

Announcing a new FCC.gov

Tell us what you think and help shape the future »



[Search](#) | [RSS](#) | [Updates](#) | [E-Filing](#) | [Initiatives](#) | [Consumers](#)
| [Find People](#)

Office of Engineering and Technology

[OET Home Page](#)

[FCC](#) > [FCC E-filing](#) > [Inquiry System Home Page](#) > Reply to OET Response

[FCC Site Map](#)

Reply to an OET Inquiry Response

Currently Display Inquiry Tracking Number: **280186**

Site Options

[Basic KDB Search](#)

[Advanced KDB Search](#)

[Submit an Inquiry](#)

[Reply to an Inquiry Response](#)

[Category List](#)

[FAQ Search](#)

[Major Guidance Publications](#)

[Draft Laboratory Division Publications](#)

[Draft Laboratory Division Publications \(Expired\)](#)

[Draft Publication Moderation Policy](#)

Related Sites

[Equipment Authorization Presentations](#)

[Equipment Authorization System \(EAS\)](#)

[Telecommunications Certification Bodies \(TCB\)](#)

Contact Information:

Customer First Name: **Joseph**

Customer Last Name: **Brunett**

Telephone Number: **517214192**

Extension:

E-mail Address: **jdbrunett@gmail.com**

Address:

Line 1: **N/A**

Line 2:

P.O. Box:

City: **N/A**

State:

Zip Code:

Country:

Inquiry Details:

First Inquiry Category: **Permit But Ask Guidance for Non TCBs**

Second Inquiry Category: **Other**

Third Inquiry Category:

Devices operating under: 15.231(e) Equipment Class: DSC Will the FCC permit confidentiality for the internal photographs associated with fully potted tire pressure sensors? Since there are more than 30 separate application for these devices each year, it would be appreciated if a single PBA could be issued that would permit TCB's to hold internal photographs for all half-clam shell TPM sensors filled with potting material or the like. Attached will be a selection of photographs showing what the devices are and how they are potted.

---Reply from Customer on 11/08/2008---

I have just been informed by my TCB that their PBA request for the same devices does not match with this response. They are asking that, per Inquiry 751504, I provide a detailed explanation of how the devices are potted, what the potting material is, how its removal destroys the pcb, and leave me with the impression that a PBA may be needed for every application. Please note that the chemical makeup of the potting material may in itself need to remain confidential as it is proprietary in this industry (not may materials can handle 30g's). Please correspond with the reviewer of KDB Inquiry 751504, relay that internal photographs provided without

potting are not obtained by "removing" the potting material, but are "pre-potted" photos, and provide both inquiries with a single, consistent, request for more information if needed. Please indicate if additional PBA requests will be required for every like application. I apologize if the tone of this response seems harsh, I do not mean it to be. However, every delay caused by inconsistent responses requires that I call my clients, outline the problem, request additional information, and request their patience. The current climate in the auto industry makes the final request more challenging as of late. :) JDB

---Reply from Customer on 06/13/2011---

Response(s):

--OET response sent on Nov 7 2008 9:18AM--

Yes, this technique is acceptable to allow confidentiality of internal photos.

--OET response sent on Nov 17 2008 3:38PM--

You should not need a PBA request for every filing since you may reference this KDB in each subsequent filing for similar device.