SlackBerry	Partial SAR Compliance Smartphone RFM121LW	Page 1(14)		
Author Data	Dates of Test	Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC ID
Andrew Becker	March 26-28, 2014	RTS-6026-1404-02	L6ARFM120LW	
	Dec. 10-12, 2014	Rev 2		

SAR Compliance Partial Test Report

Testing Lab:	BlackBerry RTS 440 Phillip Street Waterloo, Ontario Canada N2L 5R9 Phone: 519-888-7465 Fax: 519-746-0189 Web site: ww	Applicant: w.BlackBerry.com	BlackBerry Limited 2200 University Ave. East Waterloo, Ontario Canada N2K 0A7 Phone: 519-888-7465 Fax: 519-888-6906		
Statement of Compliance:	BlackBerry RTS declares under its sole responsibility that the product to which this declaration relates, is in conformity with the appropriate RF exposure standards, recommendations and guidelines. It also declares that the product was tested in accordance with the appropriate measurement standards, guidelines and recommended practices.				
Device Category:	This BlackBerry® Smartpho contact with the user's head, when carried on the user's be	is BlackBerry® Smartphone is a portable device, designed to be used in direct ntact with the user's head, hand and to be carried in approved accessories hen carried on the user's body.			
RF Exposure Environment:	This device has been shown rate (SAR) for uncontrolled of specified in, FCC 47 CFR Pa Health Canada's Safety Code been tested in accordance wi FCC OET KDB Procedures, and RSS 102-issue4-2010.	to be in compliance fer environment/general p art 2.1093, FCC 96-32 e 6, as reproduced in 1 th the measurement p ANSI/IEEE Std. C9.	or localized specific absorption population exposure limits 26, IEEE Std. C95.1-1992, RSS-102 issue 4-2010 and has rocedures specified in latest 5.3-2002, IEEE 1528-2013,		

Andrew Becker SAR & HAC Compliance Specialist

(Author of the Test Report)

Daoud Attayi Compliance Systems Analyst II SAR & HAC Compliance Lead (Verification and responsible of the Test Report)

Masud S. Attayi Manager, Regulatory Compliance (Approval for the Test Report)

RTS is accredited according to EN ISO/IEC 17025 by:



This report shall <u>NOT</u> be reproduced except in full without the written consent of BlackBerry RTS Copyright 2005-2014, BlackBerry RTS, a division of BlackBerry Limited

SlackBerry	Document Partial SAR Complianc Smartphone RFM121L	Page 2(14)		
Author Data	Dates of Test	Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC ID
Andrew Becker	March 26-28, 2014	RTS-6026-1404-02	L6ARFM120LW	
	Dec. 10-12, 2014	Rev 2		

Note: According to the hardware similarity document BlackBerry model: RFM121LW has the same WiFi/BT design as RFQ111LW.

Revision History					
Rev. Number Date Cause For Partial Report/Changes					
		Added measured conducted power data for Wi-Fi Direct/GO			
Initial	Apr 30, 2014	mode:			
	-	1. Table 1 added on page 3			
		Added measured test data, equipment used for 802.11a Direct/GO			
Rev 2	Dec. 17, 2014	and Hotspot mode which will be supported on software 10.3.1.x			
		maintenance release.			

For full SAR test data and report, please refer to Cetecom test report number: SAR_CETE4_023_13001.

SeckBerry	Document Partial SAR Compliance T Smartphone RFM121LW S	Page 3(14)		
Author Data	Dates of Test	Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC ID
Andrew Becker	March 26-28, 2014	RTS-6026-1404-02	L6ARFM120LW	
	Dec. 10-12, 2014	Rev 2		

1.0 MEASURED CONDUCTED POWER

802.11b @ 1Mbps 802.11g @ 6		802	2.11g	@6	Mbps 802.11n @ 6.5 Mbps		6.5 Mbps			
f (MHz)	Chan	Max. average conducted power (dBm)	f (MHz)	Ch	an	M ave cond po (dl	lax. trage lucted wer Bm)	f (MHz)	Chan	Max. average conducted power (dBm)
2412	1	13.2	2412	1		1.	3.3	2412	1	13.3
2437	6	13.6	2437	6	,)	1.	3.5	2437	6	13.6
2462	11	13.3	2462	1	1	1.	3.3	2462	11	13.4
802.11g				802.11b						
Data		Ch	annel 6		D	ata	ata Channel 6		nnel 6	
Rate (Mbns)	Mod.	Max. aver	age conducer (dBm)	cted	R (M	late [hns]	Mod	Max. average conducted		ge conducted
18	OPSK	pow	13.6		4	5.5	CCK			
54	64-QAN	1	13.6			11	CCK	-	13.6	
				80)2.11	n				
				Channel 6						
Data r	cate (MD)	J S)	wioa.			Max. average conducted power (dBm)				
	26		MCS3			13.5				
	65		MCS7			13.6				

 Table 1-1: 802.11 b/g/n modulation type/data rate vs. maximum average conducted power in Wi-Fi Direct/GO mode

SlackBerry	Document Partial SAR Compliance Smartphone RFM121LW	Page 4(14)				
Author Data	Dates of Test	Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC ID		
Andrew Becker	March 26-28, 2014	RTS-6026-1404-02	L6ARFM120LW			
	Dec. 10-12, 2014	Marcin 20-23, 2014 R13-0020-1404-02 LOARF M120L W Dec. 10-12, 2014 Rev 2 LOARF M120L W				

802.11a/n Conducted Power in WiFi Direct/GO/Hotspot									
				Mode					
802.11a	(low ba	nd)	6Mbps	802.11	la (u	pper bai	nd II) 6Mbps		
f (MHz)	Chan	8 C0	Max. average onducted power (dBm)	f (MHz)	(Chan	Max. average conducted power (dBm)		
5180	36		13.50	5745		149	12.00		
5200	40		13.45	5765		153	12.00		
5220	44		13.45	5785		157	12.00		
5240	48		13.40	5805		161	11.95		
				5825		165	11.95		
802.11a 802.11 a									
	(low			ver band) ((up	pper band II)		
			Cha	annel 36 Cl		hannel 149			
Data Ra	te (Mhit	c)	Max	Max. average		Max. average			
Data Na		5)	conduc	conducted power			conducted power		
			(dBm)		(dBm)			
	6		1	13.50			12.00		
	24]	13.50			11.95		
	54		1	13.45			11.95		
	8	02.1	1n			802.11	n		
	(low	er l	band)		(uj	pper ban	d II)		
	Cha	ann	el 36		(Channel	149		
Mod	Max	. av	erage	Mov o	word	an cond	ucted newer		
Ivitu.	conduc	cted	l power		11010	(dRm)	ucteu power		
	(dBm)			(UDIII)					
MCS0		13.50			12.00				
MCS4		13.5	50			11.95			
MCS7		13.5	50	12.00					

Table 1-2: 802.11 a/n modulation type/data rate vs. maximum average conducted power in 802.11a Direct/Go and Hotspot mode

Note: 802.11a/n Hotspot mode does not support channels 52-140

SeckBerry	Document Partial SAR Compliance ' Smartphone RFM121LW	Page 5(14)		
Author Data	Dates of Test	Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC ID
Andrew Becker	March 26-28, 2014 Dec. 10-12, 2014	RTS-6026-1404-02 Rev 2	L6ARFM120LW	

2.0 EQUIPMENT LIST

Manufacturer	Test Equipment	Model Number	Serial Number	Cal. Due Date (MM/DD/YY)
Agilent Technologies	Power meter	N1911A	MY45100905	05/29/2015
Agilent Technologies	Power sensor	N1921A	SG45240281	12/04/2014

Manufacturer	Test Equipment	Model Number	Serial Number	Cal. Due Date (MM/DD/YY)
SCHMID & Partner Engineering AG	E-field probe	EX3DV4	3592	11/10/2015
SCHMID & Partner Engineering AG	Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE3)	DAE3	472	03/18/2015
SCHMID & Partner Engineering AG	Dipole Validation Kit	D5000V2	1033	11/08/2015
Agilent Technologies	Signal generator	8648C	4037U03155	09/25/2015
Agilent Technologies	Power meter	E4419B	GB40202821	09/25/2015
Agilent Technologies	Power sensor	8481A	MY41095233	10/06/2015
Agilent Technologies	Power sensor	8481A	MY41095417	10/06/2015
Amplifier Research	Amplifier	5S1G4M3	300986	CNR
Rohde & Schwarz	Signal generator	SMA 100A	101540	11/28/2015
Amplifier Research	Coupler	DC7144	300993	CNR
CPI Wireless Solutions	Amplifier	VZC-6961K4	SK4310E5	CNR
Agilent Technologies	Network analyzer	8753ES	US39174857	10/24/2015
Agilent Technologies	Power meter	N1911A	MY45100905	05/29/2015
Agilent Technologies	Power sensor	N1921A	MY45241383	09/05/2015
Weinschel Corp	20dB Attenuator	33-20-34	BMO697	CNR
Pyrex, England	Graduated Cylinder	N/A	N/A	N/A
Pyrex, USA	Beaker	N/A	N/A	N/A
Acculab	Weight Scale	V1-1200	018WB2003	N/A
IKA Works Inc.	Hot Plate	RC Basic	3.107433	N/A
Dell	PC using GPIB card	GX110	347	N/A
Agilent Technologies	Dielectric probe kit	HP 85070C	US9936135	CNR
Agilent Technologies	Network Analyzer	8753ES	US39174857	10/24/2015
Control Company	Digital Thermometer	23609-234	21352860	09/22/2015
Control Company	Digital Thermometer	15-077-21	51129471	06/11/2015

Table 2-1: Equipment list for Wi-Fi Direct/GO additional testing

Table 2-2: Equipment list for 802.11a Direct/Go and Hotspot mode

SeckBerry	Document Partial SAR Compliance T Smartphone RFM121LW S	Page 6(14)		
Author Data	Dates of Test	Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC ID
Andrew Becker	March 26-28, 2014	RTS-6026-1404-02	L6ARFM120LW	
	Dec. 10-12, 2014	Rev 2		

3.0 SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION

Prior to conducting SAR measurements, the system was validated using the dipole validation kit and the flat section of the SAM phantom. A power level of 1.0W was applied to the dipole antenna. The verification results are in the table below with a comparison to reference values. Printouts are shown in Appendix A. All the measured parameters are within the allowed tolerances.

At above 1.5 - 2 GHz, dipoles maintain good return loss of -15 dB to -20 dB, therefore SAR measurements are limited to approximately +/- 100 MHz of the probe/dipole calibration frequency.

3.1 System accuracy verification for head adjacent use

f	Limits / Measured	Scan Type	SAR 1g/10g	Diel Para	lectric meters
(MHZ)	(MM/DD/YYYY)		(W/kg)	٤ _r	σ [S/m]
		Area Scan/Fast SAR	N/A		
5200	Measured (12/11/2014)	Zoom Scan	82.8/24.1	34.8	4.77
	Recommended Limi	ts (Dipole: 1033)	79.4/22.6	36.0	4.66
		Area Scan/Fast SAR	N/A		
5800	Measured (12/11/2014)	Zoom Scan	86.2/24.7	34.3	5.42
	Recommended Limi	79.4/22.6	35.3	5.27	

Table 3-1: System accuracy (validation for head adjacent use) for 802.11a Hotspot testing

Band	Tissue	Limits / Measured	f	Dielectric	Parameters	Liquid Temp	
(MHz)	(MHz) Type (MM/DD/YYYY)		(MHz)	٤ _r	σ [S/m]	(°C)	
			5180	34.9	4.75		
	Hand	Measured (12/11/2014)	5200	34.8	4.77	22.4	
	пеац		5280	34.6	4.85		
5200		Recommended Limits	5200	36.0	4.66	N/A	
5200		le Measured (12/11/2014)	5180	45.7	5.56	22.4	
	Muscle		5200	45.7	5.59		
			5280	45.5	5.70		
		Recommended Limits	5200	49.0	5.30	N/A	
		M_{22}	5745	34.4	5.36	22.4	
	Head	Measured $(12/11/2014)$	5800	34.3	5.42	22.4	
5000		Recommended Limits	5800	35.3	5.27	N/A	
3800		M_{22} (12/11/2014)	5745	44.4	6.40	22.4	
	Muscle	(12/11/2014)	5800	44.3	6.49	22.4	
		Recommended Limits	5800	48.2	6.00	N/A	

Table 3-2: Electrical parameters of tissue simulating liquid

SeckBerry	Document Partial SAR Compliance T Smartphone RFM121LW S	Page 7(14)		
Author Data	Dates of Test	Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC ID
Andrew Becker	March 26-28, 2014	RTS-6026-1404-02	L6ARFM120LW	
	Dec. 10-12, 2014	Rev 2		

4.0 SAR SAFETY LIMITS

	Localized SAR Limit (W/kg) General public	Localized SAR Limits (W/kg) Workers
Standards/Guideline	(uncontrolled)	(controlled)
ICNIRP Standard	2.0 (10g)	10.0 (10g)
IEEE C95.1 Standard	1.6 (1g)	8.0 (1g)

Table 7.0-1 SAR safety limits for Controlled / Uncontrolled environment

Human Exposure	Localized SAR Limits (W/kg) 10g, ICNIRP Standard	Localized SAR Limits (W/kg) 1g, IEEE C95.1 Standard
Spatial Average (averaged over the	0.09	0.09
whole body)	0.08	0.08
Spatial Peak (averaged over any X g of		
tissue)	2.00	1.60
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles		
averaged over 10 g)	4.00	4.00 (10g)

Table 7.0-2 SAR safety limits

Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

SeckBerry	Document Partial SAR Compliance 7 Smartphone RFM121LW	Page 8(14)		
Author Data	Dates of Test	Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC ID
Andrew Becker	March 26-28, 2014 Dec. 10-12, 2014			

5.0 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

DASY5 Uncertainty Budget (0.3 - 3 GHz range)									
	Uncert.	Prob.	Div.	(c _i)	(c _i)	Std. Unc.	Std. Unc.	(v _i)	
Error Description	value	Dist.		1g	10g	(1g)	(10g)	veff	
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	$\pm 6.0\%$	N	1	1	1	$\pm 6.0\%$	$\pm 6.0\%$	80	
Axial Isotropy	$\pm 4.7\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	±1.9%	$\pm 1.9\%$	∞	
Hemispherical Isotropy	$\pm 9.6\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	$\pm 3.9\%$	$\pm 3.9\%$	∞	
Boundary Effects	±1.0%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.6 %	±0.6%	∞	
Linearity	$\pm 4.7\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 2.7\%$	$\pm 2.7\%$	8	
System Detection Limits	±1.0%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.6 %	±0.6%	8	
Modulation Response ^m	$\pm 2.4\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.4%	$\pm 1.4\%$	∞	
Readout Electronics	$\pm 0.3\%$	N	1	1	1	$\pm 0.3\%$	±0.3 %	∞	
Response Time	$\pm 0.8\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 0.5 \%$	$\pm 0.5\%$	∞	
Integration Time	$\pm 2.6\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.5%	±1.5 %	∞	
RF Ambient Noise	$\pm 3.0\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.7 %	$\pm 1.7 \%$	∞	
RF Ambient Reflections	$\pm 3.0\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 1.7\%$	$\pm 1.7 \%$	∞	
Probe Positioner	$\pm 0.4\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 0.2\%$	$\pm 0.2\%$	∞	
Probe Positioning	$\pm 2.9\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.7 %	$\pm 1.7\%$	∞	
Max. SAR Eval.	$\pm 2.0\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.2%	±1.2%	8	
Test Sample Related									
Device Positioning	$\pm 2.9\%$	N	1	1	1	$\pm 2.9\%$	$\pm 2.9\%$	145	
Device Holder	$\pm 3.6\%$	N	1	1	1	±3.6 %	$\pm 3.6\%$	5	
Power Drift	$\pm 5.0\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 2.9\%$	$\pm 2.9\%$	∞	
Power Scaling ^p	±0%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.0%	±0.0%	∞	
Phantom and Setup									
Phantom Uncertainty	±6.1%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±3.5 %	±3.5 %	∞	
SAR correction	$\pm 1.9\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.84	±1.1%	$\pm 0.9\%$	∞	
Liquid Conductivity (mea.) ^{DAK}	$\pm 2.5\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	±1.1%	±1.0%	8	
Liquid Permittivity (mea.) DAK	$\pm 2.5\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.26	0.26	±0.3 %	$\pm 0.4\%$	∞	
Temp. unc Conductivity BB	$\pm 3.4\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	$\pm 1.5\%$	$\pm 1.4\%$	∞	
Temp. unc Permittivity ^{BB}	$\pm 0.4\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	0.26	$\pm 0.1\%$	$\pm 0.1\%$	∞	
Combined Std. Uncertainty						±11.2%	±11.1%	361	
Expanded STD Uncertainty						$\pm 22.3\%$	$\pm 22.2\%$		

 Table 5.0-1 Worst-Case uncertainty budget for DASY5 assessed according to IEEE P1528-2013.

 Source: Schmid & Partner Engineering AG.

[1] The budget is valid for the frequency range 300MHz - 3 GHz and represents a worst-case analysis. For specific tests and configurations, the uncertainty could be considerably smaller.

SlackBerry	Document Partial SAR Complianc Smartphone RFM121L	Page 9(14)		
Author Data	Dates of Test	Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC ID
Andrew Becker	March 26-28, 2014	RTS-6026-1404-02	L6ARFM120LW	
	Dec. 10-12, 2014			

Relative DASY5 Uncertainty Budget for Fast SAR Tests (0.3 - 3 GHz range)									
	Uncert.	Prob.	Div.	(c_i)	(c_i)	Std. Unc.	Std. Unc.	(v_i)	
Error Description	value	Dist.		1g	10g	(1g)	(10g)	Veff	
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	$\pm 6.0\%$	N	1	0	0				
Axial Isotropy	$\pm 4.7\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	$\pm 1.9\%$	±1.9%	∞	
Hemispherical Isotropy	$\pm 9.6\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	$\pm 3.9\%$	$\pm 3.9\%$	∞	
Boundary Effects	±1.0%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 0.6\%$	±0.6%	∞	
Linearity	$\pm 4.7\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 2.7 \%$	$\pm 2.7\%$	∞	
System Detection Limits	±1.0%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.6 %	±0.6 %	∞	
Modulation Response	$\pm 2.4\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 1.4\%$	±1.4%	∞	
Readout Electronics	$\pm 0.3\%$	Ν	1	0	0				
Response Time	±0.8%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0	0				
Integration Time	$\pm 2.6\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 1.5\%$	±1.5%	∞	
RF Ambient Noise	$\pm 3.0\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 1.7 \%$	±1.7%	∞	
RF Ambient Reflections	$\pm 3.0\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0	0				
Probe Positioner	$\pm 0.4\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 0.2\%$	$\pm 0.2\%$	∞	
Probe Positioning	$\pm 2.9\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 1.7\%$	$\pm 1.7\%$	∞	
Spatial x-y-Resolution	$\pm 10.0\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 5.8\%$	$\pm 5.8\%$	∞	
Fast SAR z-Approximation	$\pm 7.0\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 4.0\%$	$\pm 4.0\%$	∞	
Test Sample Related									
Device Positioning	$\pm 2.9\%$	N	1	1	1	$\pm 2.9\%$	$\pm 2.9\%$	145	
Device Holder	$\pm 3.6\%$	Ν	1	1	1	$\pm 3.6\%$	±3.6%	5	
Power Drift	$\pm 5.0\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 2.9 \%$	$\pm 2.9\%$	∞	
Power Scaling	$\pm 0\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0	0				
Phantom and Setup									
Phantom Uncertainty	$\pm 6.1\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 3.5 \%$	±3.5%	∞	
SAR correction	$\pm 1.9\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0	0				
Liquid Conductivity (mea.)	$\pm 2.5\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0	0				
Liquid Permittivity (mea.)	$\pm 2.5\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0	0				
Temp. unc Conductivity	$\pm 3.4\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0	0				
Temp. unc Permittivity	$\pm 0.4\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0	0				
Combined Std. Uncertainty						±11.4%	±11.4%	748	
Expanded STD Uncertai	nty					$\pm 22.7\%$	$\pm 22.7\%$		

 Table 5.0-2 Worst-Case uncertainty budget for DASY5 assessed according to IEEE P1528-2013

 Source: Schmid & Partner Engineering AG.

BlackBerry	Partial SAR Complianc Smartphone RFM121L	10(14)		
Author Data I	Dates of Test	Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC ID
Andrew Becker	March 26-28, 2014	RTS-6026-1404-02	L6ARFM120LW	
]	Dec. 10-12, 2014	Rev 2		

DASY5 Uncertainty Budget (3 - 6 GHz range)									
	Uncert.	Prob.	Div.	(c_i)	(c_i)	Std. Unc.	Std. Unc.	(v_i)	
Error Description	value	Dist.		1g	10g	(1g)	(10g)	veff	
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	$\pm 6.55\%$	N	1	1	1	$\pm 6.55\%$	$\pm 6.55\%$	∞	
Axial Isotropy	$\pm 4.7\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	$\pm 1.9\%$	$\pm 1.9\%$	∞	
Hemispherical Isotropy	$\pm 9.6\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	$\pm 3.9\%$	$\pm 3.9\%$	∞	
Boundary Effects	$\pm 2.0\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 1.2\%$	$\pm 1.2\%$	∞	
Linearity	$\pm 4.7\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 2.7\%$	$\pm 2.7 \%$	∞	
System Detection Limits	±1.0%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 0.6\%$	$\pm 0.6\%$	∞	
Modulation Response ^m	$\pm 2.4\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 1.4\%$	$\pm 1.4\%$	∞	
Readout Electronics	$\pm 0.3\%$	N	1	1	1	$\pm 0.3\%$	$\pm 0.3\%$	∞	
Response Time	±0.8%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 0.5 \%$	$\pm 0.5\%$	∞	
Integration Time	$\pm 2.6\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 1.5 \%$	$\pm 1.5 \%$	∞	
RF Ambient Noise	$\pm 3.0\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 1.7\%$	$\pm 1.7\%$	∞	
RF Ambient Reflections	$\pm 3.0\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 1.7\%$	$\pm 1.7\%$	∞	
Probe Positioner	±0.8%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 0.5 \%$	$\pm 0.5 \%$	∞	
Probe Positioning	$\pm 6.7\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 3.9\%$	$\pm 3.9\%$	∞	
Max. SAR Eval.	$\pm 4.0\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 2.3\%$	$\pm 2.3\%$	∞	
Test Sample Related									
Device Positioning	$\pm 2.9\%$	Ν	1	1	1	$\pm 2.9\%$	$\pm 2.9\%$	145	
Device Holder	$\pm 3.6\%$	N	1	1	1	$\pm 3.6\%$	$\pm 3.6\%$	5	
Power Drift	$\pm 5.0\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 2.9\%$	$\pm 2.9\%$	∞	
Power Scaling ^p	±0%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 0.0\%$	$\pm 0.0\%$	∞	
Phantom and Setup									
Phantom Uncertainty	$\pm 6.6\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 3.8\%$	$\pm 3.8\%$	∞	
SAR correction	$\pm 1.9\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.84	$\pm 1.1\%$	$\pm 0.9\%$	∞	
Liquid Conductivity (mea.) ^{DAK}	$\pm 2.5\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	$\pm 1.1\%$	$\pm 1.0\%$	∞	
Liquid Permittivity (mea.) DAK	$\pm 2.5\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.26	0.26	$\pm 0.3\%$	$\pm 0.4\%$	∞	
Temp. unc Conductivity BB	$\pm 3.4\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	$\pm 1.5\%$	±1.4%	∞	
Temp. unc Permittivity BB	$\pm 0.4\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	0.26	$\pm 0.1\%$	±0.1%	∞	
Combined Std. Uncertainty						$\pm 12.3\%$	±12.2%	748	
Expanded STD Uncertainty						$\pm 24.6\%$	$\pm 24.5\%$		

Table 5.0-3 Worst-Case uncertainty budget for DASY52 assessed according to IEEE P1528-2013. Source: Schmid & Partner Engineering AG.

SeckBerry	Document Partial SAR Compliance ' Smartphone RFM121LW	Page 11(14)		
Author Data	Dates of Test	Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC ID
Andrew Becker	March 26-28, 2014	RTS-6026-1404-02	L6ARFM120LW	
	Dec. 10-12, 2014			

6.0 TEST RESULTS

Measured/Extrapolated SAR Values - Hotspot - 802.11a 5000-6000 MHz									
	Freq. (MHz)	spacing	Side Ecoing	Cond. Outpu	Cond. Output Power (dBm)		1g SAR	1g SAR (W/Kg)	
Ch.		(cm)/ S holster	Phantom	Declared	Measured	Drift (dB)	Extrapolated	Reported	
36*	5180	1.0	Back	13.5	13.5	0.16	0.73	0.73	
40	5200	1.0	Back					0.00	
44	5220	1.0	Back					0.00	
48*	5240	1.0	Back					0.00	
149*	5745	1.0	Back	12.0	12.0	-0.03	0.53	0.53	
153	5765	1.0	Back					0.00	
157*	5785	1.0	Back					0.00	
161	5805	1.0	Back					0.00	
165*	5825	1.0	Back					0.00	
36*	5180	1.0	Front	13.5	13.5	0.31	0.02	0.02	
36*	5180	1.0	Left	13.5	13.5	0.53	0.07	0.07	
36*	5180	1.0	Right					0.00	
36*	5180	1.0	Тор	13.5	13.5	-0.06	0.12	0.12	

Table 6-1: SAR test results for 802.11a Hotspot configurations

Note 1: Tested only highest output power channel per band

Note 2: * denotes the default channels of each sub band to be tested when reported 1g SAR ≥ 0.8 W/kg. Note 3: 802.11a/n Hotspot mode does not support channels 52-136.

		Licensed Transmi	WiFi 5 C	Maximum	
Test	Configuratio n	Band	1 g avg. SAR (W/kg)	1 g avg. SAR (W/kg)	Summation 1 g avg. SAR (W/kg)
		LTE Band 13	0.79		1.52
		GSM 850	0.47		1.20
Mahila	10 mm	UMTS Band V	0.49		1.22
Hotepot	romini	CDMA 850	1.05	0.73	1.78
SAR	device back	LTE Band 4	1.43	0.75	2.16
SAK	uevice back	GSM/GPRS/EDGE 1900	0.80		1.53
		UMTS Band II	1.27		2.00
		CDMA 1900	1.25		1.98

Table 6-2: Highest Mobile Hotspot SAR values for the worst case configuration

Note 1: If sum of 1 g SAR < 1.6 W/kg, Simultaneous SAR measurement is not required. **Note 2:** If sum of 1 g SAR > 1.6 W/kg, ratio of SAR to peak separation distance for pair of transmitters calculated.

This report shall <u>NOT</u> be reproduced except in full without the written consent of BlackBerry RTS Copyright 2005-2014, BlackBerry RTS, a division of BlackBerry Limited

SeckBerry	Document Partial SAR Compliance T Smartphone RFM121LW	Page 12(14)		
Author Data	Dates of Test	Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC ID
Andrew Becker	March 26-28, 2014	RTS-6026-1404-02	L6ARFM120LW	
	Dec. 10-12, 2014	Kev 2		

		Highest 1 g SAR				
			Hotspot Coordinatos mm (x, y, z)			
Antenna	Position	SAR Zoom 1g	X [mm] Y [mm] Z [mm]			
Antenna 1 (802 11 a)	MHS 10mm device back	0.73	-36.0	-53.0	-208.2	
Antenna 2 (LTE Band 4)	MHS 10mm, device back	1.43	-36.5	42.5	-209.0	
	SAR Sum	2.16				
	SAR Sum to the power of 1.5	3.17				
	Delta [mm]		0.5	-95.5	0.8	
	closest Distance [mm]					95.50
	Ratio	0.03				
Antenna	Position	SAR Zoom 1g	X [mm]	Y [mm]	Z [mm]	
Antenna 1 (802.11 a)	MHS 10mm, device back	0.73	-36.0	-53.0	-208.2	
Antenna 2 (UMTS Band II)	MHS 10mm, device back	1.27	-0.5	48.5	-207.7	
	SAR Sum	2.00				
	SAR Sum to the power of 1.5	2.83				
	Delta [mm]		-35.5	-101.5	-0.5	
	closest Distance [mm]					107.52
	Ratio	0.03				
Antonno	Position	SAP Zoom 1g	V [mm]	V [mm]	7 [mm]	
Antenna $1(802.11.a)$	MHS 10mm device back	0 72	-36.0	-53.0	2 [IIIII] _208 2	
Antenna 2 (CDMA $950 PCO$)	MHS 10mm dovice back	1.05	-30.0	-55.0	-200.2 209 E	
		1.05	-24.3	50.5	-200.5	
	SAR Sum	1.78				
	SAR Sum to the power of 1.5	2.37				
	Delta (mm)		-11.5	-89.5	0.3	
	closest Distance [mm]				0.0	90.24
				1		
	Ratio	0.03				

SeckBerry	Document Partial SAR Compliance T Smartphone RFM121LW	Page 13(14)		
Author Data	Dates of Test	Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC ID
Andrew Becker	March 26-28, 2014	RTS-6026-1404-02	L6ARFM120LW	
	Dec. 10-12, 2014	Rev 2		

Antenna	Position	SAR Zoom 1g	X [mm]	Y [mm]	Z [mm]	
Antenna 1 (802.11 a)	MHS 10mm, device back	0.73	-36.0	-53.0	-208.2	
Antenna 3 (CDMA 1900 BC0) MHS 10mm, device back		1.25	-39.5	39.5	-208.1	
	SAR Sum	1.98				
	SAR Sum to the power of 1.5	2.79				
	Delta [mm]		3.5	-92.5	-0.1	
	closest Distance [mm]					92.57
	Ratio	0.03				

Table 6.3: WiFi (2.4 GHz) Mobile Hotspot configuration ratio of SAR to peak separation distance for pair of transmitters

Note 3: If the ratio of SAR to peak separation distance is ≤ 0.04 , Simultaneous SAR measurement is not required.

BlackBerry	Partial SAR Compliance Smartphone RFM121LV	Page 14(14)		
Author Data	Dates of Test	Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC ID
Andrew Becker	March 26-28, 2014 Dec. 10-12, 2014	RTS-6026-1404-02 Rev 2	L6ARFM120LW	

7.0 REFERENCES

[1] DASY 5 DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT SYSTEM SOFTWARE MANUAL, Schmid & Partner Engineering AG.

[2] FCC 47 CRF Part 2.1093, Radiofrequency radiation exposure evaluation: portable devices. June 18, 2014.

[3] FCC 96-326, Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radio-Frequency Radiation.

[4] Health Canada, Safety Code 6, 2009: Limits of Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields in the Frequency range from 3 kHz to 300 GHz.

[5] IEEE C95.1-1992, IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz.

[6] IEEE C95.3-2002, IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields – RF and Microwave.

[7] IEEE 1528-2013: Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques.

[8] KDB 248227 D01 v01r02, May 2007: FCC OET SAR Measurement Procedures for 802.11 a/b/g Transmitters.

[9] KDB 447498 D01 v05r02, Feb 2014: FCC OET Mobile and Portable Devices RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies.

[10] KDB 648474 D04 v01r02, Dec 2013: FCC OET SAR Evaluation Considerations for Wireless Handsets.

[11]KDB 865664 D01 v01r03, Feb 2014: FCC OET SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz.

[12] KDB 865664 D02 v01r01, May 2013: FCC OET RF Exposure Compliance Reporting and Documentation Considerations.

[13] KDB 941225 D01 v03r00, Oct 2014: FCC OET 3G SAR Measurement Procedures.

[14] KDB 941225 D05 v02r03, Dec 2013: FCC OET SAR Evaluation Considerations for LTE Devices.

[15] KDB 941225 D05A v01r01, Aug 2014: FCC OET Rel.10 LTE SAR Test Guidance and KDB Inquires.

[16] KDB 941225 D06 v02r00, Oct 2014: FCC OET SAR Evaluation Procedures for Portable Devices with Wireless Router Capabilities.

[17] 3GPP TS 36.521-1 V10.0.0 (2011-12): Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA); User Equipment (UE) conformance specification; Radio transmission and reception; Part 1: Conformance testing.

[18] RSS-102, issue 4-2010: Evaluation Procedure for Mobile and Portable Radio Transmitters with respect to Health Canada's Safety Code 6 for Exposure of Humans to Radio Frequency Fields.

[19] ICNIRP, International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (2009), Guidelines for limiting exposure in time-varying electric, magnetic, and electromagnetic fields (up to 300 GHz).

[20] IEC 62209-1, First Edition-2005: Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures –Part 1: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz).

[21] IEC 62209-2, Edition 1.0-2010: Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and bodymount wireless communication devices – Human Models, instrumentation, and procedures - part 2 procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz).