Amber Helm Development L.C.

92723 Michigan Hwy-152 Sister Lakes, Michigan 49047 USA Tel: 888-847-8027

WACM4-WR2113TX Issued: June 21, 2021

EMC Test Report

regarding

USA: CFR Title 47, Part 18.305 (Emissions) Canada: ISED RSS-216 (Emissions)

for



WACM4

Category: Part 18 Consumer ISM Equipment, RSS-216 Type 3, Cat 1

Judgments: FCC Part 18 and ISED RSS-216v2a1 Compliant Testing Completed: June 21, 2021



Prepared for:

Aptiv Services US, LLC

One Corporate Center, Kokomo Indiana 46904-9005 USA Phone: 765 451 5770, Fax: 765-451-0900 Contact: Brian Johnson, brian.w.johnson@delphi.com

Data Recorded by: unett, EMC-002790-NE

Reviewed by: Gordon Helm, EMC-002401-NE

Prepared by:

Dr. Joseph Brunett, EMC-002790-NE

Date of Issue: June 21, 2021

Revision History

Rev. No.	Date	Details	Revised By
r0	June 21, 2021	Initial Release.	J. Brunett
r1	June 28, 2021	216v2a1: ICES-001 Limits	J. Brunett
r2	June 30, 2021	Fix ICES-001 Limits Tab 2	J. Brunett

Contents

Re	vision History	2										
Та	Table of Contents											
1	Test Report Scope and Limitations 1.1 Laboratory Authorization 1.2 Report Retention 1.3 Subcontracted Testing 1.4 Test Data 1.5 Limitation of Results 1.6 Copyright 1.7 Endorsements 1.8 Test Location 1.9 Traceability and Equipment Used	$ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{array} $										
2	Test Specifications and Procedures 2.1 Test Specification and General Procedures	6 6										
3	Configuration and Identification of the Equipment Under Test 3.1 Description and Declarations 3.1.1 EUT Configuration 3.1.2 Modes of Operation 3.1.3 Variants 3.1.4 Test Samples 3.1.5 Functional Exerciser 3.1.6 Modifications Made 3.1.7 Production Intent 3.1.8 Declared Exemptions and Additional Product Notes	8 8 8 8 8 8 8										
4	Emissions 4.1 General Test Procedures	$ \begin{array}{c} 10\\ 12\\ 12\\ 13\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ \end{array} $										
5	Measurement Uncertainty and Accreditation Documents	16										

5 Measurement Uncertainty and Accreditation Documents

List of Tables

1	Test Site List.
2	Equipment List
3	EUT Declarations
4	Intentional Emission Bandwidth 13
5	Fundamental Radiated Emissions
6	Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions
7	Measurement Uncertainty

List of Figures

1	Photos of EUT.	7
2	EUT Test Configuration Diagram.	8
3	Radiated Emissions Diagram of the EUT.	10
4	Radiated Emissions Test Setup Photograph(s).	11
5	Intentional Emission Bandwidth.	13
6	Accreditation Documents	16

1 Test Report Scope and Limitations

1.1 Laboratory Authorization

Test Facility description and attenuation characteristics are on file with the FCC Laboratory, Columbia, Maryland (FCC Reg. No: US5348 and US5356) and with ISED Canada, Ottawa, ON (File Ref. No: 3161A and 24249). Amber Helm Development L.C. holds accreditation under NVLAP Lab Code 200129-0.

1.2 Report Retention

For equipment verified to comply with the regulations herein, the manufacturer is obliged to retain this report with the product records for the life of the product, and no less than ten years. A copy of this Report will remain on file with this laboratory until July 2031.

1.3 Subcontracted Testing

This report does not contain data produced under subcontract.

1.4 Test Data

This test report contains data included within the laboratories scope of accreditation.

1.5 Limitation of Results

The test results contained in this report relate only to the item(s) tested. Any electrical or mechanical modification made to the test item subsequent to the test date shall invalidate the data presented in this report. Any electrical or mechanical modification made to the test item subsequent to this test date shall require reevaluation.

1.6 Copyright

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Amber Helm Development L.C.

1.7 Endorsements

This report shall not be used to claim product endorsement by any accrediting, regulatory, or governmental agency.

1.8 Test Location

The EUT was fully tested by **Amber Helm Development L.C.**, headquartered at 92723 Michigan Hwy-152, Sister Lakes, Michigan 49047 USA. Table 1 lists all sites employed herein. Specific test sites utilized are also listed in the test results sections of this report where needed.

Table 1: Test Site List.									
Description	Location	Quality Num.							
OATS (3 meter)	3615 E Grand River Rd., Williamston, Michigan 48895	OATSC							

1.9 Traceability and Equipment Used

Pertinent test equipment used for measurements at this facility is listed in Table 2. The quality system employed at Amber Helm Development L.C. has been established to ensure all equipment has a clearly identifiable classification, calibration expiry date, and that all calibrations are traceable to the SI through NIST, other recognized national laboratories, accepted fundamental or natural physical constants, ratio type of calibration, or by comparison to consensus standards.

Table 2: Equipment List.

Description	Manufacturer/Model	SN	Quality Num.	Cal/Ver By / Date Due
Spectrum Analyzer	R & S / FSV30	101660	RSFSV30001	RS / Apr-2023
BNC-BNC Coax	WRTL / RG58/U	001	CAB001-BLACK	AHD / Oct-2021
Shielded Loop Antenna	EMCO / 6502	9502-2926	EMCOLOOP1	Keysight / Aug-2022

2 Test Specifications and Procedures

2.1 Test Specification and General Procedures

The goal of Aptiv Services US, LLC is to demonstrate that the Equipment Under Test (EUT) complies with the Rules and/or Directives below. Detailed in this report are the results of testing the Aptiv Services US, LLC WACM4 for compliance to:

Country/Region	Rules or Directive	Referenced Section(s)					
United States	Code of Federal Regulations	CFR Title 47, Part 18.305					
Canada	ISED Canada	ISED RSS-216					

It has been determined that the equipment under test is subject to the rules and directives above at the date of this testing. In conjunction with these rules and directives, the following specifications and procedures are followed herein to demonstrate compliance (in whole or in part) with these regulations.

ANSI C63.4:2014	"Methods of Measurement of Radio-Noise Emissions from Low-Voltage Electrical and Electronic Equipment in the Range of 9 kHz to 40 GHz" $$
ANSI C63.10:2013	"American National Standard of Procedures for Compliance Testing of Unli- censed Wireless Devices"
MP-5:1986	"FCC Methods of Measurements of Radio Noise Emissions from Industrial, Scientific, and Medical Equipement"
TP0102RA	"AHD Internal Document TP0102 - Radiated Emissions Test Procedure"
ISED Canada	"The Measurement of Occupied Bandwidth"
ICES-001v5:2020	"Interference-Causing Equipment Standard - Industrial, Scientific and Medical (ISM) Radio Frequency Generators"

Date: June 21, 2021

3 Configuration and Identification of the Equipment Under Test

3.1 Description and Declarations

The equipment under test is a wireless power transfer charger used in a motor vehicle. The EUT is approximately $16 \ge 11 \ge 3$ cm in dimension, and is depicted in Figure 1. It is powered by 13.4 VDC vehicular power system. In use, this device is permanently affixed inside the body of a motor vehicle. Table 3 outlines provider declared EUT specifications.




Figure 1: Photos of EUT.

Table 3:	EUT	Declarations.
----------	-----	---------------

General Declarations	
Equipment Type:	Part 18 Consumer ISM Equipment, RSS-216 Type 3, Cat 1
Country of Origin:	Not Declared
Nominal Supply:	13.4 VDC
Oper. Temp Range:	Not Declared
Frequency Range:	$95-125~\mathrm{kHz}$
Antenna Dimension:	6 cm
Antenna Type:	coil
Antenna Gain:	Integral
Number of Channels:	1
Channel Spacing:	None
Alignment Range:	Not Declared
Type of Modulation:	CW
United States	
FCC ID Number:	L2C0074TR
Classification:	8CC
Classification:	800
Canada	
IC Number:	3432A-0074TR
Classification:	WPT Device, Vehicle Device

3.1.1 EUT Configuration

The EUT is configured for testing as depicted in Figure 2.




Figure 2: EUT Test Configuration Diagram.

3.1.2 Modes of Operation

This device is an OEM installed inductive coupled charger pad for use in a motor vehicle. It employs three charging coils (only one of which may be used at any given time) to transfer energy from itself to a compatible, portable receiving device placed in contact with the EUT surface. Emissions from each of the three coils employed are fully reported herein.

3.1.3 Variants

There is only a single variant of the EUT, employing a new micro-controller, as tested.

3.1.4 Test Samples

Two samples were provided for testing; one sample for photographs and one normal operating sample. A smart client load (paired Qi Texas Instruments client board) was provided to activate the device for testing over each coil. This load consists of a normal Qi client circuit with the battery load replaced by an equivalent resistive value. All rectification and regulation circuitry representative of client side loading was implemented.

3.1.5 Functional Exerciser

EUT functionality was verified by observation of transmitted signal.

3.1.6 Modifications Made

There were no modifications made to the EUT by this laboratory.

3.1.7 Production Intent

The EUT appears to be a production ready sample.

3.1.8 Declared Exemptions and Additional Product Notes

The EUT uses load modulation on the power transfer frequency as part of its power management and control features. No other communication is employed by the EUT and no data is transferred to the client via the load modulation employed; no other frequencies are employed by the device. As such, this device qualifies for FCC certification under Part 18. ISED Canada has stated that all Qi protocol chargers are to be considered Type 2 devices, and as the

EUT doesn't meet associated field strength limits by more than 40 dB, this product is treated as a Type 3, Category 1 WPT device, subject to certification under RSS-216. The operating frequency of the EUT is between 105 and 115 kHz, and per FCC Part 18.309(a) the range of frequency over which measurements are required is 9 kHz to 30 MHz. The EUT is permanently installed in a transportation vehicle. As such, digital emissions (emissions from digital circuitry not used in generating the charging frequency) are exempt from US and Canadian digital emissions regulations (per FCC 15.103(a) and ISED correspondence).

4 Emissions

4.1 General Test Procedures

4.1.1 Radiated Test Setup and Procedures

Radiated electromagnetic emissions from the EUT are first pre-scanned in our screen room. Spectrum and modulation characteristics of all emissions are recorded. Instrumentation, including spectrum analyzers and other test equipment as detailed in Section 1.8 are employed. After pre-scan, emission measurements are made on the test site of record. If the EUT connects to auxiliary equipment and is table or floor standing, the configurations prescribed in relevant test standards are followed. Alternatively, a layout closest to normal use (as declared by the provider) is employed if the resulting emissions appear to be worst-case in such a configuration. See Figure 3. All intentionally radiating elements that are not fixed-mounted in use are placed on the test table lying flat, on their side, and on their end (3-axes) and the resulting worst case emissions are recorded. If the EUT is fixed-mounted in use, measurements are made with the device oriented in the manner consistent with installation and then emissions are recorded. If the EUT exhibits spurious emissions due to internal receiver circuitry, such emissions are measured with an appropriate carrier signal applied.




Figure 3: Radiated Emissions Diagram of the EUT.

For devices with intentional emissions below 30 MHz, a shielded loop antenna and/or E-field and H-Field broadband probes are used depending on the regulations. Shielded loops are placed at a 1 meter receive height at the desired measurement distance. For exposure in this band, the broadband probes employed are 10cm diameter single-axis shielded transducers and measurements are repeated and summed over three axes.

Emissions between 30 MHz and 1 GHz are measured using calibrated broadband antennas. For both horizontal and vertical polarizations, the test antenna is raised and lowered from 1 to 4 m in height until a maximum emission level is detected. The EUT is then rotated through 360° in azimuth until the highest emission is detected. The test antenna is then raised and lowered one last time from 1 to 4 m and the worst case value is recorded. Emissions above 1 GHz are characterized using standard gain or broadband ridge-horn antennas on our OATS with a 4×5 m rectangle of ECCOSORB absorber covering the OATS ground screen and a 1.5m table height. Care is taken to ensure that test receiver resolution and video bandwidths meet the regulatory requirements, and that the emission bandwidth of the EUT is not reduced. Photographs of the test setup employed are depicted in Figure 4.

Where regulations allow for direct measurement of field strength, power values (dBm) measured on the test receiver / analyzer are converted to $dB\mu V/m$ at the regulatory distance, using

$$E_{dist} = 107 + P_R + K_A - K_G + K_E - C_F$$

where P_R is the power recorded on spectrum analyzer, in dBm, K_A is the test antenna factor in dB/m, K_G is the combined pre-amplifier gain and cable loss in dB, K_E is duty correction factor (when applicable) in dB, and C_F is a distance conversion (employed only if limits are specified at alternate distance) in dB. This field strength value is then compared with the regulatory limit. If effective isotropic radiated power (EIRP) is computed, it is computed as

$$EIRP(dBm) = E_{3m}(dB\mu V/m) - 95.2.$$

When presenting data at each frequency, the highest measured emission under all possible EUT orientations (3-axes) is reported.




Figure 4: Radiated Emissions Test Setup Photograph(s).

4.1.2 Conducted Emissions Test Setup and Procedures

4.1.3 Power Supply Variation

Tests at extreme supply voltages are made if required by the procedures specified in the test standard, and results of this testing are detailed in this report.

4.2 Intentional Emissions

4.2.1 Fundamental Emission Bandwidth

Emission bandwidth (EBW) of the EUT is measured with the device placed in the test mode(s) with the shortest available frame length and minimum frame spacing. Radiated emissions are recorded following the test procedures listed in Section 2.1. The 20 dB EBW is measured as the max-held peak-detected signal when the IF bandwidth is greater than or equal to 1% of the receiver span. For complex modulations other than ASK and FSK, the 99% emission bandwidth per IC test procedures has a different result, and is also separately reported. The results of EBW testing are summarized in Table 4. Plots showing measurements employed to obtain the emission bandwidth reported are provided in Figure 5.

Table 4: Intentional Emission Bandwidth.

Frequency Range 9 kHz ≤ f ≤ 150 kHz			Det Pk	IF Bandwidth > 1% Span	Video Bandwidth >= 3 * IFBW	Test Date: Test Engineer: EUT Mode: Meas. Distance: EUT Tested:	21-Jun- Joseph Bi Normal Op 1m Delphi W4	runett erating
Operating Mode		C1	Center Frequency	20 dB EBW	99% OI	3W		
operating mode	Temp (C)	Supply (VDC)	(kHz)	(Hz)	(Hz)			
CHRG	22	13.4	0.10948	460	4095.	9		
POL+SEN	22	13.4	0.10942	779	2356.	6		




Figure 5: Intentional Emission Bandwidth.

4.2.2 Fundamental Emission

Following the test procedures listed in Section 2.1, field emissions measurements are made on the EUT for both Horizontal and Vertically polarized coupling fields. The EUT's loop antenna(s) are measured when the EUT loop axes are (1) aligned along the same axis as the test loop antenna and horizontal with respect to the test site ground plane, (2) aligned coplanar (in the same plane) with the test antenna and aligned horizontal with respect to the test site ground plane, and (3) aligned coplanar (in the same plane) with the test antenna and vertical with respect to the test site ground plane. Table 5 details the results of these measurements.

Table 5: Fundamental Radiated Emissions.

	9 kHz	tency Range $\leq f \leq 150 \text{ kHz}$ $z \leq f \leq 30 \text{ MHz}$		Det Pk/QPk Pk/QPk		200 Hz 300 Hz Test 9 kHz 30 kHz EI Meas. Mease		Test Eng EUT Meas. Dis	Mode: See Table													
	Fundamental Emission Measurements																					
		EUT	Freq.	Ant.	Ant.**	Table	Ka	Kg	Cf***	E-field @ 3	m	H-field @ 3m E-field @ 30			E-field @ 300m	1			@ 300m			
				Used	Height	Azim	(E)			Meas.	Calc.	Me	as.	ICES-001 T2 Lim.	Calcul	ated	RSS-GEN Lim.	Part18 Lim.	Calc	ulated	RSS-GEN Lim.	
		Worst Case							3m/300m	Pk	Avg*	Pk	Qpk	Qpk	Pk	Avg	Avg	Qpk	Pk	Qpk	Avg	
#	Mode	Orientation	MHz	QN	m	deg	dB/m	dB	dB	dBuV/m	/m dBuA/m		dBuV/m		dBuV/m dBuV/m			dBuA/m		Pass By***		
1	Coil 1 CHRG	Coaxial	0.1095	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.3	0.0	80.0	83.1	83.1	31.6		51.4	3.1		26.8	23.5	-48.4		-24.7	19.8
2	Coil 2 CHRG	Coaxial	0.1095	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.3	0.0	80.0	80.2	80.2	28.7		51.4	0.2		26.8	23.5	-51.3		-24.7	22.7
3	Coil 3 CHRG	Coaxial	0.1095	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.3	0.0	80.0	78.2	78.2	26.7		51.4	-1.8		26.8	23.5	-53.3		-24.7	24.7
4	Coil 1 POL+SEN	Coaxial	0.1095	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.3	0.0	80.0	88.6	85.3	37.1		51.4	8.6		26.8	23.5	-42.9		-24.7	14.3
5	Coil 2 POL+SEN	Coaxial	0.1095	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.3	0.0	80.0	85.7	82.4	34.2		51.4	5.7		26.8	23.5	-45.8		-24.7	17.2
6	Coil 3 POL+SEN	Coaxial	0.1095	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.3	0.0	80.0	83.7	80.4	32.2		51.4	3.7		26.8	23.5	-47.8		-24.7	19.2

	Fundamental Emission Voltage Variation											
		Test Antenna	Freq.	DC Supply	E-field							
#	Mode	Polarization	MHz	Voltage	dBuV/m							
10			0.1095	15.20	80.2							
11	Coil 2 CHRG	max all	0.1095	13.40	80.2							
12			0.1095	11.50	80.2							

Measured OATS Field Decay Rate for Field Conversion										
∇	Free.	Distfrom EUT)	Formula Fit	\geq					
A	MHz			Pr (Pk) vs Distance	≥ 1					
X		> <	\searrow	$-20.9 \ln(x) \pm 96.5$	\geq					
X		<u>>+.e</u> <	>₩<	Base 10 Rate of Decay***	\geq					
\mathbb{X}	>+++0	2.0	>	(dB/dec)	\geq					
\mathbb{X}	>+++0	>+.0<	$> \ll$	-48.1	\geq					
X	>+++0	>5.0	\gg	>	\geq					
*** A Ln (x) = 2.303*A Log(x).										

* Average computed from Peak data using Duty Cycle as computed in Duty Cycle section of this report.
** Emissions were evaluated at 1m and 2m test antenna height, and 1 meter was determined to be worst case for all orientations. Table height of 80cm was
employed.

*** Per Part 18.305(note 2) / RSS-GEN 6.5, EUT field decay rate may be measured over a range of distances and used to determine CF between measurement and limit distance. Alternatively, 20 dB/dec decay rate is permitted under Part 18.

ICES-001 Table 2 - H-field = 69-(69-39)/LOG10(150/70)*LOG10(fr_MHz*1000/70) dBuA/m @ 3m

4.3 Unintentional Emissions

4.3.1**Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions**

The results for the measurement of transmit chain spurious emissions at the nominal voltage and temperature are provided in Table 6. Following the test procedures listed in Section 2.1, field emissions measurements are made on the EUT for both Horizontal and Vertically polarized coupling fields. The EUT's loop antenna(s) are measured when the EUT loop axes are (1) aligned along the same axis as the test loop antenna and horizontal with respect to the test site ground plane, (2) aligned coplanar (in the same plane) with the test antenna and aligned horizontal with respect to the test site ground plane, and (3) aligned coplanar (in the same plane) with the test antenna and vertical with respect to the test site ground plane. The results for the measurement of transmit chain spurious emissions at the nominal voltage and temperature are provided in Table 6. Measurements are performed to 10 times the highest fundamental operating frequency.

	Frequency 9 kHz \leq f \leq 1 150 kHz \leq f \leq	50 kHz	Det Pk/QPk Pk/QPk	IF Bandwie 200 Hz 9 kHz	lth	300	andwidt) Hz kHz						Test Date: st Engineer: EUT Mode:	J. Brunett			eas. Distance: EUT Tested:		CM4	
	Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions																			
		EUT	Freq.	Ant.	Ant.**	Table	Ka	Kg	Cf**	E-field	l@3m		H-field	@ 3m			E-field @ 300			
					Height	Azim	(E)		(3 to 300m)	Meas.	Calc.	М	eas.	ICES-001 T2 Limit	Cal		Part 18 Limit		Pass By	
		Worst Case								Pk	Avg / Qpk	Pk	Qpk	Qpk	Pk	Qpk	Qpk	Avg, $Qpk > 490k$	1 ass by	
#	Mode	Orientation	MHz	Used	m	deg	dB/m	dB	dB		iV/m		dBu/				dBuV/m			Comments
1	1	Coaxial	.2190	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.2	0.0	40.0	29.3		-22.2		36.7	-10.7		23.5	20.8	31.5	
2	1	Coaxial	.3285	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.1	0.0	40.0	43.1		-8.4		34.3	3.1		23.5	17.3	14.2	
3	Coil 1,	Coaxial	.4380	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.2	0.0	40.0	21.9		-29.6		32.5	-18.1		23.5	14.8	32.9	
4	POL+SENS	Coaxial	.5475	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.3	0.0	40.0	28.6		-22.9		31.2	-11.4		23.5	12.8	24.2	
5	1	Coaxial	.6570	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.2	0.0	40.0	23.9		-27.6		30.1	-16.1		23.5	11.3	27.4	noise
9		Coaxial	.9855	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.3	0.0	40.0	23.3		-28.2		27.6	-16.7		23.5	7.7	24.4	
10	1	Coaxial	.2190	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.2	0.0	40.0	37.6		-13.9		36.7	-2.4		23.5	20.8	23.2	
11	1	Coaxial	.3285	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.1	0.0	40.0	46.9		-4.6		34.3	6.9		23.5	17.3	10.4	
12	Coil 2,	Coaxial	.4380	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.2	0.0	40.0	15.8		-35.7		32.5	-24.2		23.5	14.8	39.0	
13	POL+SENS	Coaxial	.5475	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.3	0.0	40.0	35.9		-15.6		31.2	-4.1		23.5	12.8	16.9	
14		Coaxial	.6570	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.2	0.0	40.0	23.9		-27.6		30.1	-16.1		23.5	11.3	27.4	noise
18		Coaxial	.9855	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.3	0.0	40.0	22.3		-29.2		27.6	-17.7		23.5	7.7	25.4	
19		Coaxial	.2190	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.2	0.0	40.0	31.9		-19.6		36.7	-8.1		23.5	20.8	28.9	
20		Coaxial	.3285	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.1	0.0	40.0	32.3		-19.2		34.3	-7.7		23.5	17.3	25.0	
21	Coil 3,	Coaxial	.4380	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.2	0.0	40.0	16.8		-34.7		32.5	-23.2		23.5	14.8	38.0	
22	POL+SENS	Coaxial	.5475	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.3	0.0	40.0	36.3		-15.2		31.2	-3.7		23.5	12.8	16.5	
23		Coaxial	.6570	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.2	0.0	40.0	23.9		-27.6		30.1	-16.1		23.5	11.3	27.4	noise
27		Coaxial	.9855	EMCOLOOP1 POL+SENSE (loa	1.0	0	10.3	0.0	40.0	22.4		-29.1		27.6	-17.6		23.5	7.7	25.3	

* EUT was tested in CHRO (Lharging), and POL-SE-DSE (load Inding) modes., POL-SE-DS was town to be the vorst case and is reported netrem. ** Emissions were evaluated at 1 and 2 net ext attends height, and 1 netre was determined to be worst case for all orientations. Table height of 80 new as employed *** Per Part 18.305(note) 2/1 RSS-GED 6.5, EUT field decay rate may be measured over a range of distances and used to determine CF between measurement and limit distance. Alternatively, 20 dBide decay rate is permitted under Part 18.

ICES-001 Table 2 - H-field = 39-(39-7)/LOG10(30/0.15)*LOG10(fr_MHz/0.15) dBuA/m @ 3m

Measured OATS Field Decay Rate to Confirm Field Conversion below 490 kHz															
Prof			Formula Fit		Disk		Formula Fit				Formula Fit	740	Dist		Formula Fit
	$> \ll$	dB+cV	Pr (Pk) vs Distance	XHKZ	\searrow	dB+sV	Pr (Pk) vs Distance		$> \!$		Pr (Pk) vs Distance				Pr (Pk) vs Distance
215	\gg	> < <	-21.5-hr(x)=48.1	\geq	\searrow	>><	$-23.7 \ln(x) = 62.6$	>+3%	\geq	>>>	$-29.4 \ln(x) = 30.0$.990	>	76.6	$-24.0 \ln(x) = 28.6$
>#	>**<	\gg	Base 10 Rate of Decay***	\geq	\supset		Base 10 Rate of Decay***	$> \ll$	> <	\geq	Base 10 Rate of Decay***	>996	<u>+.0</u>	> <	Base 10 Rate of Decay***
>15	>26	> <	(dB/dee)	\geq	\geq	_* *.<	(dB)/dec)	>***	\geq	\geq	(dB/dee)	-990	2.6	> < <	(dB/dee)
>#	>**<	Defsc	49.5	\geq	\searrow	Doise	-54.6	>**<	>*<	Reise	-67.7	>990	>+.0	ROISE	-55:3
*** A Ln (x) =	2.303*A Log	(x).													

5 Measurement Uncertainty and Accreditation Documents

The maximum values of measurement uncertainty for the laboratory test equipment and facilities associated with each test are given in the table below. This uncertainty is computed for a 95.45% confidence level based on a coverage factor of k = 2.

Table 7: Measurement Uncertainty.

Measured Parameter	${\bf Measurement} ~ {\bf Uncertainty}^{\dagger}$
Radio Frequency	$\pm (f_{Mkr}/10^7 + RBW/10 + (SPN/(PTS - 1))/2 + 1 \mathrm{Hz})$
Conducted Emm. Amplitude	$\pm 1.9\mathrm{dB}$
Radiated Emm. Amplitude $(f < 30 \text{ MHz})$	$\pm 3.1\mathrm{dB}$
Radiated Emm. Amplitude $(30 - 200 \text{ MHz})$	$\pm 4.0\mathrm{dB}$
Radiated Emm. Amplitude $(200 - 1000 \text{ MHz})$	$\pm 5.2\mathrm{dB}$
Radiated Emm. Amplitude $(f > 1000 \text{ MHz})$	$\pm 3.7\mathrm{dB}$

[†]Ref: CISPR 16-4-2:2011+A1:2014

United States Department of Commerce National Institute of Standards and Technology	Gordon Helm EMC-002401-NE REMCE-002401-NE REMCE-002401-NE
NVLAP LAB CODE: 200129-0	C PRANT
AHD (Amber Helm Development, L.C.) Sister Lakes, MI	and the second se
is accredited by the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program for specific services, listed on the Scope of Accreditation, for.	Joseph Brunett EMC-002790-NE
Electromagnetic Compatibility & Telecommunications	AMENE
This laboratory is accredited in accordance with the recognized International Standard ISO/IEC 17025:2017. This accreditation demonstrates technical competence for a defined scope and the operation of a laboratory quality management system (refer to joint ISO-ILAC-IAF Communique dated January 2009).	
2020-06-23 through 2021-06-30 Effective Dates For the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program	TRIFIED ENGINEER

Figure 6: Accreditation Documents