



Qualcomm Technologies, Inc.

ASUS Z01QD Smartphone 802.11ad FCC RF Exposure Simulation

80-YB869-3 Rev. A

November 8, 2018

All Qualcomm products mentioned herein are products of Qualcomm Technologies, Inc. and/or its subsidiaries.

Qualcomm is a trademark of Qualcomm Incorporated, registered in the United States and other countries. Other product and brand names may be trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective owners.

This technical data may be subject to U.S. and international export, re-export, or transfer ("export") laws. Diversion contrary to U.S. and international law is strictly prohibited.

Qualcomm Technologies, Inc.
5775 Morehouse Drive
San Diego, CA 92121
U.S.A.

Revision history

Revision	Date	Description
A	November 2018	Initial release

Contents

1 Scope	5
2 Product Description	6
2.1 802.11ad antenna modules	6
2.2 802.11ad antenna configurations.....	7
3 Worst-Case Determination Using the Simulation	8
3.1 Modeling	8
3.2 Setup	9
3.2.1 Platform	9
3.2.2 Channels	9
3.2.3 Error computation and convergence.....	9
3.2.4 Absorbing boundary condition	11
3.3 EIRP comparison measured vs. simulation	12
3.4 Simulation power density results	12
4 Power Density Measurement	14
5 Conclusion	15
A Uncertainty Budget	16
A.1 Simulation uncertainty budget	16
B Simulated Power Density Distributions	17
C 802.11ad Antenna Modules Images, Drawings, and Charts	82
C.1 802.11ad antenna module images inside ASUS_Z01QD.....	82
C.2 Antenna module array elements drawing	83
C.3 Simulation modeling drawings.....	83

Figures

Figure 3-1 Simulation mesh setup used in ASUS 802.11ad antenna module – Top module	10
Figure 3-2 Simulation mesh setup used in ASUS 802.11ad antenna module – Bottom module	11
Figure 3-3 Simulated peak power density in 1 cm ² -averaged area – Top antenna	13
Figure 3-4 Simulated peak power density in 1 cm ² -averaged area – Bottom antenna.....	13
Figure C-1 802.11ad antenna module inside ASUS_Z01QD	82
Figure C-2 Relative position and orientation of top and bottom antenna modules	82
Figure C-3 Antenna module array elements view	83
Figure C-4 Orientation of the simulated power distribution relative to the ASUS_Z01QD device	83
Figure C-5 Top module	84
Figure C-6 Bottom module	84

Figure C-7 Simulation model structure – Top module 85
Figure C-8 Simulation model structure – Bottom module..... 85

Tables

Table 3-1 Measured and Simulated EIRP 12
Table 5-1 Highest simulated power density summary used to define power density measurements..... 15
Table A-1 Standard uncertainty budget for simulated values of power density..... 16

1 Scope

The purpose of this report is to identify test configurations for measurement of the 60 GHz 802.11ad radios embedded in the ASUS phone model ASUS_Z01QD for the FCC RF exposure simulation.

Two 802.11ad modules were integrated into the ASUS_Z01QD smartphone platform.

- Each 11ad radio consists of two separate modules (see Section 2.1).
- Appendix C [802.11ad Antenna Modules Images, Drawings, and Charts](#) shows the placement of the two 802.11ad antenna modules.
- The closest distance between the 802.11ad antenna module and the body or hands of an end user will be in the near field for the 60 GHz frequency.

Final compliance is evaluated through measurements in a third-party test report.

The RF exposure assessment and simultaneous transmission with other radios supported in the phone are evaluated in a separate ASUS test report.

2 Product Description

ASUS_Z01QD is a smartphone radio integrating the following technologies:

- GSM bands 2/3/5/8
- WCDMA bands 1/2/3/4/5/6/8/19
- FDD-LTE bands 1/2/3/4/5/7/8/12/17/18/19/20/26/28/29/30/32
- TDD-LTE bands 34/38/39/40/41(full 41) + LAA
- 802.11a/b/g/n/ac
- Bluetooth
- 802.11ad 60 GHz

2.1 802.11ad antenna modules

The ASUS_Z01QD smartphone integrates two 802.11ad antenna modules operating in the 60 GHz band.

The 11ad antenna modules are connected to a baseband which receives signals from the baseband unit.

- Each 11ad radio consists of two separate modules:
 - 802.11ad antenna module
 - 60 GHz RF and antenna array elements on a printed circuit board
 - Module interfaces to a baseband unit
 - Baseband unit
 - Provides power, control signals, and IF required for the 802.11ad antenna module
- One 11ad module is located at the top and the second module is at the bottom of the phone.
 - The 802.11ad antenna module inside ASUS_Z01QD, and the relative position and orientation of top and bottom antenna modules.
 - For a closer view of the position and orientation of the 11ad antenna module relative to ASUS_Z01QD and the top (Side A) and bottom (Side B) drawings of the 802.11ad antenna module, see Appendix C.
- Device firmware selects which 11ad antenna is used for transmission when only one antenna module can transmit at any one time.
- These 802.11ad modules (including the antenna modules and baseband unit) support all mandatory channels in IEEE 802.11ad (i.e., Channels 1, 2, and 3) and comply with the [IEEE 802.11ad standard](#).

2.2 802.11ad antenna configurations

An antenna configuration or sector is a given combination of amplitude and phase of array elements (see [Figure C-3](#)).

- There are 32 antenna configurations available for each channel to steer the antenna beam and establish a good communication link with the best signal to noise ratio.
- This results in a total 96 antenna configurations available per 11ad array module to handle surrounding transmission environments.
- Only one antenna configuration is active at any time.

3 Worst-Case Determination Using the Simulation

Depending on the transmission environment, the 802.11ad antenna modules installed inside ASUS_Z01QD can activate an optimal antenna configuration to establish a good communication link.

NOTE: Simulation modeling drawings are based on the array model provided by Murata and the 3D platform provided by ASUS (see Appendix A.3).

Ansys Electromagnetics suite 18.0.0 simulation software evaluated all possible antenna configurations to save time.

- The simulation module includes the 802.11ad antenna module and all components surrounded within 5λ .
- The simulation goal is to perform a relative comparison and determine the worst-case antenna configurations having the highest peak 1 cm^2 -averaged power density for each channel, and then perform a power density measurement to demonstrate compliance on the worst cases identified.
 - It is difficult to accurately simulate power density levels to an absolute-level due to the lack of accurate material properties for non-metal components at 60 GHz.
 - Final measurement assessment using a larger averaging area will result in lower reported power density.
 - Based on the placement of the 802.11ad antenna modules, the power density was simulated on four evaluation planes to determine the worst case antenna configurations: front, back, bottom, and side (see [Figure C-4](#)).
- The separation distance between the evaluation plane and the corresponding surface of ASUS_Z01QD is 0.5 mm.

3.1 Modeling

Complete models of the top and bottom of the 802.11ad antenna modules are from the antenna manufacturer Murata, respectively (see Appendix A.3).

The entire mechanical structure within 5λ surrounding the antenna module are also included in the simulation.

- The 3D platform of the device was provided by ASUS.
- With the exception of adhesive materials used in the phone, all components within a 35 mm radius of 11ad antennas modules are part of the simulation model.
- All other antennas in the device were also included in the simulation.

3.2 Setup

Finite element analysis (FEM) simulations were performed to assess the power density of the 802.11ad antenna module (see Appendix C).

A layered breakdown of the mechanical structure included in the top and bottom modules of the simulation model:

- Full model: Entire assembly.
- Without screen: LCD screen removed (shields, battery, FPCs, screws, LCD mirror and metal frames) and were modeled as aluminum
- Only plastic components: All metal parts removed, all non-conductive components were modeled as lossless Sabic material with a relative permittivity of 2.92

The worst-case exposure is expected from the back of ASUS_Z01QD because exposure from other directions will be significantly reduced by the surrounding metal components (see top (Side A) Figure C-1).

3.2.1 Platform

The simulation includes the edge of the platform:

- The platform was cut at 18.5 mm away from the top module edge to reduce simulation time.
 - The overall cut from the top of the platform is 31.5 mm, which is more than five wavelengths.
- The platform was cut at 19.5 mm away from the bottom module edge to reduce simulation time.
 - The overall cut of the platform is 41 mm which is more than five wavelengths.
- The modules are mostly shielded by metallic structures in the $-y$ direction.
 - All components in the vicinity of the module were simulated by assuming they were mostly made of metal.

3.2.2 Channels

ASUS_Z01QD supports 802.11ad Channels 1 to 3. Simulations were performed at the center frequencies of each channel:

- Channel 1: 58.5 GHz
- Channel 2: 60.5 GHz
- Channel 3: 62.5 GHz

3.2.3 Error computation and convergence

In the simulation setup, auto initial mesh was:

- Selected.
- Defined “lambda refinement” (Ansys Electromagnetics Suite 18.0.0 refines the initial mesh based on the material-dependent wavelength).

- Used 30% maximum refinement per pass as our adaptive option.

Ansys Electromagnetics Suite 18.0.0 computes the error, and the iterative process (solve → error analysis → adaptive refinement) repeats until convergence criteria are satisfied.

NOTE: As long as convergence is reached, the converged results are accurate.

Convergence was verified by changing the convergence criteria, maximum magnitude delta S, from 5% to 3%.

- The influence in power density was less than 0.5%.
 - This influence was included in our uncertainty budget (Appendix A).
- The simulation mesh setup was used over the top (Side A) surface and bottom (Side B) surface of the 802.11ad antenna module (see [Figure 3-1](#) and [Figure 3-2](#)).
- The convergence error (maximum magnitude delta S) setting used in all simulations was less than 3%.




Figure 3-1 Simulation mesh setup used in ASUS 802.11ad antenna module – Top module




Figure 3-2 Simulation mesh setup used in ASUS 802.11ad antenna module – Bottom module

3.2.4 Absorbing boundary condition

The second-order absorbing boundary condition (ABC) served as a radiation boundary for all simulations in this report.

- ABC simulates an electrically open surface that allows waves to radiate infinitely far into space.
- The system absorbs the wave by the second-order ABC, essentially ballooning the boundary infinitely far away from the structure and into space.
- The radiation boundaries may also be placed relatively close to a structure and can be of arbitrary shape.

Per the Ansys Electromagnetics recommendation for their simulation tool, the radiation boundary plane must be located at least a quarter wavelength from a strong radiating structure, or at least $\frac{1}{10}$ of a wavelength from a weak radiating structure.

- In this simulation, a spacing of at least five wavelengths was used in all directions surrounding the 802.11ad antenna module to ensure minimal influence of reflections from the boundaries (see [Figure C-7](#) and [Figure C-8](#)).
- This spacing was determined to be sufficient by moving the boundaries closer towards the module by 30% to see the influence on simulated power density.

- Influence was less than 0.05 dB, which confirms that the space between module and computational boundary is sufficient.
- This influence on power density was also included in the simulation uncertainty budget.

For the complete estimated total simulation uncertainty, see Appendix A.

3.3 EIRP comparison measured vs. simulation

Measured EIRP was compared to simulated EIRP to verify accuracy of the simulation model for selected test configurations in Table 3-1.

- As expected, due to unknowns in the device materials, the measured EIRP was less than the simulated EIRP.
- The variance was not fully investigated with the expectation that measured power density results will have greater than 3dB of margin.

Table 3-1 Measured and Simulated EIRP

Antenna Module	Exposure Plane	Channel	Sector	Simulated EIRP (dBm)	Measured EIRP (dBm)	Delta (dB)
Bottom	Rear	2	6	7.6	4.9	2.7
Bottom	Rear	3	25	9.5	5.15	4.3
Bottom	Rear	1	16	8.7	6.62	2.1
Top	Front	3	3	10.3	6.11	4.2

3.4 Simulation power density results

A total of 96 antenna configurations (32 configurations per channel) were evaluated at each evaluation plane for each antenna module (see Figure C-3).

- This represents a total of 960 configurations evaluated to determine the worst-case power density configurations (32 array configurations x 3 channels x 5 device surfaces x 3 arrays).
- The surface furthest from the 11ad array on the top or bottom of the device was not included.
- The objective of the simulation was to compare the power density levels among antenna configurations for all evaluation planes to determine the worst-case antenna configuration for each channel.
- Then, power density is measured for the identified worst-case antenna configurations to demonstrate compliance. For measurement setup and test results, see Chapter 4.

For each channel, the simulated peak 1 cm² averaged power density for all antenna configurations at each evaluation plane (see Figure 3-3 and Figure 3-4).

The highest 1 cm²-averaged power density antenna configurations identified for measurement are noted with red circles. These power densities are total power densities (PD_{total}) where

$$PD_{total} = \sqrt{PD_x + PD_y + PD_z}$$

NOTE: The horizontal axis is the antenna configuration number. Gaps in numbering are due to unused and unlisted antenna configurations.

Figure 3-3 and Figure 3-4 show the simulated peak power density for each channel.




Figure 3-3 Simulated peak power density in 1 cm²-averaged area – Top antenna




Figure 3-4 Simulated peak power density in 1 cm²-averaged area – Bottom antenna

4 Power Density Measurement

Power density measurements are not assessed in this test report.

NOTE: See SPORTON Report No FA852405-06 for measured test results.

5 Conclusion

The worst-case antenna configurations having the highest 1 cm²-averaged power density for each channel and 11ad module, and the 4 cm² results for comparison in the measurement report are identified in [Table 5-1](#).

Simulation results are provided for both the top and bottom 11ad antenna arrays on the front, rear, top, and bottom device surfaces near the antenna array.

Measured test results are expected to be lower than the simulated results and will be used to show compliance for the device.

Table 5-1 Highest simulated power density summary used to define power density measurements

Antenna Module	Exposure Plane	Channel	Sector	Simulated Pd (1cm ²) with 80% duty cycle	Simulated Pd (4cm ²) with 80% duty cycle
Bottom	Rear	2	6	11.21	5.81
Bottom	Rear	1	2	11.19	6.43
Bottom	Rear	3	25	11.17	5.55
Bottom	Rear	1	16	11.16	5.62
Bottom	Side	2	29	6.33	2.35
Bottom	Front	2	31	4.85	1.90
Top	Front	1	18	11.21	4.41
Top	Front	3	3	11.21	4.56
Top	Front	3	13	11.20	4.82
Top	Front	1	31	11.16	5.52
Top	Left	2	21	5.31	1.59
Top	Top	3	13	4.22	1.67
Top	Back	2	19	6.77	3.27
Top	Front	1	18	11.21	4.41

For complete simulation results of point power density distributions on the back plane (bottom module) and front plane (upper module) for all antenna configurations, see [Appendix B](#).

A Uncertainty Budget

A.1 Simulation uncertainty budget

Table A-1 contains the measurement uncertainty analysis for the simulation.

Table A-1 Standard uncertainty budget for simulated values of power density

#	Description	Uncertainty (± %)	Prob. dist.	Div.	Std. unc (± %)	Std. unc (± dB)
1	FEM mesh density	0.10%	Norm	1	0.10%	0.00
2	Boundary condition	0.80%	Norm	1	0.80%	0.03
3	Convergence	0.50%	Norm	1	0.50%	0.02
Combined standard uncertainty					0.9%	0.04
Expanded standard uncertainty					1.9%	0.08
¹ Mesh density on the exposure plane was changed by limiting the "max length" of mesh size from auto setting (9.6 mm) to 2 mm.						
² Simulation domain (volume) was decreased by 30% to check the influence of reflections from boundary conditions on power density.						
³ 5% versus 3% convergence criteria were compared in Ansys Electromagnetics Suite 18.0.0.						