

Non-Conformities FCC ID: LSD66T (CKC CS Ref # E10-000015-FCC-01)

The items listed below represent requests for information following review of this application for certification under United States (FCC) regulations. Further question may arise pending review of responses to these items.

OK	ID	#	Non-Conformity or Comment	Submitted Response	Respondent / Date of Response
x	TL	1	<p>On page8 of the test report 90410-5, the test condition includes a statement stating the RF switch is not pressed. From the schematic diagram, it is clear that if the switch is not pressed, the device would not be connected to the battery.</p> <p>Please confirm whether 15.209 was performed with the device connected to the battery and energized, and revise the test report to indicate as such.</p>	Revised test report with unnecessary 15.209 test data removed. 15.209 compliance is fulfilled under 15/213(b)/ 15.205 requirement.	Test lab 3/5/10
X	C	2	<p>It is unclear whether this PWM transmitter incorporates rolling codes.</p> <p>Please clarify whether this transmitter incorporates rolling codes, if so, please declare the duty cycle range over which the equipment operates</p>	The 8068 transmitter does not incorporate rolling code technology.	Patrick Kochie 2/26/10
X	C	3	<p>Due to Non-Conformity responses pertaining to the Model number in the IC related Application (E10-000016-IC) it is suggested that you update your FCC ID to retain consistency between these 2 applications. No action required.</p> <p>The report will need to be changed from 8068 to 8066. – EW</p>	<p>I will start working on the documents today to reflect new model & ID numbers.</p> <p>New ID numbers: FCC: LSD66T IC 1736A-66T Updated Documents Provided.</p> <p>Updated the Applications and Application Reports. Saved provided Exhibits in the Temp Folder pending review.</p> <p>Please do a search and replace to</p>	<p>Patrick Kochie 3/1/10</p> <p>Patrick Kochie 3/1/10</p> <p>Jessina Hunter 3/2/10</p> <p>Patrick Kochie</p>

			<p>Unfortunately due to the scope of our accreditations we cannot search and replace the model number... there are only two options that are available. One is that a statement can be added that states... during the time of testing the product was called the 8068 but since then the manufacture has decided to change the model number to 8066. That is not the exact wording but it will be something to that effect. All the rest of the references will remain the same with this option. The other option is to strike through the model number on all data sheets and add the new model number after it. This is not a popular option because it is ugly and the change glares out at you from the report whereas adding the statement is subtle and has been accepted without any hiccups by the FCC and all other regulating bodies. The reason that we cannot simply search and replace is that A2LA (our Test Lab accreditation body) requires that any changes to the data after it has been collected cannot be removed and only a strikethrough with the correct data listed behind the strikethrough can be used. This is the way that A2LA wants the data be presented. If we wanted to change the model number currently present in the data re-testing would have to occur. –Reports</p>	<p>correct the test report. Replace 8068 with 8066.</p> <p>I had no idea thing are so formal. Whatever is easiest for you guys is fine with me. Either the strike through or the statement, either one is OK to use.</p> <p>Updated Report Provided.</p>	<p>3/2/10</p> <p>Patrick Kochie 3/2/10</p> <p>Report Department 3/3/10</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information within 60 days may result in application dismissal pursuant to Section 2.917(c) and forfeiture of the filing fee pursuant to Section 1.1106.

How to read the table:

OK column indicates closure by CKC CS.

ID column is for use with Agents to assist in identifying the probable source for closure.

A – Application issue

TL – Test lab issue

C – Client issue

R – Retesting may be necessary

column indicates unique or separate non-conformity items (note some items may be related).

Non-Conformity or Comment column indicates the evaluators specific question or comment.

Submitted response column indicates the response or a summary of the response provided.

Respondent / Date of Response column indicates the responding party or agent and the date of the response was either received or logged.