

Global Product Compliance Laboratory Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) Test Report PCS 1900 Telephone

July 18, 1998

Prepared by:

Lucent Technologies
Global Product Compliance Laboratory
101 Cra~ords Corner Road
POBox3030
Holmdel, NJ 07733-3030

Client:

Stephen Berger
c/o Siemens
2205 Grand Avenue Parkway
Austin, Texas 78728

Preparedby: _____
(S. E. Gordon)
GPCL Compliance Engineer

Date: 7-20-98

Reviewed by: _____
(C. A. Nicholas)
GPCL SAR Reviewer

Date: 7-20-98

Lucent Technologies Global Product Compliance Laboratory represents to the client that testing was done in accordance with standard procedures as applicable and that reported test results are accurate within generally accepted commercial ranges of accuracy. Lucent Technologies Global Product Compliance reports only apply to the specific samples tested. This report is the property of the client. This report shall not be reproduced except in full without the written approval of the Lucent Technologies Global Product Compliance Laboratory

Lucent Technologies Global Product Compliance Laboratory at Holmdel is recognized under the US Department of Commerce National Institute of Standards and Technology's National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) for satisfactory compliance with criteria established in Title 15, Part 7 Code of Federal Regulations for offering test services for selected test methods in Electromagnetic Compatibility; Voluntary Control Council for Interference (VCCI), Japan; Australian Communications Authority (ACA); Norges Elektriske Materielkontroll (NEMKO), Norwegian Board for Testing and Approval of Electrical Equipment. The laboratory is ISO 9002:1994 Certified.

Lucent Technologies Global Product Compliance Laboratory is an accredited test facility recognized by BSI, a competent body in the United Kingdom, and by NMI (formerly AKZO EMC Services), a competent body in the Netherlands.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	2
SAR COMPLIANCE TEST REPORT.....	3
ENGINEER'S REPORT.....	4
1.1 INTRODUCTION.....	4
1.2 COMPLIANCE STATEMENT.....	4
1.3 EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST (EUT) INFORMATION.....	4
1.4 SAR TEST INFORMATION AND SUMMARY.....	5
1.5 TEST PROCEDURES.....	5
1.6 SAR TEST PROCEDURE.....	5
1.7 TWIN PHANTOM INFORMATION.....	5
1.8E-FIELDPROBE.....	6
1.9 MOUNTING DEVICE.....	7
1.10 ABSORBER-LINED SHIELDED CHAMBER.....	7
1.11 SAR DETERMINATION.....	7
1.12 DATA ACQUISITION AND ANALYSIS.....	8
1.13 DATA EVALUATION AND VISUALIZATION.....	9
PRODUCT EQUIPMENT LIST.....	10
CUSTOMER PROVIDED AUXILLARY EQUIPMENT.....	11
SAR SPECIAL TEST CONSIDERATIONS.....	11
SAR TEST SUMMARY SHEET.....	12
SAR DATA.....	13
PHOTOGRAPH(S) (COPY) OF EUT ARRANGEMENT DURING SAR TEST.....	38
TEST EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION LIST.....	40
BRAIN TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUID DATA.....	41
SYSTEM DIAGRAM.....	42
SYSTEM UNCERTAINTY DATA.....	43
DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT SYSTEM CALIBRATION DATA.....	44
SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA/DIPOLE VALIDATION KIT.....	50
PROBE CALIBRATION DATA.....	64
KEMA ISO 9002 CERTIFICATE.....	79
NVLAP CERTIFICATE.....	80

SAR COMPLIANCE TEST REPORT

Equipment Under Test (EUT): PCS 1900 Telephone

Model Number: C12

Serial Number: 001002510020451

Company: Siemens **Manufacturer:** INET, INC Worldwide Headquarters
2205 Grand Avenue Parkway 2205 Grand Avenue Parkway
Austin, TX. 78728 Austin, TX. 78728

Measurement Procedure: **ANSI / IEEE C95.1 (1991)**

Test Requirements: **FCC Rule Section 2.1091 and 2.1093**

TEST PERFORMED BY: Lucent Technologies
Bell Labs Innovations
Global Product Compliance Laboratory
101 Crawfords Corner Road
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733-3030 (USA)
(732) 332-6000, Fax (732) 332-5997

Lucent Technologies , Global Product Compliance Laboratories is recognized under the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP®) for satisfactory compliance with the criteria established in Title 15, Part 285 Code of Federal Regulations. These criteria encompass the requirements of ISO/IEC Guide 25 and the relevant requirements of ISO 9002 as suppliers of calibration or test results.

NVLAP LAB CODE: 100275-0
Product Engineer(s): S. Berger

TEST RESULTS:

The C12 as tested did meet the Specific Absorption Rate test requirements of the above listed specifications. The maximum Specific Absorption Rate was (0.648) W/g over any 1g tissue.

Please note that manufacturer or party responsible must also follow the Code Of Federal Regulations 47 requirements for supplying the appropriate Labeling Information and/or Information to the user.

Report copies and other information not contained in this report are held at the Global Product Compliance Laboratory in Holmdel, NJ.

ENGINEER'S REPORT

1.1 INTRODUCTION

Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) measurements were performed on the **C12 PCS Telephone**, hereinafter referred to as the EUT. Testing was performed at the Lucent Technologies, Global Product Compliance Laboratory, (GPCL) located in Holmdel, New Jersey.

1.2 COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

It is to certify that the **C12 PCS Telephone** complies with the FCC Rule section 2.1091 and 2.1093, based on the test data obtained by using DASY2 dosimetric assessment system, ET3DV4 3D E-field probe and body phantom for dosimetric measurements commercially available from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG), Switzerland.

<u>Frequency (MHz)</u>	<u>Test Data (W/kg)</u>	<u>Limits (W/kg)</u>	<u>Margin (W/kg)</u>
1850.2	0.648	1.6	.952

1.3 EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST (EUT) INFORMATION

The **C12 PCS Telephone** is a Personal Communications Service telephone. The C12 is 5.4x2.8x0.8 inches (LxWxD). The C12 is small, easy to carry, it fits well in a pocket. It is approximately 5.8 ounces (include. battery) with a volume of 149ccm . It has a talk/standby time of up to 5hrs/80hrs.

For testing purposes, a call was established and the EUT was transmitting in the Normal Operating Mode. The EUT has an output power of 1W.

1.4 ELECTRICAL MODES OF OPERATION

The C12 PCS Telephone was powered by leads connected to a power supply instead of the Nickel-Metal Rechargeable batteries supplied by the customer.

Test Frequency	Channel	Antenna Position
1850.2 MHz	512	Out/In
1880.0 MHz	661	Out/In
1909.8 MHz	810	Out/In

1.5 SAR TEST INFORMATION AND SUMMARY

SAR measurements were performed in an absorber-lined shielded chamber at the Global Product Compliance Laboratory in Holmdel, New Jersey. A summary of the SAR measurement results and test information is presented in the SAR Test Summary Sheet.

1.6 TEST PROCEDURES

All tests were performed in accordance with the following procedures:

ANSUWEE C95. 1(1991) entitled: "IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz", American National Standards Institute, Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017-2394, USA.

1.7 SAR TEST PROCEDURE

SAR tests were performed in an appropriate absorber lined shielded test chamber. Prior to the SAR tests being performed, the body tissue simulating liquid is calibrated to determine if the conductivity and relative dielectricity is in tolerance with the specifications. Prior to the test, a systems calibration is performed to validate the system. For testing, the EUT is configured, installed, arranged, and operated in a manner that is most representative of the equipment as typically used. The DASY software is used to systematically scan, locate the maximum SAR position and record the measurement.

A coarse scan is performed over the inside surface of the entire phantom within the defined border. When the coarse scan is finished, the location of the interpolated maximum is provided by the system. A cubic scan is performed over the peak area. The spatial peak SAR results - value for 1 and 10 grams is evaluated after the cube measurements have been done.

1.8 TWIN PHANTOM INFORMATION

The twin phantom is designed for left and right-hand users. The shape of the head in the ear region is 16x15cm. The phantom shell is made from fiberglass with a thickness of 2 ± 0.2 mm, the ear was simulated by adding a spacer on the shell to obtain the 10% thickness of the ear between the tissue somulating liquid and the mouthpiece of the phone. Since the precise placement of the device with respect to the phantom is very critical, a special positioning device has been constructed by SPEAG, which enables the rotation of the MTE by $\pm 180^\circ$ around the axis of the auditory canal and from 75° to 105° with respect to the axis normal to the axis of the auditory canal.

1.9 E-FIELD PROBE

One of the most critical component of the dosimetric assessment system is the E-field probe. The probe requirements are

- High sensitivity and linear response over a broad frequency range,
- High spatial resolution,
- Isotropy in different media,
- Low interaction with the measuring field, and
- Small in size

For optimal performance of SAR measurement in liquids with high permittivity, Scilmid & Partner Engineering AG designed and developed the triangular E-Field probe. The probe has a smaller outline and is installed a surface detector in the center of the probe. The triangular design is very compact to ensure a high spatial resolution. The distance between the probe tip and the dipole center is 2.7 mm. This distance between the dipole centers is less than 2 mm.. The surface detection unit enables the probe tip reach the phantom surface at 1mm distance with the accuracy +/- 0.2 mm.

Each probe consists of three small dipoles (3 mm) directly loaded with a Schottky diode and connected with high resistive lines to the data acquisition unit. The theory of this type of probe has been discussed in various publications, such as *Electric Field Probes - A Review*, IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagation, vol.31, no.5, pp.710-718, Sept 1983 by H. I. Bassen and G.S. Smith.

There are several possible secondary modes of reception in the probe. One is produced by normal mode signals coupled into the resistive lines and rectified in the diode. Another mode is produced by common mode signals coupled into the lines and converted at the diode into normal mode signals by asymmetrical loading of the dipole halves due to constructional asymmetries. These mode signals are reduced by introducing a distributed filter between the dipole and the resistive lines in the probe, ET3DV4 by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG. Also the high degree of constructional symmetry improves the efficiency of the filter for secondary reception modes. The thick film technique is used for the construction of the dipole and lines on the probe. This permits the use of lines with different sheet resistance on the same substrate, and the production of much higher sheet resistance than the thin film technique.

Any dielectric material around electric dipoles have an effect on the local signal strength. It's been verified by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG that the triangular probe has better isotropy in the solutions which simulate the electric properties of tissues with high water content. Although proved to be unusable in air, all the SAR measurement tests are performed in the tissue simulation solution. The maximum error introduced by the lack of isotropy is much less than the deviation of +/-0.6 dB in the solution.

The disturbance caused by the probe in homogeneous fields depends on the probe material/geometry and the field itself. This problem is corrected by measuring the SAR at different distances from the surface and extrapolating the SAR values to the surface. The extrapolation procedure is also necessary because of the separation of the dipole center from the probe tip.

1.10 MOUNTING DEVICE

Since the precise positioning of the EUT with respect to the phantom is very critical, a special positioning device is used with the following properties.

The EUT can easily be mounted in such a way that the ear-piece is positioned precisely over the auditory canal opening of the shell phantom with a repeatability for horizontal positioning of ± 1 mm. The holder enables the rotation of the EUT by $\pm 180^\circ$ around the axis of the auditory canal and from 65° up to 105° with respect to the axis normal to the axis of the auditory canal. The positioning device has been constructed such that the EUT's are held from the side, similar to how the human hand holds the EUT. This will ensure that any future designs can also be properly mounted.

The total measurement uncertainty of the DASY2 system from SPEAG for the spatial peak SAR values of less than 20% (rss value of the worst-case errors). The detail error analysis is given in the paper, *Dosimetric Evaluation of Handheld Mobile Communications Equipment with Known Precision* by Niels Kuster, Ralph Kadtle and Thomas Schmid, IEICE Transactions on Communications Vol. E80-B, No 5 May 1997.

1.10 ABSORBER-LINED SHIELDED CHAMBER

The Absorber-Lined Shielded Chamber is a steel constructed 12 foot wide x 12 foot long x 12 foot high shielded chamber with inner surfaces lined with pyramidal absorber along the walls and ceiling. Finger-stock gasketing is placed along the edge of the door to provide a good bond between the chamber and the door. The floor is constructed of specially reinforced absorber made of fiberglass-foam laminate material. RF Line Filters are installed to the outer walls with the line coming through pipe nipples into the room to remove RF ambients on the power input lines. These filters are encased in shielded electrical enclosures.

1.11 SAR DETERMINATION

The SAR can be determined by measuring the total RMS electric field (E_{tot} in the unit V / m) at a point inside the exposed tissue

$$SAR = E_{tot}^2 \sigma / \rho$$

where σ is the conductivity (S/m) and ρ is the density (kg /m³) of the tissue at the site of measurement.

The SAR distribution is determined by measuring the electric field with miniaturized E-field probes. Measurements are performed in the shell phantom filled with tissue simulating solution. As we know, different head and body simulating shell phantom and different phone positions as of the shape of the phantom may give different SAR measurement results. The simplified body and head phantom designed by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG was used in all the SAR tests.

The SAR calculation formula can be rewritten as

$$SAR = E_{tot}^2 \sigma / 1000\rho = E_{tot}^2 \sigma / 1000$$

where E_{tot} is the total field strength in V / m, σ is the conductivity in Siemens (inoh) and ρ is the density (kg / m³) of the tissue at the site of measurement. The density is normally set to 1 to account for the actual brain density rather than the density of the simulation solution.

1.12 DATA ACQUISITION AND ANALYSIS

The improved probe characteristics are obtained by the improved signal amplifier. The probes have source impedance of 5 to 8 MΩ due to the high resistive lines and the decoupling filters. The rectified signals range from 1 μV to 200 mV. Signal noise is reduced by using separated battery power data acquisition unit and connected with fiber-optic links to the main data evaluation system.

The data acquisition system is semi-automatic. Data acquisition, surface detection, robot control, administration of all calibration parameters of the system, evaluation and visualization of the measured data are performed by the DASY2 V2.3d software.

The robot which controls the movement of the probe is completely controlled by the software and its movements can be monitored on the screen. Several measuring options allow users completely measure in user defined coarse volumes or planes. After the coarse measurement, the probe can then be moved to the maximum SAR area and performed pre-defined fine grid volume SAR measurement. The filtered raw data is stored in data files together with all the calibration parameters. The data can be interpolated and extrapolated to find the maximum SAR value.

The data acquisition system takes 2600 complete field measurements per second for 3-D probes. The program reads and filters the incoming data during the measuring or surface detection cycle. Depending on the received signal strength, the program switches the gain of the amplifier unit and launches calibration cycles accordingly. The program calculates an accuracy estimate of the filtered signal and stops the measuring cycle upon reaching the desired accuracy. The measuring time per grid point varies with the desired accuracy and the received signal-to-noise ratio.

Because of the low cutoff frequency the system can not follow pulsed HF signals, but provides an average value of the rectified signal. As long as the signal strength stays within the square law range of the detector diode, the reading is the average of the absorbed power. If the peak signal strength is higher, the compression of the diode is compensated by the software depending on the duty cycle parameter. The system then calculates the peak power, compensates for the diode compression and gives the new average value. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \times (dc/2DCP)$$

where V is the compensated signal of channel x, y or z., U₁ is the input signal of channel x, y or z, dc is the duty cycle of the RF field (DASY2 system parameter), DCP is the diode compression point in microvolts (DASY2 system parameter). From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel is evaluated as follows,

$$E_i = (V_i / (\text{Norm}_1 \times \text{ConvF}))^{1/2}$$

where E is the measured channel electric field strength in V/m of channel x, y or z, V_i is the compensated signal of channel x, y or z, Norm₁ is the sensor sensitivity of channel x, y or z in μV/(V/m)². ConvF is the sensitivity enhancement in solution. The total field strength can be calculated by taking the RMS value of the channel field components.

$$E_{\text{tot}} = (E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2)^{1/2}$$

1.13 DATA EVALUATION and VISUALIZATION

The program evaluates the raw data with the calibration parameters and can produce a two-dimensional or three-dimensional output with interpolated isolines. SAR values can be numerically integrated over 1 g or 10 g of simulated tissue.

$$SAR_{1g} \approx \int_{1g \text{ Cubic}} SAR \, dVol \quad 1/1000 \times \sum_{1000} SAR$$

A thorough error analysis made by Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule (ETH) shows that the measurement uncertainty of DASY2 system is less than 20% for the spatial peak SAR values. The long term stability and the proper functioning of the system is ensured by means of an easy-to-use validation kit, which has a reproducibility of better than 5%.

The algorithm that finds the maximal averaged volume is divided into three stages,

First, the data between the dipole center of the probe and the surface of the phantom is extrapolated. This data can not be measured, since the center of the dipoles is 2.7 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1 mm. The extrapolated data from a cube measurement can be visualized in graphics.

Then, the maximal interpolated value is searched with a straight-forward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR - values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 or 10 g) are computed using the 3d-spline interpolation algorithm. If the volume can not be evaluated (i.e., if a part of the grid was cut off by the boundary of the measurement area) the evaluation will be started on the corners of the bottom plane of the cube.

Thirdly, all neighboring volumes are evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value is found.

Extrapolation is based on the least square. Through the points in one z-axis a polynomial of order four is calculated. This polynomial is then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip. The points, calculated from the surface, have a distance of 1 mm from one another.

Interpolation of the points is done with a 3d-spline. The 3d-spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" -condition.

For volume averaging, the size of the cube is first calculated. The volume is integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. 1000 points (10x10x10) are interpolated to calculate the average.

PRODUCT EQUIPMENT LIST

List of all equipment associated with test including peripherals	Serial Number	FCC ID Number	Sample type prototype (P) tool-made (T) production (M)
C12 PCS Telephone	001002510020451	N/A	M

Footnote: Customer assumes responsibility for verification and operation of all equipment.

CUSTOMER PROVIDED AUXILLARY EQUIPMENT

List of all equipment associated with test including peripherals	Serial Number	FCC ID Number
None	None	None

Footnote: Customer assumes responsibility for verification and operation of all equipment.

SAR SPECIAL TEST CONSIDERATIONS

This page discusses any special test procedures or considerations.

- There were no special test considerations.
- The following special considerations occurred during the test.

SPECIFIC NOTES: The C12 PCS 1900 Telephone was powered by leads connected to a power supply instead of the Nickel-Metal Rechargeable batteries supplied by the customer.

This was done because the batteries did **not** sustain the energy from the charger during charging.

MITIGATION APPLIED TO EUT TO ATTAIN COMPLIANCE:

- No mitigation required for compliance.
- The following mitigation was applied to obtain compliance:


SAR TEST SUMMARY SHEET


Official Name of the EUT: C12 PCS Telephone	Serial Number: 001002510020451
Test Date: 6/6/98	Test facility used: SAR Room
Operating Frequency: 1800-1900 MHz	
EUT Ambient Temperature: 25°C	EUT Relative Humidity: 39%
Product Engineer: S. Berger	EMC Engineer: S.E. Gordon

Phantom Side	Frequency MHz	Channel	Antenna Position	Reading (mW/g) over 1g tissue	Limit (mW/g) over 1g tissue	Margin mW/g
Left	1850.2	512	In	0.648	1.6	0.952
Left	1850.2	512	Out	0.636	1.6	0.964
Left	1880	661	In	0.474	1.6	1.126
Left	1880	661	Out	0.471	1.6	1.129
Left	1909.8	810	In	0.379	1.6	1.221
Left	1909.8	810	Out	0.403	1.6	1.197
Right	1850.2	512	In	0.530	1.6	1.070
Right	1850.2	512	Out	0.525	1.6	1.075
Right	1880	661	In	0.385	1.6	1.215
Right	1880	661	Out	0.386	1.6	1.214
Right	1909.8	810	In	0.289	1.6	1.311
Right	1909.8	810	Out	0.272	1.6	1.328

Complete SAR profile for all configurations are provided as the data plots on the following pages.

SAR DATA






1800 MHz Phone Channel 661 left Side Antenna Out 6/5/98.MEA

$\sigma = 1.70$ [mho/m] $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm³]

Coarse Grid: Dx = 15.0 Dy = 15.0 Dz = 0.0 [mm]

SAR [mW/g] Max: 0.46

Max at (82.50, 120.00, 4.00)




1800 MHz Phone Channel 661 Left Side Antenna Out 6/5/98.MEA

$\sigma = 1.70$ [mho/m] $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm³]

Cube 5x5x7 Dx = 8.0 Dy = 8.0 Dz = 5.0 [mm]

SAR [mW/g] Max: 0.83

SAR (1g): 0.481 [mW/g] SAR (10g): 0.259 [mW/g]




1800 MHz Channel 512 Left Side Antenna Out 6/5/98 MEA

$\sigma = 1.70$ [mho/m] $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm³]

Coarse Grid: Dx = 15.0 Dy = 15.0 Dz = 0.0 [mm]

SAR [mW/g] Max: 0.63

Max at (82.50 ,120.00,4.00)




1800 MHz Phone Channel 512 Left Side Antenna Out.MEA

$\sigma = 1.70$ [mho/m] $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm³]

Cube 5x5x7 Dx = 8.0 Dy = 8.0 Dz = 5.0 [mm]

SAR [mW/g] Max: 1.13

SAR (1g): 0.636 [mW/g] SAR (10g): 0.359 [mW/g]




1800MHz Phone Channel 512 Left Side Antenna In 6/5/98.MEA

$\sigma = 1.70$ [mho/m] $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm³]

Coarse Grid: Dx = 15.0 Dy = 15.0 Dz = 0.0 [mm]

SAR [mW/g] Max: 0.66

Max at (81.00, 120.00, 4.00)




1800 MHz Phone Channel 512 Left Side Antenna In 6/5/98.MEA

$$\sigma = 1.70 \text{ [mho/m]} \quad \epsilon_r = 40.7 \quad \rho = 1.00 \text{ [g/cm}^3\text{]}$$

$$\text{Cube } 5 \times 5 \times 7 \quad D_x = 8.0 \quad D_y = 8.0 \quad D_z = 5.0 \text{ [mm]}$$

$$\text{SAR [mW/g]} \quad \text{Max: 1.16}$$

$$\text{SAR (1g): } 0.648 \text{ [mW/g]} \quad \text{SAR (10g): } 0.380 \text{ [mW/g]}$$




1800MHz Phone Channel 810 Left Side Antenna In 6/5/98.MEA

$\sigma = 1.70$ [mho/m] $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm³]

Coarse Grid: Dx = 15.0 Dy = 15.0 Dz = 0.0 [mm]

SAR [mW/g] Max: 0.38

Max at (82.50 , 121.50, 4.00)




1800MHz Phone Channel 810 Left Side Antenna In 6/5/98.MEA

$\sigma = 1.70$ [mho/m] $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm³]

Cube 5x5x7 Dx=8.0 Dy=8.0 Dz=5.0 [mm]

SAR [mW/g] Max: 0.70

SAR (1g): 0.379 [mW/g] SAR (10g): 0.206 [mW/g]




1800 MHz Phone Channel 810 Left Side Antenna Out 6/6/98.MEA

$\sigma = 1.70$ [mho/m] $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm³]


Coarse Grid Dx = 15.0 Dy = 15.0 Dz = 0.0 [mm]

SAR [mW/g] Max: 0.43

Max at (81.00, 120.00, 4.00)



1800 MHz Phone Channel 810 Antenna Out 6/6/98 MEA
 $\sigma = 1.70$ [mho/m] $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm³]
Cube 5x5x7 Dx = 8.0 Dy = 8.0 Dz = 5.0 [mm]
SAR [mW/g] Max: 0.74
SAR (1g): 0.403 [mW/g] SAR (10g): 0.213 [mW/g]




1800 MHz Phone Channel 810 Right Side Antenna Out 6/6/98.MEA

$\sigma = 1.70$ [mho/m] $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm³]

Coarse Grid: $\Delta x = 15.0$ $\Delta y = 15.0$ $\Delta z = 0.0$ [mm]

SAR [mW/g] Max: 0.36

Max at (78.00, 105.00, 4.00)




1800 MHz Phone Channel 810 Right Side Antenna Out 6/6/98.MEA

$\sigma = 1.70$ [mho/m] $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm³]

Cube 5x5x7 Dx = 8.0 Dy = 8.0 Dz = 5.0 [mm]

SAR [mW/g] Max: 0.46

SAR (1g): 0.270 [mW/g] SAR (10g): 0.153 [mW/g]




1800 MHz Phone Channel 810 Right Side Antenna In 6/6/98.MEA

$\sigma = 1.70$ [mho/m] $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm³]

Coarse Grid Dx = 15.0 Dy = 15.0 Dz = 0.0 [mm]

SAR [mW/g] Max: 0.31

Max at (75.50 , 105.00 , 4.00)




1800 MHz Phone Channel 810 Right Side Antenna In 6/6/98.MEA

$\sigma = 1.70$ [mho/m] $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm³]

Cube 5x5x7 Dx = 8.0 Dy = 8.0 Dz = 5.0 [mm]

SAR [mW/g] Max: 0.49

SAR (1g): 0.289 [mW/g] SAR (10g): 0.163 [mW/g]




1800 MHz Phone Channel 661 Right Side Antenna In 6/6/98.MEA

$\sigma = 1.70$ [mho/m] $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm³]

Coarse Grid Dx = 15.0 Dy = 15.0 Dz = 0.0 [mm]

SAR [mW/g] Max: 0.42

Max at (75.00 , 105.00 , 4.00)




1800 MHz Phone Channel 661 Right Side Antenna In 6/6/98.MEA

$\sigma = 1.70$ [mho/m] $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm³]

Cube 5x5x7 Dx = 8.0 Dy = 8.0 Dz = 5.0 [mm]

SAR [mW/g] Max: 0.66

SAR (1g): 0.385 [mW/g] SAR (10g): 0.220 [mW/g]




1800 MHz Phone Channel 661 Right Side Antenna Out 6/6/98.MEA

$\sigma = 1.70$ [mho/m] $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm³]

Coarse Grid: Dx = 15.0 Dy = 15.0 Dz = 0.0 [mm]

SAR [mW/g] Max: 0.42

Max at (-78.50, 108.50, 4.00)




1800 MHz Phone Channel 661 Right Side Antenna Out 6/6/98.MEA

$\sigma = 1.70$ [mho/m] $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm³]

Cube 5x5x7 Dx = 8.0 Dy = 8.0 Dz = 5.0 [mm]

SAR [mW/g] Max: 0.65

SAR (1g): 0.386 [mW/g] SAR (10g): 0.222 [mW/g]




1800 MHz Phone Channel 512 Right Side Antenna Out 6/6/98.MEA

$\sigma = 1.70$ [mho/m] $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm³]

Coarse Grid: Dx = 15.0 Dy = 15.0 Dz = 0.0 [mm]

SAR [mW/g] Max: 0.56

Max at (76.50, 106.50, 4.00)




1800 MHz Phone Channel 512 Right Side Antenna Out 6/6/98.MEA

$\sigma = 1.70$ [mho/m] $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm³]

Cube 5x5x7 Dx = 8.0 Dy = 8.0 Dz = 5.0 [mm]

SAR [mW/g] Max: 0.88

SAR (1g): 0.525 [mW/g] SAR (10g): 0.304 [mW/g]




1800 MHz Phone Channel 512 Right Side Antenna In 6/6/98.MEA

$\sigma = 1.70$ [mho/m] $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm³]

Cube 5x5x7 Dx = 8.0 Dy = 8.0 Dz = 5.0 [mm]

SAR [mW/g] Max: 0.90

SAR (1g): 0.530 [mW/g] SAR (10g): 0.303 [mW/g]




1800 MHz Phone Channel 512 Right Side Antenna In 6/6/98.MEA

$\sigma = 1.70$ [mho/m] $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm³]

Coarse Grid: Dx = 15.0 Dy = 15.0 Dz = 0.0 [mm]


SAR [mW/g] Max: 0.57

Max at (75.00, 105.00, 4.00)




**Photograph(s) of EUT Arrangement
During SAR TEST**

FRONT VIEW



SIDE VIEW



TEST EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION LIST

Manufacturer	Model Number	Serial Number	Description	Last Calibrated dd/mm/yy	Cal Cycle Month
Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	ET3DV4	1123	Probe	20/9/97	12
HP	83623A	3009A00184	Synthesized Sweeper	16/12/97	12
HP	437B	3110A03795	Power Meter	7/8/97	12
HP	8481A	3318A94086	Power Sensor	12/02/97	12
Amplifier Research	25S1G4	21071	RF Power Amplifier	N/A	N/A
Weinchel	46-20-34	BD5843	Attenuator	29/4/98	12
Weinchel	46-10-34	BD5340	Attenuator	28/4/98	12

Body Muscle Tissue Simulating Liquid Data

RECIPE I

Water	40.1%
Sugar	58.0%
Hydroxyethylcellulosis (HEC)	1.0%
Preservative substance	1.0%

900 MHz: $\epsilon_r = 42.5 \pm 5\%$ and $s = 0.85 \pm 10\%$ mho/m, ConvF = $6.0 \pm 10\%$

450 MHz: $\epsilon_r = 47.2 \pm 5\%$ and $s = 0.45 \pm 10\%$ mho/m, ConvF = $6.0 \pm 10\%$

Simulates tissue according to the data provided by C. Gabriel at 900 MHz

RECIPE III

Water	45.0%
Sugar	53.9%
Hydroxyethylcellulois(HEC)	1.0%
Preservative substance	1.0%

1800 MHz $\epsilon_r = 41.0 \pm 5\%$ and $s = 1.65 \pm 10\%$ mho/m, ConvF = $4.8 \pm 10\%$


Simulates tissue according to the data provided by C. Gabriel at 1800 MHz

System Diagram

Test Setup

The diagram below is the test setup for specific absorption rate measurements.

DASY 2 SYSTEM WITH REMOTE CONTROL UNIT



System Uncertainty Data

Uncertainty

Field measurement errors: $\leq \pm 13\%$ (includes isotropy error in tissue-simulation liquid: $\leq +0.2\text{dB}$ for the assessment procedure; frequency response: $\leq +0.1\text{dB}$; linearity: $\leq +0.2\text{dB}$; data acquisition and evaluation error: $\leq \pm 0.05\text{dB}$; probe calibration uncertainty: $\leq \pm 10\%$; ELF and RF disturbance: $\leq \pm 10\mu\text{W/g}$)

Errors in evaluating spatial peak SAR values: $\leq \pm 7\%$ (includes extrapolation and interpolation errors and positioning errors: $\leq \pm 0.1\text{dB}$ at 900 MHz and $\leq \pm 0.2\text{dB}$ at 1800 MHz when using the surface detection with transparent, homogeneous sugar-water solutions. Whereby the angle between surface and probe ranges from 75 to 105 degrees; integration and maximum search routine: $\leq \pm 0.1\text{dB}$ for the fine cube measurement grid defined in the software (cube size: $32 \times 32 \times 30\text{mm}^3$; number of measurement points: $5 \times 5 \times 7$); inaccuracies in the cube's shape: $\leq \pm 0.2\text{dB}$ for angles between surface and probe ranging from 75 to 105 degrees).

Dosimetric Assessment System Calibration Data

**Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG**

Staffelstrasse 8, 8045 Zurich, Switzerland, Telefon +41 1 280 08 60, Fax +41 1 280 08 64

DASY - DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT SYSTEM

CALIBRATION REPORT

DATA ACQUISITION ELECTRONICS

MODEL: DAE V2

SERIAL NUMBER: 222

This Data Acquisition Unit was calibrated and tested using a FLUKE 702 Process Calibrator. Calibration and verification were performed at an ambient temperature of 23 ± 5 °C and a relative humidity of <70%.

Measurements were performed using the standard DASY software for converting binary values, offset compensation and noise filtering. Software settings are indicated in the reports.

Results from this calibration relate only to the unit calibrated.

Calibrated by: P Merian

Calibration Date: 11. 01. 97

DASY Software Version: 2. 3b

1. DC Voltage Measurement

DA - Converter Values from DAE

Low Gain: 1LSB= 6.1 μ V, full range = 400 mV

High Gain: 1LSB = 6lnV full range = 4 mV

Software Set-up

Calibration time: 3 sec

Measuring time: 3 sec

Low Gain	Input	Reading in μ V	% Error
Channel X +Input	20mV	20013.71100	0.07
	200mV	200135.49648	0.07
Channel X -Input	20mV	19992.53024	-0.04
Channel Y +Input	20mV	19973.84252	-0.13
	200mV	199735.77724	-0.13
Channel Y -Input	20mV	19960.27550	-0.20
Channel X +Input	20mV	20001.09394	0.01
	200mV	199968.56220	-0.02
Channel Z	20mV	19988.90412	-0.06

High Gain	Input	Reading in	
Channel X +Input	0.2mV	200.35630	0.18
	2mV	2003.78565	0.19
Channel X -Input	0.2mV	200.40077	0.20
Channel Y +Input	0.2mV	200.44540	0.22
	2mV	2002.58799	0.13
Channel Y -Input	0.2mV	200.25017	0.13
Channel Z +Input	0.2mV	200.83218	0.42
	2mV	2006.96047	0.35
Channel Z -Input	0.2mV	200.15879	0.08

2. Common mode sensitivity

Software set-up

Calibration time: 3 sec

Measuring time: 3 sec

in μV	Common mode Input Voltage	Low Gain Reading	High Gain Reading
Channel X	200mV	2.86943	1.39792
	-200mV	-3.13549	-2.58731
Channel Y	200mV	-5.56515	-4.41801
	-200mV	2.55800	4.27949
Channel Z	200mV	8.64899	7.43785
	-200mV	-8.22879	-6.92366

3. Channel separation

Software Set-up

Calibration time: 3 sec

Measuring time: 3 sec

in μV	Input Voltage	Channel X	Channel Y	Channel Z
Channel X	200mV	-	24.49466	39.15640
Channel Y	200mV	32.40341	-	23.94300
Channel Z	200mV	-6.78899	3.54943	-

4. AD-Converter Values with inputs shorted

in LSB	Low Gain	High Gain
Channel X	16520.75026	16032.51308
Channel Y	16522.36756	17157.69846
Channel Z	16516.80423	16722.60397

5. Input Offset Measurement

Measured after 15 mm warm-up time of the Data Acquisition Electronic. Every Measurement is preceded by a calibration cycle.

Software set-up:

Calibration time: 3 sec

Measuring time: 3 sec

Number of measurements: 100

Input open

in μV	min. Offset	max. Offset	Average	Std. Deviation
Channel X	-01.33	00.84	-00.40	00.37
Channel Y	-01.59	00.46	-00.30	00.34
Channel Z	-01.28	00.93	-00.15	00.36

Input shorted

in μV	min. Offset	max. Offset	Average	Std. Deviation
Channel X	-01.12	00.84	-00.09	00.27
Channel Y	-01.16	01.39	00.05	00.31
Channel Z	-00.77	02.19	-00.03	00.37

6. Input Offset Current

in μV	Input Offset Current
Channel X	<100
Channel Y	<100
Channel Z	<100

7. Input Resistance

in $\text{k}\Omega$	Calibrating	Measuring
Channel X	199.2	20'160
Channel Y	199.2	20'180
Channel Z	199.2	20'130

8. Low Battery Alarm Voltage

in V	Alarm Level
Digital Supply (VCC)	4.8V
Analog Supply (+Vcc)	5.4V
Analog Supply (-Vcc)	-5.6V


9. Power Consumption

in mA	Switched off	Stand by	Transmitting
Digital Supply (VCC)	0.01	4.75	9.0
Analog Supply (+Vcc)	0.003	9.98	9.75
Analog Supply (-Vcc)	0.0	-9.6	-9.56


10. Functional test

Relay pulse length	3.5ms
Touch async pulse	ok
Touch status bit	ok
Channel synchronisation bit	ok
Power off pulse	ok
Power down mode	ok

System Validation Data/ Dipole Validation Kit



1800 MHz Verification 6/4/98.MEA
 $\sigma = 1.70$ [mho/m] $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm³]
Cube 5x5x7 Dx = 8.0 Dy = 8.0 Dz = 5.0 [mm]
SAR [mW/g] Max: 22.46
SAR (1g): 12.3 [mW/g] SAR (10g): 6.79 [mW/g]



Calibration Certificate

1800MHz System Validation Dipole

Type:	D1800V2
Serial Number:	201
Place of Calibration:	Zurich
Date of Calibration:	Oct. 12, 1996
Calibration Interval:	24 months

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG hereby certifies, that this device has been calibrated on the date indicated above. The calibration was performed in accordance with specifications and procedures of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG.

Whereever applicable, the standards used in the calibration process are traceable to international standards. In all other cases the standards of the Laboratory for EMF and Microwave Electronics at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH) in Zurich, Switzerland have been applied.

Calibrated by:

Thomas Schmid

Approved by:

N. Kuster

DASY

Dipole Validation Kit

Type : D1800V2

Ser.: 201

Manufactured:	June 1996
Calibrated:	October 1996

The measurements were performed with the flat phantom and the new generic twin phantom prototype (shell thickness 3.2mm), both filled with brain simulating sugar solution of the following electrical parameters at 1800 MHz:

Relative Dielectricity	40.5	±5%
Conductivity	1.65 mho/m	±10%

The DASY2 system (software version 2.3d) with a dosimetric E-field probe ET3DV4 (SN: 1016) was used for the measurements. The Conversion Factor (probe parameter) for the probe was 4.8.

With the Head Phantom, the dipole feedpoint was positioned below the ear hole marking. The dipole orientations used were in a horizontal plane parallel and normal to the body axis. The standard measuring distance was 15mm from dipole centre to collimation surface. The accurate distance positioning was done by using the included distance holder.

This measuring point is not very critical for SAR measurements. The measured variations are:

Horizontal shift from/to phantom nose	<2% for 5mm shift
Horizontal shift to bottom/top of head	<5% for 5mm shift
Positioning angle in horizontal plane	<2% for ± 10° shift

The repeatability of SAR-measurements with normally careful positioning should be better than 5%. The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 15mm was aligned with the dipole. The 5x5x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration. The variations from using different coarse grid orientations and spacings or from using the 4x4x7 fine cube were all within 3% of the assessed SAR-value.

2. SAR Measurement

Standard SAR-measurements were performed with the head phantom according to the measurement conditions described in section 1. The results (see figure) have been normalised to a dipole input power of 1W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values are:

- Dipole parallel to body axis:

SAR at surface (extrapolated):	45.22 mW/g
averaged over 1 cm ³ (1g) of tissue:	24.5 mW/g
averaged over 10 cm ³ (10g) of tissue:	12.8 mW/g

- Dipole normal to body axis:

SAR at surface (extrapolated):	50.6 mW/g
averaged over 1 cm ³ (1g) of tissue:	27.2 mW/g
averaged over 10 cm ³ (10g) of tissue:	14.2 mW/g

If the liquid parameters for validation are slightly different from the ones used for initial calibration, the SAR-values will be different as well. The estimated sensitivities of SAR-values and penetration depths to the liquid parameters are as follows (see also Application Note 4: SAR Sensitivities):

- SAR at the phantom surface:

$$\frac{dSAR/SAR}{d\epsilon/\epsilon} = -0.73$$

$$\frac{dSAR/SAR}{d\sigma/\sigma} = +0.90$$

- SAR averaged over a cube of 1cm³:

$$\frac{dSAR/SAR}{d\epsilon/\epsilon} = -0.54$$

$$\frac{dSAR/SAR}{d\sigma/\sigma} = +0.51$$

- SAR averaged over a cube of 10cm³:

$$\frac{dSAR/SAR}{d\epsilon/\epsilon} = -0.41$$

$$\frac{dSAR/SAR}{d\sigma/\sigma} = +0.23$$

- Penetration depth:

$$\frac{d\delta/\delta}{d\epsilon/\epsilon} = +0.46$$

$$\frac{d\delta/\delta}{d\sigma/\sigma} = -0.96$$

3. Dipole Impedances

The impedances were measured at the SMA-connector with a network analyzer and numerically transformed to the dipole feedpoint. The transformation parameters from the SMA-connector to the dipole feedpoint are:

Electrical delay:	1.226ns	(one direction)
Transmission factor:	0.977	(voltage transmission one direction)

PAGE 56 OF 81

- Dipole impedance at Flat phantom:

Distance from solution [mm]	Re{Z} [Ω]	Im{Z} [Ω]	Return Loss [dB]
--------------------------------	--------------	--------------	---------------------

10	50.5	10.4	-20.2
15	49.8	1.5	-37.0
20	55.6	1.9	-25.5
25	63.9	2.5	-18.5
30	73.9	2.4	-14.7
35	83.3	-1.9	-12.4
40	91.5	-8.7	-10.9
45	96.4	-16.7	-9.9
50	98.2	-24.8	-9.3

- Dipole impedance at Head phantom:

Distance from solution [mm]	Re{Z} [Ω]	Im{Z} [Ω]	Return Loss [dB]
10	53.8	11.9	-18.9
15	53.4	1.4	-29.5
20	57.8	2.3	-22.9
25	64.4	4.0	-18.1
30	72.5	3.9	-15.0
35	79.6	1.2	-13.2
40	85.1	-2.9	-12.1
45	89.7	-9.3	-11.1
50	91.6	-16.2	-10.5
15mm with distance holder	49.7	-2.2	-33.4
• Dipole in free space	76	-29	-11


4. Handling

The dipole is made of standard semi-rigid coaxial cable. The centre conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals.


Do not apply excessive force to the dipole arms, because they might bend. If the dipole arms have to be bent back, take care to release stress to the soldered connections near the feedpoint; they might come off.

After prolonged use with 40W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

D1800V2 SN-201 / P_{in} = 1W / d = 15mm / parallel to body axis
σ = 1.65 [mho/m] ε_r = 40.5 ρ = 1.00 [g/cm³]
SAR [mW/g] Max: 24.40
SAR (1g): 24.5 [mW/g] SAR (10g): 12.8 [mW/g]



DT1800V2-SIN-201 / Pin = 13W / d = 15mm / normal to body axis
 $r_0 = 1.65$ [mho/m] $\epsilon_0 = 40.5$ $\sigma = 1.00$ [p/cm²]
 SAR [mW/kg] Max: 31.56
 SAR (10) 27.2 [mW/kg] SAR (100) 14.2 [mW/kg]




D1800V2 SN:201

S11

Flat phantom with
brain simulating
solution

- 1: d = 10mm (red)
- 2: d = 15mm (yellow)
- 3: d = 20mm (green)
- 4: d = 30mm (blue)

d=distance from dipole
center to solution




D1800V2 SN:201

S11

Flat phantom with
brain simulating
solution

- 1: d = 10mm (red)
- 2: d = 15mm (yellow)
- 3: d = 20mm (green)
- 4: d = 30mm (blue)

d=distance from dipole
center to solution




D1800V2 SN:201

S11

Head phantom with
brain simulating
solution

- 1: d = 10mm (red)
- 2: d = 15mm (yellow)
- 3: d = 20mm (green)
- 4: d = 30mm (blue)

d=distance from dipole
center to solution




CH1 S11 1 U FS
20

Cor
De1

t

PAGE 63 OF 81



D1800V2 SN:201

S11

Head phantom with
brain simulating
solution

- 1: d = 10mm (red)
- 2: d = 15mm (yellow)
- 3: d = 20mm (green)
- 4: d = 30mm (blue)

d=distance from dipole
center to solution

START 1 400.000 000 MHz STOP 2 200.000 000 MHz

Probe Calibration Data

Probe ET3DV4

SN: 1123

Manufactured: April 96
Recalibrated: 20 September 97

Page 65 Of 81

Introduction

The performance of all probes is measured before delivery. This includes an assessment of the characteristic parameters, receiving patterns as a function of frequency, frequency response and relative accuracy. Furthermore, each probe is tested in use according to a dosimetric assessment protocol. The sensitivity parameters (NormX, NormY, NormZ), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe and some of the measurement diagrams are given in the following.

The performance of the individual probes varies slightly due to tolerances arising from the manufacturing process. Since the lines are highly resistive (several MOhms), the offset and noise problem is greatly increased if signals in the low μV range are measured. Accurate measurement below $10 \mu\text{W/g}$ are possible if the following precautions are taken. ~) check the current grounding with the *multimeter* i.e., low noise levels, 2) compensate the current *offset*¹, 3) use long integration time (approx. 10 seconds), 4) *calibrate*¹ before each measurement, 5) persons should avoid moving around the lab while measuring.

Since the field distortion caused by the supporting material and the sheath is quite high in the θ direction, the receiving pattern is poor in air. However, the distortion in tissue equivalent material is much less because of its high dielectricity. In addition, the fields induced in the phantoms by dipole structures close to the body are dominantly parallel to the surface. Thus, the error due to non-isotropy is much better than 1 dB for dosimetric assessments.

The probes are calibrated in the TEM cell if 110 although the field distribution in the cell is not very uniform and the frequency response is not very flat. To ensure consistency, a strict protocol is followed. The conversion factor (ConF) between this calibration and the measurement in the tissue simulation solution is performed by comparison with temperature measurements and computer simulations. This conversion factor is only valid for the specified tissue simulating liquids at the specified frequencies. If measurements have to be performed in solutions with other electrical properties or at other frequencies, the conversion factor has to be assessed by the same procedure.

As the probes have been constructed with printed resistive lines on ceramic substrates (thick film technique), the probe is very delicate with respect to mechanical shocks.

Attention:

Do not drop the probe or let the probe collide with any solid object. Never let the robot move without first activating the emergency stop feature (i.e., without first turning the data acquisition electronics on).

1. Feature of the DASY2 Software Tool.




Fig 1: Due to the field distortion caused by the supporting material, the probe has two characteristic directions, referred to as angle ψ and θ .

ET'3DV4 SN:1123



Parameters of Probe ET3DV4 SN:1123

NormX	1.75	mV/(V/m)²
NormY	1.82	mV/(V/m)²
NormZ	1.71	mV/(V/m)²
DCP	41000	μV
ConvF(450MHz)	6.6 ± 10%	ε_r = 47.2 ± 5%; σ = 0.45 ± 10% mho/m¹
ConvF(900MHz)	5.7 ± 10%	ε_r = 42.5 ± 5%; σ = 0.85 ± 10% mho/m
ConvF(800MHz)	4.8 ± 10%	ε_r = 41.0 ± 5%; σ = 1.69 ± 10% mho/m
d_{probe_tip - center_dipoles}	2.7	mm
d_{surface - probe_tip}	1.3 ± 0.2	mm

¹ Brain tissue simulating liquids



ET'3DV4 SN:1123

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\theta = 0^\circ$, $f = 30$ MHz
(TEM-Cell:ifi110)





ET'3DV4 SN:1123

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\theta = 0^\circ$, $f = 100$ MHz
(TEM-Cell:ifi110)





ET'3DV4 SN:1123

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\theta = 0^\circ$, $f = 300$ MHz
(TEM-Cell:ifi110)





ET'3DV4 SN:1123

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\theta = 0^\circ$, $f = 900$ MHz (TEM-Cell:ifi110)





ET'3DV4 SN:1123
ET'3DV4 SN:1123

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\theta = 0^\circ$, $f = 1800$ MHz (Waveguide R22)





ET'3DV4 SN:1123

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\theta = 0^\circ$, $f = 2500$ MHz (Waveguide R26)




ET'3DV4 SN:1123

Receiving Pattern (ϕ, f), $\theta = 0^\circ$





ET'3DV4 SN:1123


Frequency Response of E-Field (TEM-Cell:ifi110, Waveguide R22, R26)




Dynamic Range $f(\text{SAR}_{\text{brain}})$ (TEM-Cell:ifi110)




Conversion Factor Assessment, $f = 900$ MHz (Waveguide R9)




Receiving Pattern (ϕ) (in brain tissue, $z = 5$ mm)



Conversion Factor Assessment, $f = 1800$ MHz (Waveguide R22)



Receiving Pattern (ϕ) (in brain tissue, $z = 5$ mm)



ISO Certificate

KEMA REGISTERED QUALITY

AFFILIATED WITH N.V. KEMA IN THE NETHERLANDS
A MEMBER OF THE EUROPEAN NETWORK FOR QUALITY SYSTEM ASSESSMENT AND CERTIFICATION (ENQA)

CERTIFICATE

Number: 10083.01

The quality system of:

**Lucent Technologies Inc.
Bell Labs Innovations
Global Product Compliance Laboratory
101 Crawfords Corner Road - Room 11C-165
P.O. Box 3030
Holmdel, NJ 07733-3030**

including its implementation, meets the requirements of the standard

ISO 9002:1994

Scope:

Electromagnetic Compatibility, Product Safety, and Telecommunications Network Interconnect International Conformity Assessment Test Services.

Reports that form the basis of this certificate:

10083.01.P001; 10083.01.P002; 10083.01.P003; 10083.01.C001; 10083.01.C002;
10083.01.C003; 10083.01.CH01 up to and including 10083.01.S001

This certificate is valid until: February 1, 1998

Revision date: August 6, 1996

Issued for the first time: February 1, 1995

Jan Biers, Director
Board of Directors
KEMA-Registered Quality, Inc.

The method of operation for quality system certification is defined in the KEMA Regulations for Quality System Certification. Integral publication of this certificate and adjoining records is allowed.

KEMA-REGISTERED QUALITY, INC.
4379 County Line Road
Chafont, PA 18914
Phone: (215) 422-4255 Fax: (215) 522-4285

ACCREDITED BY:

The Dutch Council for Accreditation (RvA)
(The Registrar Accreditation Board (RAB))



Scope of Accreditation



Revised Scope 08/11/1997

Page: 1 of 2

**ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY
AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS**

NVLAP LAB CODE 100275-0

LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES, GLOBAL PRODUCT COMPLIANCE LAB

101 Crawfords Corner Road, M/S 11C-165

P.O. Box 3030

Holmdel, NJ 07733-3030

Mr. E. Gardner Burkhardt

Phone: 908-834-1801 Fax: 908-834-1807

NVLAP Code Designation / Description

International Special Committee on Radio Interference (CISPR) Methods

12/CIS22 IEC/CISPR 22:1993: Limits and methods of measurement of radio disturbance characteristics of information technology equipment

Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Methods

12/F01 FCC Method - 47 CFR Part 15 - Digital Devices

12/F01a Conducted Emissions, Power Lines, 450 KHz to 30 MHz

12/F01b Radiated Emissions

AUSTEL Technical Standards as determined under the Telecommunications Act of 1991

12/T41 TS-001: Safety Requirements for Customer Equipment

12/T42 TS-002: Analogue Interworking and Non-Interference Requirements for Customer Equipment Connected to the Public Switched Telephone Network

12/T44 TS-004: Voice Frequency Performance Requirements for Customer Equipment

September 30, 1998

Effective through

For the National Institute of Standards and Technology

Scope of Accreditation



Revised Scope 08/11/1997

Page: 2 of 2

**ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY
AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS**

NVLAP LAB CODE 100275-0

LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES, GLOBAL PRODUCT COMPLIANCE LAB

NVLAP Code Designation / Description

- | | |
|--------|---|
| 12/T45 | TS-006: General Requirements for Customer Equipment Connected to the Non-Switched Telephone Network |
| 12/T46 | TS-008: Requirements for Authorized Cabling Products |

Australian Standards referred to by clauses in AUSTEL Technical Standards

- | | |
|--------|---|
| 12/T51 | AS/NZS 3548: Electromagnetic Interference - Limits and Methods of Measurement of Information Technology Equipment |
|--------|---|

September 30, 1998

Effective through

for the National Institute of Standards and Technology

United States Department of Commerce
National Institute of Standards and Technology



ISO/IEC GUIDE 25:1990
ISO 9002:1987

Certificate of Accreditation

LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES, GLOBAL PRODUCT COMPLIANCE LAB
LIGLMDL, NJ

is recognized under the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program for satisfactory compliance with criteria established in Title 15, Part 285 Code of Federal Regulations. These criteria encompass the requirements of ISO/IEC Guide 25 and the relevant requirements of ISO 9002 (ANSI/NISO Z39-1987) as suppliers of calibration or test results. Accreditation is awarded for specific services, listed on the Scope of Accreditation for:

**ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS
FCC**

September 30, 1998

Effective through:

For the National Institute of Standards and Technology
NVLAP Lab Code: 100275-0