*** This DUT (V66S mobile phone) only have GSM850/PCS system, exclude GSM/DCS system.**

**Part 22 Review**

3) Conducted power on page 7 of the report (32.5 dBm / 32.6 dBm in SAR) suggests higher power than given in tune up procedure (32.2 dBm +/- 0.2 dB). Note all measured power should be <= theory of operation/tune up procedures.

We double check again, and found mistake.
All of these data are not reduced cable loss, and corrected already.
Have update SAR report and Part22 report on your Web.

4) Information presented in section 12.4 does not make sense. First the bandedge emissions are generally very close to the limit and you are showing 20+ dB margin. Second, a reading of -20dBm corrected by 31 dB would appear to be over the limit. Please reverify the information presented in 12.4. Additionally, please note that results must be integrated so that RBW >= 1% of the occupied bandwidth test (i.e. add back in a 10 log (3.2 / 3) = 0.28 dB). This does not appear to be done and will affect the results be a small amount. However the results already appear margin, although final numbers can not easily be resolved.

Sorry! It is big error.
And, update report already.

**Part 24 Review**

5) Conducted power on page 7 of the report (29.7 dBm/29.8 dBm SAR) suggests higher power than given in tune up procedure (29.2 dBm +/- 0.2 dB). Note all measured power should be <= theory of operation/tune up procedures.

We double check again, and found mistake.
All of these data are not reduced cable loss, and corrected already.
Have update SAR report and Part22 report on your Web.

6) Information presented in section 12.4 does not make sense. First the bandedge emissions are generally very close to the limit and you are showing 20+ dB margin. Second, a reading of -20dBm corrected by 13.1 dB would appear to be over the limit. Please reverify the information presented in 12.4. Additionally, please note that results must be integrated so that RBW >= 1% of the occupied bandwidth test (i.e. add back in a 10 log (3.2 / 3) = 0.28 dB). This does not appear to be done and will affect the results be a small amount. However the results already appear margin, although final numbers can not easily be resolved.

Sorry! It is big error.
And, update report already.

**SAR Review**

7) SAR values reported on the front page for 1900 MHz head do not appear to match report.

OK, corrected.

8) FYI…It appears that an 1800 MHz validation dipole was used. Although in the past the FCC has stated verification frequency(s) must be within ± 100 MHz of device center frequency(s), most actually provide this at 1900. Please consider this in future applications.

OK, we will consider.

9) Please confirm that the first 2 measurements points in a zoom scan, closest to the phantom surface, should be within 1 cm of the surface.

Yes, the first 2 measurements points in a zoom scan, closest to the phantom surface, should be within 1 cm of the surface.

10) Please confirm the DASY version of the system as this affects the validity of the Probe factors.

Our DASY version is V4.6 B19 of the system that is ok for probe factors.