

The University of Michigan Radiation Laboratory 3228 EECS Building Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-2122 USA

> Tel: (734) 483-4211 Fax: (734) 647-2106 e-mail: liepa@umich.edu

> > Testing of

# **Electromagnetic Emissions**

per

USA: CFR Title 47, Part 15.109 Canada: IC RSS-GENe

are herein reported for

# Continental Automotive Systems US Inc. 74063103

Test Report No.: 417124-667 Copyright © 2014

Applicant/Provider:

Continental Automotive Systems US Inc.

2400Executive Hills Drive, Auburn Hills Michigan48326-2980 USA

Phone: +1 (248) 764-6522, Fax: +1 (248) 764-7281

Contact Person: David Reimus; David.James.Reimus@continental-corporation.com

Report by: Jaldis V. Liepa, Report Date of Issue: February 28, 2014

Results of testing completed on (or before) February 28, 2014 are as follows.

**Emissions:** Radiated spurious emissions associated with the receive chain of this device **COMPLY** the regulatory limit(s) by no less than 9.4 dB.

# Contents

1	Tes	t Specifications, General Procedures, and Location	3
	1.1	Test Specification and General Procedures	3
	1.2	Test Location and Equipment Used	4
2	Con	nfiguration and Identification of the Equipment Under Test	5
	2.1	Description and Declarations	5
		2.1.1 EUT Configuration	5
		2.1.2 Modes of Operation	5
		2.1.3 Variants	5
		2.1.4 Test Samples	6
		2.1.5 Functional Exerciser	6
		2.1.6 Modifications Made	6
		2.1.7 Production Intent	6
		2.1.8 Declared Exemptions and Additional Product Notes	6
3	Emi	issions	7
	3.1	General Test Procedures	7
		3.1.1 Radiated Test Setup and Procedures	7
		3.1.2 Conducted Emissions Test Setup and Procedures	9
		3.1.3 Power Supply Variation	9
	3.2	Unintentional Emissions	10
		3.2.1 Radiated Receiver Spurious	10
$\mathbf{L}_{i}$	ist o	f Tables	
	1	The University of Michigan Radiation Laboratory Equipment List.	4
	2	EUT Declarations	
	3	Receiver Chain Spurious Emissions $\geq 30$ MHz	10
$\mathbf{L}$	ist o	f Figures	
	1	Photos of EUT.	5
	2	EUT Test Configuration Diagram	6
	3	Radiated Emissions Diagram of the EUT	
	4	Radiated Emissions Test Setup Photograph(s)	
	5	Receiver LO (or 2 x LO = VCO) relative emission.	

# 1 Test Specifications, General Procedures, and Location

# 1.1 Test Specification and General Procedures

The ultimate goal of Continental Automotive Systems US Inc. is to demonstrate that the Equipment Under Test (EUT) complies with the Rules and/or Directives below. Detailed in this report are the results of testing the Continental Automotive Systems US Inc. 74063103 for compliance to:

Country/Region	Rules or Directive	Referenced Section(s)
United States	Code of Federal Regulations	CFR Title 47, Part 15.109
Canada	Industry Canada	IC RSS-GENe

In association with the rules and directives outlined above, the following specifications and procedures are followed herein.

ANSI C63.4-2003

"Methods of Measurement of Radio-Noise Emissions from Low-Voltage Electrical and Electronic Equipment in the Range of 9 kHz to 40 GHz"

# 1.2 Test Location and Equipment Used

**Test Location** The EUT was fully tested by **The University of Michigan Radiation Laboratory**, 3228 EECS Building, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-2122 USA. The Test Facility description and attenuation characteristics are on file with the FCC Laboratory, Columbia, Maryland (FCC Reg. No: 91050) and with Industry Canada, Ottawa, ON (File Ref. No: IC 2057A-1).

**Test Equipment** Pertinent test equipment used for measurements at this facility is listed in Table 1. The quality system employed at The University of Michigan Radiation Laboratory has been established to ensure all equipment has a clearly identifiable classification, calibration expiry date, and that all calibrations are traceable to the SI through NIST, other recognized national laboratories, accepted fundamental or natural physical constants, ratio type of calibration, or by comparison to consensus standards.

Table 1: The University of Michigan Radiation Laboratory Equipment List.

<b>Test Instrument</b>	Manufacturer/Model	Q Number
Spectrum Analyzer (9kHz-26GHz)	Hewlett-Packard 8593E, SN: 3412A01131	HP8593E1
Spectrum Analyzer (9kHz-6.5GHz)	Hewlett-Packard 8595E, SN: 3543A01546	JDB8595E
Power Meter	Hewlett-Packard, 432A	HP432A1
Harmonic Mixer (26-40 GHz)	Hewlett-Packard 11970A, SN: 3003A08327	HP11970A1
Harmonic Mixer (40-60 GHz)	Hewlett-Packard 11970U, SN: 2332A00500	HP11970U1
Harmonic Mixer (75-110 GHz)	Hewlett-Packard 11970W, SN: 2521A00179	HP11970W1
Harmonic Mixer (140-220 GHz)	Pacific Millimeter Prod., GMA, SN: 26	PMPGMA1
S-Band Std. Gain Horn	S/A, Model SGH-2.6	SBAND1
C-Band Std. Gain Horn	University of Michigan, NRL design	CBAND1
XN-Band Std. Gain Horn	University of Michigan, NRL design	XNBAND1
X-Band Std. Gain Horn	S/A, Model 12-8.2	XBAND1
X-band horn (8.2- 12.4 GHz)	Narda 640	XBAND2
X-band horn (8.2- 12.4 GHz)	Scientific Atlanta, 12-8.2, SN: 730	XBAND3
K-band horn (18-26.5 GHz)	FXR, Inc., K638KF	KBAND1
Ka-band horn (26.5-40 GHz)	FXR, Inc., U638A	KABAND1
U-band horn (40-60 GHz)	Custom Microwave, HO19	UBAND1
W-band horn(75-110 GHz)	Custom Microwave, HO10	WBAND1
G-band horn (140-220 GHz)	Custom Microwave, HO5R	GBAND1
Bicone Antenna (30-250 MHz)	University of Michigan, RLBC-1	LBBIC1
Bicone Antenna (200-1000 MHz)	University of Michigan, RLBC-2	HBBIC1
Dipole Antenna Set (30-1000 MHz)	University of Michigan, RLDP-1,-2,-3	UMDIP1
Dipole Antenna Set (30-1000 MHz)	EMCO 3121C, SN: 992 (Ref. Antennas)	EMDIP1
Active Rod Antenna (30 Hz-50 MHz)	EMCO 3301B, SN: 3223	EMROD1
Active Loop Antenna (30 Hz-50 MHz)	EMCO 6502, SN:2855	EMLOOP1
Ridge-horn Antenna (300-5000 MHz)	University of Michigan	UMRH1
Amplifier (5-1000 MHz)	Avantek, A11-1, A25-1S	AVAMP1
Amplifier (5-4500 MHz)	Avantek	AVAMP2
Amplifier (4.5-13 GHz)	Avantek, AFT-12665	AVAMP3
Amplifier (6-16 GHz)	Trek	TRAMP1
Amplifier (16-26 GHz)	Avantek	AVAMP4
LISN Box	University of Michigan	UMLISN1
Signal Generator	Hewlett-Packard 8657B	HPSG1

# 2 Configuration and Identification of the Equipment Under Test

# 2.1 Description and Declarations

The equipment under test is an automotive keyless entry receiver. The EUT is approximately 20 x 17 x 3 cm (approx.) in dimension, and is depicted in Figure 1. It is powered by a 13.2 VDC vehicular power system. In use, this device is permanently affixed inside the body of a motor vehicle. Table 2 outlines provider declared EUT specifications.



Figure 1: Photos of EUT.

Table 2: EUT Declarations.

General Declarations			
Equipment Type:	Superheterodyne Receiver	Country of Origin:	USA
Nominal Supply:	13.2 VDC	Oper. Temp Range:	Not Declared
Frequency Range:	315  MHz	Antenna Dimension:	3 cm loop (approx)
Antenna Type:	metal loop	Antenna Gain:	-15 dBi (approx)
United States			
FCC ID Number:	M3N74063103	Classification:	CYY
Canada			
IC Number:	CAN RSS-Gen/CNR-Gen	Classification:	Remote Control Device, Vehicular Device

# 2.1.1 EUT Configuration

The EUT is configured for testing as depicted in Figure 2.

#### 2.1.2 Modes of Operation

The EUT has only one mode of operation, as a UHF receiver.

# 2.1.3 Variants

There is only a single variant of the EUT.

# EUT Continental BCM Receiver Model: 74063103

1.5 m, 2 wire

13.2 VDC Laboratory Supply

Figure 2: EUT Test Configuration Diagram.

# 2.1.4 Test Samples

Two samples in total were provided. One sample paired with a 315 MHz keyfob transmitter operating normally, and a second sample for testing and photographs.

#### 2.1.5 Functional Exerciser

Normal operating EUT functionality was verified by observation of transmitted signal.

#### 2.1.6 Modifications Made

There were no modifications made to the EUT by this laboratory.

#### 2.1.7 Production Intent

The EUT appears to be a production ready sample.

# 2.1.8 Declared Exemptions and Additional Product Notes

The EUT is permanently installed in a transportation vehicle. As such, digital emissions are exempt from US and Canadian digital emissions regulations (per FCC 15.103(a) and IC correspondence on ICES-003).

#### 3 Emissions

# 3.1 General Test Procedures

#### 3.1.1 Radiated Test Setup and Procedures

Radiated electromagnetic emissions from the EUT are first evaluated in our shielded fully anechoic chamber. Spectrum and modulation characteristics of all emissions are recorded, and emissions above 1 GHz are fully characterized. The anechoic chamber contains a set-up similar to that of our outdoor 3-meter site, with a turntable and antenna mast. Instrumentation, including spectrum analyzers and other test equipment as detailed in Section 1.2 are employed. After indoor pre-scans, emission measurements are made on our outdoor 3-meter Open Area Test Site (OATS). If the EUT connects to auxiliary equipment and is table or floor standing, the configurations prescribed in ANSI C63.4 / CISPR-22 are followed. Alternatively, a layout closest to normal use (as declared by the provider) is employed if the resulting emissions appear to be worst-case in such a configuration. See Figure 3.




Figure 3: Radiated Emissions Diagram of the EUT.

intentionally radiating elements are placed on the test table lying flat, on their side, and on their end (3-axes) and the resulting worst case emissions are recorded. For both horizontal and vertical polarizations, the test antenna is raised and lowered from 1 to 4 m in height until a maximum emission level is detected. The EUT is then rotated through 360° in azimuth until the highest emission is detected. The test antenna is then raised and lowered one last time from 1 to 4 m and the worst case value is recorded. Photographs of the test setup employed are depicted in Figure 4.

If the EUT exhibits spurious emissions due to internal receiver circuitry, such emissions are measured with an appropriate carrier signal applied. For devices with intentional emissions below 30 MHz, a shielded loop antenna is used as the test antenna. It is placed at a 1 meter receive height and appropriate low frequency magnetic field extrapolation to the regulatory limit distance is employed. Emissions between 30 MHz and 1 GHz are measured using tuned dipoles and/or calibrated broadband antennas. Emissions above 1 GHz are characterized using standard gain horn antennas or calibrated broadband ridge-horn antennas. Care is taken to ensure that test receiver resolution and video bandwidths meet the regulatory requirements, and that the emission bandwidth of the EUT is not reduced.

Where regulations allow for direct measurement of field strength, power values (dBm) measured on the test receiver / analyzer are converted to  $dB\mu V/m$  at the regulatory distance, using

$$E_{dist} = 107 + P_R + K_A - K_G + K_E - C_F$$

where  $P_R$  is the power recorded on spectrum analyzer, in dBm,  $K_A$  is the test antenna factor in dB/m,  $K_G$  is the combined pre-amplifier gain and cable loss in dB,  $K_E$  is duty correction factor (when applicable) in dB, and  $C_F$  is a distance conversion (employed only if limits are specified at alternate distance) in dB. This field strength value is then compared with the regulatory limit. If effective isotropic radiated power (EIRP) is compute, it is computed as

$$EIRP(dBm) = E_{3m}(dB\mu V/m) - 95.2.$$

When presenting data at each frequency, the highest measured emission under all possible EUT orientations (3-axes) is reported.





Figure 4: Radiated Emissions Test Setup Photograph(s).

# 3.1.2 Conducted Emissions Test Setup and Procedures

**Battery Power Conducted Spurious** The EUT is not subject to measurement of power line conducted emissions as it is powered solely by its internal battery.

### 3.1.3 Power Supply Variation

Tests at extreme supply voltages are made if required by the the procedures specified in the test standard, and results of this testing are detailed in this report.

In the case the EUT is designed for operation from a lead-acid battery power source, the extreme test voltages are evaluated between 90% and 130% of the nominal battery voltage declared by the manufacturer. For float charge applications using gel-cell type batteries, extreme test voltages are evaluated between 85% and 115% of the nominal battery voltage declared. For all battery operated equipment, worst case intentional and spurious emissions are re-checked employing a new (fully charged) battery.

#### 3.2 Unintentional Emissions

#### 3.2.1 Radiated Receiver Spurious

The results for the measurement of radiated receiver spurious emissions (emissions from the receiver chain, e.g. LO or VCO) at the nominal voltage and temperature are reported in Table 3. Receive chain emissions are measured to 5 times the highest receive chain frequency employed or 4 GHz, whichever is higher. If no emissions are detected, only those noise floor emissions at the LO/VCO frequency are reported. Relative relative emissions are depicted in Figure 5.

Table 3: Receiver Chain Spurious Emissions  $\geq 30 \text{ MHz}$ .

Frequency Range	Det	IF Bandwidth	Video Bandwidth
25 MHz f 1 000 MHz	Pk/QPk	120 kHz	300 kHz
f > 1 000  MHz	Pk	1 MHz	3 MHz
f > 1000  MHz	Avg	1 MHz	10kHz

Receiver Spurious Radiated Emissions									CONTI; FCC/IC		
	Freq.	Ant.	Ant.	Pr	Det.	Ka	Kg	E3*	E3lim	Pass	
#	MHz	Used	Pol.	dBm	Used	dB/m	dB	$dB\mu V/m$	$dB\mu V/m$	dB	Comments
1	304.3	Sbic	Н	-88.3	Qp	18.6	22.9	14.4	46.0	31.6	noise
2	304.3	Sbic	V	-82.5	Qp	18.6	22.9	20.2	46.0	25.8	noise
3	315.0	Sbic	Н	-86.9	Qp	18.9	22.8	16.2	46.0	29.8	noise
4	315.0	Sbic	V	-82.8	Qp	18.9	22.8	20.3	46.0	25.7	noise
5	608.6	Sbic	Н	-85.9	Qp	24.9	19.9	26.1	46.0	19.9	noise
6	608.6	Sbic	V	-81.1	Qp	24.9	19.9	30.9	46.0	15.1	noise
7	630.0	Sbic	Н	-87.4	Qp	25.2	19.7	25.1	46.0	20.9	noise
8	630.0	Sbic	V	-82.9	Qp	25.2	19.7	29.6	46.0	16.4	noise
9	912.9	Sbic	Н	-85.2	Qp	28.6	17.8	32.5	46.0	13.5	noise
10	912.9	Sbic	V	-81.1	Qp	28.6	17.8	36.6	46.0	9.4	noise
11	945.0	Sbic	Н	-85.9	Qp	28.9	17.7	32.3	46.0	13.7	noise
12	945.0	Sbic	V	-81.7	Qp	28.9	17.7	36.5	46.0	9.5	noise
13	2000.0	R-horn	H/V	-72.5	Pk	22.5	27.4	29.6	54.0	24.4	noise
14	2900.0	R-horn	H/V	-70.5	Pk	25.0	25.5	36.1	54.0	17.9	noise
15	3559.7	R-Horn	H/V	-70.1	Pk	27.1	24.0	40.0	54.0	14.0	noise
16	6000.0	C-horn	H/V	-63.3	Pk	24.9	38.0	30.6	54.0	23.4	noise
17	8000.0	XN-horn	H/V	-62.9	Pk	25.8	36.8	33.1	54.0	20.9	noise
18											
19											
20											
22											

Meas. U of Mich.; 2/20/2014




Figure 5: Receiver LO (or  $2 \times LO = VCO$ ) relative emission.