

EMC Test Report

regarding

USA: CFR Title 47, Part 15.231 (Emissions)
Canada: ISED RSS-210v10/GENv5 (Emissions)

for



BBFPL4

Category: TPMS Transmitter

Judgments:

aligns with 15.231/RSS-210v10

Testing Completed: November 17, 2023



Prepared for:

Schrader Electronics

11 Technology Park, Belfast Road, Antrim Northern Ireland BT41 1QS United Kingdom

Phone: +44 28 9448 3067, Fax: +44 28 9446 8440

Contact: James Kyle, jakyle@schrader.co.uk

Data Rec./Rev. by: John Nantz
John Nantz
Rpt. Prep./Rev. by: John Nantz
John Nantz

Rpt. Auth. by: Joseph Brunett
Dr. Joseph Brunett, EMC-002790-NE
Date of Issue: November 21, 2023

Revision History

Rev. No.	Date	Details	Revised By
r0	November 21, 2023	Initial Release.	J. Nantz
r1	January 5, 2023	Correct EBW	J. Nantz

Contents

Revision History	2
Table of Contents	2
1 Test Report Scope and Limitations	4
1.1 Laboratory Authorization	4
1.2 Report Retention	4
1.3 Subcontracted Testing	4
1.4 Test Data	4
1.5 Limitation of Results	4
1.6 Copyright	4
1.7 Endorsements	4
1.8 Test Location	5
1.9 Traceability and Equipment Used	5
2 Test Specifications and Procedures	6
2.1 Test Specification and General Procedures	6
3 Configuration and Identification of the Equipment Under Test	7
3.1 Description and Declarations	7
3.1.1 EUT Configuration	8
3.1.2 Modes of Operation	8
3.1.3 Variants	8
3.1.4 Test Samples	8
3.1.5 Functional Exerciser	8
3.1.6 Modifications Made	8
3.1.7 Production Intent	8
3.1.8 Declared Exemptions and Additional Product Notes	8
4 Emissions	9
4.1 General Test Procedures	9
4.1.1 Radiated Test Setup and Procedures	9
4.1.2 Conducted Emissions Test Setup and Procedures	11
4.1.3 Power Supply Variation	11
4.2 Intentional Emissions	12
4.2.1 Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation	12
4.2.2 Fundamental Emission Bandwidth	17
4.2.3 Fundamental Emission Field Strength	19
4.3 Unintentional Emissions	20
4.3.1 Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions	20
5 Measurement Uncertainty and Accreditation Documents	21

List of Tables

1.8	Test Site List.	5
1.9	Equipment List.	5
3.1	EUT Declarations.	7
4.2	(i) Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation.	12
4.2	(i) Fundamental Emission Bandwidth.	17
4.2	(i) Fundamental Emission Field Strength.	19
4.3	(i) Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions.	20
5.0	Measurement Uncertainty.	21

List of Figures

3.1	(i) Photos of EUT.	7
3.1	(i) EUT Test Configuration Diagram.	8
4.1	(i) Radiated Emissions Diagram of the EUT.	9
4.1	(i) Radiated Emissions Test Setup Photograph(s).	10
4.2	(i) Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation.	13
4.2	(ii) Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation.	14
4.2	(iii) Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation.	15
4.2	(iv) Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation.	16
4.2	(i) Fundamental Emission Bandwidth.	18
5.0	(i) Accreditation Documents	21

1 Test Report Scope and Limitations

1.1 Laboratory Authorization

Test Facility description and attenuation characteristics are on file with the FCC Laboratory, Columbia, Maryland (FCC Reg. No: US5348 and US5356) and with ISED Canada, Ottawa, ON (File Ref. No: 3161A and 24249). Amber Helm Development L.C. holds accreditation under NVLAP Lab Code 200129-0.

1.2 Report Retention

For equipment verified to comply with the regulations herein, the manufacturer is obliged to retain this report with the product records for the life of the product, and no less than ten years. A copy of this Report will remain on file with this laboratory until November 2033.

1.3 Subcontracted Testing

This report does not contain data produced under subcontract.

1.4 Test Data

This test report contains data included within the laboratory's scope of accreditation. Any data in this report that is not covered under the laboratory's scope is clearly identified.

1.5 Limitation of Results

The test results contained in this report relate only to the item(s) tested. Any electrical or mechanical modification made to the test item subsequent to the test date shall invalidate the data presented in this report. Any electrical or mechanical modification made to the test item subsequent to this test date shall require reevaluation.

1.6 Copyright

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Amber Helm Development L.C.

1.7 Endorsements

This report shall not be used to claim product endorsement by any accrediting, regulatory, or governmental agency.

1.8 Test Location

The EUT was fully tested by **Amber Helm Development L.C.**, headquartered at 92723 Michigan Hwy-152, Sister Lakes, Michigan 49047 USA. Table 1.8 lists all sites employed herein. Specific test sites utilized are also listed in the test results sections of this report where needed.

Table 1.8 Test Site List.

Description	Location	Quality Num.
OATS (3 meter)	3615 E Grand River Rd., Williamston, Michigan 48895	OATSC

1.9 Traceability and Equipment Used

Pertinent test equipment used for measurements at this facility is listed in Table 1.9. The quality system employed at Amber Helm Development L.C. has been established to ensure all equipment has a clearly identifiable classification, calibration expiry date, and that all calibrations are traceable to the SI through NIST, other recognized national laboratories, accepted fundamental or natural physical constants, ratio type of calibration, or by comparison to consensus standards. All equipment is evaluated on a cycle no greater than 12 months following laboratory validation procedures and is calibrated following manufacturer recommended intervals.

Table 1.9 Equipment List.

Description	Manufacturer/Model	SN	Quality Num.	Cal/Ver By / Date Due
Spectrum Analyzer	R & S / FSV30	101660	RSFSV3001	RS / Apr-2024
Biconical	EMCO / 93110B	9802-3039	BICEMCO01	Keysight / Aug-2025
Log Periodic Antenna	EMCO / 3146	9305-3614	LOGEMCO01	Keysight / Aug-2025
BNC-BNC Coax	WRTL / RG58/U	001	CAB001-BLACK	AHD / Dec-2023
3.5-3.5MM Coax	PhaseFlex / PhaseFlex	001	CAB015-PURP	AHD / Dec-2023
Quad Ridge Horn	Singer / A6100	C35200	HQR1TO18S01	Keysight / Aug-2024

2 Test Specifications and Procedures

2.1 Test Specification and General Procedures

The goal of Schrader Electronics is to demonstrate that the Equipment Under Test (EUT) complies with the Rules and/or Directives below. Detailed in this report are the results of testing the Schrader Electronics BBFPL4 for compliance to:

Country/Region/Manu.	Rules or Directive	Referenced Section(s)
United States	Code of Federal Regulations	CFR Title 47, Part 15.231
Canada	ISED Canada	ISED RSS-210v10/GENv5

It has been determined that the equipment under test is subject to the rules and directives above at the date of this testing. In conjunction with these rules and directives, the following specifications and procedures are followed herein to demonstrate compliance (in whole or in part) with these regulations.

ANSI C63.4:2014	"Methods of Measurement of Radio-Noise Emissions from Low-Voltage Electrical and Electronic Equipment in the Range of 9 kHz to 40 GHz"
ANSI C63.10:2013	"American National Standard of Procedures for Compliance Testing of Unlicensed Wireless Devices"
TP0102RA	"AHD Internal Document TP0102 - Radiated Emissions Test Procedure"

3 Configuration and Identification of the Equipment Under Test

3.1 Description and Declarations

The equipment under test is a UHF transmitter for automotive tire pressure monitoring. The EUT is approximately 4 x 4 x 1.5 cm in dimension, and is depicted in Figure 3.1. It is powered by 3 VDC Lithium cell battery. In use, this device is permanently installed in the tire of a motor vehicle. Table 3.1 outlines provider declared EUT specifications.




Figure 3.1(i) Photos of EUT.

Table 3.1 EUT Declarations.

General Declarations	
Equipment Type:	TPMS Transmitter
Country of Origin:	Not Declared
Nominal Supply:	3 VDC
Oper. Temp Range:	Not Declared
Frequency Range:	433.92 MHz
Antenna Dimension:	Not Declared
Antenna Type:	PCB Edge Plated
Antenna Gain:	Not Declared
Number of Channels:	1
Channel Spacing:	Not Applicable
Alignment Range:	Not Declared
Type of Modulation:	FSK + ASK
United States	
FCC ID Number:	MRXBBFPL4
Classification:	DSC
Canada	
IC Number:	2456A-BBFPL4
Classification:	Remote Control Device

3.1.1 EUT Configuration

The EUT is configured for testing as depicted in Figure 3.1.




Figure 3.1(i) EUT Test Configuration Diagram.

3.1.2 Modes of Operation

This device was originally certified (See report No. "BBFPL4 - Exh 06 - BBFPL4-WR2306TXr2 AHD EMC Test Report") utilizing 3 key operating modes: LEARN MODE, LONG PROTOCOL MODE and PRESSURE CHANGE MODE. The device operating modes have been expanded to support additional automotive vehicle platform requirements to include an additional 4 operating modes. Upon manually activated LF interrogation (through the use of special LF tool at a vehicle dealership), the EUT responds with a transmission containing a number of frames used to configure the device with the vehicle (LEARN MODE). When the EUT is placed in the vehicle tire and the vehicle indicates driving by applying an LF trigger to the sensor, it will then, in the worst case, periodically transmit where the duration of each transmission is always less than 1 second and the silent period between transmissions is at least 30 times the duration of the transmission, and never less than 10 seconds (LONG PROTOCOL ROLL MODE). (See duty cycle table and operational description exhibit for details.) In the case of an emergency condition (delta Pressure), the EUT will transmit tire pressure and temperature information throughout the duration of the condition.

3.1.3 Variants

There is only a single variant of the EUT, as tested.

3.1.4 Test Samples

Three samples in total were provided; one normal operating sample, one CW sample and one sample for photos. The normal operating samples were tested for LF activation response using a LF diagnostic tool provided by the manufacturer.

3.1.5 Functional Exerciser

Normal operating EUT functionality was verified by observation of transmitted signal.

3.1.6 Modifications Made

There were no modifications made to the EUT by this laboratory.

3.1.7 Production Intent

The EUT appears to be a production ready sample.

3.1.8 Declared Exemptions and Additional Product Notes

The EUT is permanently installed in a transportation vehicle. As such, digital emissions are exempt from US and Canadian digital emissions regulations (per FCC 15.103(a) and IC correspondence on ICES-003).

4 Emissions

4.1 General Test Procedures

4.1.1 Radiated Test Setup and Procedures

Radiated electromagnetic emissions from the EUT are first pre-scanned in our screen room. Spectrum and modulation characteristics of all emissions are recorded. Instrumentation, including spectrum analyzers and other test equipment as detailed in Section 1.8 are employed. After pre-scan, emission measurements are made on the test site of record. If the EUT connects to auxiliary equipment and is table or floor standing, the configurations prescribed in relevant test standards are followed. Alternatively, a layout closest to normal use (as declared by the provider) is employed if the resulting emissions appear to be worst-case in such a configuration. See Figure 4.1. All intentionally radiating elements that are not fixed-mounted in use are placed on the test table lying flat, on their side, and on their end (3-axes) and the resulting worst case emissions are recorded. If the EUT is fixed-mounted in use, measurements are made with the device oriented in the manner consistent with installation and then emissions are recorded. If the EUT exhibits spurious emissions due to internal receiver circuitry, such emissions are measured with an appropriate carrier signal applied.




Figure 4.1(i) Radiated Emissions Diagram of the EUT.

For devices with intentional emissions below 30 MHz, a shielded loop antenna and/or E-field and H-Field broadband probes are used depending on the regulation. Shielded loops are placed at a 1 meter receive height at the desired measurement distance. For exposure in this band, 10cm diameter single-axis broadband probes meeting the requirements of ISED SPR-002 section 5.2 are employed. Measurements are repeated and summed over three axes, and the entire frequency range is measured with and without the EUT transmitting.

Emissions between 30 MHz and 1 GHz are measured using calibrated broadband antennas. For both horizontal and vertical polarizations, the test antenna is raised and lowered from 1 to 4 m in height until a maximum emission level is detected. The EUT is then rotated through 360° in azimuth until the highest emission is detected. The test antenna is then raised and lowered one last time from 1 to 4 m and the worst case value is recorded. Emissions above 1 GHz are characterized using standard gain or broadband ridge-horn antennas on our OATS with a 4×5 m rectangle of ECCOSORB absorber covering the OATS ground screen and a 1.5m table height. Care is taken to ensure that test receiver resolution and video bandwidths meet the regulatory requirements, and that the emission bandwidth of the EUT is not reduced. Photographs of the test setup employed are depicted in Figure 4.1.

Where regulations allow for direct measurement of field strength, power values (dBm) measured on the test receiver / analyzer are converted to $\text{dB}\mu\text{V}/\text{m}$ at the regulatory distance, using

$$E_{dist} = 107 + P_R + K_A - K_G + K_E - C_F$$

where P_R is the power recorded on spectrum analyzer, in dBm, K_A is the test antenna factor in dB/m, K_G is the combined pre-amplifier gain and cable loss in dB, K_E is duty correction factor (when applicable) in dB, and C_F is a distance conversion (employed only if limits are specified at alternate distance) in dB. This field strength value is then compared with the regulatory limit. If effective isotropic radiated power (EIRP) is computed, it is computed as

$$EIRP(\text{dBm}) = E_{3m}(\text{dB}\mu\text{V}/\text{m}) - 95.2.$$

When presenting data at each frequency, the highest measured emission under all possible EUT orientations (3-axes) is reported.




Figure 4.1(i) Radiated Emissions Test Setup Photograph(s).

4.1.2 Conducted Emissions Test Setup and Procedures

The EUT is not subject to measurement of power line conducted emissions as it is powered solely by its internal battery.

4.1.3 Power Supply Variation

Tests at extreme supply voltages are made if required by the procedures specified in the test standard, and results of this testing are detailed in this report.

In the case the EUT is designed for operation from a battery power source, the extreme test voltages are evaluated over the range specified in the test standard; no less than $\pm 10\%$ of the nominal battery voltage declared by the manufacturer. For all battery operated equipment, worst case intentional and spurious emissions are re-checked employing a new (fully charged) battery.

4.2 Intentional Emissions

4.2.1 Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation

Test Setup & Procedure The test equipment and facilities were setup in accordance with the standards and procedures listed in Section 2.1. Environmental conditions were set at the appropriate temperature and thermal balance was checked with a thermocouple based probe. Duty cycle is reported for all relevant modes of operation. The test equipment employed includes RSFSV30001, LOGEMCO01.

Measurement Results The details and results of testing the EUT are summarized in Table 4.2. Plots showing the measurements made to obtain these values are provided in Figure 4.2.

Table 4.2(i) Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation.


Detector Pk **Span** **IF Bandwidth** 1 MHz **Video Bandwidth** 3 MHz
Test Date: 16-Nov-23
Test Engineer: J. Nantz
EUT: Sensata BBFPL4
EUT Mode: Normal Operating
Meas. Distance: 10 cm

R0	Test Freq. (MHz)	EUT Test Mode	Overall Transmission			Internal Frame Characteristics			FCC/IC	
			Min. Repetition Rate (sec)	Max. No. of Frames	Total Transmission Length (sec)	Max. Frame Length (ms)	Min. Frame Period (ms)	Frame Encoding	Computed Duty Cycle	
									(%)	(dB)
R1	433.92	Original Filing: Roll Mode – PERIODIC TX	16.33	4	0.493	44.930	149.4	In worst case the EUT transmits 4 FSK frames every 16.33 seconds, with a transmission of one 44.9 ms FSK frame in any given 149.4ms window.	44.9	-6.9
R2	431.92	Original Filing: LEARN Mode – MANUAL ACTIV.	Single	2 ASK + 4 FSK	1.638	44.950	151.3	In worst case the EUT transmits 4 ASK + 4 FSK frames after activation, with transmission of one 45 ms FSK frame in any given 151.25 ms window.	45.0	-6.9
R3	432.92	New Mode: LEARN 2 Mode – MANUAL ACTIV. (see Figure 4.2(i))	Single	4 ASK + 12 FSK	1.093	50.000	99.7	In worst case the EUT transmits 4 blocks of 1 ASK + 3 FSK frames after activation. Therein, one 7.5 ms FSK frame + 42.71 ms of an ASK frame may occur in an 100 ms window (total on time: 28.85 ms).	28.9	-10.8
R4	433.92	New Mode: 36 FRAMES Mode – MANUAL ACTIV. (see Figure 4.2(ii))	Single	36	4.750	10.200	104.2	In worst case the EUT transmits 36 FSK frames after activation, with one 10.2 ms FSK frame in any given 104.2 ms window.	10.2	-19.8
R5	434.92	New Mode: DRIVE Mode – PERIODIC TX (see Figure 4.2(iii))	10.3	6	0.262	5.825	28.5	In worst case the EUT transmits 6 FSK frames every 10s, with one set of four 5.825 ms FSK frames in a 100 ms window.	23.3	-12.7
R6	433.92	New Mode: DRIVE 2 Mode – PERIODIC TX (see Figure 4.2(iv))	60.0	3	0.378	10.200	100.0	In worst case the EUT transmits 3 FSK frames every 60s, with a single 10.2 ms FSK frame in an 100 ms window.	10.2	-19.8
#	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	C10


(ROW)
R1-R2 (COLUMN)
C10
R2 C10

NOTE:
Maximum duty cycle reported in original filing (See Report No. "BBFPL4-WR2306TXr2 AHD EMC Test Report")
Example Calculation: 45 ms / 100 ms = 45 % on-time.


LEARN 2




03:09:06 PM 11/16/2023




03:12:18 PM 11/16/2023




03:14:05 PM 11/16/2023



03:23:21 PM 11/16/2023




03:28:29 PM 11/16/2023




03:30:29 PM 11/16/2023

Figure 4.2(i) Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation.


36 FRAMES



03:52:25 PM 11/16/2023



03:56:29 PM 11/16/2023



03:57:40 PM 11/16/2023

Figure 4.2(ii) Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation.

DRIVE









Figure 4.2(iii) Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation.


DRIVE 2



04:25:43 PM 11/16/2023



04:16:47 PM 11/16/2023



04:19:10 PM 11/16/2023

Figure 4.2(iv) Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation.

4.2.2 Fundamental Emission Bandwidth

Test Setup & Procedure The test equipment and facilities were setup in accordance with the standards and procedures listed in Section 2.1. Environmental conditions were set at the appropriate temperature and thermal balance was checked with a thermocouple based probe. Emission bandwidth (EBW) of the EUT is measured with the device placed in the test mode(s) with the shortest available frame length and minimum frame spacing. The 20 dB EBW is measured as the max-held peak-detected signal when the IF bandwidth is greater than or equal to 1% of the receiver span. For complex modulations other than ASK and FSK, the 99% emission bandwidth per IC test procedures has a different result, and is also reported. The test equipment employed includes RSFSV30001, LOGEMCO01.

Measurement Results The details and results of testing the EUT are summarized in Table 4.2. Plots showing the measurements made to obtain these values are provided in Figure 4.2.

Table 4.2(i) Fundamental Emission Bandwidth.


Detector	IF Bandwidth	Video Bandwidth	Test Date:	16-Nov-23
Pk	10 kHz	100 kHz	Test Engineer:	J. Nantz
			EUT:	Sensata BBFPL4
			EUT Mode:	Normal Operating
			Meas. Distance:	10 cm

							FCC/IC
R0	Mode	Center Frequency (MHz)	20 dB EBW (MHz)	EBW Limit (MHz)	99% OBW (kHz)	Accum. 20dB OBW (MHz)	Pass/Fail
R1	ASK+FSK	433.92	0.152	1.085	152.176	0.152	Pass
R2							
#	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C7	C8

(ROW) (COLUMN) NOTE:


R0 C7 Accumulated BW if multiple RF channels otherwise max BW of single channel.

LEARN 2



04:39:52 PM 11/16/2023

36 FRAMES



04:37:56 PM 11/16/2023

DRIVE



04:36:10 PM 11/16/2023

DRIVE 2



04:31:54 PM 11/16/2023

Figure 4.2(i) Fundamental Emission Bandwidth.

4.2.3 Fundamental Emission Field Strength

Test Setup & Procedure The test equipment and facilities were setup in accordance with the standards and procedures listed in Section 2.1. Environmental conditions were set at the appropriate temperature and thermal balance was checked with a thermocouple based probe. Fundamental emissions are measured at the regulatory distance on our OATS. The test equipment employed includes RSFSV30001, LOGEMCO01.

Measurement Results The details and results of testing the EUT are summarized in Table 4.2.

Table 4.2(i) Fundamental Emission Field Strength.

TPM RKE EUT Modes: a1 CW a5
 x a2 a6
 Test Date(s): 11/17/23 a3 a7
 Test Engineer: J Nantz a4 a8

R0	Frequency		Temp. (C) Hum. %	Table Angle deg	Site				EUT			Test Antenna				Cable Kg dB	Receiver				Field Strength @ DR				EIRP Pk Calc. dBm	Details Pass Fail dB			
	Start MHz	Stop MHz			MR	DR	N/F	CF	Mode see table	Volt. (V)	Dim cm	Pol. H/V	Ant. Height m	Dim. cm	Ka dB/m		Rx Power Pk dBm	Bandwidth Avg MHz	RBW	VBW	Meas.	Limit USA	Calc. CAN	Qpk / Avg			Limit USA	Calc. CAN	
					m	m		dB					m	cm								dBuV/m							
R1	SETUP				OATSC				Sensata BBFPL4			LOGEMCO01				CAB001	RSFSV3001				H-POL - END, V-POL SIDE Worst Case Orient								
R2	433.9	433.9	7/66	45.0	3.0	3.0		0.0	a1	3.0	7.5	H	1.0	100.0	16.3	-0.1			0.12	0.30	75.1	92.8	92.8	68.2	72.8	72.8	-20.0	4.7	
R3	433.9	433.9	7/66	90.0	3.0	3.0		0.0	a1	3.0	7.5	V	2.0	100.0	16.3	-0.1			0.12	0.30	77.9	92.8	92.8	71.0	72.8	72.8	-17.2	1.9	
R4																													
#	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	C10	C11	C12	C13	C14	C15	C16	C17	C18	C19	C20	C21	C22	C23	C24	C25	C26	C27	C28	C29

(ROW) (COLUMN) NOTE:
 R0 C5 MR is Measurement Range, which is reduced from DR to achieve necessary SNR.
 R0 C6 DR is the regulatory Desired Range measurement distance.
 R0 C7 N/F is Near-Field / Far-Field distance computed for max of EUT Antenna Dimension (C10) computed above 1 GHz.
 R0 C8 CF is computed using a 20 dB/decade Decay Rate.
 R0 C17/18 When E-field or EIRP is reported directly from Spectrum Analyzer, Antenna Factors and Cable losses are included directly in SA settings and Pr is not reported.

4.3 Unintentional Emissions

4.3.1 Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions

The results for the measurement of transmit chain spurious emissions at the nominal voltage and temperature are provided in Table 4.3.

Table 4.3(i) Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions.

EUT Modes: a1 CW a5
 a2 a6
 Test Date(s): 11/17/23 a3
 Test Engineer: J. Nantz a4 a8

R0	Frequency		Temp. Hum. (C) %	Table Angle deg	Site				EUT			Test Antenna				Cable Kg	Receiver				Field Strength @ DR						EIRP		Details
	Start MHz	Stop MHz			MR	DR	N/F	CF	Mode	Volt.	Dim	Pol.	Ant. Height	Dim.	Ka		Rx Power Pk	Avg	Bandwidth RBW	VBW	Meas.	Limit USA	Limit CAN	Qpk / Avg	Limit USA	Limit CAN	Calc.	Calc.	
R1	SETUP				OATSC				Sensata BBFPL4			LOGEMCO01				CAB001	RSFSV3001				NOTES: H-POL - FLAT, V-POL END Worst Case Orient								
R2	867.8	867.8	7/66	220.0	3.0	3.0		0.0	a1	3.0	2.0	H	1.0	100.0	15.3	-0.2			0.12	0.30	36.5	72.8	72.8	29.6	52.8	52.8	-58.7		23.3
R3	867.8	867.8	7/66	0.0	3.0	3.0		0.0	a1	3.0	2.0	V	1.0	100.0	15.3	-0.2			0.12	0.30	36.4	72.8	72.8	29.5	52.8	52.8	-58.8		23.4
R4																													
R5																													
R6	SETUP				OATSC				Sensata BBFPL4			HQR1TO18S01				CAB015	RSFSV3001				NOTES: max all orientations of EUT								
R7	1301.8	1301.8	7/66	all	3.0	3.0	0.2	0.0	A1	2.0	2.0	H/V	all	15.0	22.0	-2.9			1.00	3.00	43.4	72.8	72.8	36.5	52.8	52.8	-51.8		16.4
R8	1735.7	1735.7	7/66	all	3.0	3.0	0.3	0.0	a1	3.0	2.0	H/V	all	15.0	26.7	-3.4			1.00	3.00	53.0	72.8	72.8	46.1	52.8	52.8	-42.2		6.8
R9	2169.6	2169.6	7/66	all	3.0	3.0	0.3	0.0	a1	3.0	2.0	H/V	all	15.0	29.6	-3.9			1.00	3.00	40.9	72.8	72.8	34.0	52.8	52.8	-54.3		18.9
R10	2603.5	2603.5	7/66	all	3.0	3.0	0.4	0.0	a1	3.0	2.0	H/V	all	15.0	31.1	-4.4			1.00	3.00	55.0	72.8	72.8	48.1	52.8	52.8	-40.2		4.8
R11	3037.4	3037.4	7/66	all	3.0	3.0	0.5	0.0	a1	3.0	2.0	H/V	all	15.0	31.8	-4.9			1.00	3.00	41.4	72.8	72.8	34.5	52.8	52.8	-53.8		18.4
R12	3471.4	3471.4	7/66	all	3.0	3.0	0.5	0.0	a1	3.0	2.0	H/V	all	15.0	31.9	-5.4			1.00	3.00	45.4	72.8	72.8	38.5	52.8	52.8	-49.8		14.4
R13	3905.3	3905.3	7/66	all	3.0	3.0	0.6	0.0	a1	3.0	2.0	H/V	all	15.0	32.0	-5.9			1.00	3.00	41.9	72.8	72.8	35.0	52.8	52.8	-53.3		17.9
R14	4339.2	4339.2	7/66	all	3.0	3.0	0.7	0.0	a1	4.0	2.0	H/V	all	15.0	32.3	-6.3			1.00	3.00	53.7	72.8	72.8	46.8	52.8	52.8	-41.5		6.1
R15																													

(ROW) (COLUMN) NOTE:
 R0 C5 MR is Measurement Range, which is reduced from DR to achieve necessary SNR.
 R0 C6 DR is the regulatory Desired Range measurement distance.
 R0 C7 N/F is Near-Field / Far-Field distance computed for max of EUT Antenna Dimension (C10) computed above 1 GHz.
 R0 C8 CF is computed using a 20 dB/decade Decay Rate.
 R0 C17/18 When E-field or EIRP is reported directly from Spectrum Analyzer, Antenna Factors and Cable losses are included directly in SA settings and Pr is not reported.
 R0 C21 Max values measured were highest level from EUT or background noise.

5 Measurement Uncertainty and Accreditation Documents

The maximum values of measurement uncertainty for the laboratory test equipment and facilities associated with each test are given in the table below. This uncertainty is computed for a 95.45% confidence level based on a coverage factor of $k = 2$.

Table 5.0 Measurement Uncertainty.

Measured Parameter	Measurement Uncertainty [†]
Radio Frequency	$\pm(f_{Mkr}/10^7 + RBW/10 + (SPN/(PTS - 1))/2 + 1 \text{ Hz})$
Conducted Emm. Amplitude	±1.9 dB
Radiated Emm. Amplitude ($f < 30 \text{ MHz}$)	±3.1 dB
Radiated Emm. Amplitude (30 – 200 MHz)	±4.0 dB
Radiated Emm. Amplitude (200 – 1000 MHz)	±5.2 dB
Radiated Emm. Amplitude ($f > 1000 \text{ MHz}$)	±3.7 dB

[†]Ref: CISPR 16-4-2:2011+A1:2014



Figure 5.0(i) Accreditation Documents