

## **EUROFINS PRODUCT SERVICE GMBH**



# **TEST - REPORT**

**SAR Compliance Test Report** 

**DECT** handset

K001

FCC ID: M72-PKBK001 IC: 1849C-PKBK001



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1	General Information	3
1.1	Notes	3
1.2	Testing laboratory	4
1.3	Details of approval holder	4
1.4	Manufacturer: (if applicable)	5
1.5	Application details	5
1.6	Test item	5
1.7	Test Results	6
1.8	Test standards	6
2	Technical test	7
2.1	Summary of test results	7
2.2	Test environment	7
2.3	Test equipment utilized	3
2.4	Definitions	9
2.5	Measurement System Description	10
2.6	Test System Specification	15
2.7	Measurement Procedure	16
2.8	Measurement uncertainty	23
3	Tissue and System Verification	24
3.1	Tissue Verification	24
3.2	System Verification	24
4	Test Results	25
5	References	27
Annex A	Pictures	28
Annex B	Calibration Certificate	33
Annex C	Measurement Plots	59



## 1 General Information

#### 1.1 Notes

The results of this test report relate exclusively to the item tested as specified in chapter "Description of test item" and are not transferable to any other test items.

Eurofins Product Service GmbH is not responsible for any generalisations and conclusions drawn from this report. Any modification of the test item can lead to invalidity of test results and this test report may therefore be not applicable to the modified test item.

The test report may only be reproduced or published in full. Reproducing or publishing extracts of the report requires the prior written approval of the Eurofins Product Service GmbH.

This document is subject to the General Terms and Conditions and the Testing and Certification System of Eurofins Product Service GmbH, available on request or accessible at <a href="https://www.pt.eurofins.com">www.pt.eurofins.com</a>

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualification of all persons taking them.

Operator:			
08.02.2012		W. Treffke	W. Treft
Date	Eurofins-Lab.	Name	Signature
Technical respons	sibility for area o	f testing:	
08.02.2012		J. Zimmermann	
Date		Name	Signature



## 1.2 Testing laboratory

## 1.2.1 Location

EUROFINS PRODUCT SERVICE GMBH STORKOWER STR. 38c D- 15526 REICHENWALDE B. BERLIN GERMANY

Telephone: + 49 33631 888-00 Telefax: + 49 33631 888-660

#### 1.2.2 Details of accreditation status

**DAKKS ACCREDITED TESTING LABORATORY** 

DAKKS-REGISTRATION NUMBER: D-PL-12092-01-01

RECOGNIZED NOTIFIED BODY EMC

REGISTRATION NUMBER: BNetzA-bS EMV-07/61

RECOGNIZED NOTIFIED BODY R&TTE

REGISTRATION NUMBER: BNetzA-bS-02/51-53

**FCC FILED TEST LABORATORY** 

REG.-No. 96970

**A2LA ACCREDITED TESTING LABORATORY** 

CERTIFICATE No. 1983.01

**BLUETOOTH QUALIFICATION TEST FACILITY (BQTF)** 

ACCREDITED BY BLUETOOTH QUALIFICATION REVIEW BOARD

**INDUSTRY CANADA FILED TEST LABORATORY** 

REG. No. IC 3470

Statement: The tests documented within this report are carried out in accordance with the scope of

accreditation of test laboratory Eurofins Product Service GmbH.

## 1.3 Details of approval holder

Name : Polycom Inc. Street : 4750 Willow Road

Town : Pleasanton, CA, 94588-2708

Country : USA

Telephone : +44 1753 723011 Fax : +44 1600 715 799

Contact : Mr. Tony Griffiths

E-Mail : tony.griffiths@polycom.com



## 1.4 Manufacturer: (if applicable)

Name : Street : Country : Street : Country : C

## 1.5 Application details

Date of receipt of application : 27.09.2011

Date of receipt of test item : 27.09.2011

Date of test : 04.10.2011 – 05.10.2011

## 1.6 Test item

FCC ID : M72-PKBK001

Description of test item : DECT handset

Brand name : Polycom

Type identification : K001

Serial number : 05 003 00680102 Identical prototype

Device category : PUE (Unlicensed PCS portable TX held to ear)

#### **Technical data**

TX Frequency range : 1921.536 – 1928.448 MHz

Max. Radiated RF output power : UPCS / 20.1 dBm (102.33 mW)

Power supply : 2.4VDC
Antenna Tx : integral
Antenna RX : integral

Additional information : ./.



#### 1.7 Test Results

Max. SAR Measurement (Head) : 0.00981 W/kg (averaged over 1 gram)
Max. SAR Measurement (Body : 0.020 W/kg (averaged over 1 gram)

This EUT has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general population exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992 and had been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (2001) and IEEE Std. 1528-2003, December 2003.

#### 1.8 Test standards

Standards : - Radiocommunications (Electromagnetic Radiation - Human

Exposure) Standard 2003

- IEEE Std. 1528-2003, December 2003

FCC Rule Part(s) : - FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C, Edition 01-01

 KDB 447498 (Mobile and Portable Device RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies)

Canada : - RSS-102, Issue 4, 2010, (Radio Frequency (RF) Exposure

Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency

Bands)

- Safety Code 6, 2009, (Limits of Human Exposure to

to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Energy in the Frequency

Range from 3 kHz to 300 GHz



## 2 Technical test

#### 2.1 Summary of test results

Handset (Head)	Χ
Handset (Body)	Χ
Headset (Head)	
Body Worn Equipment	

EUT complies with the RF radiation exposure limits of these standards as shown by the SAR measurement results. These measurements are taken to simulate the RF effects exposure under worst-case conditions. The EUT complies with the requirements in respect to all parameters subject to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

Please note that the absorption and distribution of electromagnetic energy in the body are very complex phenomena that depend on the mass, shape, and size of the body, the orientation of the body with respect to the field vectors, and the electrical properties of both the body and the environment. Other variables that may play a substantial role in possible biological effects are those that characterize the environment (e.g. ambient temperature, air velocity, relative humidity, and body insulation) and those that characterize the individual (e.g. age, gender, activity level, debilitation, or disease). Because innumerable factors may interact to determine the specific biological outcome of an exposure to electromagnetic fields, any protection guide shall consider maximal amplification of biological effects as a result of field-body interactions, environmental conditions, and physiological variables. [1]

In case of multiple hotspots the secondary hotspots within 2dB of the maximum SAR value will be recorded and displayed in the measurement plots. The secondary hotspots with a peak SAR value below 0.5 W/kg will not be measured by the system, due to the high margin to the limits.

## 2.2 Test environment

Room temperature : 22,1 -22,6 ° C
Liquid temperature : 22,0 -22,4 ° C
Relative humidity content : 20 ... 75 %
Air pressure : 86 ... 103 kPa

Details of power supply : 2.4VDC



## 2.3 Test equipment utilized

No.	Measurement device:	Type:	Manufacturer:	Cal Date	Cal Due
ETS 0449	Stäubli Robot	RX90B L	Stäubli	functional	functional
				test	test
ETS 0450	Stäubli Robot Controller	CS/MBs&p	Stäubli	functional	functional
				test	test
ETS 0451	DASY 4 Measurement Server		Schmid & Partner		functional
				test	test
ETS 0452	Control Pendant		Stäubli		functional
FT0.0450	0	David as N/	O.L'I O.D	test	test
ETS 0453	Compaq Computer	2 GHz,	Schmid & Partner	functional test	functional test
ETS 0454	Dabu Acquisition Electronics	DAE3V1	Schmid & Partner	2011-09	2012-09
ETS 0455	Dummy Probe	DALSVI	Schmid & Partner	functional	functional
L 13 0433	Dunning Flobe		Schilliu & Farther	test	test
ETS 0456	Dosimetric E-Field Probe	ET3DV6	Schmid & Partner	no	no
2100100		210210		-	certification
				n testing	testing
ETS 0457	Dosimetric E-Field Probe	ET3DV6	Schmid & Partner	2011-09	2012-09
ETS 0458	Dosimetric H-Field Probe	H3DV6	Schmid & Partner	2010-09	2011-09
ETS 0459	System Validation Kit	D900V2	Schmid & Partner	2009-09	2012-09
ETS 0460	System Validation Kit	D1800V2	Schmid & Partner	2009-09	2012-09
ETS 0461	System Validation Kit	D1900V2	Schmid & Partner	2009-09	2012-09
ETS 0462	System Validation Kit	D2450V2	Schmid & Partner	2009-09	2012-09
ETS 0463	Probe Alignment Unit	LBV2	Schmid & Partner	functional	functional
				test	test
ETS 0464	SAM Twin phantom	V 4.0	Schmid & Partner	functional	
				test	test
ETS 0465	Mounting Device	V 3.1	Schmid & Partner		functional
FTC 0004-	NACIE: It t	LIDV 6	Dalada 0 Calaurana	test	test
ETS 0224a	Millivoltmeter	URV 5	Rohde & Schwarz	no	no calibration
				required	required
ETS 0219	Power sensor	NRV-Z2	Rohde & Schwarz	2011-03	2013-03
ETS 0268	RF signal generator	SMP 02	Rohde & Schwarz	2011-03	2013-03
ETS 0322	Insertion unit	URV5-Z4	Rohde & Schwarz	no	no
E13 0322		UK V 5-Z4	Nonue & Scriwarz		calibration
				required	required
ETS 0466	Directional Coupler	HP 87300B	HD	2003-03	2007-03
ETS0231	Radio Communication Tester	CMD65	Rohde & Schwarz	no	no
L 1 30231	Tagio Communication rester	CIVIDOS	Tronue & Johnson	calibration	-
				required	required
ETS 0467	Universal Radio	CMU 200	Rohde & Schwarz	functional	functional
	Communication Tester			test	test
ETS 0468	Network Analyzer 300 kHz to 3	8753C	Agilent	2010-09	2011-09
	GHz		_		
ETS 0469	Dielectric Probe Kit	85070C	Agilent	functional	functional
				test	test



#### 2.4 Definitions

#### 2.4.1 SAR

The specific absorption rate (SAR) is defined as the time derivative of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density ( $\rho_t$ ), expressed in watts per kilogram (W/kg)

SAR = 
$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{\rho_t dV} \right) = \frac{\sigma}{\rho_t} |E_t|^2$$

where:

$$\frac{dW}{dt} = \int_{V} E \cdot J \, dV = \int_{V} \sigma E^2 dV$$

## 2.4.2 Uncontrolled Exposure

The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity. Warning labels placed on low-power consumer devices such as cellular telephones are not considered sufficient to allow the device to be considered under the occupational/controlled category, and the general population/uncontrolled exposure limits apply to these devices.

## 2.4.3 Controlled Exposure

In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means. Awareness of the potential for RF exposure in a workplace or similar environment can be provided through specific training as part of a RF safety program. If appropriate, warning signs and labels can also be used to establish such awareness by providing prominent information on the risk of potential exposure and instructions on methods to minimize such exposure risks.



## 2.5 Measurement System Description

## 2.5.1 System Setup

Measurements are performed using the DASY4 automated dosimetric assessment system (Figure 1) made by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG)in Zurich, Switzerland.



Figure 1

The DASY4 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:

- A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Stäubli RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- An unit to operate the optical surface detector which is connected to the EOC.
- The Electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion from the optical into a digital electric signal of the DAE. The EOC is connected to the measurement server.
- The functions of the measurement server is to perform the time critical task such as signal filtering, surveillance of the robot operation, fast movement interrupts.
- A probe alignment unit which improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer operating Windows 2000 or Windows NT.
- DASY4 software.
- Remote control with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The SAM twin phantom enabling testing left-hand and right-hand usage.
- The device holder for handheld mobile phones.
- Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes (see Application Notes).
- System validation dipoles allowing to validate the proper functioning of the system.



## 2.5.2 Phantom Description



(Figure 2.1)

The SAM twin phantom V4.0 (figure 2.1) is a fiberglass shell phantom with 2 mm shell thickness. It has three measurement areas:

- Left hand
- Right hand
- Flat phantom



(Figure 2.2)

The Oval flat phantom (ELI 4) (figure 2.2) is a fiberglass shell phantom with 2 mm thickness.

The phantom is integrated in a wooden table.

The bottom plate of the table contains three pair of bolts for locking the device holder. The device holder positions are adjusted to the standard measurement positions in the three sections. Only one device holder is necessary if two phantoms are used (e.g., for different liquids).

A cover is provided to tap the phantom during off-periods to prevent water evaporation and changes in the liquid parameters. Free space scans of devices on the cover are possible.

On the phantom top, three reference markers are provided to identify the phantom positions with respect to the robot.



## 2.5.3 Tissue Simulating Liquids

The parameters of the tissue simulating liquid strongly influence the SAR. The parameters for the different frequencies are defined in the corresponding compliance standards (e.g., EN 50361, IEEE P1528-2003, December 2003).

Tissue dielectric properties:

	He	ad	Во	ody
Frequency (MHz)	Relative Dielectric Constant (ε <sub>r</sub> )	Conductivity (σ) (S/m)	Relative Dielectric Constant (ε <sub>r</sub> )	Conductivity (σ) (S/m)
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30
1800	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52
1900	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52
2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73



#### 2.5.4 Device Holder

The DASY device holder (figure 3.1 and 3.2) is designed to cope with different positions given in the standard. It has two scales for the device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and the device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear openings). The rotation centres for both scales is the ear opening. Thus the device needs no repositioning when changing the angles.



Figure 3.1 Figure 3.2

The DASY device holder has been made out of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity  $\varepsilon=3$  and loss tangent  $\delta=0.02$ . The amount of dielectric material has been reduced in the closest vicinity of the device, since measurements have suggested that the influence of the clamp on the test results could thus be lowered.



#### 2.5.5 Probes

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6 (figure 4), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. [3] The probe is constructed using the thick film technique; with printed resistive lines on ceramic substrates. The probe is equipped with an optical multifiber line ending at the front of the probe tip. It is connected to the EOC box on the robot arm and provides an automatic detection of the phantom surface. Half of the fibers are connected to a pulsed infrared transmitter, the other half to a synchronized receiver. As the probe approaches the surface, the reflection from the surface produces a coupling from the transmitting to the receiving fibers. This reflection increases first during the approach, reaches maximum and then decreases. If the probe is flatly touching the surface, the coupling is zero. The distance of the coupling maximum to the surface is independent of the surface reflectivity and largely independent of the surface to probe angle. The DASY4 software reads the reflection during a software approach and looks for the maximum using a 2<sup>nd</sup> order fitting. The approach is stopped at reaching the maximum.



Figure 4

## **Probe Specifications**

Calibration: In air from 10 MHz to 2.5 GHz

In brain and muscle simulating tissue at Frequencies of 835 MHz, 900

MHz, 1800 MHz, 1900 MHz and 2450 MHz Calibration certificates please find attached.

Frequency: 10 MHz to > 3 GHz; Linearity:  $\pm 0.2 \text{ dB}$  (30 MHz to 3 GHz)

Directivity: ± 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis)

± 0.4 dB in HSL (rotation normal probe axis)

Dynamic Range:  $5 \mu W/g \text{ to > } 100 \text{ mW/g};$ 

Linearity:  $\pm 0.2 \text{ dB}$ 

Dimensions: Overall length: 330 m

Tip length: 16 mm Body diameter: 12 mm Tip diameter: 6.8 mm

Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm

Application: General dosimetry up to 3 GHz

Compliance tests of mobile phones

Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms



#### 2.6 **Test System Specification**

**Positioner** 

Stäubli Animation Corp. Robot Model: RX90B L Robot:

Repeatability: 0.02 mm

No. of axis:

**Data Acquisition Electronic (DAE) System** 

**Cell Controller** 

Data Card:

Processor: Pentium IV Clock Speed: 2.0 GHz Windows 2000 Operating System: DASY4 PC-Board

**Data Converter** 

Features: Signal Amplifier, multiplexer, A/D converter, & control logic

Software: DASY4 software

Connecting Lines: Optical downlink for data and status info.

Optical uplink for commands and clock

**PC Interface Card** 

Function: 24 bit (64 MHz) DSP for real time processing

Link to DAE3

16 bit A/D converter for surface detection system

serial link to robot

direct emergency stop output for robot

**E-Field Probes** 

Model: ET3DV6 SN1711

Construction: Triangular core fiber optic detection system

Frequency: 10 MHz to 6 GHz

Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30MHz to 3 GHz)

**Phantom** 

Phantom 1: Oval flat phantom (ELI 4)

Shell Material: **Fiberglass** Thickness:  $2.0 \pm 0.2 \text{ mm}$ 

Phantom 2: SAM Twin Phantom (V4.0)

Shell Material: Fiberglass Thickness:  $2.0 \pm 0.2 \text{ mm}$ 



#### 2.7 Measurement Procedure


The evaluation was performed using the following procedure:


- 1. The SAR measurement was taken at a selected spatial reference point to monitor power variations during testing. This fixed location point was measured and used as a reference value.
- 2. The SAR distribution at the exposed side was measured at a distance of 3.9 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head and the horizontal grid spacing was 10 mm x 10 mm.
- 3. Based on the area scan data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. Around this point, a volume of 30 mm x 30 mm x 30 mm (fine resolution volume scan, zoom scan) was assessed by measuring 5 x 5 x 5 points. On this basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure:
  - a. The data at the surface was extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 2.7 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.2 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
  - b. The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straight-forward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g or 10 g) were computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" condition (in x, y, and z directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the average.
  - c. All neighbouring volumes were evaluated until no neighbouring volume with a higher average value was found.
- 4. The SAR reference value, at the same location as procedure #1, was re-measured. If the value changed by more than 5 %, the evaluation is repeated.

## 2.8 Reference Points


## 2.8.1 Ear Reference Points

Figure 5.1 shows the front, back and side vies of SAM. The point "M" is the reference point for the center of mouth, "LE" is the left ear reference point (ERP), and "RE" is the right ERP. The ERPs are 15 mm posterior to the entrance to ear canal (EEC) along the B-M line (Back-Mouth), as shown in Figure 5.2. The plane passing through the two ear reference points and M is defined as the Reference Plane. The line N-F (Neck-Front) perpendicular to the reference plane and passing through the RE (or LE) is called the Reference Pivoting Line (see Figure 5.3). Line B-M is perpendicular to the N-F line. Both N-F and B-M lines should be marked on the external phantom shell to facilitate handset positioning. Posterior to the N-F line, the thickness of the N-F line, the ear is truncated as illustrated in Figure 5.2. The ear truncation is introduced to avoid the handset from touching the ear lobe, which can cause unstable handset positioning at the cheek. [6]












## 2.8.2 Handset Reference Points

Two imaginary lines on the handset were defined: the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The vertical centerline passes through two points on the front side of the handset: the midpoint of the width wt of the handset at the level of the acoustic output (point A on Figures 6.1 and 6.2), and the midpoint of the width wb of the bottom of the handset (point B). The horizontal line is perpendicular to the vertical centerline and passes through the center of the acoustic output (see Figure 6.1). The two lines intersect at point A. For many handsets, point A coincides with the center of the acoustic output. However, the acoustic output may be located elsewhere on the horizontal line. The vertical centerline is not necessarily parallel to the front face of the handset (see Figure 6.2), especially for clamshell handsets, handsets with flip pieces, and other irregularly-shaped handsets. [6]





## 2.9 Test Positions

## 2.9.1 "Cheek" / "Touch" Position

The EUT was positioned close to the surface of the phantom such that point A is on the (virtual) extension of the line passing through points RE and LE on the phantom (see Figure 7), such that the plane defined by the vertical center line and the horizontal line of the handset is approximately parallel to the sagittal plane of the phantom.

The EUT was translated towards the phantom along the line passing through RE and LE until the handset touches the pinna.

While maintaining the handset in this plane, the EUT was rotated it around the LE-RE line until the vertical centerline was in the plane normal to MB-NF including the line MB (called the reference plane).

The EUT was rotated around the vertical centerline until the handset (horizontal line) was symmetrical with respect to the line NF.

While maintaining the vertical centerline in the reference plane, keeping point A on the line passing through RE and LE and maintaining the handset contact with the pinna, the EUT was rotated about the line NF until any point on the handset was in contact with a phantom point below the pinna (cheek). [6] See Figure 7.







Figure 7



## 2.9.2 "Tilted" Position

The EUT was in "cheek position".

While maintaining the orientation of the handset move the handset away from the pinna along the line passing through RE and LE in order to enable a rotation of the handset by 15 degrees.

The EUT was rotated around the horizontal line by 15 degrees.

While maintaining the orientation of the handset, the EUT was moved towards the phantom on a line passing through RE and LE until any part of the handset touched the ear. The tilted position is obtained when the contact is on the pinna. If the contact was at any location other than the pinna (e.g., the antenna with the back of the phantom head), the angle of the handset would be reduced. In this case, the tilted position is obtained if any part of the handset was in contact with the pinna as well as a second part of the handset was in contact with the phantom (e.g., the antenna with the back of the head). [6] See Figure 8.








Figure 8



## 2.9.3 Belt Clip/Holster Configuration

Test configurations for body-worn operated EUTs are carried out while the belt-clip and/or holster is attached to the EUT and placed against a flat phantom in a regular configuration (see Figure 9). An EUT with a headset output is tested with a headset connected to the device.

Body dielectric parameters are used.

There are two categories for accessories for body-worn operation configurations:

- 1. accessories not containing metallic components
- 2. accessories containing metallic components.

When the EUT is equipped with accessories not containing metallic components the tests are done with the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. For accessories containing metallic parts a test with each one is implemented. If the multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (e.g. the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components) only the accessory that has the closest spacing to the body is tested.

In case that a EUT authorized to be body-worn is not supplied or has no options to be operated with any accessories, a test configuration where a separation distance between the back of the device and the flat phantom is used. All test position spacings are documented.

Transmitters operating in front of a person's face (e.g. push-to-talk configurations) are tested for SAR compliance with the front of the device positioned to face the flat platform. SAR Compliance tests for shoulder, waist or chest-worn transmitters are carried out with the accessories including headsets and microphones attached to the device and placed against a flat phantom in a regular configuration.

The SAR measurements are performed to investigate the worst-case positioning. This is documented and used to perform Body SAR testing. [2].



Figure 9



## 2.9.4 Headset Configuration

Headsets which have their radiating structure in close proximity to the head are measured according to the following conditions.

- Head tissue liquid is used.
- The EUT is positioned on the surface of the head of phantom according the picture below. Right and left position is tested according to the normal use (see figure 10).
- Additional metallic parts like clips or others are subject of testing, too.



Figure 10

Headsets which have their radiating structure in close proximity to the body are tested as body worn equipment.



## 2.8 Measurement uncertainty

The uncertainty budget has been determined for the DASY4 system performance check.

	Tol.	Prob.	Div.	$(^{c}i)^{1}$	Std. unc.	$(^{\vee}i)^{2}$
Error Description	(± %)	dist.		(1 g)	(1 g) (± %)	
Measurement System						
Probe Calibration	4.8	N	1	1	4.8	8
Axial Isotropy	4.7	R	√3	0.7	1.9	8
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	R	√3	0.7	3.9	8
Boundary Effects	1.0	R	<sup>√</sup> 3	1	0.6	$\infty$
Linearity	4.7	R	√3	1	2.7	$\infty$
System Detection Limit	1.0	R	√3	1	0.6	$\infty$
Readout Electronics	1.0	N	1	1	1.0	$\infty$
Response Time	0.8	R	√3	1	0.5	$\infty$
Integration Time	2.6	R	√3	1	1.5	$\infty$
RF Ambient Conditions	3.0	R	√3	1	1.7	$\infty$
Probe Positioner	0.4	R	√3	1	0.2	8
Probe Positioning	2.9	R	√3	1	1.7	$\infty$
Algorithms for Max. SAR Eval.	1.0	R	√3	1	0.6	$\infty$
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	2.9	N	1	1	2.9	145
Device Holder	3.6	N	1	1	3.6	5
Power Drift	5.0	R	√3	1	2.9	$\infty$
Phantom and Setup						
Phantom Uncertainty	4.0	R	√3	1	2.3	$\infty$
Liquid Conductivity (target)	5.0	R.	√3	0.64	1.8	$\infty$
Liquid Conductivity (meas.)	2.6	N	1	0.64	1.7	$\infty$
Liquid Permittivity (target)	5.0	R	√3	0.6	1.7	$\infty$
Liquid Permittivity (meas.)	3.8	N	1	0.6	2.3	$\infty$
Combined Standard Uncertainty					10.4	330
Expanded Uncertainty kp = 2						
Coverage Factor for 95 %					20.8	

The budget is valid for the frequency range 300 MHz - 3 GHz and represents a worst case analysis. For specific tests and configurations, the uncertainty could be considerable smaller.



## 3 Tissue and System Verification

#### 3.1 Tissue Verification

Dielectric parameters of the simulating liquids were verified using a Dielectric Probe Kit Agilent 85070D to a tolerance of  $\pm$  5 %.

	ı	Measured Tissue Parameters					
	1900 MH	z Muscle	1900 MHz Head				
	Target	Measured	Target	Measured			
Date		04.10.2011		05.10.2011			
Liquid Temperature:		22,1 ° C		22,1 ° C			
Dielectric Constant: ε	53.3	51.9	40.0	39.9			
Conductivity: σ	1.52	1.56	1.40	1.42			

## 3.2 System Verification

Prior to the assessment, the system was verified by using a 1900 MHz validation dipole. Power level of 250 mW was supplied to the dipole antenna placed under the flat section of SAM Phantom. This system validation is valid for a frequency range of  $900 \pm 100$  MHz.

The system was verified to a tolerance of  $\pm$  10 %.

Liquid Temperature:  $22,0 - 22,4 ^{\circ} C$ Room Temperature:  $22,1 - 22,6 ^{\circ} C$ Liquid Depth: > 15.5 cm

System Dipole Validation Target & Measurement								
Date	System Validation Kit:	Liquid	Targeted SAR 1g (mW/g)	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Deviation (%)			
04.10.2011	D1900V2 SN5d025	1900 MHz Muscle	45.6	44.8	-1.75			
05.10.2011	D1900V2 SN5d025	1900 MHz Head	40.4	40.4	thtt4.00			

Comment: Please find attached the measurement plots.



## 4 Test Results

Procedures Used To Establish Test Signal

The EUT was placed into simulated call mode (e.g. AMPS, Cellular CDMA & PCS CDMA modes) using manufacturers test codes. Such test signals offer a consistent means for testing SAR and are recommended for evaluating SAR [2]. The actual transmission is activated through a base station simulator or similar when test modes are not available or inappropriate for testing the EUT.

The EUT is rechargeable battery operated. The battery used for the SAR measurements was completely charged. The device was tested at full power. For confirming of the output power it was tested before and after each SAR measurement. The test was repeated if a conducted power deviation of more than 5 % occurred.

Mixture Type: 1900 MHz Head Date: 05.10.2011 Liquid Temperature: 22,0 -22,4 °C

	Frequer	ncy	Power Drift	Antenna	Phantom	Test	SAR
MHz	Channel	Modulation	dBm	Pos.	Section	Position	(W/kg)
1924.99	2	DECT (UPCS)	0.183	Integral / Ant1	Left Ear	Cheek	0.00981
1924.99	2	DECT (UPCS)	-0.145	Integral / Ant1	Left Ear	Tilted	0.00642
1924.99	2	DECT (UPCS)	-0.104	Integral / Ant1	Right Ear	Cheek	0.00742
1924.99	2	DECT (UPCS)	-0.123	Integral / Ant1	Right Ear	Tilted	0.00522
1924.99	2	DECT (UPCS)	0.121	Integral / Ant2	Left Ear	Cheek	0.00927
1924.99	2	DECT (UPCS)	-0.062	Integral / Ant2	Left Ear	Tilted	0.00651
1924.99	2	DECT (UPCS)	-0.081	Integral / Ant2	Right Ear	Cheek	0.00853
1924.99	2	DECT (UPCS)	-0.077	Integral / Ant2	Right Ear	Tilted	0.00515

Mixture Type: 1900 MHz Muscle

Date: 04.10.2011 Liquid Temperature: 22,0 -22,4 °C Room Temperature: 22,1 -22,6 °C

	Frequer	псу	Power Drift	Antenna	Phantom	Test	SAR
MHz	Channel	Modulation	dBm		Section	Position 0 mm	(W/kg)
1924.99	2	DECT (UPCS)	0.195	Integral / Ant1	Flat	Front	0.02000
1924.99	2	DECT (UPCS)	0.191	Integral / Ant1	Flat	Back	0.00683
1924.99	2	DECT (UPCS)	0.155	Integral / Ant2	Flat	Front	0.01400
1924.99	2	DECT (UPCS)	0.148	Integral / Ant2	Flat	Back	0.00155

Note: Device positioning: spacing from flat phantom was adjusted at 0 mm.

Room Temperature: 22,1 -22,6 °C



## Limits:

			SAR (\	W/kg)			
Exposure Limits		led Exposu ation Enviro		Controlled Exposure/Occupational Environment			
Region	Australia	US	EU	Australia	US	EU	
Spatial Average SAR (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.40	0.40	0.40	
Spatial Peak SAR (averaged over any 1 g of tissue)	2.00	1.60	2.00	10.0	8.00	10.0	
Spatial Peak SAR (Hands, Feet, Ankles, Wrist) (averaged over any 10 g of tissue)	4.00	4.00	4.00	20.0	20.0	20.0	

## Notes:

- 1. Test data represent the worst case SAR value and test procedure used are according to OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (01-01).
- 2. All modes of operation were investigated.




## 5 References

- [1] ANSI/IEEE C95.3 1991, IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic fields, 300 kHz to 100 GHz, New York: IEEE, Aug. 1992
- [2] Federal Communications Commission, OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), Supplement C (Edition 01-01), Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields, July 2001.
- [3] T. Schmid, O. Egger, N. Kuster, *Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments*, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, Jan. 1996, pp. 105-113.
- [4] W. Gander, Computermathematics, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [5] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, and B.P. Flannery, *Numerical Recipes in C*, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [6] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 34 IEEE Std. 1528-2003, December 2003, Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Absorption Rate (SAR in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques.
- [7] DASY4 Dosimetric Assessment System Manual; Draft; September 6, 2002; Schmid & Partner Engineering AG





## **Annex A** Pictures




































## **Annex B** Calibration Certificate

**Calibration Certificate** 

D1900V2 SN5d025 ET3DV6 SN1711 DAE3V1-522

#### Note:

The calibration cycle for SAR field probes and related equipment is determined to one year. According to Eurofins internal quality management instruction based on EN 17025 the calibration cycle for other test equipment is determined to 2 years. Additionally, Eurofins has prolonged the calibration interval for SPEAG System Validation Dipoles by two additional years. These QM procedures are acknowledged by the accreditation bodies mentioned on page 3 of this report during several accreditation audits.

## **Calibration Laboratory of**

Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
Service suisse d'étalonnage
Servizio svizzero di taratura
Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client Eurofins

Certificate No: D1900V2-5d025-Sep09

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

## CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object D19

D1900V2 - SN: 5d025

Calibration procedure(s)

QA CAL-05.v7

Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits

Calibration date:

Defense and Otto and a valo

September 15, 2009

Condition of the calibrated item

In Tolerance

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).

The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID#	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	08-Oct-08 (No. 217-00898)	Oct-09
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	08-Oct-08 (No. 217-00898)	Oct-09
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5086 (20g)	31-Mar-09 (No. 217-01025)	Mar-10
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	31-Mar-09 (No. 217-01029)	Mar-10
Reference Probe ES3DV3	SN: 3205	26-Jun-09 (No. ES3-3205_Jun09)	Jun-10
DAE4	SN: 601	07-Mar-09 (No. DAE4-601_Mar09)	Mar-10
Secondary Standards	ID#	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	18-Oct-02 (in house check Oct-07)	In house check: Oct-09
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100005	4-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-07)	In house check: Oct-09
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-08)	In house check: Oct-09
	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Jeton Kastrati	Laboratory Technician	Fl
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	100110

Issued: September 16, 2009

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

## Calibration Laboratory of

Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
Servizio svizzero di taratura
Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

\_

Glossary: TSL

tissue simulating liquid

ConvF

sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z

N/A not applicable or not measured

## Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- b) CENELEC EN 50361, "Basic standard for the measurement of Specific Absorption Rate related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phones (300 MHz 3 GHz), July 2001
- c) Federal Communications Commission Office of Engineering & Technology (FCC OET), "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields; Additional Information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Emissions", Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to Bulletin 65

#### **Additional Documentation:**

d) DASY4/5 System Handbook

## Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions: Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL: The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss: These parameters are measured with the dipole
  positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the
  measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low
  reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- Electrical Delay: One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point.
   No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured: SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized: SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters: The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

## **Measurement Conditions**

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V5.0
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom V5.0	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	10 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	1900 MHz ± 1 MHz	2

Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	40.0	1.40 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	40.8 ± 6 %	1.45 mho/m ± 6 %
Head TSL temperature during test	(21.5 ± 0.2) °C		Mark Addition

## SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	10.3 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	41.2 mW / g
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters <sup>1</sup>	normalized to 1W	40.6 mW / g ± 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	5.39 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	21.6 mW / g
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters <sup>1</sup>	normalized to 1W	21.4 mW / g ± 16.5 % (k=2)

Certificate No: D1900V2-5d025\_Sep09

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Correction to nominal TSL parameters according to d), chapter "SAR Sensitivities"

#### **Body TSL parameters**

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	53.3	1.52 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	53.9 ± 6 %	1.57 mho/m ± 6 %
Body TSL temperature during test	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C		

#### SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	9.94 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	39.8 mW / g
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters <sup>2</sup>	normalized to 1W	39.1 mW / g ± 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	5.23 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	20.9 mW / g
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters <sup>2</sup>	normalized to 1W	20.8 mW / g ± 16.5 % (k=2)

Certificate No: D1900V2-5d025\_Sep09

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Correction to nominal TSL parameters according to d), chapter "SAR Sensitivities"

#### **Appendix**

#### **Antenna Parameters with Head TSL**

Impedance, transformed to feed point	50.3 Ω + 5.9 jΩ
Return Loss	- 24.6 dB

#### **Antenna Parameters with Body TSL**

Impedance, transformed to feed point	45.2 Ω + 5.5 jΩ
Return Loss	- 22.3 dB

#### **General Antenna Parameters and Design**

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.202 ns

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

#### **Additional EUT Data**

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	July 29, 2002

#### **DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL**

Date/Time: 15.09.2009 14:19:40

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

#### DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: D1900V2 - SN:5d025

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL U11 BB

Medium parameters used: f = 1900 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.45 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\varepsilon_r = 40.9$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC)

#### DASY5 Configuration:

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(5.09, 5.09, 5.09); Calibrated: 26.06.2009

• Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 07.03.2009

Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (front); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1001

Measurement SW: DASY 5, V5.0 Build 120; SEMCAD X Version 13.4 Build 45

#### Pin = 250 mW; dip = 10 mm/Zoom Scan (dist=3.0 mm, probe 0 deg) (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 97 V/m; Power Drift = 0.025 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 18.8 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 10.3 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.39 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 12.7 mW/g



0 dB = 12.7 mW/g

#### Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL



#### **DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL**

Date/Time: 15.09.2009 12:45:32

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

#### DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: D1900V2 - SN:5d025

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL U10 BB

Medium parameters used: f = 1900 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.57 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\varepsilon_r = 53.9$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC)

#### **DASY5** Configuration:

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(4.59, 4.59, 4.59); Calibrated: 26.06.2009

• Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 07.03,2009

Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (back); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1001

• Measurement SW: DASY 5, V5.0 Build 120; SEMCAD X Version 13.4 Build 45

#### Pin = 250 mW; dip = 10 mm/Zoom Scan (dist=3.0mm, probe 0deg) (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 94.1 V/m; Power Drift = 0.00862 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 16.9 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 9.94 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.23 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 12.5 mW/g



0 dB = 12.5 mW/g

#### Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL



#### Calibration Laboratory of

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst Service suisse d'étalonnage Servizio svizzero di taratura Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client

Eurofins

Certificate No. ET3-1711 Sep11

S

C

S

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

#### BRATION CERTIFICAT

Object ET3DV6-SN:1711

QA CAL-01.v8, QA CAL-12.v7, QA CAL-23.v4, QA CAL-25.v4 Calibration procedure(s)

Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes

Calibration date: September 26, 2011

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%,

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	31-Mar-11 (No. 217-01372)	Apr-12
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	31-Mar-11 (No. 217-01372)	Apr-12
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01369)	Apr-12
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01367)	Apr-12
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01370)	Apr-12
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	29-Dec-10 (No. ES3-3013_Dec10)	Dec-11
DAE4	SN: 654	3-May-11 (No. DAE4-654_May11)	May-12
Secondary Standards	ID	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-09)	In house check: Oct-11
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-10)	In house check: Oct-11

Name Function Signature Calibrated by:

Jeton Kastrati Laboratory Technician

Approved by:

Issued: September 27, 2011

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

#### Calibration Laboratory of

Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
Servizio svizzero di taratura
Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service Is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

#### Glossary:

TSL NORMx,y,z tissue simulating liquid sensitivity in free space

ConvF DCP sensitivity in TSL / NORMx,y,z diode compression point

CF

crest factor (1/duty\_cycle) of the RF signal

A, B, C

modulation dependent linearization parameters

Polarization φ

φ rotation around probe axis

Polarization §

9 rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center),

i.e., 9 = 0 is normal to probe axis

#### Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005

#### Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORMx,y,z: Assessed for E-field polarization 9 = 0 (f ≤ 900 MHz in TEM-cell; f > 1800 MHz: R22 waveguide). NORMx,y,z are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORMx,y,z does not affect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see below *ConvF*).
- NORM(f)x,y,z = NORMx,y,z \* frequency\_response (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of ConvF.
- DCPx,y,z: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- PAR: PAR is the Peak to Average Ratio that is not calibrated but determined based on the signal characteristics
- Ax,y,z; Bx,y,z; Cx,y,z, VRx,y,z: A, B, C are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of
  power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. VR is the
  maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for f ≤ 800 MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for f > 800 MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORMx,y,z \* ConvF whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for ConvF. A frequency dependent ConvF is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy): in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.

# Probe ET3DV6

SN:1711

Manufactured:

August 7, 2002

Calibrated:

September 26, 2011

Calibrated for DASY/EASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

#### **Basic Calibration Parameters**

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k=2)
Norm $(\mu V/(V/m)^2)^A$	1.87	1.86	2.07	± 10.1 %
DCP (mV) <sup>B</sup>	99.9	97.8	98.0	

#### **Modulation Calibration Parameters**

UID	Communication System Name	PAR		A dB	B dB	C dB	VR mV	Unc <sup>≞</sup> (k=2)
10000	CW	0.00	Х	0.00	0.00	1.00	144.5	±1.9 %
			Υ	0.00	0.00	1.00	114.4	
			Z	0.00	0.00	1.00	111.0	

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

A The uncertainties of NormX,Y,Z do not affect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Pages 5 and 6).

B Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

E Uncertainty is determined using the max. deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.

#### Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) <sup>c</sup>	Relative Permittivity <sup>F</sup>	Conductivity (S/m) <sup>F</sup>	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha	Depth (mm)	Unct. (k=2)
450	43.5	0.87	7.41	7.41	7.41	0.21	2.21	± 13.4 %
900	41.5	0.97	6.09	6.09	6.09	0.71	1.93	± 12.0 %
1810	40.0	1.40	5.04	5.04	5.04	0.54	2.41	± 12.0 %
1950	40.0	1.40	4.83	4.83	4.83	0.57	2.31	± 12.0 %
2450	39.2	1.80	4.29	4.29	4.29	0.85	1.66	± 12.0 %

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Frequency validity of  $\pm$  100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to  $\pm$  50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

F At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ε and σ) can be relaxed to  $\pm$  10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to

At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) can be relaxed to  $\pm$  10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) is restricted to  $\pm$  5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

#### Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media


f (MHz) <sup>C</sup>	Relative Permittivity <sup>F</sup>	Conductivity (S/m) <sup>F</sup>	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha	Depth (mm)	Unct. (k=2)
450	56.7	0.94	7.78	7.78	7.78	0.14	2.25	± 13.4 %
900	55.0	1.05	6.01	6.01	6.01	0.68	1.97	± 12.0 %
1810	53.3	1.52	4.56	4.56	4.56	0.60	2.64	± 12.0 %
1950	53.3	1.52	4.59	4.59	4.59	0.62	2.43	± 12.0 %
2450	52.7	1.95	4.01	4.01	4.01	1.00	1.32	± 12.0 %

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>C</sup> Frequency validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

F At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ε and σ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to

At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ε and σ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ε and σ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

## Frequency Response of E-Field (TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)





Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: ± 6.3% (k=2)


### Receiving Pattern ( $\phi$ ), $\vartheta = 0^{\circ}$

f=600 MHz,TEM

f=1800 MHz,R22









Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment:  $\pm 0.5\%$  (k=2)


#### Dynamic Range f(SAR<sub>head</sub>) (TEM cell , f = 900 MHz)







Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: ± 0.6% (k=2)

### **Conversion Factor Assessment**



Deviation from Isotropy in Liquid Error ( $\phi$ ,  $\vartheta$ ), f = 900 MHz





#### **Other Probe Parameters**

Sensor Arrangement	Triangular
Connector Angle (°)	Not applicable
Mechanical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Optical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Probe Overall Length	337 mm
Probe Body Diameter	10 mm
Tip Length	10 mm
Tip Diameter	6.8 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point	2.7 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point	2.7 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point	2.7 mm
Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface	4 mm

#### Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





S Schwelzerischer Kalibrierdienst
Service suisse d'étalonnage
Servizio svizzero di taratura
Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client

Eurofins

Certificate No. DAE3-522 Sep11

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

CALIBRATION C	JERTHECATTE		
Object	DAE3 - SD 000 D	03 AA - SN: 522	
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-06 v23 Calibration proced	dure for the data acquisition electr	onics (DAE)
Calibration date:	September 27, 20	111	
		onal standards, which realize the physical units obability are given on the following pages and	, ,
All calibrations have been conduc	cted in the closed laboratory	y facility: environment temperature (22 $\pm$ 3)°C (	and humidity < 70%.
Calibration Equipment used (M&T	ΓE critical for calibration)		
Primary Standards	ID#	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Keithley Multimeter Type 2001	SN: 0810278	28-Sep-10 (No:10376)	Sep-11
Secondary Standards	ID#	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Calibrator Box V1.1	SE UMS 006 AB 1004	08-Jun-11 (in house check)	In house check: Jun-12
. Calibrated by:	Name Andrea Guntli	Function Tiechnician	Signature
Approved by:	Fin Bomholt	R&D Difector	,.v. B fluur
This calibration certificate shall ne	of he reproduced except in	full without written approval of the laboratory.	Issued: September 27, 2011

#### **Calibration Laboratory of**

Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
Servizio svizzero di taratura
Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

#### Glossary

DAE

data acquisition electronics

Connector angle

information used in DASY system to align probe sensor X to the robot

coordinate system.

#### Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters

- DC Voltage Measurement: Calibration Factor assessed for use in DASY system by comparison with a calibrated instrument traceable to national standards. The figure given corresponds to the full scale range of the voltmeter in the respective range.
- Connector angle: The angle of the connector is assessed measuring the angle mechanically by a tool inserted. Uncertainty is not required.
- The following parameters as documented in the Appendix contain technical information as a result from the performance test and require no uncertainty.
  - DC Voltage Measurement Linearity: Verification of the Linearity at +10% and -10% of the nominal calibration voltage. Influence of offset voltage is included in this measurement.
  - Common mode sensitivity: Influence of a positive or negative common mode voltage on the differential measurement.
  - Channel separation: Influence of a voltage on the neighbor channels not subject to an input voltage.
  - AD Converter Values with inputs shorted: Values on the internal AD converter corresponding to zero input voltage
  - Input Offset Measurement. Output voltage and statistical results over a large number of zero voltage measurements.
  - Input Offset Current: Typical value for information; Maximum channel input offset current, not considering the input resistance.
  - *Input resistance*: Typical value for information: DAE input resistance at the connector, during internal auto-zeroing and during measurement.
  - Low Battery Alarm Voltage: Typical value for information. Below this voltage, a battery alarm signal is generated.
  - Power consumption: Typical value for information. Supply currents in various operating modes.

#### **DC Voltage Measurement**

A/D - Converter Resolution nominal

High Range: 1LSB =

 $1LSB = 6.1 \mu V$ ,

full range = -100...+300 mV

Low Range:

1L\$B =

61nV ,

full range = -1.....+3mV

DASY measurement parameters: Auto Zero Time: 3 sec; Measuring time: 3 sec

Calibration Factors	X	Υ	Z
High Range	404.264 ± 0.1% (k=2)	403.935 ± 0.1% (k=2)	404.760 ± 0.1% (k=2)
Low Range	3.96592 ± 0.7% (k=2)	3.95774 ± 0.7% (k=2)	3.97281 ± 0.7% (k=2)

#### **Connector Angle**

T	,
Connector Angle to be used in DASY system	58.5 ° ± 1 °

#### **Appendix**

1. DC Voltage Linearity

High Range		Reading (μV)	Difference (μV)	Error (%)
Channel X	+ Input	199991.6	-1.66	-0.00
Channel X	+ Input	20002.65	2.75	0.01
Channel X	- Input	-19994.66	5.54	-0.03
Channel Y	+ Input	199992.0	-0.15	-0.00
Channel Y	+ Input	20001.00	1.10	0.01
Channel Y	- Input	-19997.34	2.76	-0.01
Channel Z	+ Input	199999.4	-1.95	-0.00
Channel Z	+ Input	19998.53	-1.07	-0.01
Channel Z	- Input	-19998.68	1.22	-0.01

Low Range		Reading (μV)	Difference (μV)	Error (%)
Channel X	+ Input	2000.3	0.42	0.02
Channel X	+ Input	199.91	0.21	0.11
Channel X	- Input	-199.62	0.28	-0.14
Channel Y	+ Input	1999.3	-0.63	-0.03
Channel Y	+ Input	198.68	-1.22	-0.61
Channel Y	- Input	-200.00	0.10	-0.05
Channel Z	+ Input	1999.3	-0.35	-0.02
Channel Z	+ Input	199.32	-0.78	-0.39
Channel Z	- Input	-200.92	-0.82	0.41

2. Common mode sensitivity

DASY measurement parameters: Auto Zero Time: 3 sec; Measuring time: 3 sec

	Common mode Input Voltage (mV)	High Range Average Reading (μV)	Low Range Average Reading (μV)
Channel X	200	-3.90	-5.38
	- 200	5.78	4.80
Channel Y	200	-0.12	-0.52
	- 200	-0.10	-0.13
Channel Z	200	15.97	15.82
	- 200	-18.11	-17.74

#### 3. Channel separation

DASY measurement parameters: Auto Zero Time: 3 sec; Measuring time: 3 sec

	Input Voltage (mV)	Channel X (μV)	Channel Y (μV)	Channel Z (μV)
Channel X	200	i i	2.59	-0.19
Channel Y	200	2.22	-	2.51
Channel Z	200	1.34	-0.17	_

#### 4. AD-Converter Values with inputs shorted

DASY measurement parameters: Auto Zero Time: 3 sec; Measuring time: 3 sec

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	High Range (LSB)	Low Range (LSB)	
Channel X	15746	17307	
Channel Y	15723	15639	
Channel Z	16055	16326	

#### 5. Input Offset Measurement

DASY measurement parameters: Auto Zero Time: 3 sec; Measuring time: 3 sec Input  $10M\Omega$ 

	Average (μV)	min. Offset (μV)	max. Offset (μV)	Std. Deviation (μV)
Channel X	0.53	-1.53	3.08	0.70
Channel Y	-0.58	-1.62	0.84	0.48
Channel Z	-0.20	-1.62	0.92	0.48

#### 6. Input Offset Current

Nominal Input circuitry offset current on all channels: <25fA

7. Input Resistance (Typical values for information)

	Zeroing (kOhm)	Measuring (MOhm)
Channel X	200	200
Channel Y	200	200
Channel Z	200	200

8. Low Battery Alarm Voltage (Typical values for information)

Typical values	Alarm Level (VDC)
Supply (+ Vcc)	+7.9
Supply (- Vcc)	-7.6

9. Power Consumption (Typical values for information)

Typical values	Switched off (mA)	Stand by (mA)	Transmitting (mA)
Supply (+ Vcc)	+0.01	+6	÷14
Supply (- Vcc)	-0.01	-8	-9



### **Annex C** Measurement Plots

Test Report No.: G0M-1109-1389-S-8\_Rev01

Date/Time: 10/4/2011 11:53:39

Test Laboratory: Eurofins Product Service GmbH

#### Dipol Valid.1900 (m)\_250mW\_SAM\_04.10.2011

#### DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: 5d025

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Muscle 1900 MHz Medium parameters used: f = 1900 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.56$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 51.9$ ;  $\rho$ 

 $= 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Flat Section

#### DASY4 Configuration:

• Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1711; ConvF(4.56, 4.56, 4.56); Calibrated: 9/26/2011

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE3 Sn522; Calibrated: 9/27/2011

• Phantom: SAM 12; Type: TP-1217; Serial: QD000P40CA

• Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

### **Dipol 1900 (250mW)/Area Scan (61x101x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 13.2 mW/g


### **Dipol 1900 (250mW)/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 95.5 V/m; Power Drift = 0.005 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 19.0 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 11.2 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.86 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 12.6 mW/g



Date/Time: 10/5/2011 07:05:48

Test Laboratory: Eurofins Product Service GmbH

#### Dipol Valid.1900 (h)\_250mW\_SAM\_05.10.2011

#### DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: 5d025

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Head 1900 MHz Medium parameters used: f = 1900 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.42$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 39.9$ ;  $\rho =$ 

 $1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Flat Section

#### DASY4 Configuration:

• Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1711; ConvF(5.04, 5.04, 5.04); Calibrated: 9/26/2011

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE3 Sn522; Calibrated: 9/27/2011

• Phantom: SAM 12; Type: TP-1217; Serial: QD000P40CA

• Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

### **Dipol 1900 (250mW)/Area Scan (61x101x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 11.6 mW/g


### **Dipol 1900 (250mW)/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 92.8 V/m; Power Drift = -0.011 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 17.8 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 10.1 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.26 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 11.4 mW/g



Date/Time: 10/5/2011 13:29:41

Test Laboratory: Eurofins Product Service GmbH

#### UPCS\_Ch2\_Ant1\_Left\_cheek

#### DUT: K001 Kirk Butterfly; Type: UPCS handset (PP); Serial: Sample 1

Communication System: UPCS single slot; Frequency: 1924.99 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:24

Medium: Head 1900 MHz Medium parameters used: f = 1924.99 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.44$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 39.7$ ;  $\rho$ 

 $= 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Left Section

#### DASY4 Configuration:

• Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1711; ConvF(4.83, 4.83, 4.83); Calibrated: 9/26/2011

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE3 Sn522; Calibrated: 9/27/2011

• Phantom: SAM 12; Type: TP-1217; Serial: QD000P40CA

• Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

**K001 Kirk Butterfly/Area Scan (71x161x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.012 mW/g


### **K001 Kirk Butterfly/Zoom Scan (8x8x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 2.17 V/m; Power Drift = 0.183 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.022 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.00981 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.00452 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.011 mW/g



Date/Time: 10/5/2011 15:04:33

Test Laboratory: Eurofins Product Service GmbH

#### UPCS Ch2 Ant1 Left tilted

#### DUT: K001 Kirk Butterfly; Type: UPCS handset (PP); Serial: Sample 1

Communication System: UPCS single slot; Frequency: 1924.99 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:24

Medium: Head 1900 MHz Medium parameters used: f = 1924.99 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.44$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 39.7$ ;  $\rho$ 

 $= 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Left Section

#### DASY4 Configuration:

• Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1711; ConvF(4.83, 4.83, 4.83); Calibrated: 9/26/2011

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE3 Sn522; Calibrated: 9/27/2011

• Phantom: SAM 12; Type: TP-1217; Serial: QD000P40CA

• Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

**K001 Kirk Butterfly/Area Scan (71x161x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.008 mW/g


### **K001 Kirk Butterfly/Zoom Scan (8x8x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 1.78 V/m; Power Drift = -0.145 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.018 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.00642 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.0029 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.008 mW/g



Date/Time: 10/5/2011 10:50:02

Test Laboratory: Eurofins Product Service GmbH

#### UPCS Ch2 Ant1 Right cheek

#### DUT: K001 Kirk Butterfly; Type: UPCS handset (PP); Serial: Sample 1

Communication System: UPCS single slot; Frequency: 1924.99 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:24 Medium: Head 1900 MHz Medium parameters used: f = 1924.99 MHz;  $\sigma$  = 1.44 mho/m;  $\epsilon_r$  = 39.7;  $\rho$ 

 $= 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Right Section

#### DASY4 Configuration:

• Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1711; ConvF(4.83, 4.83, 4.83); Calibrated: 9/26/2011

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE3 Sn522; Calibrated: 9/27/2011

• Phantom: SAM 12; Type: TP-1217; Serial: QD000P40CA

• Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

**K001 Kirk Butterfly/Area Scan (71x161x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.009 mW/g


### **K001 Kirk Butterfly/Zoom Scan (8x8x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 2.22 V/m; Power Drift = -0.104 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.015 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.00742 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.00378 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.009 mW/g



Date/Time: 10/5/2011 12:41:29

Test Laboratory: Eurofins Product Service GmbH

#### UPCS Ch2 Ant1 Right tilted

#### DUT: K001 Kirk Butterfly; Type: UPCS handset (PP); Serial: Sample 1

Communication System: UPCS single slot; Frequency: 1924.99 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:24 Medium: Head 1900 MHz Medium parameters used: f = 1924.99 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.44$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 39.7$ ;  $\rho$ 

 $= 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Right Section

#### DASY4 Configuration:

• Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1711; ConvF(4.83, 4.83, 4.83); Calibrated: 9/26/2011

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE3 Sn522; Calibrated: 9/27/2011

• Phantom: SAM 12; Type: TP-1217; Serial: QD000P40CA

• Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

**K001 Kirk Butterfly/Area Scan (71x161x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.007 mW/g


### **K001 Kirk Butterfly/Zoom Scan (8x8x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 1.50 V/m; Power Drift = -0.123 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.010 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.00522 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.0023 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.006 mW/g



Date/Time: 10/4/2011 12:29:31

Test Laboratory: Eurofins Product Service GmbH

#### UPCS Ch2 Ant1 Flat front 0mm

#### DUT: K001 Kirk Butterfly; Type: UPCS handset (PP); Serial: Sample 1

Communication System: UPCS single slot; Frequency: 1924.99 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:24

Medium: Muscle 1900 MHz Medium parameters used: f = 1924.99 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.59$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 51.9$ ;

 $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Flat Section

#### DASY4 Configuration:

• Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1711; ConvF(4.59, 4.59, 4.59); Calibrated: 9/26/2011

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE3 Sn522; Calibrated: 9/27/2011

• Phantom: SAM 12; Type: TP-1217; Serial: QD000P40CA

• Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

**K001 Kirk Butterfly/Area Scan (71x171x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.022 mW/g


### **K001 Kirk Butterfly/Zoom Scan (8x8x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 2.14 V/m; Power Drift = 0.195 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.047 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.020 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.0085 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.023 mW/g



Date/Time: 10/4/2011 13:39:49

Test Laboratory: Eurofins Product Service GmbH

#### UPCS Ch2 Ant1 Flat back 0mm

#### DUT: K001 Kirk Butterfly; Type: UPCS handset (PP); Serial: Sample 1

Communication System: UPCS single slot; Frequency: 1924.99 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:24

Medium: Muscle 1900 MHz Medium parameters used: f = 1924.99 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.59$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 51.9$ ;

 $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Flat Section

#### DASY4 Configuration:

• Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1711; ConvF(4.59, 4.59, 4.59); Calibrated: 9/26/2011

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE3 Sn522; Calibrated: 9/27/2011

• Phantom: SAM 12; Type: TP-1217; Serial: QD000P40CA

• Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

**K001 Kirk Butterfly/Area Scan (71x171x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.008 mW/g


### **K001 Kirk Butterfly/Zoom Scan (8x8x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 1.33 V/m; Power Drift = 0.191 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.013 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.00683 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.00352 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.008 mW/g



Date/Time: 10/5/2011 14:13:15

Test Laboratory: Eurofins Product Service GmbH

#### UPCS Ch2 Ant2 Left cheek

#### DUT: K001 Kirk Butterfly; Type: UPCS handset (PP); Serial: Sample 1

Communication System: UPCS single slot; Frequency: 1924.99 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:24

Medium: Head 1900 MHz Medium parameters used: f = 1924.99 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.44$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 39.7$ ;  $\rho$ 

 $= 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Left Section

#### DASY4 Configuration:

• Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1711; ConvF(4.83, 4.83, 4.83); Calibrated: 9/26/2011

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE3 Sn522; Calibrated: 9/27/2011

• Phantom: SAM 12; Type: TP-1217; Serial: QD000P40CA

• Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

### **K001 Kirk Butterfly/Zoom Scan (8x8x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm


Reference Value = 2.08 V/m; Power Drift = 0.121 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.018 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.00927 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.00532 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.010 mW/g

## **K001 Kirk Butterfly/Area Scan (71x161x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.011 mW/g



Date/Time: 10/5/2011 14:33:33

Test Laboratory: Eurofins Product Service GmbH

#### UPCS Ch2 Ant2 Left tilted

#### DUT: K001 Kirk Butterfly; Type: UPCS handset (PP); Serial: Sample 1

Communication System: UPCS single slot; Frequency: 1924.99 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:24

Medium: Head 1900 MHz Medium parameters used: f = 1924.99 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.44$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 39.7$ ;  $\rho$ 

 $= 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Left Section

#### DASY4 Configuration:

• Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1711; ConvF(4.83, 4.83, 4.83); Calibrated: 9/26/2011

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE3 Sn522; Calibrated: 9/27/2011

• Phantom: SAM 12; Type: TP-1217; Serial: QD000P40CA

• Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

**K001 Kirk Butterfly/Area Scan (71x161x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.008 mW/g


### **K001 Kirk Butterfly/Zoom Scan (8x8x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 1.79 V/m; Power Drift = -0.062 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.012 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.00651 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.00284 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.008 mW/g



Date/Time: 10/5/2011 11:32:09

Test Laboratory: Eurofins Product Service GmbH

#### UPCS Ch2 Ant2 Right cheek

#### DUT: K001 Kirk Butterfly; Type: UPCS handset (PP); Serial: Sample 1

Communication System: UPCS single slot; Frequency: 1924.99 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:24 Medium: Head 1900 MHz Medium parameters used: f = 1924.99 MHz;  $\sigma$  = 1.44 mho/m;  $\epsilon_r$  = 39.7;  $\rho$ 

 $= 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Right Section

#### DASY4 Configuration:

• Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1711; ConvF(4.83, 4.83, 4.83); Calibrated: 9/26/2011

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE3 Sn522; Calibrated: 9/27/2011

• Phantom: SAM 12; Type: TP-1217; Serial: QD000P40CA

• Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

**K001 Kirk Butterfly/Area Scan (71x161x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.010 mW/g


### **K001 Kirk Butterfly/Zoom Scan (8x8x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 1.80 V/m; Power Drift = -0.081 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.020 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.00853 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.00384 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.009 mW/g



Date/Time: 10/5/2011 12:08:06

Test Laboratory: Eurofins Product Service GmbH

#### UPCS Ch2 Ant2 Right tilted

#### DUT: K001 Kirk Butterfly; Type: UPCS handset (PP); Serial: Sample 1

Communication System: UPCS single slot; Frequency: 1924.99 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:24 Medium: Head 1900 MHz Medium parameters used: f = 1924.99 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.44$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 39.7$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Right Section

#### DASY4 Configuration:

• Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1711; ConvF(4.83, 4.83, 4.83); Calibrated: 9/26/2011

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE3 Sn522; Calibrated: 9/27/2011

• Phantom: SAM 12; Type: TP-1217; Serial: QD000P40CA

• Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

**K001 Kirk Butterfly/Area Scan (71x161x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.008 mW/g


### **K001 Kirk Butterfly/Zoom Scan (8x8x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 1.38 V/m; Power Drift = -0.077 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.010 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.00515 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.00229 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.006 mW/g



Date/Time: 10/4/2011 13:05:32

Test Laboratory: Eurofins Product Service GmbH

#### UPCS Ch2 Ant2 Flat front 0mm

#### DUT: K001 Kirk Butterfly; Type: UPCS handset (PP); Serial: Sample 1

Communication System: UPCS single slot; Frequency: 1924.99 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:24

Medium: Muscle 1900 MHz Medium parameters used: f = 1924.99 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.59$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 51.9$ ;

 $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Flat Section

#### DASY4 Configuration:

• Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1711; ConvF(4.59, 4.59, 4.59); Calibrated: 9/26/2011

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE3 Sn522; Calibrated: 9/27/2011

• Phantom: SAM 12; Type: TP-1217; Serial: QD000P40CA

• Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

**K001 Kirk Butterfly/Area Scan (71x171x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.019 mW/g


### **K001 Kirk Butterfly/Zoom Scan (8x8x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 1.71 V/m; Power Drift = 0.155 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.039 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.014 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.00676 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.017 mW/g



Date/Time: 10/4/2011 14:15:40

Test Laboratory: Eurofins Product Service GmbH

#### UPCS Ch2 Ant2 Flat back 0mm

#### DUT: K001 Kirk Butterfly; Type: UPCS handset (PP); Serial: Sample 1

Communication System: UPCS single slot; Frequency: 1924.99 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:24

Medium: Muscle 1900 MHz Medium parameters used: f = 1924.99 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.59$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 51.9$ ;

 $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Flat Section

#### DASY4 Configuration:

• Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1711; ConvF(4.59, 4.59, 4.59); Calibrated: 9/26/2011

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE3 Sn522; Calibrated: 9/27/2011

• Phantom: SAM 12; Type: TP-1217; Serial: QD000P40CA

• Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

**K001 Kirk Butterfly/Area Scan (71x171x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.007 mW/g


### **K001 Kirk Butterfly/Zoom Scan (8x8x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 0.868 V/m; Power Drift = 0.148 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.006 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.00375 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.00155 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.004 mW/g





### **Revision History**

Revision	Issue Date	Revision		Revised by
01	08.02.2012	Replaced document: Replaced by:	G0M-1109-1389-S-8 G0M-1109-1389-S-8_Rev01	C. Weber
		Reason:		
		<ul><li>Page 6: Canadian standard references added</li><li>Page 8: Calibration dates added</li></ul>		