From: Courtney Kemp ITS/ES-Mpk Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2001 12:30 PM

To: Roland Gubisch ITS/ES-Box Cc: Dong Chan Park (E-mail)

Subject: FW: status on Cisco's TCB

answers below for info request

----Original Message----

From: Dong Chan Park [mailto:dcpark@cisco.com]

Sent: Thursday, May 24, 2001 3:03 PM

To: CKemp@itsqs.com

Subject: RE: status on Cisco's TCB

Courtney,

Here are the answer for the question TCB asked. It takes a time to get the answer for question(4), because, to get the answer for that, we need to test the our system. Please hand them over TCB.

Dong

Ouestion & Answer

- > 1) the Operational Manual describes a dual band 800/1900 MHz device, but > this was only tested in the 1900 MHz band. Please clarify.
- Ans: Not applicable for 800MHz
- > 2) Block A in Part 24 extends from 1930 MHz to 1945 MHz. This device
- > operates from 1930 MHz to 1950 MHz, or 5 MHz beyond the block edge. This
- > is unusual, but the actual operating frequency is determined at the time
- > of licensing. Is there a simple explanation? (not mandatory)
- Ans: We're using A band & D band
- > 3) I can find no data on voltage/current into final RF stage, as required
 > by 2.1033(c)(8). Please indicate where it can be found, or provide.
- Ans: 5V / 250 mA
- > 4) The is no data in the test report to support 1M25 necessary bandwidth,
- > although 26 dB plots are shown. Necessary bandwidth should be computed
- > from the device data rate and formula in Part 2 applicable to QPSK
- > modulation. If formula is not available, 99% (occupied) bandwidth may be
- > used in the emission designator. Please provide additional information on
- > either necessary or occupied BW.

Ans: Yesterday(5/23/01), we tested the our system to get the data like 99% bandwidth with david at ITS, see attachment.