Hearing Aid Compatibility **RF Emissions Test Report**

Testing Lab:

Applicant:

RIM Testing Services (RTS) 305 Phillip Street Waterloo, Ontario Canada N2L 3W8 Phone: 519-888-7465 Fax: 519-880-8173

Research In Motion Limited 295 Phillip Street Waterloo, Ontario Canada N2L 3W8 Phone: 519-888-7465 Fax: 519-888-6906 Web site: www.rim.com

Statement of RIM Testing Services (RTS) declares that the product was tested in accordance Compliance: with the appropriate measurement standards, guidelines and recommended practices.

> This wireless portable device has been shown to be in compliance with FCC 20.19 (10-1-05 Edition), Hearing Aid-Compatible Mobile Handsets and FCC Public Notice DA 06-1215 (June 6, 2006).

Tested by:

Signatures

Date

Shahriar Ninad **Compliance Specialist**

Stork Daond Attagi P. I. J. Carting

16-July-2007

16-July-2007

Tested & documented by:

Daoud Attavi Senior Compliance Specialist

Reveiwed & approved by:

Paul G. Cardinal, Ph.D. **Director, RIM Testing Services**

25-June-2007

This Rev1 test report supersedes the previous version RTS-0671-0706-11 dated June 13, 2007.

RTS RIM Testing Services	Document Hearing Aid Compatibili BlackBerry® Smartphone	ty RF Emissions Test Report e model RBN41GW	for the	Page 2(27)
Author Data	Dates of Test Report No FCC ID			
Daoud Attayi	fune 01-05, 2007 RTS-0671-0706-11 Rev1 L6ARBN40GW			V

CONTENTS

1.0 Introduction	<u>3</u>
2.0 Applicable references	4
3.0 Equipment unit tested	5
3.1 Picture of Handheld	5
3.2 Handheld description	5
3.3 Batteries	5
3.4 Antenna description	6
4.0 List of test equipment	
5.2 Near-Field RF Emission	. 11
5.3 Wireless Device Audio Band Magnetic Signal Test	. 13
6.0 Summary of results	. 14
6.1 Conclusion	. 16
7.0 Measurement uncertainty	. 17
7.1 Site-Specific Uncertainty	

Annex A: Measurement plots and data

- A.1 Spectrum analyser plots: CW, 80% AM and GSM signals
- A.2 Dipole validation and probe modulation factor plots Justification of step size and interpolation
- A.3 RF emission field plots
- Annex B: Probe and dipole descriptions and calibration certificates
- B.1 Probe and measurement chain descriptions and specifications
- B.2 Probe and dipole calibration certificates

Annex C: Test set up photos

RTS RIM Testing Services	Document Hearing Aid Compatibilit BlackBerry® Smartphone	y RF Emissions Test Report e model RBN41GW	for the	Page 3(27)
Author Data	Dates of Test Report No FCC ID			
Daoud Attayi	June 01-05, 2007 RTS-0671-0706-11 Rev1 L6ARBN40GW			V

1.0 Introduction

This test report documents the measurement of the near electric and magnetic fields generated by a wireless communication device in the region where a hearing aid would be used. The measurement procedures of ANSI C63.19-2006 were followed along with the guidance provided by the FCC at the May 2005 TCBC workshop with the document "Hearing Aid Compatibility: RF Emissions Measurements TCB Review Guidance, 12 May 2005" and Oct. 2006.

The electric and magnetic fields from a wireless device are scanned using a SPEAG DASY4 automated system with HAC extension and free-space probes (ER3DVx and H3DVx) in a 5cm x 5cm area, 10mm above the wireless device's acoustic output and the nearest point of the probe element. The area is divided into 9 sub-grids and the maximum values of the electrical and a magnetic field scans are evaluated automatically according to the rules defined in the standard and the device is assigned a certain category. Should the wireless device's maximum T-Coil output occur in a location other than the centre of acoustic output, then the RF field scans are repeated with the measurement area centered on the maximum T-Coil output location.

The DASY4 HAC Extension consists of the following parts: the Test Arch phantom, three validation dipoles, dipole and DUT holders, magnetic and electric field probes and DASY4 software. The field probes and measurement electronics are described in Annex B.1.

The specially designed Test Arch allows high precision positioning of both the device and any of the validation dipoles. The broadband dipoles are calibrated at a single frequency and are used for system performance checks.

In order to correlate the usability of a hearing aid with a wireless device (WD), the WD's radio frequency (RF) and audio band emissions are measured. ANSI C63.19 requires:

• Radio frequency (RF) measurements of the near-field electric and magnetic fields emitted by a WD in the vicinity of the audio output to categorize these emissions for correlation with the RF immunity of the microphone mode of operation of a hearing aid.

• Audio frequency magnetic field measurements of a WD emitted in the vicinity of the audio output to categorize these emissions for correlation with the T-Coil mode of operation of a hearing aid.

Hence, the following measurements are made for the WDs:

- 1. RF E-Field emissions.
- 2. RF H-Field emissions.
- 3. T-Coil mode, magnetic signal strength in the audio band.
- 4. T-Coil mode, magnetic signal and noise articulation index.

5. T-Coil mode, magnetic signal frequency response through the audio band.

6. RF T-Coil environment: The worst case M rating from E or H field 5x5 cm scan centered at the axial T-coil highest peak location.

RTS RIM Testing Services	Document Hearing Aid Compatibilit BlackBerry® Smartphone	y RF Emissions Test Report model RBN41GW	for the	Page 4(27)
Author Data	Dates of Test Report No FCC ID			
Daoud Attayi	une 01-05, 2007 RTS-0671-0706-11 Rev1 L6ARBN40GW			V

2.0 Applicable references

[1] ANSI C63.19-2006, American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless Communication Devices and Hearing Aids.

- [2] FCC 47CFR § 20.19 (10-1-05 Edition), Hearing Aid-Compatible Mobile Handsets.
- [3] FCC Public Notice DA 06-1215 (June 6, 2006).
- [4] SPEAG DASY4 V4.7 user manual, June 2006.
- [5] Hearing Aid Compatibility: RF Emissions Measurements TCB Review Guidance, 12 May 2005.
- [6] FCC Hearing Aid Compatibility Guidance, Oct. 2006.

RTS RIM Testing Services	Document Hearing Aid Compatil BlackBerry® Smartpho	ility RF Emissions Test Report one model RBN41GW	for the	Page 5(27)	
Author Data	Dates of Test	Report No	FCC ID		
Daoud Attayi	June 01-05, 2007	1			

3.0 Equipment unit tested

3.1 Picture of device

Please refer to Annex C.

Figure 1. BlackBerry® Smartphone

3.2 Device description

Device Model	RBN41GW				
FCC ID	L6ARBN40GW				
	*** 205E10F1	(RBN41GW);	205E3FCC		
PIN Number	(RBJ41GW)				
Prototype or Production Unit	Production				
Mode(s) of Operation	* GSM 850	GSM 1900	** Bluetooth		
Nominal Maximum conducted RF	33.0 dBm	30.5 dBm	- 0.5 dBm		
Output Power			- 0.5 ubm		
Tolerance in Power Setting on	± 0.50 dB	± 0.50 dB			
centre channel	± 0.50 dB	± 0.50 GD	N/A		
Duty Cycle	1:8	1:8	N/A		
Transmitting Frequency Range	824.2 -	1850.2 –			
(MHz)	848.8	1909.8	2402-2483		

Table 1. Test device characterization

RTS-0671-0706-11 Rev1 is a revised report with added PIN number, test data and plots for each model tested.

* GSM/EDGE 2-slots bands were not evaluated for HAC since peak RF power is lower by 2 dB in these modes.

** For this product, a headset is the only Bluetooth application. Therefore, HAC RF Emission or Audio Band Magnetic (ABM) T-Coil testing are not applicable to Bluetooth.

*** The two models are identical; please refer to the declaration of conformity RTS-0671_RBN41GW_RBJ41GW_05.doc for more detail. RBJ41GW was fully tested and RBN41GW was spot checked.

3.3 Batteries

1. BAT-06860-003

RTS RIM Testing Services	Document Hearing Aid Compatil BlackBerry® Smartph	oility RF Emissions Test Report one model RBN41GW	for the	Page 6(27)	
Author Data	Dates of Test	Report No	FCC ID		
Daoud Attayi	June 01-05, 2007	me 01-05, 2007 RTS-0671-0706-11 Rev1 L6ARBN40GW			

3.4 Antenna description

Туре	Internal fixed antenna
Location	Bottom back centre
Configuration	Internal fixed antenna

Table 2. Antenna description

4.0 List of test equipment

Manufacturer	Test Equipment	Model Number	Serial Number	Calibration Due Date
SCHMID & Partner Engineering AG	Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE3)	DAE3 V1	472	03/07/2008
SCHMID & Partner Engineering AG	3-Dimensional E- Field Probe for Near-Field	ER3DV6	2286	01/10/2008
SCHMID & Partner Engineering AG	3-Dimensional H- Field Probe for Near-Field	H3DV6	6105	11/15/2007
Rohde & Schwarz	Wireless Communication Test Set	CMU200	109747	11/29/2007
Agilent Technolgies	Spectrum Analyzer	8563E	3745A08112	09/20/2007
Agilent Technologies	Signal generator	8360B	3844A00927	09/28/2007
Agilent Technologies	Power meter	E4419B	GB40202821	11/27/2007
Agilent Technologies	Power sensor	8481A	MY41095417	09/15/2007
Amplifier Research	Amplifier	5S1G4M3	300986	CNR
SCHMID & Partner Engineering AG	Validation Dipole	CD835V3	1011	12/05/2007
SCHMID & Partner Engineering AG	Validation Dipole	CD1880V3	1008	12/06/2007

Table 3. List of test equipment

RTS RIM Testing Services	Document Hearing Aid Compatibilit BlackBerry® Smartphone	y RF Emissions Test Report e model RBN41GW	for the	Page 7(27)
Author Data	Dates of Test Report No FCC ID			
Daoud Attayi	June 01-05, 2007 RTS-0671-0706-11 Rev1 L6ARBN40GW			N

5.0 Measurement procedures

5.1 System Validation

The test setup should be validated when first configured and verified periodically thereafter to ensure proper function. The procedure consists of two parts: dipole validation and determination of probe modulation factor.

5.1.1 Dipole Validation

The HAC validation dipole antenna serves as a known source for an electrical and magnetic RF output. Figure 2 shows the setup used for the dipole validation.

1. The dipole antenna was placed in the position normally occupied by the WD.

2. The dipole was energized with a 20 dBm un-modulated continuous-wave signal.

3. The length of the dipole was scanned with both E-field and H-field probes and the maximum value for each scan was recorded.

4. The readings were compared with the values provided by the probe manufacturer and were found to agree within the allowed tolerance of 10%. Please refer to Annex A.2 for Dipole Validation Plots.




Figure 2: Dipole Validation Procedure

5.1.2 RF Field Probe Modulation Factor

The Probe Modulation Factor (PMF) characterizes the responses of the E-field and H-field probes and their instrumentation chain to a modulated signal. The PMF is the ratio of the responses to fields produced by CW and modulated signals having equal peak amplitude.

Three test cases are recommended. The real or emulated WD (Wireless device) modulated signal, an unmodulated (CW) and an 80% AM RF signal shall be used for each relevant

RTS RIM Testing Services	Document Hearing Aid Compatibilit BlackBerry® Smartphone	y RF Emissions Test Report model RBN41GW	for the	Page 8(27)
Author Data	Dates of Test			
Daoud Attayi	Iune 01-05, 2007 RTS-0671-0706-11 Rev1 L6ARBN40GW			V

frequency band. Each of the test cases below shall be measured with both $\mathsf{E}_$ and $\mathsf{H}_$ Field probe.

a) Measurement of real and emulated signal

• Set a WD or emulated signal source to apply full power into the reference dipole.

• Measure both the peak and average input power applied to the antenna and record the values using the following test instructions for measuring the RF interference of a modulated signal. Spectrum analyzer set up:

RBW ≥ emission bandwidth

Video Bandwidth ≥ 20 KHz

Span: Zero

Center Frequency: Nominal center of frequency channel

Amplitude: Linear (logarithmic scale may be used)

Detection: Peak detection

Trigger: Video or IF trigger

Sweep rate: sufficiently rapid to permit the complete pulse to be resolved accurately.

• Using near-field measurement system, scan the antenna over the appropriately sized area and record the greatest average power reading observed.

b) Measurement of CW and AM

• Set the RF signal generator for CW or 80 % AM and set the output power so the peak power applied to the antenna is equal to that recorded for the real or emulated signal using the WD modulation format.

• Measure both the peak and average input power applied to the antenna and record these values. Calculate the peak to average power ratio (PAR). The PAR for the CW signal should be 0.0 dB and 3.9 dB for the AM signal with 80% modulation depth from each other and the peak should be that amount above the target values.

The PMF was calculated for the following signals: 80% AM and the modulated signal produced by the WD. The PMF measurement was performed with the field probe and instrumentation that will be used together during RF emissions measurements. Once calculated, the PMF was entered into the DASY software and applied to the measured modulated fields of the specified type.

ANSI C63.19 outlines the following alternate procedure as one method for determining probe modulation factor:

1. Fix the field probe in a set location relative to a field generating device, such as the reference dipole antenna, as illustrated in Figure 2.

2. Illuminate the probe using the wireless device connected to the reference dipole with a test signal at the intended measurement frequency, Ensure there is sufficient field coupling between the probe and the antenna so the resulting reading is greater than 10 dB above the probe system noise floor but within the systems operating range.

3. Record the amplitude applied to the antenna during transmission and the field strength measured by the E-field probe located near the tip of the dipole antenna.

RTS RIM Testing Services	Document Hearing Aid Compatibilit BlackBerry® Smartphone	ty RF Emissions Test Report e model RBN41GW	for the	Page 9(27)
Author Data	Dates of Test Report No FCC ID			
Daoud Attayi	June 01-05, 2007 RTS-0671-0706-11 Rev1 L6ARBN40GW			N

4. Replace the wireless device with an RF signal generator producing an unmodulated CW signal and set to the wireless device operating frequency.

5. Set the amplitude of the unmodulated signal to equal that recorded from the wireless device.

6. Record the reading of the probe measurement system of the unmodulated signal.

7. The ratio, in linear units, of the probe reading in step 6 to the reading in step 3 is the E-field modulation factor.

8 Repeat the above using the H-field probe, except locate the probe at the center of the dipole.

Please refer to Annex A.1 for 0 Hz-span spectrum analyzer plots. The signal generator was used to generate the CW and AM signals. The WD was used to generate the modulated signal.

Please refer to Annex A.2 for probe modulation factor measurement plots.

RTS RIM Testing Services	Document Hearing Aid Compatibilit BlackBerry® Smartphone	y RF Emissions Test Report model RBN41GW	for the	Page 10(27)
Author Data	Dates of Test	Report No	FCC ID	
Daoud Attayi	June 01-05, 2007	RTS-0671-0706-11 Rev1	L6ARBN40GV	V

The results of the dipole validation and probe modulation factor measurements are shown in Table 4.

f (MHz)	Signal Type	Peak Envelop Power (dBm)	Measured E-Field (V/m)	Target E- Field (V/m)	Delta (%)	Mod. Factor Ratio
835	CW	20	171.5	161.6	+6.2	-
835	CW	19.33	152.6	*		-
835	80 % AM	19.33	96.7	*		1.58
835	GSM 850	19.33	54.3	*		2.81
1880	CW	20	131.50	132.4	-0.7	-
1880	CW	16.67	92.10	*		-
1880	80 % AM	16.67	58.60	*		1.57
1880	GSM 1900	16.67	32.00	*		2.88
f (MHz)	Signal Type	Peak Envelop Power (dBm)	Measured H-Field (A/m)	Target H- Field (A/m)	Delta (%)	Mod. Factor Ratio
835	CW	20	0.488	0.446	+9.4	-
835	CW	19.33	0.448	*		-
835	80 % AM	19.33	0.290	*		1.54
835	GSM 850	19.33	0.166	*		2.70
	00111 000					
1880	CW	20	0.459	0.454	+1.1	-
1880 1880		20 16.67	0.459 0.319	0.454 *	+1.1	-
	CW				+1.1	- - 1.55

Table 4: Dipole Validation and Modulation Factors

* Neither ANSI C63.19 nor the probe manufacturer provides target values for AM and WD signals. The only available target values are for 20dBm CW signal.

5.1.3.1 Calculation of the Probe Modulation Factor

Probe Modulation Factor = Measured E or H-Field (CW) / Measured E or H-Field (Modulated)

Example: E-Field Probe Modulation Factor for GSM 1900 = 92.10 / 32.0 = 2.88

RTS RIM Testing Services	Document Hearing Aid Compatibilit BlackBerry® Smartphone	y RF Emissions Test Report e model RBN41GW	for the	Page 111(27)
Author Data	Dates of Test	Report No	FCC ID	
Daoud Attayi	June 01-05, 2007	RTS-0671-0706-11 Rev1	L6ARBN40GV	N

5.2 Near-Field RF Emission

The following procedure was used to measure RF near E-field and H-field emissions:

1. Proper operation of the field probe, probe measurement system, other instrumentation, and the positioning system was confirmed.

2. The WD was oriented in its intended test position with the reference plane in the horizontal plane (see Figure 4), and was secured in the device holder to maintain position accuracy.

3. A CMU 200 Base Station Simulator was used to place a normal voice call to the WD on the desired channel and to transmit at maximum power.

4. The DASY4 system measures power drift as part of each scan. If the power during a scan drifted by more than 0.20 dB, the scan was repeated. Power drift measurements for the worst-case scans are included in Annex A.3. A fully charged battery was used for each test.

5. The 5cm x 5cm measurement grid was centered on the center of the acoustic output or the T-Coil output, as appropriate. The field probe was located at the initial position at the center of the measurement grid.

6. A surface verification was performed before each setup change to ensure repeatable spacing and proper maintenance of the measurement plane.

7. The electric field probe, and separately the magnetic field probe, was used to measure the highest field strength in the 5cm x 5cm reference plane.

8. The entire 5cm x 5cm region was scanned with a 5mm step size. The reading was recorded at each measurement location. Justification of the step size and interpolation used is provided at the end of Annex A.2.

9. Around the center sub-grid, five contiguous sub-grids were identified with the lowest maximum field strength readings. Please note that a maximum of five sub-grids can be excluded for both E-and H-field measurements.

10. The highest field reading was identified within the non-excluded sub-grids

11. The highest field reading was converted from average to peak V/m or A/m, as appropriate. This conversion was done by the DASY4 SEMCAD processor after entering correct PMF.

12. Once the worst-case configuration was determined, the WD was tested with second source battery.

13. In the worst-case configuration, the probe was rotated 360° about the azimuth axis at the location of the highest field strength in the included blocks. The peak reading from this rotation was recorded and the maximum field was recalculated.

14. The highest peak reading was compared to the categories defined in C63.19 using the appropriate AWF (see Tables 5 and 6 in this report).

- If a WD has more than one antenna position, it is necessary to test the WD only in the condition of maximum antenna efficiency, i.e. antenna extended.
- The WD's backlight shuts off automatically a short time after a call is established.

RTS RIM Testing Services	Document Hearing Aid Compatibilit BlackBerry® Smartphone	y RF Emissions Test Report model RBN41GW	for the	Page 12(27)
Author Data	Dates of Test	Report No	FCC ID	
Daoud Attayi	June 01-05, 2007	RTS-0671-0706-11 Rev1	L6ARBN40GW	

Table 5 shows the ANSI C63.19 M-rating categories for Wireless Device RF emissions. Table 6 outlines the Articulation Weighting Factors for various cellular technologies.

Category		Telephone RF Parameters < 960 MHz							
Near Field	AWF	E-Field Emis	E-Field Emissions		sions				
Category M1/T1	0	631.0 to 1122.0	V/m	1.91 to 3.39	A/m				
	-5	473.2 to 841.4	V/m	1.43 to 2.54	A/m				
Category M2/T2	0	354.8 to 631.0	V/m	1.07 to 1.91	A/m				
	-5	266.1 to 473.2	V/m	0.80 to 1.43	A/m				
Category M3/T3	0	199.5 to 354.8	V/m	0.60 to 1.07	A/m				
	-5	149.6 to 266.1	V/m	0.45 to 0.80	A/m				
Category M4/T4	0	< 199.5	V/m	< 0.60	A/m				
	-5	< 149.6	V/m	< 0.45	A/m				

Category		Telephone RF Parameters > 960 MHz						
Near Field	AWF	E-Field Emis	sions	H-Field Emissions				
Category M1/T1	0	199.5 to 354.8	V/m	0.60 to 1.07	A/m			
	-5	149.6 to 266.1	V/m	0.45 to 0.80	A/m			
Category M2/T2	0	112.2 to 199.5	V/m	0.34 to 0.60	A/m			
	-5	84.1 to 149.6	V/m	0.25 to 0.45	A/m			
Category M3/T3	0	63.1 to 112.2	V/m	0.19 to 0.34	A/m			
	-5	47.3 to 84.1	V/m	0.14 to 0.25	A/m			
Category M4/T4	0	<63.1	V/m	<0.19	A/m			
	-5	<47.3	V/m	<0.14	A/m			

Table 5: Wireless Device near-field categories

Standard	Technology	AWF (dB)
TIA/EIA/IS-2000	СДМА	0
TIA/EIA-136 J-STD-007	TDMA (50 Hz) GSM (217)	0 -5
T1/T1P1/3GPP iDEN TM	UMTS (WCDMA) TDMA (22 and 11 Hz)	0

Table 6: Articulation Weighting Factor (AWF)

RTS RIM Testing Services	Document Hearing Aid Compatibili BlackBerry® Smartphon	ty RF Emissions Test Report e model RBN41GW	for the	Page 13(27)
Author Data	Dates of Test	Report No	FCC ID	
Daoud Attayi	June 01-05, 2007	RTS-0671-0706-11 Rev1	L6ARBN40GW	

Figures 3 and 4 show the orientation of the WD in the reference plane.




Figure 3: WD reference plane for RF emission measurements Figure 4: HAC Phantom/Test Arch

5.3 Wireless Device Audio Band Magnetic Signal Test

Please refer to the report number RTS-0671-0706-12 for the HAC T-Coil test data and compliance.

RTS RIM Testing Services	Document Hearing Aid Compatibilit BlackBerry® Smartphone	ty RF Emissions Test Report e model RBN41GW	for the	Page 14(27)
Author Data	Dates of Test	Report No	FCC ID	
Daoud Attayi	June 01-05, 2007	RTS-0671-0706-11 Rev1	L6ARBN40GV	V

6.0 Summary of results

Table 7 shows the results of the RF E-Field emissions tests. The worst-case result is highlighted.

	Wireless Device: BlackBerry® smartphone – Model: RBN41GW						
			R	F Emissions ⁻	Fest		
Mode	f (MHz)	Cond. Pwr. (dBm)	Peak E- Field (V/m)	PIN of device tested	Peak E- Field after 360° Rotatio n* (V/m)	Centered at mid Speaker or T-Coil	M- Rating
	824.2	33.2	221.5	205E10F1	-	Speaker	3
	824.2	33.2	210.4	205E3FCC	-	Speaker	3
	836.8	32.9	192.0	205E3FCC	-	Speaker	3
GSM	848.8	32.8	207.1	205E3FCC	-	Speaker	3
850	824.2	-	224.8	205E10F1	-	T-Coil	3
	824.2	-	208.7	205E3FCC	-	T-Coil	3
	836.8	-	195.9	205E3FCC	-	T-Coil	3
	848.8	-	200.4	205E3FCC	-	T-Coil	3
	RF ambie	nt noise	3.24				
	1850.2	30.8	56.1	205E10F1	-	Speaker	3
	1850.2	30.8	79.8	205E3FCC	82.68	Speaker	3
	1880.0	30.6	69.6	205E3FCC	-	Speaker	3
GSM	1909.8	30.6	65.2	205E3FCC	-	Speaker	3
1900	1850.2	-	56.0	205E10F1		T-Coil	3
1300	1850.2	-	79.5	205E3FCC	-	T-Coil	3
	1880.0	-	69.8	205E3FCC	-	T-Coil	3
	1909.8	-	64.9	205E3FCC	-	T-Coil	3
	RF ambie	nt noise	2.75				
			Overall M	-Rating:			М3

Table 7 – E-Field Data Summary

* In accordance with the TCB guidance, the probe was rotated 360° in the worst-case configuration. The rotation was performed at the location of maximum field strength in the included blocks. This location was found by exporting the data from the worst-case scan to a spreadsheet and finding the point of highest field strength in the non-excluded blocks. The robot then moved the probe to the coordinates of this point to do the rotation.

RTS RIM Testing Services	Document Hearing Aid Compatibili BlackBerry® Smartphone	ty RF Emissions Test Report e model RBN41GW	for the	Page 15(27)	
Author Data	Dates of Test	Report No	FCC ID		
Daoud Attayi	June 01-05, 2007	RTS-0671-0706-11 Rev1	L6ARBN40GW		

Table 8 shows the results of the RF H-Field emissions tests. The worst-case result is highlighted.

Wireless Device: BlackBerry® smartphone – Model: RBN41GW								
	RF Emissions Test							
Mode	f (MHz)	Cond. Power (dBm)	Peak H-Field (A/m)	PIN of device tested	Peak H- Field after 360° Rotation* (A/m)	Center at mid Speaker or T- Coil	M- Rating	
	824.2	33.2	0.40	205E3FCC	-	Speaker	4	
	836.8	32.9	0.41	205E3FCC	-	Speaker	4	
	848.8	32.8	0.41	205E3FCC	-	Speaker	4	
GSM	848.8	33.2	0.40	205E10F1		Speaker	4	
850	824.2	-	0.39	205E3FCC	-	T-Coil	4	
	836.8	-	0.39	205E3FCC	-	T-Coil	4	
	848.8	-	0.40	205E3FCC	-	T-Coil	4	
	RF ambie	nt noise	0.02					
	1850.2	30.8	0.21	205E3FCC	-	Speaker	3	
	1880.0	30.6	0.19	205E3FCC	-	Speaker	3	
GSM	1909.8	30.6	0.18	205E3FCC	-	Speaker	3	
1900	1850.2	-	0.22	205E3FCC	0.23	T-Coil	3	
	1880.0	-	0.19	205E3FCC	-	T-Coil	3	
	1909.8	-	0.18	205E3FCC	-	T-Coil	3	
RF ambient noise			0.01					
			Overal	I M-Rating:			M3	

Table 8 – H-Field Data Summary

* In accordance with the TCB guidance, the probe was rotated 360° in the worst-case configuration. The rotation was performed at the location of maximum field strength in the included blocks. This location was found by exporting the data from the worst-case scan to a spreadsheet and finding the point of highest field strength in the non-excluded blocks. The robot then moved the probe to the coordinates of this point to do the rotation.

RTS RIM Testing Services	Document Hearing Aid Compatibil BlackBerry® Smartphor	ity RF Emissions Test Report ie model RBN41GW	for the	Page 16(27)
Author Data	Dates of Test	Report No	FCC ID	
Daoud Attayi	June 01-05, 2007 RTS-0671-0706-11 Rev1 L6ARBN40GW			V

6.1 Conclusion

.

The BlackBerry® smartphone Model Number RBN41GW is categorized to be M3T3 based on RF emission and Audio Band Magnetic (ABM) T-Coil performance in accordance with ANSI C63.19-2006: American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless Communication Devices and Hearing Aids.

Therefore, the handheld is found to be in compliance with the requirements of FCC 20.19 (10-1-05 Edition) Hearing Aid-Compatible Mobile Handsets as modified by FCC Public Notice DA 06-1215 (Released: June 6, 2006).

RTS RIM Testing Services	Document Hearing Aid Compatib BlackBerry® Smartpho	ility RF Emissions Test Report one model RBN41GW	for the	Page 17(27)	
Author Data	Dates of Test	Report No	FCC ID		
Daoud Attayi	June 01-05, 2007	une 01-05, 2007 RTS-0671-0706-11 Rev1 L6ARBN40GW			

7.0 Measurement uncertainty

Table 9 outlines the measurement uncertainty for the SPEAG DASY4 measurement system.

HAC Uncertainty Budget According to ANSI C63.19 [1]							
	Uncertainty	Prob.	Div.	(c_i)	(c_i)	Std. Unc.	Std. Unc.
Error Description	value	Dist.		Е	Н	Е	Н
Measurement System Probe Calibration	$\pm 5.1\%$	N	1	1	1	$\pm 5.1\%$	$\pm 5.1\%$
	$\pm 3.1 \%$ $\pm 4.7 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 3.1 \%$ $\pm 2.7 \%$	$\pm 3.1 \%$ $\pm 2.7 \%$
Axial Isotropy				-	-		
Sensor Displacement	$\pm 16.5\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.145	$\pm 9.5\%$	$\pm 1.4\%$
Boundary Effects	$\pm 2.4\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.4 %	$\pm 1.4\%$
Linearity	$\pm4.7~\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 2.7\%$	$\pm 2.7\%$
Scaling to Peak Envelope Power	$\pm 2.0\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 1.2\%$	$\pm 1.2\%$
System Detection Limit	$\pm 1.0~\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 0.6\%$	$\pm 0.6\%$
Readout Electronics	$\pm 0.3\%$	Ν	1	1	1	$\pm 0.3\%$	$\pm 0.3\%$
Response Time	$\pm 0.8\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 0.5\%$	$\pm 0.5\%$
Integration Time	$\pm 2.6\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 1.5\%$	$\pm 1.5\%$
RF Ambient Conditions	$\pm 3.0\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 1.7\%$	$\pm 1.7~\%$
RF Reflections	$\pm 12.0\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 6.9\%$	$\pm 6.9\%$
Probe Positioner	$\pm 1.2\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.67	$\pm 0.7\%$	$\pm 0.5\%$
Probe Positioning	$\pm 4.7 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.67	$\pm 2.7\%$	$\pm 1.8\%$
Extrap. and Interpolation	$\pm 1.0~\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 0.6\%$	$\pm 0.6\%$
Test Sample Related							
Device Positioning Vertical	$\pm4.7~\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.67	$\pm 2.7\%$	$\pm 1.8\%$
Device Positioning Lateral	$\pm 1.0~\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 0.6\%$	$\pm 0.6\%$
Device Holder and Phantom	$\pm 2.4\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.4 %	$\pm 1.4\%$
Power Drift	$\pm 5.0\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 2.9\%$	$\pm 2.9\%$
Phantom and Setup Related							
Phantom Thickness	$\pm 2.4\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.67	$\pm 1.4~\%$	$\pm 0.9\%$
Combined Std. Uncertainty						$\pm 14.7\%$	$\pm 10.9\%$
Expanded Std. Uncertainty o						$\pm 29.4\%$	$\pm 21.8\%$
Expanded Std. Uncertainty of	n Field					$\pm 14.7~\%$	$\pm 10.9\%$

Table 9. Worst-Case uncertainty budget for HAC free field assessment according to ANSI C63.19.

[1] The budget is valid for the frequency range 800 MHz - 3 GHz and represents a worst-case analysis.

RTS RIM Testing Services	Document Hearing Aid Compatibili BlackBerry® Smartphon	ty RF Emissions Test Report e model RBN41GW	for the	Page 18(27)
Author Data	Dates of Test	Report No	FCC ID	
Daoud Attayi	June 01-05, 2007 RTS-0671-0706-11 Rev1 L6ARBN40GW			V

7.1 Site-Specific Uncertainty

RF Reflections

Section 4.2 of ANSI C63.19 requires that any RF reflecting objects are a minimum distance of 2 wavelengths away from the WD under test. For this WD, the longest wavelength occurs when the WD is transmitting at 824.7MHz. The wavelength is:

$$\lambda = \frac{c}{f} = \frac{3 \cdot 10^8 \, m/s}{824.7 MHz} = 0.364 m$$

Therefore, 2 wavelengths result in a distance of 0.73m. Tests are performed in an RF shielded chamber. The distance to the nearest wall is > 1m and the distance to the robot's safety guardrail is ~ 1.0 m, both satisfying the requirement. In addition, RF absorbing cones are placed at the base of the robot to further reduce reflections. The HAC phantom arch is made of low dielectric constant plastic and should not be a source of reflections.




Figure 5: DASY4 system with absorbing material

RTS RIM Testing Services	Document Hearing Aid Compatibilit BlackBerry® Smartphone	y RF Emissions Test Report model RBN41GW	for the	Page 19(27)
Author Data	Dates of Test	Report No	FCC ID	
Daoud Attayi	June 01-05, 2007	RTS-0671-0706-11 Rev1	L6ARBN40GV	V

Environmental Conditions

During measurements, the temperature of the test lab was kept between 21° C and 25° C and relative humidity was maintained between 20% and 55%.

Ambient Noise

ANSI C63.19 standard requires ambient noise to be at least 20dB below the measurement level. Scans of ambient fields were performed for verification. The ambient E-Field level was determined to be 2.75V/m and H-field level of 0.01A/m at 1900 MHz. The ambient noise was determined to be ~ 25 or 27 dB below the lowest measured value in 1900 MHz band.

In addition, the ambient noise was measured at 835 MHz and the plots are as follow:

RTS RIM Testing Services	Document Hearing Aid Compatibili BlackBerry® Smartphon	ty RF Emissions Test Report e model RBN41GW	for the	Page 20(27)
Author Data	Dates of Test	Report No	FCC ID	
Daoud Attayi	June 01-05, 2007 RTS-0671-0706-11 Rev1 L6ARBN40GW			V

Date/Time: 04/06/2007 10:08:44 AM

Test Laboratory: RTS

HAC_E_RF_Ambient_1900MHz

DUT: N/A; Type: Sample ; Serial: Not Specified

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium parameters used: σ = 0 mho/m, ϵ_r = 1; ρ = 1000 kg/m³ Phantom section: E Device Section


DASY4 Configuration:


- Probe: ER3DV6 SN2286; ConvF(1, 1, 1); Calibrated: 10/01/2007
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn472; Calibrated: 07/03/2007
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 53; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 172

E Scan - ER probe tip 10mm above Device Reference/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (11x11x1): Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm Probe Modulation Factor = 1.00 Reference Value = 1.13 V/m; Power Drift = 0.943 dB Maximum value of Total (measured) = 1.50 V/m

E Scan - ER probe tip 10mm above Device Reference/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (101x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm Maximum value of peak Total field = 2.45 V/m Probe Modulation Factor = 1.00 Reference Value = 1.13 V/m; Power Drift = 0.943 dB Hearing Aid Near-Field Category: M4 (AWF 0 dB)

Peak E-field in V/m				
Grid	Grid	Grid		
2.22	2.75	2.33		
Grid	Grid	Grid		
1.92	2.36	2.13		
Grid	Grid	Grid		







RTS RIM Testing Services		bility RF Emissions Test Report none model RBN41GW	for the	Page 22(27)
Author Data	Dates of Test	Report No	FCC ID	
Daoud Attayi	June 01-05, 2007 RTS-0671-0706-11 Rev1 L6ARBN40GW			

Date/Time: 04/06/2007 8:55:55 AM

Test Laboratory: RTS

HAC_H_RF_Ambient_1900MHz

DUT: N/A; Type: Sample ; Serial: Not Specified

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium parameters used: σ = 0 mho/m, ϵ_r = 1; ρ = 1 kg/m³ Phantom section: H Dipole Section

DASY4 Configuration:


- Probe: H3DV6 SN6105; ; Calibrated: 15/11/2006
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn472; Calibrated: 07/03/2007
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 53; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 172

H Scan - H3DV6 probe tip 10mm above Device Reference/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (11x11x1): Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm Probe Modulation Factor = 1.00 Reference Value = 0.001 A/m; Power Drift = 1.51 dB Maximum value of Total (measured) = 0.003 A/m

H Scan - H3DV6 probe tip 10mm above Device Reference/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (101x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm Maximum value of peak Total field = 0.005 A/m Probe Modulation Factor = 1.00 Reference Value = 0.001 A/m; Power Drift = 1.51 dB Hearing Aid Near-Field Category: M4 (AWF 0 dB)

Peak H-field in A/m				
Grid	Grid	Grid		
0.003	0.005	0.004		
Grid	Grid	Grid		
0.003	0.004	0.004		
Grid	Grid	Grid		





RTS RIM Testing Services		bility RF Emissions Test Report none model RBN41GW	for the	Page 24(27)
Author Data	Dates of Test	Report No	FCC ID	
Daoud Attayi	Interview RTS-0671-0706-11 Rev1 L6ARBN40GW			

Date/Time: 04/06/2007 10:16:29 AM

Test Laboratory: RTS

HAC_E_RF_Ambient_835MHz

DUT: N/A; Type: Sample ; Serial: Not Specified

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium parameters used: σ = 0 mho/m, ϵ_r = 1; ρ = 1000 kg/m³ Phantom section: E Device Section

DASY4 Configuration:


- Probe: ER3DV6 SN2286; ConvF(1, 1, 1); Calibrated: 10/01/2007
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn472; Calibrated: 07/03/2007
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 53; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 172


E Scan - ER probe tip 10mm above Device Reference/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (11x11x1): Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm Probe Modulation Factor = 1.00 Reference Value = 0.941 V/m; Power Drift = 0.390 dB Maximum value of Total (measured) = 1.91 V/m

E Scan - ER probe tip 10mm above Device Reference/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (101x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm Maximum value of peak Total field = 3.24 V/m Probe Modulation Factor = 1.00 Reference Value = 0.941 V/m; Power Drift = 0.390 dB

Hearing Aid Near-Field Category: M4 (AWF 0 dB)

Peak E-field in V/m				
Grid	Grid	Grid		
2.10	1.87	1.96		
Grid	Grid	Grid		
2.61	1.45	1.92		
Grid	Grid	Grid		







RTS RIM Testing Services	Document Hearing Aid Compatik BlackBerry® Smartpho	oility RF Emissions Test Report one model RBN41GW	for the	Page 26(27)
Author Data	Dates of Test	Report No	FCC ID	
Daoud Attayi	June 01-05, 2007 RTS-0671-0706-11 Rev1 L6ARBN40GW			

Date/Time: 04/06/2007 9:02:37 AM

Test Laboratory: RTS

HAC_H_RF_Ambient_835MHz

DUT: N/A; Type: Sample ; Serial: Not Specified

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium parameters used: σ = 0 mho/m, ϵ_r = 1; ρ = 1 kg/m³ Phantom section: H Dipole Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: H3DV6 SN6105; ; Calibrated: 15/11/2006
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn472; Calibrated: 07/03/2007
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 53; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 172

H Scan - H3DV6 probe tip 10mm above Device Reference/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (11x11x1): Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm Probe Modulation Factor = 1.00 Reference Value = 0.004 A/m; Power Drift = -0.942 dB Maximum value of Total (measured) = 0.006 A/m

H Scan - H3DV6 probe tip 10mm above Device Reference/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (101x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm Maximum value of peak Total field = 0.017 A/m Probe Modulation Factor = 1.00 Reference Value = 0.004 A/m; Power Drift = -0.942 dB Hearing Aid Near-Field Category: M4 (AWF 0 dB)

Peak H-field in A/m		
Grid	Grid	Grid
0.008	0.007	0.007
Grid	Grid	Grid
0.009	0.009	0.008
Grid	Grid	Grid



