FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

SEP 1 1994

IN REPLY REFER TO: 31030/EQU/4-2-4 1300B4

Mr. Valdis V. Liepa University of Michigan Radiation Laboratory NASA/Center for Space Terahertz Technology 3228 EECS Building Ann Arbor, MI 48109-2122

Dear Mr. Liepa:

This is in reply to your facsimile transmission of August 2, 1994, regarding the labelling of a low power communication device that will be marketed within the U.S. and Canada. You request approval to combine the labels for both countries, permitting a single label to be employed. As indicated, this combined label would read as follows:

"This device complies with Part 15 of the FCC Rules and with RSS-210 of the Industry Canada. Operation is subject to the following two conditions: (1) This device may not cause harmful interference, and (2) this device must accept any interference received, including interference that may cause undesired operation."

According to Section 15.19(a) of our rules, a low power communications device operating under Part 15 must be labelled with the specific statement contained in paragraph (a)(3). The only difference between the statement required under our rules and your proposed statement is the addition in the first sentence of the phrase "... and with RSS-210 of the Industry Canada."

I note that Kwai Lum of Industry Canada, in a facsimile to you on August 3, 1994, has already given permission to use this combined label. I also agree that the use of this combined label, as shown above, is acceptable under our regulations. This label conveys the desired information and is essentially identical to our requirement. As expressed by Mr. Lum, text denoting compliance with the standards for both countries was not stated in our rules as "it would be too presumptuous [to assume] that all products are for both markets."

I trust that the above responds to your inquiry. Additional questions should be directed to John Reed, 1300B4, at the address on the letterhead or at (202) 653-7313.

Johnt. Rul

Richard B. Engelman

Chief, Technical Standards Branch Office of Engineering and Technology



Federal Communications Commission Applications Processing Branch 7435 Oakland Mills Road Colombia, MD 21046

Re: Letter of Agency for FCC Compliance Testing of Remote Keyless Entry Systems

I, Ken Hummel, the Engineering Manager for the above mentioned systems, do hereby authorize Professor Valdis V. Liepa of the University of Michigan, Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Ann Arbor, Michigan, to act on Motorola's behalf in front of the Federal Communications Commission with respect to all matters relating to the certification of the above said systems.

Anti-Drug Abuse Certification:

I further certify that no party (as defined in 1.2002 of CFR47, 1992) to this application, including myself is subject to a denial of federal benefits, that includes FCC benefits, pursuant to Section 5301 of the Anti Drug Abuse Act of 1988, 21 U.S.C. 853(a).

Dated this 19 Day of October, 1999-10-19

Mr. Ken Hummel Engineering Manager

worth a Alum

Re: Certification for Motorola Receiver

Model: LHJ010 FCC ID: LHJ010

CANADA: to be provided

GENERAL PRODUCT INFORMATION

The product for which the certification is pursued has been designed by:

Motorola AIEG 4000 Commercial Avenue Northbrook, IL 60062-1840

Steve Flat, Design Engineer Tel 847-480-4137 Fax 847-480-4122

Rob Bishop, Design Engineer Tel 830-372-7321 Fax 830-372-7352

The product will be manufactured at:

Motorola AIEG Production Seguin, TX

David Row, Production Engineer Tel 830-372-7619 Fax 830-372-7024

It will be distributed and serviced by:

DaimlerChrysler Company Canada and US



University Of Michigan

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING THE RADIATION LABORATORY DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING AND COMPUTER SCIENCE

3228 EBCS BUILDING 1301 BEAL AVENUE ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48109-2122 734 764-0500 EAX 734 647-2106 http://www.eecs.umich.edu/RADLAB/

October 20, 1999

Re: Certification for Motorola Receiver

Model: LHJ010 FCC ID: LHJ010

CANADA: to be provided

STATEMENT OF MODIFICATIONS

There were no modifications made to the Receiver by this laboratory. (Also see Section 3.1 of the attached Test Report).

Valdis V. Liepa Research Scientist