

From: Joseph A. Godles  
Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2002 13:10  
To: Joseph A. Godles  
Subject: FW: FreeWave

Importance: High

-----Original Message-----

From: Joe Dichoso [mailto:JDICHOSO@fcc.gov]  
Sent: Friday, March 08, 2002 2:57 PM  
To: hgoldberg@G2W2.com  
Cc: Andrew Leimer; Kenneth Nichols; Rich Fabina  
Subject: RE: FreeWave

Hello Henry,

The "NEMA weather tight enclosure" condition is applied to FCC ID: KNY21161341911919 and is not listed on the device with the identifier listed below. Per our conversation, you state that FreeWave wants to change the "NEMA weather tight enclosure" condition to "NEMA enclosure". You state that the only difference is that the weather tight enclosure has additional seals. Assuming that the initial application was properly tested standalone, you can change the grant condition with a Class II permissive change in order to modify the grant. No further testing is required assuming no other changes to the device. Provide a cover letter with the filing and include this e-mail correspondence.

Regards,  
Joe Dichoso

>>> Henry Goldberg <hgoldberg@G2W2.com> 03/08/02 12:02PM >>>

Here's the earlier messages that I sent to Rich on the issue:

Second, can FreeWave file a second Class I or Class II permissive change, covering its other modular certification (FCC ID: KNY-1931852313419), in order to eliminate the requirement that its enclosure be "weather tight"? Since the time we met, FreeWave has determined that its enclosures satisfy NEMA standards, so it is not seeking to eliminate the NEMA requirement, but the enclosures do not necessarily qualify as "weather tight."

<<weather tight?>> Take a look at these and, if possible, give me a call this p.m. and then we can set up the call with the FreeWave folks for Monday or Tuesday.

-----Original Message-----

From: Joe Dichoso [mailto:JDICHOSO@fcc.gov]  
Sent: Friday, March 08, 2002 10:16 AM  
To: hgoldberg@G2W2.com  
Subject: Re: FreeWave

Hello Henry,

Rich asked me to take care of this. Can you please let me know what they propose and for which fcc identifier they are referring to? After, I take a look at it I can discuss with them. Maybe early next week?

>>> Henry Goldberg <hgoldberg@G2W2.com> 03/07/02 06:05PM >>>

When I reported back to FreeWave after our conversation regarding

weather-tight NEMA and the permissive change, they had a lot of questions, particularly about the testing aspect of the permissive change application, which you mentioned. Not being a technical person, I couldn't adequately convey your concerns to them. I think a 10-minute conference call with everyone on the line would clear things up. Give me a time tomorrow when you have 5-10 minutes for a call and I'll set it up with FreeWave.