

**LF5MICSIMPLANT2**  
**SAR Analysis**  
**Dr. Piotr Przybyszewski**  
**Dr. Edward Goff**  
**Medtronic Inc.**  
**Minneapolis, MN 55432**  
**August 2, 2007**



The report is a summary of the FDTD modeling and simulations results of SAR to support the product submittal for the Medtronic Consulta and Secura devices: LF5MICSIMPLANT2.

FCC Part 95, section 95.603(g) requires manufacturers of MICS transmitters perform a Finite Difference Time Domain (FDTD) computational modeling report showing compliance with radiofrequency radiation exposure requirements as specified in 1.1307 and 2.1093.

To show compliance with FCC rules a device model was created. The human body model used for these analyses is the High Fidelity Human Body Model which is provided with the Remcom XFDTD software version 5.3. For this analysis a 1 mm mesh was used. Figure 1 depicts the antenna model. Figure 2 depicts different views of the entire implant model used in the analysis. The information in this report is intended to show compliance of the unit with the RF exposure limits in the FCC rules.

## LF5MICSIMPLANT2 model




Figure 1: Antenna model




Figure2: Views of Implant model

All simulation data is related to the implant placed in a cube of muscle tissue. The domain is surrounded by electric wall boundary. The antenna surfaces are at 4cm distance from the wall in the transverse plane and 3 cm from the wall in the normal plane. The smallest distance between the can and the wall is 2cm. Figure 3 depicts a 3D view of the FDTD model used for SAR analysis.




Figure 3: 3D view of SAR model

## LF5MICSIMPLANT2 SAR results

The SAR simulation result plots show the relative SAR level for the model implant in muscle tissue.




Figure 4: 1 g Avg SAR distribution at front surface




Figure 5: 1 g Avg SAR distribution at max SAR cross section




Figure 6: 1 g Avg. SAR distribution at back surface




Figure 7: SAR distribution 3D view.

## Implant Safety Conclusions

The important SAR conclusions:

- The SAR average in exposed object is  $9.02 \times 10^{-4}$  W/kg. This is below the ANSI safety standard of 0.08 W/kg.

Note: This is a worst case result since the exposed object in this analysis was a cube of muscle tissue significantly smaller than the Human Body Model. Therefore if this result was averaged over an entire body the calculated SAR value would be smaller and the relative safety margin would be greater. This is justified by comparison to original SAR analysis using the Human Body Model that was accepted by FCC.

- The maximum 1 g average SAR is 0.078 W/kg. This is below the ANSI safety standard of 1.6 W/kg.

## Summary of FDTD Modeling Conclusions

Analysis of SAR shows an absorption rate of power into the body well within the standard guidelines for safety, demonstrating the ability of MICS to operate safely within the human body. The unit complies with the RF exposure guidelines specified in the FCC Rules.