Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) Test Report
for
Philips Consumer Communications
on the
TDMA/AMPS Cellular Phone
Model: TCD588

Test Report: J20004218A Date of Report: March 10, 2000



Tested by:	Ollie Moyrong	
Reviewed by:	David Chernomordik	

All services undertaken are subject to the following general policy: Reports are submitted for exclusive use of the client to whom they are addressed. Their significance is subject to the adequacy and representative character of the samples and to the comprehensiveness of the tests, examinations or surveys made. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written consent of Intertek Testing Services, NA Inc. This report must not be used to claim product endorsement by NVLAP, NIST nor any other agency of the U.S. Government.

Table of Contents

1	JO	B DESCRIPTION	1
	1.1 1.2 1.3	Client Information Equipment under test (EUT) Test plan reference	1
	1.4 1.4 1.4 1.5	2 Test Position	2 3
2	1.6	Additions, deviations and exclusions from standards	4
	2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5	SAR Limits Configuration Photographs System Verification Evaluation Procedures Test Results	6 11 11
3.	1 TE	ST EQUIPMENT	15
	3.2 3.2 3.3 3.4	Equipment List Brain Tissue Simulating Liquid E-Field Probe Calibration Measurement Uncertainty	16 16 17
	3.5 0 W A	Measurement Traceability ARNING LABEL INFORMATION - USA	
		FERENCES	
A	PPEN	DIX A - SAR EVALUATION DATA	20
۸.	DDEN	IDIX R - F-FIFI D PRORE CAI IRRATION DATA	40

Philips Consumer Communications

1 JOB DESCRIPTION

1.1 Client Information

The EUT has been tested at the request of

Company: Philips Consumer Communications

1000 West Maude Avenue

Sunnyvale, California 94086-2810

 Name of contact:
 Yaron-Oren Pines

 US Telephone:
 (408) 617-5960

 US Fax:
 (408) 617-5960

1.2 Equipment under test (EUT)

Product Descriptions:

Equipment	AMPS/TDMA Cellular Radio Telephone				
Trade Name	Philips	Model No.	TCD588		
FCC ID	M7VTCD588	S/N No.	Not Labeled		
Category	Portable	RF	Uncontrolled		
		Exposure	Environment		
Frequency	AMPS: 824-849 MHz	System	AMPS		
Band (uplink)	TDMA: 1850-1909.9 MHz		TDMA		

EUT Antenna Description					
Type Monopole Configuration Fixed					
Dimensions	22 mm (L)	Gain	0		
Location Right, Top					

Use of Product : Voice communications

Manufacturer: SAME as above.

Production is planned: [X] Yes, [] No

EUT receive date: 3/7/99

EUT received condition: Good condition prototype

Test start date: 3/7/00

Test end date: 3/9/00

FCC ID: M7VTCD588

1.3 Test plan reference

FCC rule part 2.1093, FCC Docket 96-326 & Supplement C to OET Bulletin 65

1.4 System test configuration

1.4.1 System block diagram & Support equipment

The diagram shown below details test configuration of the equipment under test.

EUT

S: Shielded U: U	Unshielded F:	With Ferrite Core
------------------	----------------------	-------------------

	Support equipment				
Equp. #	Equipment	Manufacturer	Model #	S/N #	FCC ID
None					

1.4.2 Test Position

The EUT was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it), and in the confines as outlined in C95.1 (1992) and Supplement C of OET 65 (1998). The EUT was placed in the intended use position, i.e. CENELEC 80° position. This position is defined by a reference plane and a line. The reference plane of the head is given by three points, the auditory canal opening of both ears and center of the closed mouth. The reference line of the EUT is defined by the line which connects the center of the ear piece with the center of the bottom of the case and lies on the surface of the case facing the phantom. The reference line of the EUT lies in the reference plane of the head. The center of the phantom and the line connecting both auditory canal openings is 80°. Please refer to figure 1 below for the position details:




Figure 1: Intended use position

1.4.3 Test Condition

During tests, the worst case data (max. RF coupling) was determined with following conditions:

EUT Antenna	Extended	Orientation	N/A
Usage	Left-Hand and Right –Hand	Distance between antenna axis at the joint and the liquid surface:	18.4 mm
Simulating human hand	Not Used	EUT Battery	Fully Charged
Power output	25.8-27.1 dBm antenna port at AMPS mode (Maximum)		

The spatial peak SAR values were accessed for lowest, middle and highest operating channels defined by the manufacturer. Tests were performed at AMPS mode and TDMA mode.

Antenna port power measurement was performed, with the HP 435A power meter, before and after the SAR tests to ensure that the EUT operated at the highest power level.

FCC ID: M7VTCD588

1.5 Modifications required for compliance

No modifications were implemented by Intertek Testing Services.

1.6 Additions, deviations and exclusions from standards

No additions, deviations or exclusions have been made from standard.

FCC ID: M7VTCD588


2 SAR EVALUATION


2.1 SAR Limits

The following FCC limits for SAR apply to devices operate in General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure environment:

EXPOSURE	SAR
(General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure environment)	(W/kg)
Average over the whole body	0.08
Spatial Peak (1g)	1.60
Spatial Peak for hands, wrists, feet and ankles (10g)	4.00


2.2 Configuration Photographs





2.3 Configuration Photographs - Continued





2.4 Configuration Photographs





2.5 Configuration Photographs





2.6 Configuration Photographs





2.7 System Verification

Prior to the assessment, the system was verified to the $\pm 5\%$ of the specifications by using the system validation kit. The validation was performed at 900 MHz.

Validation kit	Targeted SAR _{1g} (mW/g)	Measured SAR _{1g} (mW/g)
D900V2, S/N #: 013	3.92	3.86

2.8 Evaluation Procedures

The SAR evaluation was performed with the following procedures:

- a. SAR was measured at a fixed location above the ear point and used as a reference value for the assessing the power drop.
- b. The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 4.0 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head and the horizontal grid spacing was 20 mm x 20 mm. Based on this data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation.
- c. Around this point, a volume of 32 mm x 32 mm x 34 mm was assessed by measuring 5 x 5 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure:
 - I) The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 2.7 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measurement point is 1.6 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in Z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
 - ii) The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straight-forward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1g or 10g) were computed using the 3-D spline interpolation algorithm. The 3-D spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" condition (in x, y and z directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. 1000 points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the average.
 - iii) All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.
- d. Re-measurement of the SAR value at the same location as in step a. above. If the value changed by more than 5 %, the evaluation was repeated.

FCC ID: M7VTCD588

2.9 Test Results

The results on the following page(s) were obtained when the device was tested in the condition described in this report. Detail measurement data and plots which reveal information about the location of the maximum SAR with respect to the device, are reported in Appendix A.

FCC ID: M7VTCD588

Trade Name:	Philips	Model No.:	TCD588
Serial No.:	Not Labeled	Test Engineer:	Ollie Moyrong

TEST CONDITIONS					
Ambient Temperature 23 °C Relative Humidity 55 %					
Test Signal Source	Test Mode	Signal Modulation	CW		
Output Power Before	25.6 to 27.1 dBm	Output Power After	25.6 to 27.1 Dbm		
SAR Test	(AMPS)	SAR Test	(AMPS)		
Test Duration 23 Min.		Number of Battery	1		
		Change			

EUT Position: Left Hand, 80°					
Channel	Operating	Duty	Antenna	Measured SAR _{1g}	Plot Number
MHz	Mode	Cycle ratio	Position	(mW/g)	
824	AMPS	1	Extended	1.20	1
836.5	AMPS	1	Extended	1.41	2
849	AMPS	1	Extended	1.38	3

EUT Position: Left Hand, 2 Points Touching Phantom					
Channel	Operating	Duty	Antenna	Measured SAR _{1g}	Plot Number
MHz	Mode	Cycle ratio	Position	(mW/g)	
824	AMPS	1	Extended	1.14	4

EUT Position: Right Hand, 80°					
Channel MHz	Operating Mode	Duty Cycle ratio	Antenna Position	Measured SAR _{1g} (mW/g)	Plot Number
836.5	AMPS	1	Extended	1.00	5

EUT Position: Left Hand, 80°					
Channel	Operating	Duty	Antenna	Measured SAR _{1g}	Plot Number
MHz	Mode	Cycle ratio	Position	(mW/g)	
1850	TDMA	1	Extended	0.689	6
1880	TDMA	1	Extended	0.620	7
1910	TDMA	1	Extended	0.315	8

Philips Consumer Communications

\sim			1
('0	ntı	mil	~d.
Co	,,,,,,	1111	CU.

EUT Position: Left Hand, 2 Points Touching Phantom					
Channel	Operating	Duty	Antenna	Measured SAR _{1g}	Plot Number
MHz	Mode	Cycle ratio	Position	(mW/g)	
1880	TDMA	1	Extended	0.442	9

EUT Position: Right Hand, 80°					
Channel	Operating	Duty	Antenna	Measured SAR _{1g}	Plot Number
MHz	Mode	Cycle ratio	Position	(mW/g)	
1850	TDMA	1	Extended	0.199	10

EUT Position: Middle, Front Side Touching Phantom					
Channel	Operating	Duty	Antenna	Measured SAR _{1g}	Plot Number
MHz	Mode	Cycle ratio	Position	(mW/g)	
824	AMPS	1	Extended	1.87	11
836.5	AMPS	1	Extended	1.44	12
849	AMPS	1	Extended	1.11	13

EUT Position: Middle, Back Side Touching Phantom					
Channel	Operating	Duty	Antenna	Measured SAR _{1g}	Plot Number
MHz	Mode	Cycle ratio	Position	(mW/g)	
824	AMPS	1	Extended	1.87	14
836.5	AMPS	1	Extended	1.44	15
849	AMPS	1	Extended	1.11	16

	EUT Position: Middle, Back Side, 7mm away from Phantom					
Channel	Operating	Duty	Antenna	Measured SAR _{1g}	Plot Number	
MHz	Mode	Cycle ratio	Position	(mW/g)		
824	AMPS	1	Extended	1.17	17	
836.5	AMPS	1	Extended	0.970	18	
849	AMPS	1	Extended	0.788	19	

Note: a) Worst case data were reported

- b) Duty cycle factor included in the measured SAR data
- c) Uncertainty of the system is not included
- d) Test was repeated at worst case frequency found in Right hand usage configuration.

FCC ID: M7VTCD588

3.0 EQUIPMENT

3.1 Equipment List

The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) tests were performed with the SPEAG model DASY 3 automated near-field scanning system which is package optimized for dosimetric evaluation of mobile radios [3].

The following major equipment/components were used for the SAR evaluations:

	SAR Measurement System		
EQUIPMENT	SPECIFICATIONS	S/N #	CAL. DATE
Robot	Stäubi RX60L	597412-01	N/A
	Repeatability: ± 0.025mm Accuracy: 0.806x10 ⁻³ degree Number of Axes: 6		
E-Field Probe	ET3DV5	1333	03/18/99
	Frequency Range: 10 MHZ to 6 GHz Linearity: ± 0.2 dB Directivity: ± 0.1 dB in brain tissue		
Data Acquisition	DAE3	317	N/A
	Measurement Range: 1μV to >200mV Input offset Voltage: < 1μV (with auto zero) Input Resistance: 200 M		
Phantom	Generic Twin V3.0	N/A	N/A
	Type: Generic Twin, Homogenous Shell Material: Fiberglass Thickness: 2 ± 0.1 mm Capacity: 20 liter Ear spacer: 4 mm (between EUT ear piece a	nd tissue simulati	
Simulated Tissue	Mixture	N/A	04/12/99
	Please see section 6.2 for details		
Power Meter	HP 435A w/ 8481H sensor	1312A01255	02/1/99
	Frequency Range: 100kHz to 18 GHz Power Range: 300µW to 3W		

FCC ID: M7VTCD588

3.2 Brain Tissue Simulating Liquid

Ingredient	Frequency (800 – 900 MHz)
Water	40.3 %
Sugar	56.0 %
Salt	2.5 %
HEC	1.0 %
Bactericide	0.2 %

The dielectric parameters were verified prior to assessment using the HP 85070A dielectric probe kit and the HP 8753C network Analyzer. The dielectric parameters were:

Frequency (MHZ)	* r	*(mho/m)	**(kg/m ³⁾
900	41.9± 5%	$0.835 \pm 10\%$	1000

^{*} worst case uncertainty of the HP 85070A dielectric probe kit

3.3 E-Field Probe Calibration

Probes were calibrated by the manufacturer in the TEM cell ifi 110. To ensure consistency, a strict protocol was followed. The conversion factor (ConF) between this calibration and the measurement in the tissue simulation solution was performed by comparison with temperature measurement and computer simulations. Probe calibration factors are included in Appendix C.

^{**} worst case assumption

3.4 Measurement Uncertainty

The uncertainty budget has been determined for the DASY3 measurement system according to the NIS81 [5] and the NIST 1297 [6] documents and is given in the following table. The extended uncertainty (K=2) was assessed to be 23.5 %

UNCERTAINTY BUDGET				
Uncertainty Description	Error	Distrib.	Weight	Std.Dev.
Probe Uncertainty				
Axial isotropy	±0.2 dB	U-shape	0.5	±2.4 %
Spherical isotropy	±0.4 dB	U-shape	0.5	±4.8 %
Isotropy from gradient	±0.5 dB	U-shape	0	
Spatial resolution	±0.5 %	Normal	1	±0.5 %
Linearity error	±0.2 dB	Rectang.	1	±2.7 %
Calibration error	±3.3 %	Normal	1	±3.3 %
SAR Evaluation Uncertainty				
Data acquisition error	±1 %	Rectang.	1	±0.6 %
ELF and RF disturbances	±0.25 %	Normal	1	±0.25 %
Conductivity assessment	±10 %	Rectang.	1	±5.8 %
Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation Uncertainty				
Extrapol boundary effect	±3 %	Normal	1	±3 %
Probe positioning error	±0.1 mm	Normal	1	±1 %
Integrat. And cube orient	±3 %	Normal	1	±3 %
Cube shape inaccuracies	±2 %	Rectang.	1	±1.2 %
Device positioning	±6 %	Normal	1	±6 %
Combined Uncertanties				±11.7 %

3.5 Measurement Traceability

All measurements described in this report are traceable to National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) standards or appropriate national standards.

FCC ID: M7VTCD588

4.0 WARNING LABEL INFORMATION - USA

See attached users manual.

FCC ID: M7VTCD588

5.0 REFERENCES


- [1] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1991: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3kHz to 300 Ghz, The Institute of electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992
- [2] Federal Communications Commission, "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields", OET Bulletin 65, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1997
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, "Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments", *IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, vol. 44, pp. 105-113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph Kastle, and Thomas Schmid, "Dosimetic evaluation of mobile communications equipment with know precision", IEICE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp.645-652, May 1997.
- [5] NIS81, NAMAS, "The treatment of uncertainty in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddinton, Middlesex, England, 1994.
- [6] Barry N. Tayor and Chris E. Kuyatt, "Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institude of Standards and Technology, 1994.


FCC ID: M7VTCD588


APPENDIX A - SAR EVALUATION DATA


Please note that the graphical visualization of the phone position onto the SAR distribution gives only limited information on the current distribution of the device, since the curvature of the head results in graphical distortion. Full information can only be obtained either by H-field scans in free space or SAR evaluation with a flat phantom.


Powerdrift is the measurement of power drift of the device over one complete SAR scan.





















































FCC ID: M7VTCD588

APPENDIX B - E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION DATA

See attached pages.