Lucy Tsai

Sent:

From: amanda.wu [amanda.wu@tw.ccsemc.com] on behalf of application

[application@tw.ccsemc.com] Tuesday, May 13, 2008 12:27 AM

To: Lucy Tsai
Cc: application

Subject: 回信: FW: HON HAI Precision Ind. Co., Ltd., FCC ID: MCLJ27H010, Assessment NO.:

AN08T7892, Notice#1

Attachments: J27H010 Label Smp and Location revised 0513.pdf; J27H010 Confidentiality letter revised

0513.pdf; J27H010 Test Rpt revised 0513.pdf; J27H010 Test Sup Photo revised 0513.pdf

Dear Lucy,

Please see my reply. Thank you.

Best Regards,

Amanda

"Lucy Tsai"

<<u>lucy.tsai@ccsemc.com</u>>
收件人: "application"application@tw.ccsemc.com>

副本抄送:

2008/05/12 01:53 AM 主旨: FW: HON HAI Precision Ind. Co., Ltd., FCC ID: MCLJ27H010, Assessment NO.: AN08T7892,

Notice#1

Hi Jessica,

Please address following issues.

Q#1: This is a mini-PCI module that is also classified as a PC peripheral and per 15.101, either DOC or certification scheme shall be followed. There are no FCC DOC shown on the label nor a application with equipment JBP was submitted. Please address.

Ans:Please have the revised label smp.

Q#2: The information indicated in the operational description are general information which are not subject to confidential issue. Please remove the operational description from the confidentiality request letter.

Ans: Please have the revised confidentiality request lette.

Q#3: As indicated in the attached antenna reports of Whayu and Mitsumi, the antenna value and pattern are identical except brand name. Are they the same antenna except the brand name changed?

Ans: Yes, all the antenna are the same, except the brand name changed.

Q#4: Page 4 of test report indicated the maximum antenna value of Whayu is -0.49 dBi which doesn't agree with the antenna report. Please correct.

Ans: Sorry for the mistake, the maximum antenna gain is 2.01dBi and the test report had

been revised, please have the revised test report.

Q#5:Page 7 of test report only addressed b mode test setting, how about g mode? Ans: Sorry for the mistake, the test report had been revised.

Q#6: As indicated in page 47-49, spurious emission is seeking to meet the 20dBc down from the fundamental. However, the peak limit, eg. in 9650MHz, can't match the value converted from the fundamental. Besides,if you are seeking to apply 15.35(b), it's required to measure fundamental in average, too. Please address.

Ans: The test report had been revised.

Best Regards,

Lucy Tsai

The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information within 30 days of the original e-mail date may result in application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted. Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.