLOGY	For Bluetooth: FHSS
	For WLAN:
	802.11b:11/5.5/2/1Mbps
	802.11g: 54/48/36/24/18/12/9/6Mbps
TRANSFER RATE	802.11a: 54/48/36/24/18/12/9/6Mbps
	(Turbo mode: up to 108Mbps *see Note 5)
	For Bluetooth: 732kbps
	For WLAN:
	802.11b & 802.11g: 2.412 ~ 2.462GHz
	802.11a: 5.150 ~ 5.350GHz and 5.725 ~
FREQUENCY RANGE	5.850GHz
	For Bluetooth:
	2402 MHz ~ 2480 MHz
	For WLAN:
	802.11b & 802.11g: 11
NUMBER OF CHANNEL	802.11a: 13 for Normal mode / 5 for Turbo mode
	For Bluetooth: 79
MAXIMUN CONDUCTED	
OUTPUT POWER (FOR	80.724mW
802.11b)	
MAXIMUN CONDUCTED	70 705
	70.795mW
802.11g) MAXIMUN CONDUCTED	
OUTPUT POWER	40.458mW
(FOR 802.11a)	40.4001100
	For WLAN:
ANTENNA TYPE	-
	802.11b & 802.11g: Patch antenna with 0.02dBi gain
	32



	802.11a: Patch antenna with 1.05dBi gain For Bluetooth: Integrated Printed with 0.5dBi gain
AVERAGE SAR(1g) (FOR 802.11b)	0.322W/kg
AVERAGE SAR((1g) (FOR 802.11g)	0.221W/kg
AVERAGE SAR (1g) (for 802.11a)	0.370W/kg
DATA CABLE	NA
I/O PORTS	Refer to user's manual
ASSOCIATED DEVICES	NA

NOTE:

- 1. The property of the EUT shall be complied with the portable device according to the FCC 2.1093.
- 2. The EUT is a tablet PC, which brand is Motion and the model is PK292010700.
- 3. The tablet PC is power by following adapter:

	power by following adapter.
Brand	DELTA ELECTRONICS, INC.
Model ADP-50HH REV.A	
Input	100-240Vac, 50~60Hz, 1.5A
Output 19Vdc, 2.64A	
Power Line AC 1.8m non-shielded cable without core	
	DC 1.8m non-shielded cable with one core

4. The EUT operates in both the 5.0GHz and 2.4GHz Bands and compatibility with 802.11a and 802.11b, 802.11g technology.

- 5. This EUT is capable of providing data rates of up to 108 Mbps in 802.11a Turbo mode depending upon reception quality.
- 6. Bluetooth technology is used in this EUT.
- 7. For EUT, there are two types of antenna test. The difference only direction of test and no effect on antenna gain. The antenna A is for left side antenna and the antenna B is for right side
- 8. The above EUT information was declared by manufacturer and for more detailed features description, please refer to the manufacturer's specifications or User's Manual.



2.2 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF APPLIED STANDARDS

According to the specifications of the manufacturer, this product must comply with the requirements of the following standards:

FCC Part 2 (2.1093) FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (01- 01) RSS-102 IEEE 1528-2003

All test items have been performed and recorded as per the above standards.

2.3 GENERAL INOFRMATION OF THE SAR SYSTEM

DASY4 (software 4.4 Build 3) consists of high precision robot, probe alignment sensor, phantom, robot controller, controlled measurement server and near-field probe. The robot includes six axes that can move to the precision position of the DASY4 software defined. The DASY4 software can define the area that is detected by the probe. The robot is connected to controlled box. Controlled measurement server is connected to the controlled robot box. The DAE includes amplifier, signal multiplexing, AD converter, offset measurement and surface detection. It is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (ECO). The ECO performs the conversion form the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC.

For 2.4GHz:

ET3DV6 ISOTROPIC E-FIELD PROBE

CONSTRUCTION	Symmetrical design with triangular core. Built-in optical fiber for surface detection system. Built-in shielding against static charges. PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., glycolether).		
CALIBRATION	Basic Broad Band Calibration in air: 10-2500 MHz Conversion Factors (CF) for HSL 900, HSL 1800, HSL2450, MSL 900, MSL 1800 and MSL2450. CF-Calibration for other liquids and frequencies upon request		
FREQUENCY	10 MHz to 3 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)		
DIRECTIVITY	± 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.4 db in HSL (rotation normal to probe axis)		
DYNAMIC RANGE	5 μW/g to > 100 mW/g; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB		
OPTICAL SURFACE DETECTION ± 0.2 mm repeatability in air and clear liquids over diffuse			



reflecting surfaces

DIMENSIONS	Overall length: 330 mm (Tip Length: 16 mm) Tip diameter: 6.8 mm (Body diameter: 12 mm) Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm			
APPLICATION	General dosimetric measurements up to 3 GHz Compliance tests of mobile phones Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms (ET3DV6)			
SENSITIVITY	X axis :1.87 μ V ; Y axis	: 1.84 μ V ; 2	Z axis : 1.6	4 μ V
DIODE COMPRESSION POINT	X axis : 95 mV ; Y axis :	95 mV ; Z a	xis : 95mV	
CONVERSION FACTOR	FREQUENCY RANGE (MHz)	X AXIS	Y AXIS	Z AXIS
	800~950 (Head)	6.34	6.34	6.34
	800~950 (Body)	6.06	6.06	6.06
	1700~1910 (Head)	5.16	5.16	5.16
	1700~1910 (Body)	4.54	4.54	4.54
	2400~2500 (Head)	4.41	4.41	4.41
	2400~2500 (Body)	4.23	4.23	4.23
BOUNDARY EFFECT	FREQUENCY RANGE (MHz)	ALPHA	I	DEPTH
	800~950 (Head)	0.38		2.58
	800~950 (Body)	0.52		2.10
	1700~1910 (Head)	0.46		2.71
	1700~1910 (Body)	0.52		2.88
	2400~2500 (Head)	0.90		1.93
	2400~2500 (Body)	1.04		1.62

NOTE:

- 1. The Probe parameters have been calibrated by the SPEAG. Please reference "APPENDIX D" for the Calibration Certification Report.
- 2. For frequencies above 800 MHz, calibration in a rectangular wave-guide is used, because wave-guide size is manageable.
- 3. For frequencies below 800 MHz, temperature transfer calibration is used because the waveguide size becomes relatively large.



TWIN SAM V4.0

CONSTRUCTION The shell corresponds to the specifications of the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE 1528-2003, CENELEC 50361 and IEC 62209. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points with the robot.

SHELL THICKNESS 2 ± 0.2 mm

FILLING VOLUME Approx. 25 liters

DIMENSIONS Height: 810 mm; Length: 1000 mm; Width: 500 mm

SYSTEM VALIDATION KITS: D900V2 – D2450V2

CONSTRUCTION Symmetrical dipole with I/4 balun enables measurement of feedpoint impedance with NWA matched for use near flat phantoms filled with brain simulating solutions.

Includes distance holder and tripod adaptor

- **CALIBRATION** Calibrated SAR value for specified position and input power at the flat phantom in brain simulating solutions
- **FREQUENCY** 900, 1800, 1900, 2450 MHz

RETURN LOSS > 20 dB at specified validation position

POWER CAPABILITY > 100 W (f < 1GHz); > 40 W (f > 1GHz)

OPTIONS Dipoles for other frequencies or solutions and other calibration conditions upon request

DIMENSIONS D900V2: dipole length: 149 mm; overall height: 83.3mm D1800V2: dipole length: 72 mm; overall height: 41.2 mm D1900V2: dipole length: 68 mm; overall height: 39.5 mm D2450V2: dipole length: 51.5 mm; overall height: 30.6 mm



DEVICE HOLDER FOR SAM TWIN PHANTOM

CONSTRUCTION The device holder for the mobile phone device is designed to cope with different positions given in the standard. It has two scales for the device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and the device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear reference points). The rotation centers for both scales is the ear reference point (ERP). Thus the device needs no repositioning when changing the angles. The holder has been made out of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity ε =3 and loss tangent δ =0.02. The amount of dielectric material has been reduced in the closest vicinity of the device, since measurements have suggested that the influence of the clamp on the test results could thus be lowered. The device holder for the portable device makes up of the polyethylene foam. The dielectric parameters of material close to the dielectric parameters of the air.

DATA ACQUISITION ELECTRONICS

CONSTRUCTION The data acquisition electronics (DAE3) consists of a highly sensitive electrometer grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplex, a fast 16 bit AD converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock. The mechanical probe is mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sideways probe contacts. They are used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The input impedance of the DAE3 box is 200MOhm; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80 dB.



For 5GHz:

D5GHzV2 ISOTROPIC E-FIELD PROBE

DIMENSIONS	Overall length: 330 mm (Tip Length: 20 mm) Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body diameter: 12 mm) Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1.0 mm			
APPLICATION	General dosimetric measurements up to 3 GHz Compliance tests of mobile phones Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms (ET3DV6)			
SENSITIVITY	X axis :0.87 μ V ; Y axis	: 0.94 μ V ;	Z axis : 0.9	0μV
DIODE COMPRESSION POINT	X axis : 97 mV ; Y axis :	97 mV ; Z a	axis : 97 m∖	/
CONVERSION FACTOR	FREQUENCY RANGE (MHz)	X AXIS	Y AXIS	Z AXIS
	800 ~ 1000 (Head)	10.70	10.70	10.70
	1710 ~ 1910 (Head)	8.96	8.96	8.96
	4940 ~ 5460 (Head)	5.21	5.21	5.21
	4940 ~ 5460 (Body)	4.57	4.57	4.57
	5225 ~ 5775 (Head)	4.78	4.78	4.78
	5225 ~ 5775 (Body)	4.32	4.32	4.32
	5510 ~ 6090 (Head)	4.62	4.62	4.62
	5510 ~ 6090 (Body)	4.19	4.19	4.19



BOUNDARY EFFECT	FREQUENCY RANGE (MHz)	ALPHA	DEPTH
	800 ~ 1000 (Head)	0.31	0.89
	1710 ~ 1910 (Head)	0.08	2.96
	4940 ~ 5460 (Head)	0.45	1.80
	4940 ~ 5460 (Body)	0.45	1.90
	5225 ~ 5775 (Head)	0.45	1.80
	5225 ~ 5775 (Body)	0.45	1.90
	5510 ~ 6090 (Head)	0.45	1.80
	5510 ~ 6090 (Body)	0.45	1.90

NOTE:

- 1. The Probe parameters have been calibrated by the SPEAG. Please reference "APPENDIX D" for the Calibration Certification Report.
- 2. For frequencies above 800 MHz, calibration in a rectangular wave-guide is used, because wave-guide size is manageable.
- 3. For frequencies below 800 MHz, temperature transfer calibration is used because the waveguide size becomes relatively large.



TWIN SAM V4.0

CONSTRUCTION The shell corresponds to the specifications of the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE 1528-2003, CENELEC 50361 and IEC 62209. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points with the robot.

SHELL THICKNESS 2 ± 0.2 mm

FILLING VOLUME Approx. 25 liters

DIMENSIONS Height: 810 mm; Length: 1000 mm; Width: 500 mm

SYSTEM VALIDATION KITS: D5GHZV2

CONSTRUCTION Symmetrical dipole with I/4 balun enables measurement of feedpoint impedance with NWA matched for use near flat phantoms filled with brain simulating solutions.

Includes distance holder and tripod adaptor

- **CALIBRATION** Calibrated SAR value for specified position and input power at the flat phantom in brain simulating solutions
- FREQUENCY 5800MHz

RETURN LOSS > 20 dB at specified validation position

POWER CAPABILITY > 100 W (f < 1GHz); > 40 W (f > 1GHz)

OPTIONS Dipoles for other frequencies or solutions and other calibration conditions upon request



2.4 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE SPATIAL PEAK SAR EVALUATION

The DASY4 post-processing software (SEMCAD) automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the micro-volt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe parameters:	- Sensitivity	Norm _i , a _{i0} , a _{i1} , a _{i2}
	 Conversion factor 	ConvF _i
	- Diode compression point	dcp _i
Device parameters:	- Frequency	F
	- Crest factor	cf
Media parameters:	- Conductivity	σ
	- Density	ρ

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \bullet \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

V _i =compensated signal of channel i	(i = x, y, z)
U _i =input signal of channel I	(i = x, y, z)
cf =crest factor of exciting field	(DASY parameter)
dcp _i =diode compression point	(DASY parameter)



From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

$$E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_1}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$$

H-fieldprobes :

$$H_{i} = \sqrt{V_{i}} \cdot \frac{a_{i0} + a_{i1}f + a_{i2}f^{2}}{f}$$

 $\begin{array}{lll} V_i & = & \text{compensated signal of channel I} & (i = x, y, z) \\ \text{Norm}_i & = & \text{sensor sensitivity of channel i } \mu V/(V/m)2 \text{ for E-field Probes} & (i = x, y, z) \\ \text{ConvF} & = & \text{sensitivity enhancement in solution} \\ a_{ij} & = & \text{sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes} \\ \text{F} & = & \text{carrier frequency [GHz]} \\ \text{E}_i & = & \text{electric field strength of channel i in V/m} \\ \text{H}_i & = & \text{magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m} \end{array}$

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = E_{tot}^2 \cdot \frac{o}{p \cdot 1'000}$$

SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g

 E_{tot} = total field strength in V/m

o = conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]

p = equivalent tissue density in g/cm3



Note that the density is set to 1, to account for actual head tissue density rather than the density of the tissue simulating liquid. The entire evaluation of the spatial peak values is performed within the Post-processing engine (SEMCAD). The system always gives the maximum values for the 1 g and 10 g cubes. The algorithm to find the cube with highest averaged SAR is divided into the following stages:

- 1. The extraction of the measured data (grid and values) from the Zoom Scan
- 2. The calculation of the SAR value at every measurement point based on all stored data (A/D values and measurement parameters)
- 3. The generation of a high-resolution mesh within the measured volume
- 4. The interpolation of all measured values from the measurement grid to the highresolution grid
- 5. The extrapolation of the entire 3-D field distribution to the phantom surface over the distance from sensor to surface
- 6. The calculation of the averaged SAR within masses of 1g and 10g.

The probe is calibrated at the center of the dipole sensors that is located 1 to 2.7mm away from the probe tip. During measurements, the probe stops shortly above the phantom surface, depending on the probe and the surface detecting system. Both distances are included as parameters in the probe configuration file. The software always knows exactly how far away the measured point is from the surface. As the probe cannot directly measure at the surface, the values between the deepest measured point and the surface must be extrapolated. The angle between the probe axis and the surface normal line is less than 30 degree.

In the Area Scan, the gradient of the interpolation function is evaluated to find all the extreme of the SAR distribution. The uncertainty on the locations of the extreme is less than 1/20 of the grid size. Only local maximum within –2 dB of the global maximum are searched and passed for the Cube Scan measurement. In the Cube Scan, the interpolation function is used to extrapolate the Peak SAR from the lowest measurement points to the inner phantom surface (the extrapolation distance). The uncertainty increases with the extrapolation distance. To keep the uncertainty within 1% for the 1 g and 10 g cubes, the extrapolation distance should not be larger than 5mm.



The maximum search is automatically performed after each area scan measurement. It is based on splines in two or three dimensions. The procedure can find the maximum for most SAR distributions even with relatively large grid spacing. After the area scanning measurement, the probe is automatically moved to a position at the interpolated maximum. The following scan can directly use this position for reference, e.g., for a finer resolution grid or the cube evaluations. The 1g and 10g peak evaluations are only available for the predefined cube 7x7x7 scans. The routines are verified and optimized for the grid dimensions used in these cube measurements. The measured volume of 30x30x30mm contains about 30g of tissue. The first procedure is an extrapolation (incl. Boundary correction) to get the points between the lowest measured plane and the surface. The next step uses 3D interpolation to get all points within the measured volume in a 1mm grid (42875 points). In the last step, a 1g cube is placed numerically into the volume and its averaged SAR is calculated. This cube is the moved around until the highest averaged SAR is found. If the highest SAR is found at the edge of the measured volume, the system will issue a warning: higher SAR values might be found outside of the measured volume. In that case the cube measurement can be repeated, using the new interpolated maximum as the center.



3. DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODES AND CONFIGURATIONS

For 2.4GHz band:	
CARRIER MODULATION UNDER TEST	DSSS, OFDM, FHSS
CREST FACTOR	1.0
CHANNEL FREQUENCIES UNDER TEST AND ITS CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER	For WLAN: 79.799mW / Ch1: 2412MHz For DSSS 80.724mW / Ch6: 2437MHz For DSSS 80.168mW / Ch11: 2462MHz For DSSS 60.256mW / Ch1: 2412MHz For OFDM 70.795mW / Ch6: 2437MHz For OFDM 61.660mW / Ch11: 2462MHz For OFDM For Bluetooth: 0.635mW / Ch0: 2402MHz For FHSS
ANTENNA CONFIGURATION	For WLAN: Patch antenna For Bluetooth: Integrated Printed
ANTENNA POSTITON	Inside the front cover, near the left and right
EUT POWER SOURCE	From Tablet PC
HOST POWER SOURCE	Fully charged buttery

The following test configurations have been applied in this test report:

Assessment position:

- 1: The bottom of EUT contacted to the flat phantom and left antenna (Antenna A) position is located to the reference point of flat phantom. Therefore the bottom of the EUT face to the phantom and the separation distance is 0mm.
- 2 : The bottom of EUT contacted to the flat phantom and right antenna (Antenna B) position is located to the reference point of flat phantom. Therefore the bottom of the EUT face to the phantom and the separation distance is 0mm.
- 3 : The tip of EUT contacted to the flat phantom and left antenna (Antenna A) position is located to the reference point of flat phantom. Therefore the tip of the EUT face to the phantom and the separation distance is 15mm.
- 4: The tip of EUT contacted to the flat phantom and right antenna (Antenna B) position is located to the reference point of flat phantom. Therefore the tip of the EUT face to the phantom and the separation distance is 15mm.

Test Mode:

- 1: EUT under transmitting condition with DSSS modulation. (Assessment position 1)
- 2: EUT under transmitting condition with OFDM modulation. (Assessment position 1)
- 3: EUT under transmitting condition with DSSS modulation. (Assessment position 2)
- 4: EUT under transmitting condition with OFDM modulation. (Assessment



position 2)

- 5: EUT under transmitting condition with DSSS modulation. (Assessment position 3)
- 6: EUT under transmitting condition with OFDM modulation. (Assessment position 3)
- 7: EUT under transmitting condition with DSSS modulation. (Assessment position 4)
- 8: EUT under transmitting condition with OFDM modulation. (Assessment position 4)

NOTE:

- 1. Please reference "APPENDIX A" for the photos of test configuration.
- 2. All test modes have been complied with the body worn configuration.
- 3. The Tablet PC has been installed the controlling software (provided by manufacturer) that could control the EUT transmitted channel and power. But that software is just for test software, not for normal user.
- 4. Pre-scan has been conducted, 11Mbps with DSSS technique, 6Mbps with OFDM technique and 732kbps with FHSS technique, as the worst cases modulation are selected for the final test.
- 5. The EUT has the maximum SAR value under the DSSS modulation.
- 6. The test mode of simultaneous transmit is chosen the combination of each transmitter under the worst situation.



For 5GHz band:

CARRIER MODULATION UNDER TEST	OFDM	
CREST FACTOR	1.0	
CHANNEL FREQUENCIES UNDER TEST AND ITS CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER	For WLAN normal mode: 33.037mW / Ch1: 5180MHz 36.983mW / Ch4: 5240MHz 37.931mW / Ch5: 5260MHz 37.757mW / Ch8: 5320MHz 39.994mW / Ch9: 5745MHz 40.272mW / Ch11: 5785MHz 40.458mW / Ch13: 5825MHz For WLAN turbo mode: 34.995mW / Ch1: 5210MHz 35.237mW / Ch2: 5250MHz 38.905mW / Ch3: 5290MHz 40.087mW / Ch4: 5760MHz 40.458mW / Ch5: 5800MHz	
ANTENNA CONFIGURATION	For WLAN: Patch antenna For Bluetooth: Integrated Printed	
ANTENNA POSTITON	Inside the front cover, near the left and right	
EUT POWER SOURCE	From Tablet PC	
HOST POWER SOURCE	Fully Charged Battery	

The following test configurations have been applied in this test report:

Assessment position:

- 1: The bottom of EUT contacted to the flat phantom and left antenna (Antenna A) position is located to the reference point of flat phantom. Therefore the bottom of the EUT face to the phantom and the separation distance is 0mm.
- 2 : The bottom of EUT contacted to the flat phantom and right antenna (Antenna B) position is located to the reference point of flat phantom. Therefore the bottom of the EUT face to the phantom and the separation distance is 0mm.
- 3: The tip of EUT contacted to the flat phantom and left antenna (Antenna A) position is located to the reference point of flat phantom. Therefore the tip of the EUT face to the phantom and the separation distance is 15mm.
- 4 : The tip of EUT contacted to the flat phantom and right antenna (Antenna B) position is located to the reference point of flat phantom. Therefore the tip of the EUT face to the phantom and the separation distance is 15mm.

Test Mode:

- 9: EUT under transmitting condition with OFDM modulation in normal mode. (Assessment position 1)
- 10 : EUT under transmitting condition with OFDM modulation in turbo mode. (Assessment position 1)



- 11 : EUT under transmitting condition with OFDM modulation in normal mode. (Assessment position 2)
- 12 : EUT under transmitting condition with OFDM modulation in turbo mode. (Assessment position 2)
- 13 : EUT under transmitting condition with OFDM modulation in normal mode. (Assessment position 3)
- 14 : EUT under transmitting condition with OFDM modulation in turbo mode. (Assessment position 3)
- 15: EUT under transmitting condition with OFDM modulation in normal mode. (Assessment position 4)
- 16 : EUT under transmitting condition with OFDM modulation in turbo mode. (Assessment position 4)

NOTE:

- 1. Please reference "APPENDIX A" for the photos of test configuration.
- 2. All test modes have been complied with the body worn configuration.
- 3. The Table PC has been installed the controlling software (provided by manufacturer) that could control the EUT transmitted channel and power. But that software is just for test software, not for normal user.
- 4. Pre-scan has been conducted, 6Mbps with OFDM technique as the worst case modulation are selected for the final test.
- 5. The test mode of simultaneous transmit is chosen the combination of each transmitter under the worst situation.

4. DESCRIPTION OF SUPPORT UNITS

NA



5. TEST RESULTS

5.1 TEST PROCEDURES

The EUT is a Motion Computing LE1600 (with IEEE 802.11a/b/g miniPCI WLAN). Use the software to control the EUT channel and transmission power. Then record the conducted power before the testing. Place the EUT to the specific test location. After the testing, must writing down the conducted power of the EUT into the report. The SAR value was calculated via the 3D spline interpolation algorithm that has been implemented in the software of DASY4 SAR measurement system manufactured and calibrated by SPEAG. According to the IEEE P1528 standards, the recommended procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

- Power reference measurement
- Area scan
- Zoom scan
- Power reference measurement

The area scan with 15mm x 15mm grid was performed for the highest spatial SAR location. Consist of 14 x 17 points while the scan size is the 195mm x 240mm. The zoon scan with 30mm x 30mm x 30mm volume was performed for SAR value averaged over 1g and 10g spatial volumes.

In the zoon scan, the distance between the measurement point at the probe sensor location (geometric center behind the probe tip) and the phantom surface is 4.0 mm and maintained at a constant distance of \pm 1.0 mm during a zoon scan to determine peak SAR locations. The distance is 4mm between the first measurement point and the bottom surface of the phantom. The secondary measurement point to the bottom surface of the phantom is with 9mm separation distance. The cube size is 7 x 7 x 7points consist of 343 points and the grid space is 5mm.

The measurement time is 0.5 s at each point of the zoon scan. The probe boundary effect compensation shall be applied during the SAR test. Because of the tip of the probe to the Phantom surface separated distances are longer than half a tip probe diameter.

In the area scan, the separation distance is 4mm between the each measurement point and the phantom surface. The scan size shall be included the transmission portion of the EUT. The measurement time is the same as the zoon scan. At last the reference power drift shall be less than $\pm 5\%$.



5.2 MEASURED SAR RESULTS

For 2.4GHz band:

EUT		z band:	(with I		outing LE)2.11a/b/ .N)		MODEL PK292010700 (with miniPCI WLAN WMIA-123AG47)			
		IENTAL N		mperat dity:60		0°C, Liquid	Temperati	ure:21.0°C		
TEST	ED B	(Anser	n Lei						
Chan.	Freq.	Modulate		ucted r (mW)	Power	Device Use		Antenna	Measured 1g SAR	
	(IVIFIZ)	Technology	Begin Test	After Test	Drift (%)	Power	Positior Mode	n Position	(W/kg)	
1	2412 (Low)	DSSS	79.799	78.395	-1.76	Standard Battery from ho	ost 1	Internal Fixed	0.117	
6	2437 (Mid.)	DSSS	80.724	79.447	-1.58	Standard Battery from ho	ost 1	Internal Fixed	0.130	
11	2462 (High)	DSSS	80.168	79.286	-1.10	Standard Battery from he	ost 1	Internal Fixed	0.226	
11	2462 (High)	DSSS	80.168	79.286	-1.10	Standard		Internal	0.475	
0	2402 (Low)	FHSS	0.635	0.615	-2.45	Battery from ho	ost 1	Fixed	0.175	
1	2412 (Low)	OFDM	60.256	58.924	-2.21	Standard Battery from ho	2 ost	Internal Fixed	0.101	
6	2437 (Mid.)	OFDM	70.795	69.252	-2.18	Standard Battery from ho	ost 2	Internal Fixed	0.092	
11	2462 (High)	OFDM	61.660	60.686	-1.58	Standard Battery from ho	ost 2	Internal Fixed	0.105	

NOTE:

Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.
 In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, **1.6 W/kg**, is applied.
 Please see the Appendix A for the photo of the test configuration and also the data.



EUT			(with I	Motion Computing LE1600 (with IEEE 802.11a/b/g miniPCI WLAN) MODEL PK292010700 (with miniPCI WLAN WMIA-123AG47)									
		IENTAL N		mperat lity:60		0°C, Liquid To	emperatui	re∶21.0°C					
TEST	ED B	Y	Anser	ı Lei									
Chan.	Freq.	Modulate	Power	ucted r (mW)	Power	Device Use	Device Test	Antenna	Measured 1g SAR				
	(MHz)	Technology	Begin Test	After Test	Drift (%)	Power	Position Mode	Position	(W/kg)				
1	2412 (Low)	DSSS	79.799	78.738	-1.33	Standard Battery from host	3	Internal Fixed	0.278				
6	2437 (Mid.)	DSSS	80.724	79.618	-1.38	Standard Battery from host	3	Internal Fixed	0.302				
11	2462 (High)	DSSS	80.168	78.484	-2.10	Standard Battery from host	3	Internal Fixed	0.322				
11	2462 (High)	DSSS	80.168	78.484	-2.10	Standard		Internal					
0	2402 (Low)	FHSS	0.635	0.627	-1.23	Battery from host	3	Fixed	0.320				
1	2412 (Low)	OFDM	60.256	58.973	-2.13	Standard Battery from host	4	Internal Fixed	0.150				
6	2437 (Mid.)	OFDM	70.795	69.549	-1.76	Standard Battery from host	4	Internal Fixed	0.221				
11	2462 (High)	OFDM	61.660	60.686	-1.58	Standard Battery from host	4	Internal Fixed	0.189				

NOTE:

Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.
 In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, **1.6 W/kg**, is applied.
 Please see the Appendix A for the photo of the test configuration and also the data.
 The variation of the EUT conducted power measured before and after SAR testing should not over 5%.



EUT			(with I		uting LE)2.11a/b/ N)		DEL n	K2920107 niniPCI WL VMIA-123A	AN
		IENTAL N		mperat lity:60		0°C, Liquid To	emperatu	re∶21.0°C	
TEST	ED B	Y	Anser	ı Lei					
Chan.	Freq.	Modulate	Power	ucted r (mW)	Power	Device Use	Device Test	Antenna	Measured 1g SAR
	(MHZ)	Technology	Begin Test	After Test	Drift (%)	Power	Position Mode	Position	(W/kg)
1	2412 (Low)	DSSS	79.799	78.658	-1.43	Standard Battery from host	5	Internal Fixed	0.090
6	2437 (Mid.)	DSSS	80.724	79.529	-1.48	Standard Battery from host	5	Internal Fixed	0.097
11	2462 (High)	DSSS	80.168	79.344	-1.58	Standard Battery from host	5	Internal Fixed	0.121
11	2462 (High)	DSSS	80.168	79.344	-1.58	Standard	_	Internal	
0	2402 (Low)	FHSS	0.635	0.621	-1.23	Battery from host	5	Fixed	0.118
1	2412 (Low)	OFDM	60.256	59.274	-1.63	Standard Battery from host	6	Internal Fixed	0.065
6	2437 (Mid.)	OFDM	70.795	69.443	-1.91	Standard Battery from host	6	Internal Fixed	0.064
11	2462 (High)	OFDM	61.660	60.347	-2.13	Standard Battery from host	6	Internal Fixed	0.065

NOTE:

Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.
 In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, **1.6 W/kg**, is applied.
 Please see the Appendix A for the photo of the test configuration and also the data.
 The variation of the EUT conducted power measured before and after SAR testing should not over 5%.



EUT			(with I		outing LE)2.11a/b/ .N)		DEL n	PK2920107 hiniPCI WL VMIA-123A	AN
		IENTAL N		mperat lity:60		0°C, Liquid To	emperatu	re:21.0°C	
TEST	ED B	Y	Anser	ı Lei					
Chan.	Freq.	Modulate	Power	ucted r (mW)	Power	Device Use	Device Test	Antenna	Measured 1g SAR
	(MHZ)	Technology	Begin Test	After Test	Drift (%)	Power	Position Mode	Position	(W/kg)
1	2412 (Low)	DSSS	79.799	78.395	-1.76	Standard Battery from host	7	Internal Fixed	0.099
6	2437 (Mid.)	DSSS	80.724	78.819	-2.36	Standard Battery from host	7	Internal Fixed	0.108
11	2462 (High)	DSSS	80.168	78.901	-1.58	Standard Battery from host	7	Internal Fixed	0.118
11	2462 (High)	DSSS	80.168	78.901	-1.58	Standard	-	Internal	
0	2402 (Low)	FHSS	0.635	0.625	-1.52	Battery from host	7	Fixed	0.114
1	2412 (Low)	OFDM	60.256	59.593	-1.10	Standard Battery from host	8	Internal Fixed	0.068
6	2437 (Mid.)	OFDM	70.795	69.853	1.33	Standard Battery from host	8	Internal Fixed	0.087
11	2462 (High)	OFDM	61.660	60.778	-1.43	Standard Battery from host	8	Internal Fixed	0.077

NOTE:

1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.

2. In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, **1.6 W/kg**, is applied.

3. Please see the Appendix A for the photo of the test configuration and also the data.



For 5GHz band:

EUT			(with		puting Ll 02.11a/t AN)			PK292010 miniPCI W WMIA-123	LAN
				empera dity:6		2.0°C, Liquid	Temperat	ure:21.0°(0
TEST	ED B	(Anse	n Lei					
Chan.	Freq.	Modulate		ucted (dBm)	Power Drift	Device Use	Device Test	Antenna	Measured 1g SAR
- III	(MHz)	type	Begin Test	After Test	(%)	Power	Position Mode	Position	(W/kg)
1	5180 (Low)	OFDM	33.037	32.608	-1.30	Standard Battery from hos	9 st	Internal Fixed	0.321
4	5240 (High)	OFDM	36.983	36.277	-1.91	Standard Battery from hos	9 st	Internal Fixed	0.370
5	5260 (Low)	OFDM	37.931	37.263	-1.76	Standard Battery from hos	9 st	Internal Fixed	0.348
8	5320 (High)	OFDM	37.757	37.160	-1.58	Standard Battery from hos	9 st	Internal Fixed	0.354
9	5745 (Low)	OFDM	39.994	39.110	-2.21	Standard Battery from hos	9 st	Internal Fixed	0.250
11	5785 (Mid)	OFDM	40.272	39.736	-1.33	Standard Battery from hos	9	Internal Fixed	0.242
13	5825 (High)	OFDM	40.458	39.900	-1.38	Standard Battery from hos	9 st	Internal Fixed	0.253
4	5240 (High)	OFDM	36.983	36.277	-1.91	Standard		Internal	
0	2402 (Low)	FHSS	0.635	0.622	-2.06	Battery from hos	9 st	Fixed	0.208

NOTE:

1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.

2. In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, **1.6 W/kg**, is applied.

3. Please see the Appendix A for the photo of the test configuration and also the data.



EUT			(with	Motion Computing LE1600 (with IEEE 802.11a/b/g miniPCI WLAN)PK292010700 (with miniPCI WLAN WMIA-123AG47)									
		IENTAL N		Air Temperature:22.0°C, Liquid Temperature:21.0°C Humidity:60%RH									
TEST	ED B	ſ	Anse	Ansen Lei									
Chan.	Chan. Freq. Modulate (MHz) type			ucted (dBm)	Power Drift	Device Use	Device Test	Antenna	Measured 1g SAR				
	(MHz) type			After Test	(%)	Power	Position Mode	Position	(W/kg)				
1	5210 (Low)	OFDM	34.995	34.610	-1.10	Standard Battery from hos	.t 10	Internal Fixed	0.337				
2	5250 (High)	OFDM	35.237	34.497	-2.10	Standard Battery from hos	.t 10	Internal Fixed	0.311				
3	5290 (Low)	OFDM	38.905	38.290	-1.58	Standard Battery from hos	.t 10	Internal Fixed	0.346				
4	5760 (High)	OFDM	40.087 39.534 -1.38 Standard 10 Internal Battery from host Fixed										
5	5800 (Low)	OFDM	40.458	39.940	-1.28	Standard Battery from hos	t 10	Internal Fixed	0.290				

NOTE:

1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.

2. In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, **1.6 W/kg**, is applied.

3. Please see the Appendix A for the photo of the test configuration and also the data.



EUT			(with		puting L 02.11a/ł AN)		ODEL	PK292010 miniPCI W WMIA-123	LAN				
		IENTAL N		Air Temperature:22.0°C, Liquid Temperature:21.0°C Humidity:60%RH									
TEST	ED B	ſ	Anse	Ansen Lei									
Chan.	Chan. Freq. Modulate (MHz) type			ucted (dBm)	Power Drift	Device Use	Device Test	Antenna	Measured 1g SAR				
	(MHZ)	туре	Begin Test	After Test	(%)	Power	Position Mode	Position	(W/kg)				
1	5180 (Low)	OFDM	33.037	32.317	-2.18	Standard Battery from host	11	Internal Fixed	0.302				
4	5240 (High)	OFDM	36.983	36.436	-1.48	Standard Battery from host	11	Internal Fixed	0.333				
5	5260 (Low)	OFDM	37.931	37.389	-1.43	Standard Battery from host	11	Internal Fixed	0.301				
8	5320 (High)	OFDM	37.757	36.953	-2.13	Standard Battery from host	11	Internal Fixed	0.263				
9	5745 (Low)	OFDM	39.994	39.050	-2.36	Standard Battery from host	11	Internal Fixed	0.270				
11	5785 (Mid)	OFDM	40.272	39.636	-1.58	Standard Battery from host	11	Internal Fixed	0.271				
13	5825 (High)	OFDM	40.458	40.013	-1.10	Standard Battery from host	11	Internal Fixed	0.279				

NOTE:

1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.

2. In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, 1.6 W/kg, is applied.

3. Please see the Appendix A for the photo of the test configuration and also the data.



EUT			(with		puting Ll 02.11a/t AN)		MODEL	PK292010 miniPCI W WMIA-123	LAN				
		IENTAL N		Air Temperature:22.0°C, Liquid Temperature:21.0°C Humidity:60%RH									
TEST	ED B	ſ	Anse	Ansen Lei									
Chan.	Chan. Freq. Modulate (MHz) type			ucted (dBm)	Power Drift	Device Use	Device Test	Antenna	Measured 1g SAR				
	(MHz) type			After Test	(%)	Power	Position Mode	Position	(W/kg)				
1	5210 (Low)	OFDM	34.995	34.222	-2.21	Standard Battery from hos	12 st	Internal Fixed	0.343				
2	5250 (High)	OFDM	35.237	34.469	-2.18	Standard Battery from hos	12	Internal Fixed	0.293				
3	5290 (Low)	OFDM	38.905	38.026	-2.26	Standard Battery from hos	12 st	Internal Fixed	0.193				
4	5760 (High)	OFDM	40.087 39.574 -1.28 Standard 12 Internal Eattery from host 12 Fixed										
5 5800 (Low) OFDM 40.458 39.932 -1.30 Standard Battery from host 12 Internal Fixed 0.26							0.268						

NOTE:

1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.

2. In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, **1.6 W/kg**, is applied.

3. Please see the Appendix A for the photo of the test configuration and also the data.



EUT			(with		puting L 02.11a/ł AN)		ODEL	PK292010 miniPCI W WMIA-123	LAN				
		IENTAL N		empera dity∶6		2.0°C, Liquid ⁻	Temperatu	ure:21.0°(C				
TEST	ED B	(Anse	Ansen Lei									
Chan.	Chan. Freq. Modulate (MHz) type			ucted (dBm)	Power Drift	Device Use	Device Test	Antenna	Measured 1g SAR				
	(MHZ)	туре	Begin Test	After Test	(%)	Power	Position Mode	Position	(W/kg)				
1	5180 (Low)	OFDM	33.037	32.492	-1.65	Standard Battery from host	13	Internal Fixed	0.157				
4	5240 (High)	OFDM	36.983	36.310	-1.82	Standard Battery from host	13	Internal Fixed	0.164				
5	5260 (Low)	OFDM	37.931	37.207	-1.91	Standard Battery from host	13	Internal Fixed	0.172				
8	5320 (High)	OFDM	37.757	36.953	-2.13	Standard Battery from host	13	Internal Fixed	0.167				
9	5745 (Low)	OFDM	39.994	39.290	-1.76	Standard Battery from host	13	Internal Fixed	0.144				
11	5785 (Mid)	OFDM	40.272	39.656	-1.53	Standard Battery from host	13	Internal Fixed	0.192				
13	5825 (High)	OFDM	40.458	39.819	-1.58	Standard Battery from host	13	Internal Fixed	0.175				

NOTE:

1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.

2. In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, **1.6 W/kg**, is applied.

3. Please see the Appendix A for the photo of the test configuration and also the data.



EUT			(with		puting Ll 02.11a/b AN)		MODEL	PK292010 miniPCI W WMIA-123	LAN				
		IENTAL N		Air Temperature:22.0°C, Liquid Temperature:21.0°C Humidity:60%RH									
TEST	ED BI	(Anse	Ansen Lei									
Chan.	Chan. Freq. Modulate (MHz) type			Conducted Power (mW) Pow D		Device Use	Device Test	Antenna	Measured 1g SAR				
	(MHz) type			After Test	(%)	Power	Position Mode	Position	(W/kg)				
1	5210 (Low)	OFDM	34.995	34.547	-1.28	Standard Battery from hos	14	Internal Fixed	0.138				
2	5250 (High)	OFDM	35.237	34.469	-2.18	Standard Battery from hos	14	Internal Fixed	0.164				
3	5290 (Low)	OFDM	38.905	38.477	-1.10	Standard Battery from hos	14	Internal Fixed	0.135				
4	5760 (High)	OFDM	40.087 39.025 -2.65 Standard 14 Internal Fixed						0.173				
5 5800 (Low) OFDM 40.458 39.608 -2.10 Standard Battery from host 14 Internal Fixed 0						0.193							

NOTE:

1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.

2. In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, **1.6 W/kg**, is applied.

3. Please see the Appendix A for the photo of the test configuration and also the data.



EUT			(with		puting L 02.11a/ł AN)		ODEL	PK292010 miniPCI W WMIA-123	LAN				
	ENVIRONMENTAL Air Temper CONDITION Humidity :					2.0°C, Liquid [−]	Temperatu	ure:21.0°(C				
TEST	ED B	r	Anse	Ansen Lei									
Chan.	Chan. Freq. Modulate (MHz) type			ucted (dBm)	Power Drift	Device Use	Device Test	Antenna	Measured 1g SAR				
	(MHZ)	туре	Begin Test	After Test	(%)	Power	Position Mode	Position	(W/kg)				
1	5180 (Low)	OFDM	33.037	32.515	-1.58	Standard Battery from host	15	Internal Fixed	0.141				
4	5240 (High)	OFDM	36.983	36.110	-2.36	Standard Battery from host	15	Internal Fixed	0.138				
5	5260 (Low)	OFDM	37.931	37.207	-1.91	Standard Battery from host	15	Internal Fixed	0.149				
8	5320 (High)	OFDM	37.757	37.134	-1.65	Standard Battery from host	15	Internal Fixed	0.174				
9	5745 (Low)	OFDM	39.994	39.290	-1.76	Standard Battery from host	15	Internal Fixed	0.149				
11	5785 (Mid)	OFDM	40.272	39.736	-1.33	Standard Battery from host	15	Internal Fixed	0.162				
13	5825 (High)	OFDM	40.458	39.920	-1.33	Standard Battery from host	15	Internal Fixed	0.213				

NOTE:

1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.

2. In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, 1.6 W/kg, is applied.

3. Please see the Appendix A for the photo of the test configuration and also the data.



EUT			(with		puting Ll 02.11a/t AN)		MODEL	PK292010 miniPCI W WMIA-123	LAN				
		IENTAL N		Air Temperature:22.0°C, Liquid Temperature:21.0°C Humidity:60%RH									
TEST	ED B	(Anse	Ansen Lei									
Chan.	Chan. Freq. Modulate (MHz) type			ucted r (mW)	Power Drift	ft Device Use	Device Test	Antenna	Measured 1g SAR				
	(MHz)	type	Begin Test	After Test	(%)	Power	Position Mode	Position	(W/kg)				
1	5210 (Low)	OFDM	34.995	34.547	-1.28	Standard Battery from hos	st 16	Internal Fixed	0.157				
2	5250 (High)	OFDM	35.237	34.441	-2.26	Standard Battery from hos	st 16	Internal Fixed	0.169				
3	5290 (Low)	OFDM	38.905	38.477	-1.10	Standard Battery from hos	st 16	Internal Fixed	0.157				
4	5760 (High)	OFDM	40087 39.381 -1.76 Standard 16 Internal 0 Battery from host 16 Fixed										
5 5800 (Low) OFDM 40.058 39.329 -1.82 Standard Battery from host 16 Internal Fixed 0.27						0.210							

NOTE:

1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.

2. In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, **1.6 W/kg**, is applied.

3. Please see the Appendix A for the photo of the test configuration and also the data.



5.3 SAR LIMITS

	SAR (W/kg)		
HUMAN EXPOSURE	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / controlled Exposure Environment)	
Spatial Average (whole body)	0.08	0.4	
Spatial Peak (averaged over 1 g)	1.6	8.0	
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0	

NOTE:

1. This limits accord to 47 CFR 2.1093 – Safety Limit.

2. The EUT property been complied with the partial body exposure limit under the general population environment.

5.4 RECIPES FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS

For the measurement of the field distribution inside the SAM phantom, the phantom must be filled with 25 litters of tissue simulation liquid.

The following ingredients are used :

- Water- Deionized water (pure H20), resistivity _16 M as basis for the liquid
- Sugar- Refined sugar in crystals, as available in food shops to reduce relative permittivity
- Salt- Pure NaCl to increase conductivity
- Cellulose- Hydroxyethyl-cellulose, medium viscosity (75-125 mPa.s, 2% in water, 20_C),CAS # 54290 to increase viscosity and to keep sugar in solution
- Preservative- Preventol D-7 Bayer AG, D-51368 Leverkusen, CAS # 55965-84-9 to prevent the spread of bacteria and molds
- DGMBE- Diethylenglycol-monobuthyl ether (DGMBE), Fluka Chemie GmbH, CAS # 112-34-5 to reduce relative permittivity



Ingredient Head Simulating Liquid 2450MHz(HSL-2450)		Muscle Simulating Liquid 2450MHz(MSL-2450)					
Water	45%	69.83%					
DGMBE	55%	30.17%					
Salt	NA	NA					
Dielectric Parameters at 22℃	f=2450MHz ε=39.2±5% σ= 1.80±5% S/m	f=2450MHz ε=52.7±5% σ= 1.95±5% S/m					

The Recipes for 2450MHz Simulating Liquid Table

The liquid nature is tested by Agilent Network Analyzer E8358A and Agilent Dielectric Probe Kit 85070D.Here are the procedure.

- 1. Turn Network Analyzer on and allow at least 30 min. warm up.
- 2. Mount dielectric probe kit so that interconnecting cable to Network Analyzer will not be moved during measurements or calibration.
- 3. Pour de-ionized water and measure water temperature (±1°).
- 4. Set water temperature in Agilent-Software (Calibration Setup).
- 5. Perform calibration.
- 6. Validate calibration with dielectric material of known properties (e.g. polished ceramic slab with >8mm thickness ϵ '=10.0, ϵ "=0.0). If measured parameters do not fit within tolerance, repeat calibration (±0.2 for ϵ ': ±0.1 for ϵ ").
- 7. Conductivity can be calculated from ε " by $\sigma = \omega \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon$ " = ε " f [GHz] / 18.
- 8. Measure liquid shortly after calibration. Repeat calibration every hour.
- 9. Stir the liquid to be measured. Take a sample (~50ml) with a syringe from the center of the liquid container.
- 10. Pour the liquid into a small glass flask. Hold the syringe at the bottom of the flask to avoid air bubbles.
- 11. Put the dielectric probe in the glass flask. Check that there are no air bubbles in front of the opening in the dielectric probe kit.
- 12. Perform measurements.
- 13. Adjust medium parameters in DASY4 for the frequencies necessary for the measurements ('Setup Config', select medium (e.g. Brain 900 MHz) and press 'Option'-button.
- 14. Select the current medium for the frequency of the validation (e.g. Setup Medium Brain 900 MHz).



For 2.4GHZ Band Simulating Liquid								
Liq	uid Type	HSL-2450		MSL-2450				
Simulating Liquid Temp.		NA		21				
Test Date		NA		Apr. 15, 2005				
Te	Tested By		NA		Ansen Lei			
Freq. (MHz)	Liquid Parameter	Standard Value	Measurement Value	Standard Value	Measurement Value			
2412	Permitivity (ε)	NA	NA	52.7507	50.9000			
2437		NA	NA	52.7173	50.9000			
2450		NA	NA	52.7000	50.8000			
2462		NA	NA	52.6847	50.8000			
2412	Conductivity (σ) S/m	NA	NA	1.9137	1.9600			
2437		NA	NA	1.9376	2.0100			
2450		NA	NA	1.9500	2.0200			
2462		NA	NA	1.9670	2.0400			
	Dielectric Parameters Required at 22 $^\circ\!\!\mathbb{C}$							

For 2.4GHz Band Simulating Liquid



For 5GHz Band Simulating Liquid - Normal Mode									
LIQU	JID TYPE	HS	L-5800	HSL-5800					
	TING LIQUID TEMP.		NA	21					
TES	ST DATE		NA	Mar. 2	10, 2005				
TES	STED BY		NA	Ans	en Lei				
Freq. (MHz)	Liquid Parameter	Standard Value	Measurement Value	Standard Value	Measurement Value				
5180		NA	NA	49.0414	47.4000				
5240		NA	NA	48.9600	47.3000				
5260	Permittivity	NA	NA	48.9329	47.3000				
5320	(ε)	NA	NA	48.8514	47.3000				
5745	(2)	NA	NA	48.2746	46.3000				
5785		NA	NA	48.2204	46.2000				
5825		NA	NA	48.1661	46.1000				
5180		NA	NA	5.2759	5.3400				
5240		NA	NA	5.3460	5.4200				
5260	Conductivity	NA	NA	5.3694	5.4200				
5320	(σ)	NA	NA	5.4394	5.4200				
5745	S/m	NA	NA	5.9358	6.1200				
5785		NA	NA	5.9825	6.2000				
5825		NA	NA	6.0292	6.2400				
	Die	lectric Param	eters Required a	at 22℃					

CU- Dond C



	For 5GHz Band Simulating Liquid - Turbo Mode									
LIQU	JID TYPE	HSL	-5800	HSL-5800						
	TING LIQUID TEMP.	Ν	IA	21						
TES	ST DATE	Ν	IA	Mar. 1	0, 2005					
TES	STED BY	Ν	IA	Anse	en Lei					
Freq. (MHz)	Liquid Parameter	Standard Value			Measuremen t Value					
5210		NA	NA	49.0007	47.3000					
5250	Dormitivity	NA	NA	48.9464	47.2000					
5290	Permitivity	NA	NA	48.8921	47.2000					
5760	(ε)	NA	NA	48.2543	46.2000					
5800		NA	NA	48.2000	46.2000					
5210		NA	NA	5.3110	5.3800					
5250	Conductivity	NA	NA	5.3577	5.4300					
5290	(σ)	NA	NA	5.4044	5.4300					
5760	S/m	NA	NA	5.9533	6.1400					
5800		NA	NA	6.0000	6.2100					
	Die	lectric Parame	eters Required a	at 22℃						

_ _



Item	Name	Band	Туре	Series No.	Calibrated Until
1	Network Analyzer	Agilent	E8358A	US41480538	Mar. 23, 2006
2	Dielectric Probe	Agilent	85070D	US01440176	NA

5.5 TEST EQUIPMENT FOR TISSUE PROPERTY

NOTE:

- 1. Before starting, all test equipment shall be warmed up for 30min.
- 2. The tolerance (k=1) specified by Agilent for general dielectric measurements, deriving from inaccuracies in the calibration data, analyzer drift, and random errors, are usually ±2.5% and ±5% for measured permittivity and conductivity, respectively. However, the tolerances for the conductivity is smaller for material with large loss tangents, i.e., less than ±2.5% (k=1). It can be substantially smaller if more accurate methods are applied.



6. SYSTEM VALIDATION

The system validation was performed in the flat phantom with equipment listed in the following table. Since the SAR value is calculated from the measured electric field, dielectric constant and conductivity of the body tissue, and the SAR is proportional to the square of the electric field. So, the SAR value will be also proportional to the RF power input to the system validation dipole under the same test environment. In our system validation test, 250mW RF input power was used.

Item	Name	Band	Туре	Series No.	Calibrated Until
1	SAM Phantom	S&P	QD000 P40 CA	PT-1150	NA
2	Signal Generator	R & S	SMP04	10001	May 05, 2005
3	E-Field Probe	S & P	EX3DV3	3506	Mar. 18, 2005
3	E-Field Probe	S & P	ET3DV6	1687	Aug. 25, 2005
4	DAE	S&P	DAE3	510	Aug. 16, 2005
5	Robot Positioner	Staubli Unimation	NA	NA	NA
6	Validation Dipole	S & P	D5GHzV2	1018	Mar. 22, 2005
6	Validation Dipole	S & P	D2450V2	716	Aug. 22, 2005

6.1 TEST EQUIPMENT

NOTE: Before starting the measurement, all test equipment shall be warmed up for 30min.

6.2 BEFORE STARTING THE MEASUREMENT, ALL TEST EQUIPMENT SHALL BE WARMED UP FOR 30MIN.TEST PROCEDURE

Before the system performance check, we need only to tell the system which components (probe, medium, and device) are used for the system performance check; the system will take care of all parameters. The dipole must be placed beneath the flat section of the SAM Twin Phantom with the correct distance holder in place. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking (little cross) and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. Accurate positioning is not necessary, since the system will search for the peak SAR location, except that the dipole arms should be parallel to the surface. The divice holder for mobile phones can be left in place but should be rotated away from the dipole.



- 1.The "Power Reference Measurement" and "Power Drift Measurement" jobs are located at the beginning and end of the batch process. They measure the field drift at one single point in the liquid over the complete procedure. The indicated drift is mainly the variation of the amplifier output power. If it is too high (above ±0.1 dB), the system performance check should be repeated; some amplifiers have very high drift during warm-up. A stable amplifier gives drift results in the DASY system below ±0.02 dB.
- 2. The "Surface Check" job tests the optical surface detection system of the DASY system by repeatedly detecting the surface with the optical and mechanical surface detector and comparing the results. The output gives the detecting heights of both systems, the difference between the two systems and the standard deviation of the detection repeatability. Air bubbles or refraction in the liquid due to separation of the sugar-water mixture gives poor repeatability (above ± 0.1 mm). In that case it is better to abort the system performance check and stir the liquid. The difference between the optical surface detection and the actual surface depends on the probe and is specified with each probe. (It does not depend on the surface reflectivity or the probe angle to the surface within $\pm 30^{\circ}$.) However, varying breaking indices of difference varies from the actual setting, the probe parameter "optical surface
- 3. The "Area Scan" job measures the SAR above the dipole on a plane parallel to the surface. It is used to locate the approximate location of the peak SAR. The proposed scan uses large grid spacing for faster measurement; due to the symmetric field, the peak detection is reliable. If a finer graphic is desired, the grid spacing can be reduced. Grid spacing and orientation have no influence on the SAR result.
- 4. The "Zoom Scan" job measures the field in a volume around the peak SAR value assessed in the previous "Area Scan" job (for more information see the application note on SAR evaluation).



About the validation dipole positioning uncertainty, the constant and low loss dielectric spacer is used to establish the correct distance between the top surface of the dipole and the bottom surface of the phantom, the error component introduced by the uncertainty of the distance between the liquid (i.e., phantom shell) and the validation dipole in the DASY4 system is less than ± 0.1 mm.

$$SAR_{tolerance}[\%] = 100 \times (\frac{(a+d)^2}{a^2} - 1)$$

As the closest distance is 10mm, the resulting tolerance $SAR_{tolerance}$ [%] is <2%.

6.3 VALIDATION RESULTS

For 2.4GHz:

·····								
ENVIRONMENT CONDITION		Temperatu	Temperature:22°C, Humidity:60% RH					
TESTED BY Ansen Lei								
TEST DATE Apr. 15, 2005								
2450MHz	Syst	em Validat	ion Test in the N	/luscle Simulatii	ng Liquid			
				Separation Distance				
MSL2450	12	2.20 (1g) 11.50 -5.74 10mm						

NOTE: Please see Appendix for the photo of system validation test.



ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITION	Temperature:22°C, Humidity:60% RH						
TESTED BY	Ansen Lei						
TEST DATE	Mar. 10, 2005						
Required SAR (mW/g)	Measured SAR (mW/g)	Deviation (%)	Separation Distance				
5200MHz SYSTEM V	ALIDATION TEST IN T	HE MUSCLE SI	MULATING LIQUID				
18.90 (1g)	19.70	4.40	10mm				
5800MHz SYSTEM V	ALIDATION TEST IN T	HE MUSCLE SI	MULATING LIQUID				
17.80 (1g)	18.40	3.50	10mm				
NOTE: Please see Appendix for the photo of system validation test.							



6.4 SYSTEM VALIDATION UNCERTAINTIES (For 2.4GHz)

In the table below, the system validation uncertainty with respect to the analytically assessed SAR value of a dipole source as given in the IEEE P1528 standard is given. This uncertainty is smaller than the expected uncertainty for mobile phone measurements due to the simplified setup and the symmetric field distribution.

	Tolerance (±%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(C	-	Uncer (±	dard rtainty %)	(v _i)
				(1g)	(10g)	(1g)	(10g)	
		Measuremen	-				_	
Probe Calibration	4.8	Normal	1	1	1	4.8	4.8	∞
Axial Isotropy	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	0.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Boundary effect	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Linearity	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
System Detection Limit	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	1.0	Normal	1	1	1	1.0	1.0	∞
Response Time	0.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Integration Time	0.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
Probe Positioner	0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe positioning	2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	8
		Dipol	е					
Dipole Axis to Liquid Distance	2.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.2	1.2	∞
Input power and SAR drift measurement	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
	Ph	antom and Tiss	ue Param	eters			-	
Phantom Uncertainty	4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity (target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity (measurement)	2.5	Normal	1	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.1	∞
Liquid Permittivity (target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.60	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity (measurement)	2.5	Normal	1	0.60	0.49	1.5	1.2	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty						8.4	8.1	∞
	Coverage	e Factor for 95%					kp=2	
	Expanded	Uncertainty (K=	2)			16.8	16.2	

NOTE: About the system validation uncertainty assessment, please reference the section 7.



6.5 SYSTEM VALIDATION UNCERTAINTIES (For 5GHz)

Image: Measurement System (1g) (1g) <th< th=""><th>Error Description</th><th>Tolerance (±%)</th><th>Probability Distribution</th><th>Divisor</th><th>(0</th><th>;_i)</th><th>Uncer</th><th>dard tainty %)</th><th>(v_i)</th></th<>	Error Description	Tolerance (±%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(0	; _i)	Uncer	dard tainty %)	(v _i)		
Probe Calibration 6.6 Normal 1 1 1 1 4.8 6.6 ∞ Axial Isotropy 4.7 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 2.7 2.7 ∞ Hemispherical Isotropy 0.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 0.0 0.0 ∞ Boundary effect 2.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 2.7 2.7 ∞ Linearity 4.7 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 2.7 2.7 ∞ System Detection Limit 1.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 0.6 0.6 ∞ Readout Electronics 1.0 Normal 1 1 1 0.0 0.0 ∞ Ref Ambient Conditions 3.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 0.5 0.5 ∞ Probe positioner 0.8 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 2.3 2.3 <th< th=""><th></th><th>、</th><th></th><th></th><th>(1g)</th><th>(10g)</th><th>(1g)</th><th>(10g)</th><th></th></th<>		、			(1g)	(10g)	(1g)	(10g)			
Axial Isotropy 4.7 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 2.7 2.7 ∞ Hemispherical Isotropy 0.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 0.0 0.0 ∞ Boundary effect 2.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 1.2 1.2 ∞ Linearity 4.7 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 1.2 1.2 ∞ System Detection Limit 1.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 0.6 0.6 ∞ Readout Electronics 1.0 Normal 1 1 1.0 1.0 ∞ Response Time 0.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 0.0 0.0 ∞ Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 0.0 0.0 ∞ Readout Electronics 1.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 0.0 0.0 Rembint 3.0 Rectangular	Measurement System										
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Probe Calibration	6.6	Normal	1	1	1	4.8	6.6	∞		
Isotropy 0.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 0.0 0.0 $\sqrt{3}$ Boundary effect 2.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 1.0 1.2 1.2 ∞ Linearity 4.7 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 1.2 1.2 ∞ System Detection Limit 1.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 0.6 0.6 ∞ Readout Electronics 1.0 Normal 1 1 1 0.0 0.0 ∞ Response Time 0.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 0.0 0.0 ∞ Integration Time 0.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 0.0 0.0 ∞ Probe positioner 0.8 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 0.5 0.5 ∞ Algorithms for Max. 4.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 2.3 2.3 ∞		4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		0.0	Rectangular		1	1	0.0	0.0	∞		
System Detection Limit 1.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 0.6 0.6 ∞ Readout Electronics 1.0 Normal 1 1 1 1.0 1.0 ∞ Response Time 0.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 0.0 0.0 ∞ Integration Time 0.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 0.0 0.0 ∞ RF Ambient Conditions 3.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 0.0 0.0 ∞ Probe Positioner 0.8 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 0.5 0.5 ∞ Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation 4.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 2.3 2.3 ∞ Input power and SAR drift measurement 4.7 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 2.7 2.7 ∞ Phantom Uncertainty 4.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 2.3	Boundary effect	2.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.2	1.2	∞		
Limit 1.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 0.0 0.0 0.0 Readout Electronics 1.0 Normal 1 1 1 1.0 1.0 ∞ Response Time 0.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 0.0 0.0 ∞ Integration Time 0.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 0.0 0.0 ∞ Integration Time 0.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 0.0 0.0 ∞ Integration Time 0.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 0.0 0.0 ∞ Probe Positioner 0.8 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 0.5 0.5 ∞ Algorithms for Max. 4.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 2.3 2.3 ∞ Dipole Axis to Liquid Distance 2.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 2.7 2.7 ∞	•	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c } \hline Response Time & 0.0 & Rectangular & \sqrt{3} & 1 & 1 & 0.0 & 0.0 & \infty \\ \hline Integration Time & 0.0 & Rectangular & \sqrt{3} & 1 & 1 & 0.0 & 0.0 & \infty \\ \hline RF Ambient & 3.0 & Rectangular & \sqrt{3} & 1 & 1 & 1.7 & 1.7 & \infty \\ \hline RF De Positioner & 0.8 & Rectangular & \sqrt{3} & 1 & 1 & 0.5 & 0.5 & \infty \\ \hline Probe Positioning & 5.7 & Normal & 1 & 1 & 1 & 5.7 & 5.7 & \infty \\ \hline Algorithms for Max. & 4.0 & Rectangular & \sqrt{3} & 1 & 1 & 2.3 & 2.3 & \infty \\ \hline Dipole Axis to Liquid Distance & & & & & & & \\ \hline Dipole Axis to Liquid 2.0 & Rectangular & \sqrt{3} & 1 & 1 & 1.2 & 1.2 & \infty \\ \hline Input power and SAR & 4.7 & Rectangular & \sqrt{3} & 1 & 1 & 2.7 & 2.7 & \infty \\ \hline Phantom Uncertainty & 4.0 & Rectangular & \sqrt{3} & 1 & 1 & 2.3 & 2.3 & \infty \\ \hline Liquid Conductivity & 5.0 & Rectangular & \sqrt{3} & 1 & 1 & 2.3 & 2.3 & \infty \\ \hline Liquid Conductivity & 5.0 & Rectangular & \sqrt{3} & 0.64 & 0.43 & 1.8 & 1.2 & \infty \\ \hline Liquid Conductivity & 5.0 & Rectangular & \sqrt{3} & 0.64 & 0.43 & 1.8 & 1.2 & \infty \\ \hline Liquid Permittivity & 5.0 & Rectangular & \sqrt{3} & 0.64 & 0.43 & 1.6 & 1.1 & \infty \\ \hline Liquid Permittivity & 2.5 & Normal & 1 & 0.60 & 0.49 & 1.7 & 1.4 & \infty \\ \hline Liquid Permittivity & 2.5 & Normal & 1 & 0.60 & 0.49 & 1.5 & 1.2 & \infty \\ \hline Combined Standard Uncertainty & 11.3 & 11.1 & \infty \\ \hline Coverage Factor for 95\% & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$		1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline Integration Time 0.0 Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1 1 0.0 0.0 ∞ λ{matrix} $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$	Readout Electronics	1.0	Normal	1	1	1	1.0	1.0	∞		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \mathbf{RF} Ambient \\ Conditions & 3.0 & Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ & 1 & 1 & 1.7 & 1.7 & ∞ \\ \hline $\mathbf{Probe Positioner}$ & 0.8 & Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ & 1 & 1 & 0.5 & 0.5 & ∞ \\ \hline $\mathbf{Probe positioning}$ & 5.7 & Normal & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 5.7 & 5.7 & ∞ \\ \hline $\mathbf{Algorithms for Max.}$ & 4.0 & Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ & 1 & 1 & 2.3 & 2.3 & ∞ \\ \hline $\mathbf{Algorithms for Max.}$ & 4.0 & Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ & 1 & 1 & 2.3 & 2.3 & ∞ \\ \hline $\mathbf{Algorithms for Max.}$ & 4.0 & Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ & 1 & 1 & 2.3 & 2.3 & ∞ \\ \hline $\mathbf{Dipole Axis to Liquid Distance}$ & 2.0 & Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ & 1 & 1 & 1.2 & 1.2 & ∞ \\ \hline $\mathbf{Input power and SAR d 4.7 } & Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ & 1 & 1 & 2.7 & 2.7 & ∞ \\ \hline $\mathbf{Phantom Uncertainty}$ & 4.0 & Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ & 1 & 1 & 2.3 & 2.3 & ∞ \\ \hline $\mathbf{Liquid Conductivity}$ & 5.0 & Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ & 1 & 1 & 2.3 & 2.3 & ∞ \\ \hline $\mathbf{Liquid Conductivity}$ & 5.0 & Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ & 0.64 & 0.43 & 1.8 & 1.2 & ∞ \\ \hline $\mathbf{Liquid Permittivity}$ & 5.0 & Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ & 0.64 & 0.43 & 1.8 & 1.2 & ∞ \\ \hline $\mathbf{Liquid Permittivity}$ & 5.0 & Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ & 0.60 & 0.49 & 1.7 & 1.4 & ∞ \\ \hline $\mathbf{Liquid Permittivity}$ & 2.5 & Normal & 1 & 0.60 & 0.49 & 1.5 & 1.2 & ∞ \\ \hline $\mathbf{Liquid Permittivity}$ & 2.5 & Normal & 1 & 0.60 & 0.49 & 1.5 & 1.2 & ∞ \\ \hline $\mathbf{Liquid Permittivity}$ & 2.5 & Normal & 1 & 0.60 & 0.49 & 1.5 & 1.2 & ∞ \\ \hline $\mathbf{Liquid Permittivity}$ & 2.5 & Normal & 1 & 0.60 & 0.49 & 1.5 & 1.2 & ∞ \\ \hline $\mathbf{Liquid Permittivity}$ & 2.5 & Normal & 1 & 0.60 & 0.49 & 1.5 & 1.2 & ∞ \\ \hline $\mathbf{Liquid Permittivity}$ & 2.5 & Normal & 1 & 0.60 & 0.49 & 1.5 & 1.2 & ∞ \\ \hline $\mathbf{Liquid Permittivity}$ & 2.5 & Normal & 1 & 0.60 & 0.49 & 1.5 & 1.2 & ∞ \\ \hline $\mathbf{Liquid Permittivity}$ & 2.5 & Normal & 1 & 0.60 & 0.49 & 1.5 & 1.2 & ∞ \\ \hline $\mathbf{Liquid Permittivity}$ & 2.5 & Normal & 1 & 0.60 & 0.49 & 1.5 & 1.2 & ∞ \\ \hline $\mathbf{Liquid Permittivity}$ & 11.3 & 11.1 & ∞ \\ \hline $\mathbf{Liquid Permittivity}$ & 5.0 & Rectangular & $\sqrt{3}$ & 0.60 & 0.49 & 1.5 & 1.2 & ∞ \\ \hline $\mathbf{Liquid Permittivity}$ & 11.3 & 11.1 & ∞ \\ \hline Liq	Response Time	0.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞		
Conditions3.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 111.71.71.7 ∞ Probe Positioner0.8Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 110.50.5 ∞ Probe positioning5.7Normal11115.75.7 ∞ Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation4.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 112.32.3 ∞ DipoleDipole Axis to Liquid Distance2.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 111.21.2 ∞ Phantom and Tissue ParametersPhantom Uncertainty4.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 112.32.3 ∞ Liquid Conductivity (target)5.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 112.32.3 ∞ Liquid Conductivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.640.431.81.2 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.600.491.71.4 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.600.491.51.2 ∞ Combined Standard Uncertainty11.311.1 ∞ Coverage Factor for 95%kp=2		0.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞		
Probe positioning5.7Normal11115.75.7 ∞ Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation4.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 112.32.3 ∞ DipoleDipole Axis to Liquid DistanceDipole Axis to Liquid Distance2.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 111.21.2 ∞ Input power and SAR drift measurement4.7Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 112.32.3 ∞ Phantom Uncertainty (target)4.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 112.32.3 ∞ Liquid Conductivity (target)5.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 0.640.431.81.2 ∞ Liquid Conductivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.640.431.61.1 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.600.491.71.4 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.600.491.51.2 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.600.491.51.2 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.600.491.51.2 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.600.491.51.2 ∞ Coverage Factor for 95%kp=2		3.0	Rectangular		1	1	1.7	1.7	∞		
Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation4.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 112.32.3 ∞ DipoleDipole Axis to Liquid Distance2.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 111.21.2 ∞ Input power and SAR drift measurement4.7Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 112.72.7 ∞ Phantom uncertainty4.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 112.32.3 ∞ Phantom Uncertainty4.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 112.32.3 ∞ Liquid Conductivity (target)5.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 0.640.431.81.2 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (target)5.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 0.600.491.71.4 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.600.491.51.2 ∞ Combined Standard Uncertainty11.311.1 ∞ Coverage Factor for 95%kp=2	Probe Positioner	0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.5	0.5	∞		
SAR Evaluation4.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 112.32.32.3 ∞ DipoleDipole Axis to Liquid Distance2.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 111.21.2 ∞ Input power and SAR drift measurement4.7Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 1112.72.7 ∞ Phantom Uncertainty4.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 112.32.3 ∞ Liquid Conductivity (target)5.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 0.640.431.81.2 ∞ Liquid Conductivity (target)5.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 0.640.431.61.1 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.640.431.61.1 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.600.491.71.4 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.600.491.51.2 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.600.491.51.2 ∞ Coverage Factor for 95%kp=2		5.7	Normal	1	1	1	5.7	5.7	∞		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞		
Distance2.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 111.21.2 ∞ Input power and SAR drift measurement4.7Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 112.72.7 ∞ Phantom and Tissue ParametersPhantom Uncertainty4.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 112.32.3 ∞ Liquid Conductivity (target)5.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 0.640.431.81.2 ∞ Liquid Conductivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.640.431.61.1 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.600.491.71.4 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.600.491.51.2 ∞ Coverage Factor for 95%kp=2Kp=2Kp=2Kp=2Kp=2Kp=2Kp=2Kp=2 <th></th> <td></td> <td>Dipol</td> <td>е</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			Dipol	е							
drift measurement4.7Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 112.72.7 ∞ Phantom and Tissue ParametersPhantom Uncertainty4.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 112.32.3 ∞ Liquid Conductivity (target)5.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 0.640.431.81.2 ∞ Liquid Conductivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.640.431.61.1 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (target)5.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 0.600.491.71.4 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.600.491.51.2 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.600.491.51.2 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.600.491.51.2 ∞ Coverage Factor for 95%kp=2 ω ω ω ω ω	Distance	2.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.2	1.2	∞		
Phantom Uncertainty4.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 112.32.3 ∞ Liquid Conductivity (target)5.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 0.640.431.81.2 ∞ Liquid Conductivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.640.431.61.1 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (target)5.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 0.600.491.71.4 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (target)2.5Normal10.600.491.71.4 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.600.491.51.2 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.600.491.51.2 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.600.491.51.2 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.600.491.51.2 ∞ Coverage Factor for 95%kp=2Kp=2Kp=2Kp=2Kp=2Kp=2Kp=2					-	1	2.7	2.7	∞		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Pł	nantom and Tiss		eters		[r			
(target)5.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 0.640.431.81.2 ∞ Liquid Conductivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.640.431.61.1 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (target)5.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 0.600.491.71.4 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.600.491.71.4 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (measurement)2.5Normal10.600.491.51.2 ∞ Combined Standard Uncertainty11.311.1 ∞ Coverage Factor for 95%kp=2		4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞		
(measurement)2.5Normal1 0.64 0.43 1.6 1.1 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (target)5.0Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 0.60 0.49 1.7 1.4 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (measurement)2.5Normal1 0.60 0.49 1.5 1.2 ∞ Combined Standard Uncertainty11.311.1 ∞ Coverage Factor for 95%	(target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞		
(target) 5.0 Rectangular √ 3 0.60 0.49 1.7 1.4 ∞ Liquid Permittivity (measurement) 2.5 Normal 1 0.60 0.49 1.5 1.2 ∞ Combined Standard Uncertainty 11.3 11.1 ∞ Coverage Factor for 95% kp=2	(measurement)	2.5	Normal	1	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.1	∞		
(measurement)2.5Normal10.600.491.51.2 ∞ Combined Standard Uncertainty11.311.1 ∞ Coverage Factor for 95%kp=2	(target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.60	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞		
Coverage Factor for 95% kp=2		2.5	Normal	1	0.60	0.49	1.5	1.2	∞		
	Combined Standard Uncertainty							11.1	∞		
		Coverag	e Factor for 9 <mark>5%</mark>					kp=2			
Expanded Uncertainty (K=2)22.622.1		Expanded	Uncertainty (K=	2)			22.6	22.1			

Table 6.1

NOTE 1: Table 6.1 Uncertainty of the system performance check in the 5-6GHz range. Probe calibration error reflects uncertainty of the EX3DV3 probe conversion factor at Calibration Frequency.

NOTE 2: About the system validation uncertainty assessment, please reference the section 7.



7. MEASUREMENT SAR PROCEDURE UNCERTAINTIES

The assessment of spatial peak SAR of the hand handheld devices is according to IEEE 1528. All testing situation shall be met below these requirement.

- The system is used by an experienced engineer who follows the manual and the guidelines taught during the training provided by SPEAG.
- The probe has been calibrated within the requested period and the stated uncertainty for the relevant frequency bands does not exceed 4.8% (k=1).
- The validation dipole has been calibrated within the requested period and the system performance check has been successful.
- The DAE unit has been calibrated within the within the requested period.
- The minimum distance between the probe sensor and inner phantom shell is selected to be between 4 and 5mm.
- The operational mode of the DUT is CW, CDMA, FDMA or TDMA (GSM, DCS, PCS, IS136 and PDC) and the measurement/integration time per point is >500 ms.
- The dielectric parameters of the liquid have been assessed using Agilent 85070D dielectric probe kit or a more accurate method.
- The dielectric parameters are within 5% of the target values.
- The DUT has been positioned as described in section 3.

7.1 PROBE CALIBRATION UNCERTAINTY

SPEAG conducts the probe calibration in compliance with international and national standards (e.g. IEEE 1528, EN50361, IEC 62209, etc.) under ISO17025. The uncertainties are stated on the calibration certificate. For the most relevant frequency bands, these values do not exceed 4.8% (k=1). If evaluations of other bands are performed for which the uncertainty exceeds these values, the uncertainty tables given in the summary have to be revised accordingly.

7.2 ISOTROPY UNCERTAINTY

The axial isotropy tolerance accounts for probe rotation around its axis while the hemispherical isotropy error includes all probe orientations and field polarizations. These parameters are assessed by SPEAG during initial calibration. In 2001, SPEAG further tightened its quality controls and warrants that the maximal deviation from axial isotropy is ± 0.20 dB, while the maximum deviation of hemispherical isotropy is ± 0.40 dB, corresponding to $\pm 4.7\%$ and $\pm 9.6\%$, respectively. A weighting factor of cp equal to 0.5 can be applied, since the axis of the probe deviates less than 30 degrees from the normal surface orientation.



7.3 BOUNDARY EFFECT UNCERTAINTY

The effect can be estimated according to the following error approximation formula

$$SAR_{tolerance}[\%] = SAR_{be}[\%] \times \frac{(d_{be} + d_{step})^2}{2d_{step}} \frac{e^{\frac{d_{be}}{\delta/2}}}{\delta/2}$$

$$d_{be} + d_{step} < 10mm$$

The parameter d_{be} is the distance in mm between the surface and the closest measurement point used in the averaging process; d_{step} is the separation distance in mm between the first and second measurement points; δ is the minimum penetration depth in mm within the head tissue equivalent liquids (i.e., δ = 13.95 mm at 3GHz); SAR_{be} is the deviation between the measured SAR value at the distance d_{be} from the boundary and the wave-guide analytical value SAR_{ref}.DASY4 applies a boundary effect compensation algorithm according to IEEE 1528, which is possible since the axis of the probe never deviates more than 30 degrees from the normal surface orientation. SAR_{be}[%] is assessed during the calibration process and SPEAG warrants that the uncertainty at distances larger than 4mm is always less than 1%.In summary, the worst case boundary effect SAR tolerance[%] for scanning distances larger than 4mm is < ± 0.8%.

7.4 PROBE LINEARITY UNCERTAINTY

Field probe linearity uncertainty includes errors from the assessment and compensation of the diode compression effects for CW and pulsed signals with known duty cycles. This error is assessed using the procedure described in IEEE 1528. For SPEAG field probes, the measured difference between CW and pulsed signals, with pulse frequencies between 10 Hz and 1 kHz and duty cycles between 1 and 100, is < ± 0.20 dB (< $\pm 4.7\%$).

7.5 READOUT ELECTRONICS UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties related to the probe readout electronics (DAE unit), including the gain and linearity of the instrumentation amplifier, its loading effect on the probe, and accuracy of the signal conversion algorithm, have been assessed accordingly to IEEE 1528. The combination (root-sum-square RSS method) of these components results in an overall maximum error of $\pm 1.0\%$.



7.6 RESPONSE TIME UNCERTAINTY

The time response of the field probes is assessed by exposing the probe to a wellcontrolled electric field producing SAR larger than 2.0 W/kg at the tissue medium surface. The signal response time is evaluated as the time required by the system to reach 90% of the expected final value after an on/of switch of the power source. Analytically, it can be expressed as:

$$SAR_{tolerance}[\%] = 100 \times (\frac{T_m}{T_m + \tau e^{-T_m/\tau} - \tau} - 1)$$

where Tm is 500 ms, i.e., the time between measurement samples, and $_{T}$ the time constant. The response time $_{T}$ of SPEAG's probes is <5 ms. In the current implementation, DASY4 waits longer than 100 ms after having reached the grid point before starting a measurement, i.e., the response time uncertainty is negligible.



7.7 INTEGRATION TIME UNCERTAINTY

If the device under test does not emit a CW signal, the integration time applied to measure the electric field at a specific point may introduce additional uncertainties due to the discretization and can be assessed as follows

$$SAR_{tolerance}[\%] = 100 \times \sum_{allsub-frames} \frac{t_{frame}}{t_{integration}} \frac{slot_{idle}}{slot_{total}}$$

The tolerances for the different systems are given in Table 7.1, whereby the worst-case SAR_{tolerance} is 2.6%.

System	$SAR_{tolerance}\%$
CW	0
CDMA*	0
WCDMA*	0
FDMA	0
IS-136	2.6
PDC	2.6
GSM/DCS/PCS	1.7
DECT	1.9
Worst-Case	2.6

Table 7.1

7.8 PROBE POSITIONER MECHANICAL TOLERANCE

The mechanical tolerance of the field probe positioner can introduce probe positioning uncertainties. The resulting SAR uncertainty is assessed by comparing the SAR obtained according to the specifications of the probe positioner with respect to the actual position defined by the geometric enter of the probe sensors. The tolerance is determined as:

$$SAR_{tolerance} ~ [\%] = 100 \times rac{d_{ss}}{\delta/2}$$

The specified repeatability of the RX robot family used in DASY4 systems is $\pm 25 \,\mu$ m. The absolute accuracy for short distance movements is better than ± 0.1 mm, i.e., the SAR_{tolerance}[%] is better than 1.5% (rectangular).



7.9 PROBE POSITIONING

The probe positioning procedures affect the tolerance of the separation distance between the probe tip and the phantom surface as:

$$SAR_{tolerance}[\%] = 100 \times \frac{d_{ph}}{\delta/2}$$

where d_{ph} is the maximum deviation of the distance between the probe tip and the phantom surface. The optical surface detection has a precision of better than 0.2 mm, resulting in an SAR_{tolerance}[%] of <2.9% (rectangular distribution). Since the mechanical detection provides better accuracy, 2.9% is a worst-case figure for DASY4 system.

7.10 PHANTOM UNCERTAINTY

The SAR measurement uncertainty due to SPEAG phantom shell production tolerances has been evaluated using

$$SAR_{tolerance}[\%] \cong 100 \times \frac{2d}{a}, \qquad d \ll a$$

For a maximum deviation d of the inner and outer shell of the phantom from that specified in the CAD file of ± 0.2 mm, and a 10mm spacing a between source and tissue liquid, the calculated phantom uncertainty is $\pm 4.0\%$.



Error Description	Tolerance (±%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(0	;)	Uncer	dard rtainty %)	(V _i)			
	. ,			(1g)	(10g)	(1g)	(10g)				
Measurement System											
Probe Calibration	4.8	Normal	1	1	1	4.8	4.8	∞			
Axial Isotropy	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.9	1.9	∞			
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	Rectangular	√3	1	1	3.9	3.9	∞			
Boundary effect	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞			
Linearity	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞			
System Detection Limit	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞			
Readout Electronics	1.0	Normal	1	1	1	1.0	1.0	∞			
Response Time	0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.5	0.5	∞			
Integration Time	2.6	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.5	1.5	∞			
RF Ambient Conditions	3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞			
Probe Positioner	0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.2	0.2	∞			
Probe positioning	2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞			
Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞			
		Test EUT F	Related				-				
Device Positioning	2.9	Normal	1	1	1	2.9	2.9	875			
Device Holder	3.6	Normal	1	1	1	3.6	3.6	5			
Power Drift	5	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.9	2.9	∞			
	Pha	antom and Tiss		eters	-						
Phantom Uncertainty	4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞			
Liquid Conductivity (target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞			
Liquid Conductivity (measurement)	2.5	Normal	1	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.1	∞			
Liquid Permittivity (target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞			
Liquid Permittivity (measurement)	2.5	Normal	1	0.6	0.49	1.5	1.2	∞			
С	ombined Sta	andard Uncerta	inty			10.3	10	331			
		Factor for 95% Jncertainty (K=					kp=2				
	20.6	20.1									

7.11 DASY4 UNCERTAINTY BUDGET (For 2.4GHz)

Table 7.2

The table 7.2: Worst-Case uncertainty budget for DASY4 assessed according to IEEE P1528. The budget is valid for the frequency range $300MHz \sim 3$ GHz and represents a worst-case analysis. For specific tests and configurations, the uncertainty could be considerable smaller.



7.12 DASY4 UNCERTAINTY BUDGET (For 5 ~ 6GHz)
--

Error Description	Tolerance (±%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(0	; _i)		dard tainty %)	(v _i)	
				(1g)	(10g)	(1g)	(10g)		
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	6.8	Normal	1	1	1	6.8	6.8	∞	
Axial Isotropy	4.7	Rectangular	√3	0.7	0.7	1.9	1.9	∞	
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	Rectangular	√3	0.7	0.7	3.9	3.9	∞	
Boundary effect	2.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.2	1.2	∞	
Linearity	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞	
System Detection Limit	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	8	
Readout Electronics	1.0	Normal	1	1	1	1.0	1.0	∞	
Response Time	0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.5	0.5	∞	
Integration Time	2.6	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.5	1.5	∞	
RF Ambient Conditions	3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞	
Probe Positioner	0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.5	0.5	∞	
Probe positioning	5.7	Normal	1	1	1	5.7	5.7	∞	
Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞	
		Test EUT F	Related						
Device Positioning	2.9	Normal	1	1	1	2.9	2.9	145	
Device Holder	3.6	Normal	1	1	1	3.6	3.6	5	
Power Drift	5.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.9	2.9	∞	
	Pha	antom and Tiss	ue Paramo	eters					
Phantom Uncertainty	4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞	
Liquid Conductivity (target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞	
Liquid Conductivity (measurement)	2.5	Normal	1	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.1	∞	
Liquid Permittivity (target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.60	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞	
Liquid Permittivity (measurement)	2.5	Normal	1	0.60	0.49	1.5	1.2	∞	
C	ombined Sta	andard Uncerta	inty			12.8	12.7	330	
	Expanded	STD Uncertaint	у			25.7	25.3		

Table 7.3

The table 7.3: Worst-Case uncertainty budget for DASY4 valid for the frequency range $5 \sim 6$ GHz. Probe calibration error reflects uncertainty of the narrow-bandwidth EX3DV3 probe conversion factor (±50 MHz).



8. INFORMATION ON THE TESTING LABORATORIES

We, ADT Corp., were founded in 1988 to provide our best service in EMC, Radio, Telecom and Safety consultation. Our laboratories are accredited and approved by the following approval agencies according to ISO/IEC 17025:

USA	FCC, NVLAP, UL, A2LA
Germany	TUV Rheinland
Japan	VCCI
Norway	NEMKO
Canada	INDUSTRY CANADA, CSA
R.O.C.	CNLA, BSMI, DGT
Netherlands	Telefication
Singapore	PSB, GOST-ASIA(MOU)
Russia	CERTIS(MOU)

Copies of accreditation certificates of our laboratories obtained from approval agencies can be downloaded from our web site:

<u>www.adt.com.tw/index.5/phtml</u>. If you have any comments, please feel free to contact us at the following:

Linko EMC/RF Lab:

Tel: 886-2-26052180 Fax: 886-2-26052943 Hsin Chu EMC/RF Lab: Tel: 886-3-5935343 Fax: 886-3-5935342

Hwa Ya EMC/RF/Safety/Telecom Lab: Tel: 886-3-3183232 Fax: 886-3-3185050

Linko RF Lab.

Tel: 886-3-3270910 Fax: 886-3-3270892

Web Site: www.adt.com.tw

The address and road map of all our labs can be found in our web site also.